

DR. UMBERTO SALVAGNIN (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-3914-2164)

Article type : Research Article

Adjusting the scent ratio: using genetically modified *Vitis vinifera* plants to manipulate European grapevine moth behaviour

Umberto Salvagnin^{1,2*}, Mickael Malnoy², Gunda Thöming³, Marco Tasin^{3,4}, Silvia Carlin², Stefan Martens², Urska Vrhovsek², Sergio Angeli¹, Gianfranco Anfora^{2,5}

¹Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, 39100 Bolzano, Italy ²Research and Innovation Centre, Fondazione Edmund Mach, Via E. Mach 1, 38010 S. Michele all'Adige (TN), Italy ³Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research, NIBIO, Høgskoleveien 7, 1430 Ås, Norway ⁴Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SLU, Box102, S(E)-230-53, Alnarp, Sweden ⁵Center Agriculture Food Environment (CAFE), University of Trento, Via E. Mach 1, 38010 S. Michele all'Adige (TN), Italy

Malnoy Mickael: mickael.malnoy@fmach.it ; Thöming Gunda, Gunda.Thoeming@nibio.no ; Tasin Marco, marco.tasin@slu.se ; Carlin Silvia, silvia.carlin@fmach.it ; Martens Stefan, stefan.martens@fmach.it ; Vrhovsek Urska, urska.vrhovsek@fmach.it ; Angeli Sergio, Sergio.Angeli@unibz.it ; Anfora Gianfranco, gianfranco.anfora@fmach.it

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/pbi.12767

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

***Corresponding author:** Salvagnin Umberto, Faculty of Science and Technology, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Piazza Università 5, 39100 Bolzano, Italy
mobile: +39 3498104142 mail: umberto.salvagnin@gmail.com

Key words: VOCs, *Lobesia botrana*, sesquiterpenes, (*E*)- β -caryophyllene, (*E*)- β -farnesene, host selection, wind tunnel, grapevine, *Vitis vinifera*

1. Summary

Herbivorous insects use olfactory cues to locate their host plant within a complex olfactory landscape. One such example is the European grapevine moth *Lobesia botrana*, a key pest of the grape in the Palearctic region, which recently expanded both its geographical and host plant range. Previous studies have showed that a synthetic blend of the three terpenoids (*E*)- β -caryophyllene, (*E*)- β -farnesene and (*E*)-4,8-dimethyl-1,3,7-nonatriene (DMNT) was as attractive for the moth as the complete grape odour profile in laboratory conditions. The same studies also showed that the specific ratio of these compounds in the grape bouquet was crucial, because a percentage variation in any of the three volatiles resulted in almost complete inhibition of the blend's attractiveness. Here we report on the creation of stable grapevine transgenic lines, with modified (*E*)- β -caryophyllene and (*E*)- β -farnesene emission and thus with an altered ratio compared to the original plants. When headspace collections from these plants were tested in wind tunnel behavioural assays, they were less attractive than control extracts. This result was confirmed by testing synthetic blends imitating the ratio found on natural and transformed plants, as well as by testing the plants themselves. With this evidence, we suggest that a strategy based on volatile ratio modification may also interfere

with the host-finding behaviour of *L. botrana* in the field, creating avenues for new pest control methods.

2. Introduction

Terpenoids constitute the biggest class of plant metabolites involved both in primary (Croteau *et al.*, 2000) and secondary metabolism (Zwenger and Basu, 2008). All terpenes formally derive from the C₅ isomers isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP) and dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMAPP), whose biosynthesis can take place in plants via two distinct pathways: the mevalonate pathway (MVA) in the cytoplasm and the MEP/DOXP pathway in the chloroplast (Rohmer, 1999). Condensation of C₅ isomers by prenyltransferase enzymes leads to the C₁₀, C₁₅, C₂₀, C₃₀ and C₄₀ precursors of mono-, sesqui-, di-, tri- and tetraterpenes, respectively. In the last step of the pathway, precursors are converted to terpenes by terpene synthase (TPS) enzymes, which are usually coded by gene families of 20-150 members in each species (Chen *et al.*, 2011). In nature terpenes have many ecological roles, such as direct and indirect defence against pathogens and insects (Hasegawa *et al.*, 2010; Heiling *et al.*, 2010; Huang *et al.*, 2012; Unsicker *et al.*, 2009), attraction of pollinators (Dudareva and Pichersky, 2000) and mutualistic fungi (Ditengou *et al.*, 2015), as well as being used as signals for plant-to-plant communication (Arimura *et al.*, 2000). As semiochemicals, their emission is often exploited by phytophagous insects, which use them as kairomones to recognise and locate their host plants (Bruce *et al.*, 2005). This is also the case for the European grapevine moth *Lobesia botrana* (Den. & Schiff.) (Lepidoptera, Tortricidae), a polyphagous insect which is considered the main pest of European vineyards and which has the potential to become an invasive species, as recently observed in USA, Argentina and Chile (Ioriatti *et al.*, 2011). Worldwide in fruit production, grapes are in first place in terms of crop surface area, with over 3,200,000 ha cultivated and more than 26 Mtons of fruit

Accepted Article

production in Europe (FAOSTAT, data until 2013, <http://faostat.fao.org/>). This causes additional concern with respect to *L. botrana* management. Control strategies against this pest still primarily involve pesticides, with environmentally friendly techniques such as mating disruption covering less than 4% of European vineyards (Ioriatti *et al.*, 2011). With a view to using semiochemicals against insect pests (Birkett and Pickett, 2014), grapevine VOCs involved in host-plant interactions were studied for *L. botrana*, and it was found that a specific blend of the terpenoids (*E*)- β -caryophyllene, (*E*)- β -farnesene and the homoterpene (*E*)-4,8-dimethyl-1,3,7-nonatriene (DMNT) was attractive in laboratory and field conditions (Anfora *et al.*, 2009), and the attractiveness was shown to be dependent on the kairomone ratio, decreasing significantly when deviating from the ratio found in the grapevine headspace collection (Tasin *et al.*, 2006).

