

Article

Treatment of Wound Infections in a Mouse Model Using Zn^{2+} -Releasing Phage Bound to Gold Nanorods

[Huan Peng,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Huan+Peng"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Daniele Rossetto,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Daniele+Rossetto"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Sheref S. Mansy,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Sheref+S.+Mansy"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Maria C. Jordan,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Maria+C.+Jordan"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Kenneth P. Roos,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Kenneth+P.+Roos"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [and Irene A. Chen](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Irene+A.+Chen"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-15-0)

ABSTRACT: Infections caused by drug-resistant bacteria, particularly Gram-negative organisms, are increasingly difficult to treat using antibiotics. A potential alternative is "phage therapy", in which phages infect and lyse the bacterial host. However, phage therapy poses serious drawbacks and safety concerns, such as the risk of genetic transduction of antibiotic resistance genes, inconsistent pharmacokinetics, and unknown evolutionary potential. In contrast, metallic nanoparticles possess precise, tunable properties, including efficient conversion of electronic excitation into heat. In this work, we demonstrate that engineered phagenanomaterial conjugates that target the Gram-negative pathogen Pseudomonas aeruginosa are highly effective as a treatment of 808 nm laser irradiation, 15 min

infected wounds in mice. Photothermal heating, performed as a single treatment (15 min) or as two treatments on consecutive days, rapidly reduced the bacterial load and released Zn^{2+} to promote wound healing. The phage-nanomaterial treatment was significantly more effective than systemic standard-of-care antibiotics, with a >10× greater reduction in bacterial load and ∼3× faster healing as measured by wound size reduction when compared to fluoroquinolone treatment. Notably, the phagenanomaterial was also effective against a P. aeruginosa strain resistant to polymyxins, a last-line antibiotic therapy. Unlike these antibiotics, the phage-nanomaterial showed no detectable toxicity or systemic effects in mice, consistent with the short duration and localized nature of phage-nanomaterial treatment. Our results demonstrate that phage therapy controlled by inorganic nanomaterials can be a safe and effective antimicrobial strategy in vivo.

KEYWORDS: phage, phage therapy, photothermal, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, wound healing, infection

Infections caused by multidrug-resistant bacteria pose an increasing threat to modern medicine.¹⁻³ Multidrug-resistant organisms presently cause >700,000 deaths annually, and this number is projected to reach 10 million nfections caused by multidrug-resistant bacteria pose an increasing threat to modern medicine. $1-3$ $1-3$ $1-3$ Multidrugresistant organisms presently cause >700,000 deaths exceeding the number of deaths from cancer, by $2050⁴$ $2050⁴$ $2050⁴$ The economic burden associated with drug-resistant pathogens is also high, estimated at \$133 billion annually in the United States alone. 5 In addition to improved antibiotic stewardship, the development of alternative antimicrobial classes, particularly for multidrug-resistant Gram-negative organisms, is a crucial element of the response to this problem.^{[4](#page-15-0)} One potential alternative antimicrobial agent is phages, 6 but phage therapy, as traditionally envisioned, also poses serious disadvantages. Naturally occurring phages can carry toxin genes (e.g., cholera $\{\text{toxin}\}$, transfer antibiotic resistance among pathogens (e.g., among Staphylococcus aureus), 8 and physically support a pathological biofilm, $9,10$ causing biosafety concerns. Furthermore, phage self-replication causes unusual or unpredictable

pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, and rapid cell lysis can result in unwanted release of bacterial endotoxins.^{[11,12](#page-15-0)}

At the same time, engineered phages are increasingly exploited for a variety of applications.¹³ For therapeutic aims, one possible approach to mitigate the problems of phage therapy is to use a nonlytic phage for bacterial attachment, while relying on a conjugated nanomaterial, specifically gold nanorods (AuNRs), to destroy the bacteria as well as the phage in a controlled manner. AuNRs are excited by light, leading to coherent electronic oscillation (surface plasmon resonance), whose energy is released as heat. This photothermal property