In this work, we generated stable grapevine transgenic lines with altered (*E*)- β -caryophyllene and (*E*)- β -farnesene emission compared to original unmodified plants. In the first case we overexpressed a grapevine (*E*)- β -caryophyllene synthase gene, while in the second case we inserted and express a sweet wormwood gene that codes for an (*E*)- β -farnesene synthase. Thus, we modify the ratio between these two kairomones *in vivo*, and tested how it affected *L. botrana* behaviour.

3. Results

3.1 Creation of grapevine lines with altered (*E*)- β -caryophyllene and (*E*)- β -farnesene volatile emission.

To modify sesquiterpene emission, both TPS gene overexpression and silencing approaches were considered. In grapevine there are five genes known to code for (*E*)- β -caryophyllene synthase (Martin *et al.*, 2010), though *VvGwECar2* (GenBank: HM807374) alone is responsible for most of the volatile production in the green tissues of the plant (Matarese *et*

al., 2014). It was therefore chosen as the target for gene silencing using RNAi. However, after plant transformation and regeneration we could not obtain any significantly silenced line (data not shown), probably because of the difficulty in specifically targeting only one TPS gene in the whole grapevine gene family, in which there is a high degree of sequence similarity among members. By contrast, overexpression of *VvGwECar2* was successful and resulted in the regeneration of many independent lines, as we previously reported (Salvagnin *et al.*, 2016).

In order to modify (*E*)- β -farnesene emission we could neither silence nor overexpress any grapevine gene, since apparently there are no known (*E*)- β -farnesene synthases in the grapevine genome. The small amount of (*E*)- β -farnesene emitted by the plant is indeed the result of the activity of a (*E*)- α -bergamotene and a β -curcumene synthase, that also produce (*E*)- β -farnesene as a minority by-product (Martin *et al.*, 2010). Thus, we decided to insert a gene from the sweet wormwood *Artemisia annua* L. which had already been characterised (Picaud *et al.*, 2005) as an (*E*)- β -farnesene synthase (Aa β -FS, GenBank: AY835398.1), and drive its expression with the strong CaMV 35S promoter. All the transformations were carried out as described in Dalla Costa *et al.* (2014), starting from “Brachetto Grappolo Lungo” grapevine variety embryogenic calli (Martinelli *et al.*, 2001), and resulted in a dozen independent transgenic lines after one year. A subset of the lines was extensively propagated and acclimatised in the greenhouse and was used for all the experiments. Overall, we did not notice any difference in plant phenotypes or growth speed in the different lines or as compared to the controls.

As frequently observed with random integration of T-DNA, transgene expression levels varied among lines (One-way ANOVA, $F = 6.37$; $df = 24$; $p < 0.001$) when measured with RT-QPCR (Fig. 1), with a 30-fold difference in transcript level between the weakest and strongest overexpressing lines. To check for changes in sesquiterpene emission, we screened

the plants with solid-phase microextraction and subsequent gas-chromatography/mass spectrometry (SPME GC-MS) (Fig. 2).

SPME was chosen because of its speed and sensitivity, considering that sesquiterpenes usually cover no more than 1% of the total VOCs emitted by the grapevine (Matarese *et al.*, 2014). Young leaves of the control plants had a (*E*)- β -caryophyllene content in the range of 5 ng/g of fresh weight and (*E*)- β -farnesene in the range of 4 ng/g. As previously reported (Salvagnin *et al.*, 2016), (*E*)- β -caryophyllene overexpressing lines (β C1 to 6) showed an increase of up to 20 ng/g of fresh weight in terms of (*E*)- β -caryophyllene content (One-way ANOVA, $F = 11.92$; $df = 20$; $p < 0.001$), which was poorly correlated with the gene transcript level. (*E*)- β -farnesene overexpressing lines (β F1 to 5) showed a more pronounced increase (One-way ANOVA, $F = 18.54$; $df = 18$; $p < 0.001$), with up to 20 times more (*E*)- β -farnesene, and a level of 85 ng/g of fresh weight. The correlation between gene expression and metabolites was also higher than the β C lines (Pearson's $r = 0,65$). The linearity of SPME fibre response within this concentration range was tested with calibration lines from pure standards, which were also used for quantification. It is worth noting that in β C lines the level of (*E*)- β -farnesene was not statistically different from that of control plants (One-way ANOVA, $F = 0.66$; $df = 22$; $p = 0.68$), and that in β F lines the level of (*E*)- β -caryophyllene was also not statistically different from that of control plants (One-way ANOVA, $F = 0.77$; $df = 21$; $p = 0.59$). In other words, a change in the abundance of one volatile did not affect the abundance of the other.

3.2 Plant headspace extraction with closed-loop stripping analysis

Although solid-phase micro-extraction (SPME) allowed us to have fast confirmation of the plant phenotype, it also had the limitation of basing the sampling process only on a few young leaves from an entire plant. Indeed, terpenoid emission is known to vary significantly

Accepted Article

in the different organs of *V. vinifera* (Matarese *et al.*, 2014) and in the field insects are likely to choose their host plant based on VOC emission from the whole body of the plant, rather than from just a few leaves. For this reason we decided to extract and characterise the plant headspace through closed-loop stripping analysis (CLSA) (Abraham *et al.*, 2014; Boland *et al.*, 1984). This method also has the advantage of being non-destructive, and is carried out at environment temperature, thus not altering plant physiology and more reliably reflecting the emission of VOCs in real ecological conditions. Sampling was done on plants of the same age and of a similar size (30-35 leaves) and was started at the same time every day to exclude the possible effects of the day/night cycle on VOC emission (Chalal *et al.*, 2015; Giacomuzzi *et al.*, 2016). Quantification of (*E*)- β -caryophyllene and (*E*)- β -farnesene (Fig. 3a) confirmed the pattern observed with SPME quantification, although it showed greater variability between biological replicates, and in some lines (β C5, β C6, β F3) it indicated that SPME sampling had rather underestimated VOC emission. Overall, our initial goal of obtaining grapevine plants with an (*E*)- β -caryophyllene / (*E*)- β -farnesene emission ratio divergent from the wild type was achieved (One-way ANOVA, $F = 24.07$; $df = 45$; $p < 0.0001$), with extracts ranging from 0.22:1 to 38:1, while the control plants were in the range of 4:1 (Fig. 3b).

3.3 Wind tunnel behavioural assays

All the extracts were sorted and grouped into seven categories (Fig. 4a) according to their (*E*)- β -caryophyllene / (*E*)- β -farnesene ratio: six categories (A to F) from transformed plants with increasingly different ratios (from 35:1 to 0.5:1) and one category (CTR) with extracts only from control plants (ratio = 4:1 on average).

Categories A, B and C, with a higher ratio as compared with the controls, were obtained from β C lines, while categories E and F, with a lower ratio compared to the controls, were obtained from β F lines. Category D extracts were obtained from β C lines whose kairomone

emissions were not statistically different from the CTR category, and were thus used as a further control. It is worth noting that the ratio found on control plants of our variety (4:1) was different from the ratio (10:1) found in previous studies (Tasin *et al.*, 2006), where “Casana” plants were used, highlighting the varietal variation.

Extracts from all the groups were tested for attraction in wind tunnel assays (Fig. 4b) using adult *L. botrana* mated females 3 days after eclosion, reared on a semi-artificial diet.

Responding insects took flight from the tubes on the downwind side of the wind tunnel and locked into the odour plume, starting to fly upwind. The maximum linear flying distance that an insect could cover before hitting the protective cage in front of the sprayer was 180 cm: any flight between 50 cm and 170 cm from the odour source was defined as upwind flight, while arrival at any point within 10 cm of the sprayer was defined as landing (Fig. S1). The insect response rate was low, but in line with previous studies performed on this species when reared in semi-artificial conditions (Tasin *et al.*, 2005), and it proved to be consistent over time within the same groups of extracts and throughout all the batches of insects tested.

Extracts from control plants elicited on average 11% of upwind flights and 5% of landings. Group D elicited the same attraction as compared to the CTR group, both in terms of upwind flights and landings, as could be expected, given that the VOC ratio was not statistically different. Group C contained extracts from plants which weakly overexpress (*E*)- β -caryophyllene, with an average emission ratio close to 10:1. This ratio is different from that of the “Brachetto Grappolo Lungo” variety wild type, but not from that of the “Casana” variety used in previous studies, and indeed all the extracts tested from group C elicited attraction very similar to group D and the CTR group (Fig. 4b). Groups A, B, E and F were the most divergent from the CTR group, with all ratios higher than 17:1 or lower than 2:1. These groups all showed a modest decrease in the number of upwind flights (One-way ANOVA, $F = 4.49$; $df = 15$; $p = 0.021$) and a major decrease in the number of landings (One-

way ANOVA, $F = 4.02$; $df = 20$; $p = 0.019$), with less than 1% of the total insects tested. Moreover, specifically with respect to the groups of extracts A and F, we observed some differences in the way insects flew towards the sprayer: when leaving the tubes downwind they seemed to maintain casting behaviour for a longer time, and they frequently lost the odour plume before giving up and resting on the walls of the tunnel.

To confirm that the different behaviour of the moths was due to the changing ratio between (*E*)- β -caryophyllene and (*E*)- β -farnesene, we created three synthetic blends based on the original three-component attractive lure (Tasin *et al.*, 2006). The control (CTR) blend mimicked the ratio of control plants, which for our variety was 4:3.3:1 for (*E*)- β -caryophyllene, DMNT and (*E*)- β -farnesene respectively, while the β C blend had a ratio of 25:3.3:1 (similar to β C5 plants) and the β F blend had a ratio of 0.3:3.3:1, similar to β F2 plants. The blends were sprayed into the wind tunnel at a rate of 35 ng/h of the main compound, and female attraction was scored in the same way as was measured with plant extracts (Fig. 5a).

Insect response percentages were very similar to those found with headspace collections, and both the β C and β F blends were less attractive than the CTR blend (upwind: $\chi^2 = 7.65$; $df = 2$; $p < 0.05$; landing: $\chi^2 = 6.76$; $df = 2$; $p < 0.05$).

Finally, to confirm the difference in behaviour, we tested two representative plant lines, β C5 and β F2, in the wind tunnel, and compared their attractiveness to the CTR line (Fig. 5b). All the plants were of the same age, grown in the same conditions and had a similar number of leaves (about 80). Insect response percentages here were much higher than in previous experiments, probably because the plants had a higher emitting surface area as compared to the sprayer, and their odour profile was not affected by any sampling processes. In any case, the trend observed remained the same, with lower attractiveness for plants with an altered (*E*)- β -caryophyllene / (*E*)- β -farnesene ratio as compared to the control, both in terms of

upwind flights ($\chi^2 = 13.92$; $df = 2$; $p < 0.001$) and landing ($\chi^2 = 18.14$; $df = 2$; $p < 0.001$).