Received: January 3, 2022 Accepted: February 9, 2022 Published: March 3, 2022

has been used therapeutically to kill malignant cells, $14,15$ particularly when the nanomaterial is tuned to absorb in nearinfrared (NIR) wavelengths, which can penetrate a few centimeters into the skin.^{[16](#page-15-0)−[18](#page-15-0)} M13 is a well-studied, nonlytic, filamentous Escherichia coli phage that is widely used as a platform for phage display.^{[19,](#page-15-0)[20](#page-16-0)} The coat of M13 is primarily composed of ∼2700 copies of the g8p protein, in addition to several copies of the receptor-binding protein (g3p). Each g8p possesses multiple solvent-accessible carboxylates in addition to an exposed N-terminus, allowing chemical conjugation. 21 M13 can be rationally designed to attach to different Gramnegative pathogens by swapping the receptor-binding domain of g3p[.22](#page-16-0),[23](#page-16-0) Phage-AuNR bioconjugates ("phanorods") have been shown to be effective against bacterial cells in vitro, through the photothermal effect. 24 However, whether the in vitro properties translate to in vivo efficacy as an antimicrobial treatment is unknown. Potential issues with phanorod treatment in vivo include: inactivation or clearance of phanorods by the immune system, inhibition of the antimicrobial effect by a biofilm, inhibition of receptor binding by the physiological medium, possible toxicity of phanorods to the animal, or insufficient selectivity causing thermal damage to animal tissue.

Wounds represent an important medical burden, estimated at 2−4% of total health care costs.[25](#page-16-0) Multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa, a Gram-negative microorganism, is a particular concern in chronic and acute wound infections, including burns, especially in nosocomial settings.[26](#page-16-0)−[29](#page-16-0) Indeed, P. aeruginosa has been designated as a critical priority 1 bacterial pathogen by the World Health Organization as well as a "serious threat" microbe by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.^{[5](#page-15-0)[,30](#page-16-0)} Multiple murine models of P. aeruginosa wound infections have been described, including for open wounds.³¹ Although bioavailability can be a concern for phages, wounds are anatomically accessible for direct topical application of phage-based reagents, 32 and wounds can also be readily exposed to light for photothermal activation of AuNRs. A chimeric phage, M13-g3p(Pf1), was previously engineered to bind to the type IV pili of P. aeruginosa, a virulence factor involved in both twitching motility and attachment sensing, which has been recently suggested as a therapeutic target. $33−366$ $33−366$ $33−366$

In this work, we prepared a material, phanorod-Zn, based on phanorods that were synthesized by conjugating M13-g3p- (Pf1) to AuNRs absorbing in the NIR wavelengths. The design of phanorod-Zn comprises three modular modifications to the phage. First, tight binding to the targeted host cell (P. aeruginosa) is accomplished through modification of the receptor-binding domain, namely the N-terminal domain of the minor coat protein g3p, of the phage. For the phanorod-Zn described in this work, the receptor-binding domain of M13, which attaches to the F pilus of E. coli, has been swapped for the receptor-binding domain of phage Pf1, which attaches to the type IV pili of P. aeruginosa. This modification directs the chimeric phage to bind the receptor pili of P. aeruginosa.^{[22,37](#page-16-0)} Second, although the chimeric phages are not expected to replicate on the new host, cell-killing activity is conferred through conjugation of a photothermal agent, AuNRs, to the chimeric phage. Since the phages are approximately $1 \mu m$ in length, each virion is able to carry multiple AuNRs, which are approximately 50 nm in length. 37 We have previously shown that such phanorod (phage-AuNR) conjugates have excellent cell-killing properties in vitro, 37 although the efficacy in vivo was not previously studied. Third, for the in vivo application studied here, we further loaded the chimeric phages with a zinc-binding peptide (Pol-K, described below), in order to deliver Zn^{2+} to the bacterial infection. The motivation behind this third modification is two-fold. Zinc (Zn^{2+}) has been observed to both promote wound healing^{[38](#page-16-0),[39](#page-16-0)} and to inhibit bacterial growth, likely through multiple molecular mechanisms. Therefore, Zn^{2+} release would be a desirable feature for a material treating wound infections. We decorated the phanorods with a zinc-binding peptide (Pol-K) designed to release Zn^{2+} upon photothermal heating (phanorod-Zn). The dodecapeptide Pol-K represents a minimal Zn^{2+} -binding motif, based on a bioinformatic analysis of Zn^{2+} -binding protein sequences. While the cytotoxicity of metal nanoparticles depends on multiple factors, including size, shape, charge, cell line, and the specific application, potential cytotoxicity of colloidal gold can be mitigated by surface coating with polyethylene glycol $(PEG)^{40}$ $(PEG)^{40}$ $(PEG)^{40}$ Zn²⁺ is used as a dietary supplement and medical treatment, including for wound healing, 41 with zinc oxide nanomaterials being known for low