4. Discussion

Herbivorous insects use olfactory cues to locate their host plants in complex environments (Bruce *et al.*, 2005). This discrimination can be achieved either by the perception of species-specific VOCs (Blight *et al.*, 1995; Nottingham *et al.*, 1991) or more frequently by the presence of a blend of common VOCs emitted in a specific ratio (Thöming and Knudsen, 2014). Studies using synthetic blends in a wind tunnel showed that ratio modification of the components led to a decrease in attractiveness (Bruce *et al.*, 2005; Cha *et al.*, 2011), suggesting that VOC manipulation could be exploited to establish novel pest control methods (Turlings and Ton, 2006; Unsicker *et al.*, 2009). Considering the costs of many VOCs due to difficulties in their synthesis or isolation from natural sources, and considering especially that they often have a short half-life in nature because of the tendency to form isomers and/or become oxidized (Blande *et al.*, 2014), a genetic engineering approach seems most suitable for studying the effect of kairomone manipulation on insect behaviour (Birkett and Pickett, 2014). In plants of agricultural interest, only a few cases of genetic engineering with insect semiochemical biosynthesis have been reported, interestingly regarding the same VOCs we targeted in our study. In maize, it was shown that the expression of a (*E*)- β -caryophyllene synthase resulted in high (*E*)- β -caryophyllene-emitting plants that could attract insect-killing nematodes (Degenhardt *et al.*, 2009), suffering less root damage in the field from *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* as compared to control lines. More recently, the expression of a peppermint (*E*)- β -farnesene synthase in wheat led to the creation of high (*E*)- β -farnesene-emitting plants (Bruce *et al.*, 2015) with the view to repel aphids, for which (*E*)- β -farnesene is an alarm pheromone. In this case, plants were repellent under laboratory conditions for at

least three species of cereal aphids, though aphid infestations was not reduced during field trials.

In this work, we report for the first time on the transformation of a woody plant species of agricultural interest with a modified kairomonal biosynthetic pathway. In particular, we produced *V. vinifera* plants keeping all the kairomones used by *L. botrana* for host-location, but with several degrees of ratio modification between two key components, namely (*E*)- β -caryophyllene and (*E*)- β -farnesene. Biosynthesis of the third key component DMNT was not a goal of our genetic modification, and its emission remained unaltered in the different lines (One-way ANOVA, $F = 1.35$; $df = 47$; $p = 0.24$). The data presented show a certain degree of plasticity in terms of insect choice, considering that mild but significant volatile ratio variations were not sufficient to lose *L. botrana* attraction. The most probable reason for this plasticity is the natural variation in VOC emission in different plants, even when the genetic background remains the same (clones) as well as the environmental conditions. Different genetic backgrounds, as would occur within a natural population and/or in the case of different varieties, is likely to enhance ratio differences. Indeed, as mentioned previously, prior studies using another variety (Tasin *et al.*, 2006) found a typical average ratio of 10:1, which is the same as for “Brachetto Grappolo Lungo” transformed β C plants falling into category C, whose ratio was on average at least double that of wild types for this variety. However, when the kairomone ratio is very distant from the typical values found in the before-mentioned varieties (more than three times higher or less than half, as in this case) we measured a clear decrease in attractiveness and an increased difficulty in recognising the host plant, despite the presence of all the other VOCs in the headspace. Overall, we conclude that modification of the kairomone ratio within the grape bouquet is sufficient to interfere with the host-finding behaviour of *L. botrana*, and that our findings could form the basis for development of new environmentally friendly approaches for pest control. In particular, in *V.*

vinifera, apart from keeping the genetic background of elite varieties unaltered, the use of genetically engineered plants could also have the advantage of modifying the behaviour of other grapevine phytophagous insects (e.g. *Eupoecilia ambiguella*, *Scaphoideus titanus*), which may use the same VOCs as part of their kairomonal blend, although this remains to be investigated. For the same reason, care should be taken not to underestimate possible negative effects on beneficial insects, which help to naturally contain pest populations in vineyards. We are also aware that another critique issue could be represented by adaptation: considering that learning behaviours were reported for Lepidoptera species (Bruce and Pickett, 2011; Hartlieb et al., 1999; Riffel et al., 2008), it is probable that *L. botrana* could learn to recognize the shift in kairomones ratio, nullifying its effect. For this reason, the engineered grapevine genotypes should be better exploited in integrated pest management (IPM) programs, where the use of multiple strategies against *L. botrana* could delay the arise of the phenomenon.

5. Experimental procedures

5.1 Cloning of the (*E*)- β -farnesene synthase into plant overexpression vectors

A 1-month-old *Artemisia annua* plant was obtained from seeds (www.worldseedsupply.com) germinated in the greenhouse. Mature leaves were used to extract total RNA (SpectrumTM Plant Total RNA kit, Sigma) and 1.0 μ g were retro-transcribed (SuperScript[®] III Reverse Transcriptase, Invitrogen) with the gene-specific primer “aaBfs rev” (5’ – TTAGACAACCATAGGGTGAACG – 3’). cDNA was used as a template to amplify the whole coding sequence of the (*E*)- β -farnesene synthase (Aa β -FS, GenBank: AY835398.1) with the forward primer “attB- β -FS for” (5’ – GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTAACAATGTCGACTCTTC

CTATTTCTAG – 3’) and the reverse primer “attB-β-FS rev” (5’ – GGGGACCACTT TGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTTTAGACAACCATAGGGTGAACG – 3’). PCR product was cloned directly into pDONR221 via BP-clonase reaction (Invitrogen), and transformed into *E. coli* DH5α chemically competent cells. Plasmid minipreps from single colony 5ml cultures were sequenced to check for their quality using the M13(-20) for (5’ – GTAAAACGACGGCCAG – 3’) and M13 rev (5’ – CAGGAAA CAGCTATGAC – 3’) primer pair. The coding sequenced was then moved into the gateway destination vectors pK7WG2D (Karimi *et al.*, 2007) via LR reaction (Invitrogen). 1µl of the reaction was used to transform chemically competent *E.coli* TOP10 cells (Invitrogen), then plasmid minipreps from single colony 5ml cultures were sequenced to check for their quality using my35Sprom for the (5’ – CCACTATCCTTCGCAAGACCC – 3’) and my35Sterm rev (5’ – GAAGTATTTTACAAATACAAATACATACTAAGG – 3’) primer pair.

5.2 Grapevine Transformation

The pK7WG2D vector containing the Aaβ-FS coding sequence was used to transform embryogenic *calli* of *V. Vinifera* (“Brachetto Grappolo Lungo” variety) via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, as described in Dalla Costa *et al.* (2014). Transgenic plants were propagated and maintained *in vitro* until acclimatisation in the greenhouse.

5.3 RNA extraction and RT-qPCR

RNA was extracted with the Spectrum™ Plant Total RNA kit (Sigma), from young grapevine leaves (second and third internode below the apex) that had previously been acclimatised in the greenhouse following the manufacturer’s guidelines. RNA quality and quantity was checked on a spectrophotometer and 1% agarose electrophoresis before cDNA was retro-transcribed using SuperScript® III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) with

Random Primers.

The primer pair “Aaβ-FS RT for” (5’ – TGAGGGTGGAAGATGAAACAATA – 3’) and “Aaβ-FS RT rev” (5’ – CTTAGGGAAGAGTCACAAGAAGG – 3’) had an efficiency of 94.3% and was used in RT-qPCR to determine transgene expression.

qPCR was performed on an CFX96 thermocycler (Bio-Rad), using GAPDH and Actin (Reid *et al.*, 2006) as reference genes. Real-time PCR was carried out with the following cycle: 95°C 10’; 40 x (95°C 30” , 60°C 30”); The manufacturer’s software (CFX Manager, Bio-Rad) was used to calculate primer pair efficiency (E) and the threshold cycle (Ct) mean and standard deviation for each sample. Analysis of relative quantification was performed using the workflow reported in Hellemans *et al.* (2007). For each primer pair used, Cts from reference lines were used as references to calculate ΔC_t . The geometric mean between the $E^{\Delta C_t}$ value from Actin and GAPDH was used to calculate a normalisation factor (NF), which was finally used to calculate the fold difference according to the formula: Fold Difference = $E^{\Delta C_t} / NF$.

5.4 Volatile analysis with SPME-GC-MS

Sesquiterpene collection was performed with a method adapted from Matarese *et al.* (2014). A CTC CombiPAL autosampler (CTC Analytics, Zwingen, Switzerland) with a single magnetic mixer (SMM Chromtech) was used to extract the volatiles from the sample vial headspace. A Trace GC Ultra gas chromatograph coupled to a Quantum XLS mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Electron Corporation, Waltham, MA) was used: compounds were separated using a VF-Wax[®] (100% polyethylene glycol; 30 m ×0.25 mm ×0.25 μm, Agilent J&W Scientific Inc., Folsom, CA). The GC oven parameters were as follows: initial temperature was 40°C, maintained for 4 min, followed by an increase to 60°C at a rate of 2°C min⁻¹, the oven was then maintained at 60°C for 1 min, then increased at a

Accepted Article

rate of $5^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$ up to 190°C for 1 min and at a rate of $10^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$ up to 230°C , maintained for 4 min; splitless time of 5 min and GC inlet temperature of 250°C . Helium was used as carrier gas in constant flow mode at 1.2 mL min^{-1} . The total cycle time was 50 min. The MS detector was operated in scan mode (mass range 40–450 m/z) with a 0.2 sec scan time and the transfer line to the MS system was maintained at 250°C .

SPME extraction was carried out with a slight modification as compared to that described in Matarese *et al.* (2014). Half a gram of leaf powder was added to 0.3 g of NaCl and 3 mL of freshly prepared citrate-phosphate buffer (0.1 M Na_2HPO_4 , 50mM citric acid, pH 5.0) and put into 20 mL glass headspace vials. Each sample was added with 106.5 ng of 2-octanol as internal standard. Samples were kept at 60°C for 20 min and then extracted for 35 min at 60°C . The headspace was sampled using 2-cm DVB/CAR/PDMS 50/30 μm fiber (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA). The volatile and semi-volatile compounds were desorbed in the GC inlet at 250°C for 4 min in splitless mode and the fibre was reconditioned for 4 min at 270°C .

5.5 Headspace collection and analysis through CLSA

Plant headspace was collected using the closed-loop stripping analysis (CLSA) method (Abraham *et al.*, 2014; Boland *et al.*, 1984). The above-ground part of the plant (approximately 30 leaves) was put into a closed plastic cooking bag (25 x 38 cm, Cuki, Italy) where an air pump (DC 12/16 FK, Aersistem, Milano) created a flow of activated-charcoal-cleaned air at 0.8 L/min. The air flow passed through an adsorbent activated charcoal filter (CLSA-Filter, LowResistance 1.8 mg, Brechbühler AG, Switzerland) for 3.5 hours, before trapped compounds were eluted with 160 μL of dichloromethane. Before being used again, each filter was cleaned with 6 mL of dichloromethane. At least three acclimatised plants were used as biological replicates for each line tested.

An Agilent 6890N gas chromatograph with autosampler and 5973 mass selective detector was used for separation of liquid injections. Compounds were separated using a J&W Scientific DB-Wax column (100% PEG; 30m × 0.25mm × 0.25µm, Agilent) and a 2.5m methyl-deactivated pre-column (Varian Inc., Lake Forest, CA, USA) with the same internal diameter that was connected to the analytical column via a press-fit connector (BGB Analytik AG, Boeckten, Switzerland). The GC oven parameters were as follows: initial temperature was 40°C, maintained for 2 min, then increased at a rate of 6.9°C min⁻¹ up to 160°C, and then 21.5°C min⁻¹ to 250°C for 3.60 min; injection volume was 1µl in splitless mode with a GC inlet temperature of 250°C. Helium was used as carrier gas in constant flow mode at 1.6 mL min⁻¹. The total cycle time was 27.18 min. The MS detector was operated in scan mode (mass range 40–550 m/z) and the transfer line to the MS system was maintained at 250°C.

5.6 Data processing

For SPME-GC-MS data, processing was carried out with XCALIBUR™ 2.2 software provided by the vendor, while for CLSA-GC-MS data, processing was carried out with MSD ChemStation E.02.02.1431 (Agilent). Identification of the volatile compounds was made by injecting pure reference standards, and quantifications were performed after calibration lines had been prepared with the same standards.

5.7 Insects

The *L. botrana* moths used in this research were reared on a semi-artificial diet in a growth chamber at 23.5°C and with 65% relative humidity. The photoperiod was 1:16:1:6 h respectively for dawn:day:sunset:night light conditions. Larvae were kept in plastic boxes (25×18×5 cm), where they could feed without limits until they pupated. All the pupae were transferred into meshed plastic boxes (30×30×30 cm), where the adults emerged. Adults were

transferred into mating chambers (12 cm diameter, 22 cm long) and provided with 10% sucrose solution to obtain eggs every day, and 3-day-old mated females were used each time for all experiments. The insects never experienced any contact with plants or volatiles from the extracts prior to the experiments, and each female was only used once.

5.8 Wind Tunnel

The wind tunnel used with plant headspace extracts and synthetic blends had a flight section of 67 × 88 × 200 cm and was kept at 23°C with 55-65% relative humidity. Air flow through the tunnel was 25 cm/s, and inside the tunnel the light intensity was approximately 10 lux. An ultrasonic sprayer equipped with a conical nozzle (Sono-Tek corporation, Milton, NY) and connected to a model 102 syringe pump (CMA Microdialysis AB, Solna, Sweden) was used to introduce the volatiles into the tunnel. The tip of the nozzle was installed through a metal grid into the centre of the upwind end of the flight section, 30 cm above the floor, and the tip was covered with a glass cylinder (diam. 10 cm, length 8 cm) and a metal mesh.

Each closed-loop stripping headspace sample was concentrated under the fume hood to remove most of the dichloromethane, and diluted with pure ethanol to a volume of 1.800 mL, corresponding to 3 h headspace collection time when released at a rate of 10 µl / min in the wind tunnel. Groups of 5 mated females were placed in glass tubes (12.5 × 2.6 cm) capped with a nylon mesh and transferred into the wind tunnel room at least 3 h before the start of the experiment. The wind tunnel tests started 0.5 h before the start of the scotophase: 3 tubes with moths were placed in the centre of the downwind end of the tunnel, 30 cm above the floor and 160 cm from the sprayer. Each batch of females was allowed to respond for 20 min, and no more than 3 batches per day were used. The nozzle of the sprayer was cleaned with pure ethanol for 10 min before and after use, as well as between different extracts when sprayed the same day. To prevent any odour contamination, the glass cylinders, glass tubes

and metal meshes were heated to 300°C for 8 h before use. The flight behaviour of the moths was observed during the experiment duration, and the numbers of landings as well as upwind flights were recorded. For each of the tested extracts, between 70 - 90 females were used, on at least two different days, and the order of the stimuli was randomised. The percentage of females responding was transformed to \log_{10} values and submitted to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Fisher's LSD test, with a significance level of 0.05 between groups for multiple comparisons.

The attraction to grapevine plants was assessed in a wind tunnel close to the containment greenhouse, which had a flight section of 63 × 90 × 200 cm and was kept in a room with the same conditions as described above. The plant was put behind a metal grid in the upwind end of the flight section, with the pot wrapped in aluminium foil. The preparation of the experiment was the same as described for the sprayed extracts. Each batch of females was allowed to respond for 10 min, and no more than 4 batches per day were used. The flight behaviour of the moths was observed during that time, and the numbers of landings as well as upwind flights were recorded. From 70 - 90 females were used for each of the tested plants, on at least three different days, and their order was randomised. The percentage of females responding in the different groups was tested for statistical significance using a chi-squared test.

6. Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the Autonomous Province of Trento through the Fondazione Edmund Mach, in collaboration with the Free University of Bolzano, the Norwegian Institute for Bioeconomy Research (NIBIO, Ås, Norway) and the Swedish University of Agricultural Science (SLU, Alnarp, Sweden). We wish to thank Valentino Poletti and Lorenza Dalla

Costa for their precious assistance during grapevine transformation. We also thank Dr. Ian Dublon for his proofreading of the manuscript. The authors declare no conflict of interests.

7. References

- Abraham, J., Opuni-Frimpong, E., Weissbecker, B., Schuetz, S., Angeli, S. (2014) Olfactory cues of mahogany trees to female *Hypsipyla robusta*. *Bulletin of Insectology*, **67**(1), 21-30.
- Anfora, G., Tasin, M., De Cristofaro, A., Ioriatti, C., Lucchi A. (2009) Synthetic grape volatiles attract mated *Lobesia botrana* females in laboratory and field bioassays. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, **35**, 1054-1062.
- Arimura, G., Ozawa, R., Shimoda, T., Nishioka, T., Boland, W., Takabayashi, J. (2000) Herbivory-induced volatiles elicit defence genes in lima bean leaves. *Nature*, **406**, 512–515.
- Birkett, M.A., Bruce, T.J.A., Martin, J.L., Smart, L.E., Oakley, J., Wadhams, L.J. (2004) Responses of female orange wheat blossom midge, *Sitodiplosis mosellana*, to wheat panicle volatiles. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, **30**, 1319–1328.
- Bruce, T.J. and Pickett, J. (2011) Perception of plant volatile blends by herbivorous insects - finding the right mix. *Phytochemistry*, **72**, 1605–1611.
- Birkett, M., Pickett, J. (2014) Prospects of genetic engineering for robust insect resistance. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, **19C**, 59–67

- Blande, J.D., Holopainen, J.K., Niinemets, Ü. (2014) Plant volatiles in polluted atmospheres: Stress responses and signal degradation. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, **37**, 1892–1904.
- Blight, M.M., Pickett, J., Wadhams, L.J., Woodcock, C.M. (1995) Antennal perception of oilseed rape, *Brassica napus* (Brassicaceae), volatiles by the cabbage seed weevil *Ceutorhynchus assimilis* (Coleoptera, Curculionidae). *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, **21**, 1649–1664.
- Boland, W., Ney, P., Jaenicke, L., Gassmann, G. (1984) A close-loop stripping technique as a versatile tool for metabolic studies of volatiles. In: *Analysis of Volatiles* (Schreire and P., eds), pp. 371-380. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter and Co.
- Bruce, T.J., Wadhams, L.J., Woodcock, C.M. (2005) Insect host location: a volatile situation. *Trends in Plant Science*, **10**, 269–74.
- Bruce, T.J., Aradottir, G.I., Smart, L.E., Martin, J.L., Caulfield, J.C., Doherty, A., Sparks, C. *et al.* (2015) The first crop plant genetically engineered to release an insect pheromone for defence. *Scientific Reports*, **5**, 11183.
- Cha, D.H., Linn, C.E., Teal, P.E.A., Zhang, A., Roelofs, W.L., Loeb, GM. (2011) Eavesdropping on plant volatiles by a specialist moth: Significance of ratio and concentration. *PLoS One*, **6**, 24–26.
- Chalal, M., Winkler, J.B., Gourrat, K., Trouvelot, S., Adrian, M., Schnitzler, J-P., Jamois, F. *et al.* (2015) Sesquiterpene volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are markers of elicitation by sulfated laminarine in grapevine. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, **6**, 1–9.

Chen, F., Tholl, D., Bohlmann, J., Pichersky E. (2011). The family of terpene synthases in plants: a mid-size family of genes for specialized metabolism that is highly diversified throughout the kingdom. *The Plant Journal*, **66**, 212–29.

Croteau, R., Kutchan, T.M., Lewis, N.G. (2000) Natural products (secondary metabolites). In: *Biochemistry and molecular biology of plants* (Buchanan, B., Gruissem, W., Jones, R., eds), pp. 1250-1318. Rockville, MD: American Society of Plant Physiologists.

Dalla Costa, L., Pinto-Sintra, A.L., Campa, M., Poletti, V., Martinelli, L., Malnoy, M. (2014) Development of analytical tools for evaluating the effect of T-DNA chimeric integration on transgene expression in vegetatively propagated plants. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture*, **118**, 471–484.

Degenhardt, J., Hiltbold, I., Köllner, T.G., Frey, M., Gierl, A., Gershenzon, J., Hibbard, B.E. *et al.* (2009) Restoring a maize root signal that attracts insect-killing nematodes to control a major pest. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A.*, **106**, 13213–8.

Ditengou, F., Müller, A., Rosenkranz, M., Felten, J., Lasok, H., van Doorn, M.M., Legué, V. *et al.* (2015) Volatile signalling by sesquiterpenes from ectomycorrhizal fungi reprogrammes root architecture. *Nature Communications*, **6**, 6279.

Dudareva, N., Pichersky, E. (2000). Biochemical and molecular genetic aspects of floral scents. *Plant Physiology*, **122**, 627–633.

Giacomuzzi, V., Cappellin, L., Khomenko, I., Biasioli, F., Schütz, S., Tasin, M., Knight, A.L. *et al.* (2016) Emission of Volatile Compounds from Apple Plants Infested with

Pandemis heparana Larvae, Antennal Response of Conspecific Adults, and Preliminary Field Trial. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, **42**, 1265.

Hartlieb, E., Hansson, B.S., Anderson, P. (1999) Sex or Food? Appetitive Learning of Sex Odors in a Male Moth. *Naturwissenschaften*, **86**, 396–399.

Hasegawa, M., Mitsuhashi, I., Seo, S., Imai, T., Koga, J., Okada, K., Yamane, H. *et al.* (2010) Phytoalexin accumulation in the interaction between rice and the blast fungus. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions Journal*, **23**: 1000–1011.

Heiling, S., Schuman, M.C., Schoettner, M., Mukerjee, P., Berger, B., Schneider, B., Jassbi, A.R. *et al.* (2010) Jasmonate and ppHsystemin regulate key malonylation steps in the biosynthesis of 17-Hydroxygeranylinalool diterpene glycosides, an abundant and effective direct defense against herbivores in *Nicotiana attenuata*. *Plant Cell*, **22**, 273–292.

Hellems, J., Mortier, G., De Paepe, A., Speleman, F., Vandesompele, J. (2007) qBase relative quantification framework and software for management and automated analysis of real-time quantitative PCR data. *Genome Biology*, **8**, R19.

Huang, M., Sanchez-Moreiras, A.M., Abel, C., Sohrabi, R., Lee, S., Gershenzon, J., Tholl, D. (2012) The major volatile organic compound emitted from *Arabidopsis thaliana* flowers, the sesquiterpene (E)- β -caryophyllene, is a defense against a bacterial pathogen. *New Phytologist*, **193**, 997–1008.

Ioriatti, C., Anfora, G., Tasin, M., De Cristofaro, A., Witzgall, P., Lucchi, A. (2011) Chemical ecology and management of *Lobesia botrana* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, **104(4)**, 1125–1137.

- Karimi, M., Depicker, A., Hilson, P. (2007) Recombinational cloning with plant gateway vectors. *Plant Physiology*, **145**, 1144–54.
- Martin, D.M., Aubourg, S., Schouwey, M.B., Daviet, L., Schalk, M., Toub, O., Lund, S.T. et al. (2010) Functional annotation, genome organization and phylogeny of the grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*) terpene synthase gene family based on genome assembly, FLcDNA cloning, and enzyme assays. *BMC Plant Biology*, **10**, 226.
- Martinelli, L., Gribaudo, I., Bertoldi, D., Candioli, E., Poletti, V. (2001) High efficiency somatic embryogenesis and plant germination in grapevine cultivars Chardonnay and Brachetto a grappolo lungo. *Vitis*, **40**, 111–115.
- Matarese, F., Cuzzola, A., Scalabrelli, G., D’Onofrio, C. (2014) Expression of terpene synthase genes associated with the formation of volatiles in different organs of *Vitis vinifera*. *Phytochemistry*, **105**, 12–24.
- Nottingham, S.F., Hardie, J., Dawson, G.W., Hick, A.J., Pickett, J., Wadhams, L.J., Woodcock, C.M. (1991) Behavioral and electrophysiological responses of Aphids to host and non-host plant volatiles. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, **17**, 1231–1242.
- Picaud, S., Brodelius, M., Brodelius, P.E. (2005) Expression, purification and characterization of recombinant (E)-beta-farnesene synthase from *Artemisia annua*. *Phytochemistry*, **66**, 961–7.
- Reid, K.E., Olsson, N., Schlosser, J., Peng, F., Lund, S.T. (2006) An optimized grapevine RNA isolation procedure and statistical determination of reference genes for real-time RT-PCR during berry development. *BMC Plant Biology*, **6**, 27.

- Rohmer, M. (1999). The discovery of a mevalonate-independent pathway for isoprenoid biosynthesis in bacteria, algae and higher plants. *Natural Product Reports*, **16**, 565–574.
- Riffell, J.A., Alarcón, R., Abrell, L., Davidowitz, G., Bronstein, J.L., Hildebrand, J.G. (2008) Behavioral consequences of innate preferences and olfactory learning in hawkmoth–flower interactions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **105**, 3404–3409.
- Salvagnin, U., Carlin, S., Angeli, S., Vrhovsek, U., Anfora, G., Malnoy, M., Martens, S. (2016) Homologous and heterologous expression of grapevine (E)- β -caryophyllene synthase (VvGwECar2). *Phytochemistry*, **131**, 1–8.
- Tasin M, Anfora G, Ioriatti C, Carlin S, Cristofaro A, Schmidt S, Bengtsson M. *et al.* (2005) Antennal and behavioral responses of grapevine moth *Lobesia botrana* females to volatiles from grapevine. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, **31**, 77–87.
- Tasin, M., Bäckman, A-C., Bengtsson, M., Ioriatti, C., Witzgall, P. (2006) Essential host plant cues in the grapevine moth. *Naturwissenschaften*, **93**, 141–4.
- Thöming, G., Knudsen, G.K. (2014) Attraction of pea moth *Cydia nigricana* to pea flower volatiles. *Phytochemistry*, **100**, 66–75.
- Turlings, T.C., Ton, J. (2006) Exploiting scents of distress: the prospect of manipulating herbivore-induced plant odours to enhance the control of agricultural pests. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, **9**, 421–427.
- Unsicker, S.B., Kunert, G., Gershenzon, J. (2009) Protective perfumes: the role of vegetative volatiles in plant defense against herbivores. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, **12**, 479–85.

Zwenger, S., Basu, C. (2008) Plant terpenoids: applications and future potentials.

Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Reviews, **3**, 1–7.

9. Figure legends

Fig. 1. *Aa* β -FS relative expression obtained using RT-QPCR. Means of reference line β -F0 were set to 1. At least three biological replicates were used for each line. Letters on bars indicate different groups, according to one-way ANOVA followed by Fisher's LSD post hoc test ($P < 0.05$) with standard deviations (SDs) visible for each line.

Fig. 2. Volatile collection and analysis using SPME-GC-MS from young grapevine leaves taken from plants acclimatised in the greenhouse. (a) (*E*)- β -caryophyllene overexpressing lines and (b) (*E*)- β -farnesene overexpressing lines. Letters on bars indicate different groups according to one-way ANOVA followed by Fisher's LSD post hoc test ($P < 0.05$) with the standard error of the mean (SEM) visible for each line.

Fig. 3. Volatile collection and analysis of the whole above-ground part of grapevine plants using CLSA-GC-MS. (a) Quantification of (*E*)- β -caryophyllene and (*E*)- β -farnesene emission from the different lines. (b) (*E*)- β -caryophyllene / (*E*)- β -farnesene mass ratio in the same lines. Letters on bars indicate different groups according to one-way ANOVA followed by Fisher's LSD post hoc test ($P < 0.05$) with the standard error of the mean (SEM) visible for each line.

Fig. 4. Wind tunnel behavioural assays. (a) Plant extracts used in the wind tunnel sorted by (*E*)- β -caryophyllene / (*E*)- β -farnesene ratio, with each group separated using Fisher's LSD

Accepted Article

post hoc test ($P < 0.05$), except for groups D and CTR, which are not statistically different. The standard deviation (SD) for each category is visible. (b) Attraction (upwind in light and landing in dark) elicited on 3-day-old mated *L. botrana* females. Blank: N = 60; A-B: N = 432; C: N = 207; D: N = 316; (E)-F: N = 207; CTR: N = 231. The standard error of the mean (SEM) is visible for each category and letters on bars indicate different groups according to one-way ANOVA followed by Fisher's LSD post hoc test ($P < 0.05$).

Fig. 5. Wind tunnel behavioural assays. (a) Attraction (upwind in light and landing in dark) elicited on 3-day-old mated *L. botrana* females from synthetic three-component blends that mimic respectively the βC , CTR and βF profile. Blank: N = 60; βC blend: N = 80; CTR blend: N = 79; βF blend: N = 82. Symbols indicate statistical significance: Δ : $\chi^2 = 7.65$; $df = 2$; $p < 0.05$ and * : $\chi^2 = 6.76$; $df = 2$; $p < 0.05$. (b) Attraction (upwind in light and landing in dark) elicited on 3-day-old mated *L. botrana* females from real plants. Blank: N = 39; βC line: N = 71; CTR blend: N = 73; βF line: N = 69. Symbols indicate statistical significance: $\Delta\Delta$: $\chi^2 = 13.92$; $df = 2$; $p < 0.001$ and ** : $\chi^2 = 18.14$; $df = 2$; $p < 0.001$.

10. Supplementary Figure legend

Fig. S1. Sketch of the wind tunnel used in the behavioural experiments. Visual stimuli for insect orientation during flight were placed as paper disks on the floor of the tunnel and paper sheets on the roof.