Figure 1. Release of Zn²⁺ ions by phanorod-Zn, measured by ICP-MS. Cumulative Zn²⁺ release curves of phanorod-Zn (10¹⁴ phage per mL) in PBS buffer after a single 15 min irradiation (a) or with daily irradiation (15 min/day for 3 days) (b). The amount of Zn^{2+} loaded in phanorod-Zn is 15.29 \pm 0.73 ppm (234 \pm 11 μ M) before irradiation. Error bars indicate mean \pm standard deviation (n = 3 independent samples).

toxicity,⁴² although high amounts of Zn^{2+} can lead to toxicity in specific applications.^{[43](#page-16-0)} Thus, the phanorod and phanorod-Zn were coated with PEG, and cytotoxicity was also assessed, in this study.

In this design, Pol-K acts as a carrier for Zn^{2+} , and the phage acts as a targeting agent to bring both the AuNRs as well as bound Zn^{2+} to the bacterial cells. Phages have been shown to possess advantages over antibodies as targeting agents, having both greater stability against environmental factors as well as greater cell-killing efficiency.³⁷ Photothermal activation of the AuNRs should then cause localized heating that kills the bacterial cells, and photothermal release of Zn^{2+} should potentiate the antibacterial activity and promote wound healing. Using a mouse model of P. aeruginosa-infected wounds, we assessed the efficacy and toxicity of phanorod and phanorod-Zn treatment ([Scheme 1](#page-1-0)). Our experimental results show that phanorod and phanorod-Zn treatments were highly effective, yielding faster wound healing compared to standard antibiotic treatments (e.g., ciprofloxacin) or antibodyconjugated AuNRs. Phanorod-Zn treatment was also effective when administered late into infection and prevented death in cases of otherwise terminal wounds. Wounds infected by a strain of P. aeruginosa that was resistant to last-line antibiotic therapy (polymyxins) were also effectively treated by phanorod-Zn. Serum biomarkers and histological analysis did not show systemic toxicity of phanorods or phanorod-Zn to the mice, in contrast to the fluoroquinolone and polymyxin antibiotics. The results indicate that phage-based nanomaterials, such as phanorods and phanorod-Zn, may be a promising alternative antimicrobial strategy for treatment of multidrugresistant bacterial infections of wounds.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of Phanorod-Zn and Loading of Zn^{2+} . Phage-AuNRs ("phanorods") were synthesized as reported previously.²⁴ The phage used in this work, M13-g3p(Pf1), was engineered as a chimera of M13 and the P. aeruginosa phage Pf1. In M13-g3p(Pf1), the receptor-binding domain of M13 (N-terminal domain of g3p) was replaced by the corresponding domain from Pf1, thereby enabling the chimera M13 $g3p(Pf1)$ to attach to P. aeruginosa via type IV pili. The AuNRs (average length, 53.2 nm; average width, 13.7 nm) were

thiolated, conjugated to phages, and passivated by HOOC-PEG-SH.

Phanorod-Zn was prepared by conjugation of the synthetic Zn^{2+} -binding peptide Pol-K (amino acid sequence: GCFCE-DACDKCG; $K_{d,Zn}$ for $Zn^{2+} = 41 \mu M$; [Figure S1a](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)-d) with phanorods through 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) chemistry. Available carboxylic acid groups for conjugation include three solvent-accessible residues (Glu2, Asp4, and Asp5) per copy of the major coat protein, g8p (of which there are \sim 2700 copies per particle),^{[44](#page-16-0)} as well as − COOH groups on the surface of AuNRs. The Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of phanorods, Pol-K, and phanorod-Zn showed that phanorod-Zn exhibited increased signals at 1510 and 3036 cm[−]¹ (matching the C−C and C−H stretching, respectively, of the phenyl group of Pol-K) and at 2550 cm[−]¹ (from the S−H stretching of the thiol groups), indicating successful peptide conjugation [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf) [S1e](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)). Conjugated Pol-K on phanorod-Zn was quantified with Ellman's assay (measuring added thiol groups compared to phanorods), and the phage particles were quantified by realtime PCR, allowing estimation that each phanorod-Zn carries 1769 \pm 357 Pol-K peptides (mean \pm standard deviation, n = 3 samples). Finally, Zn^{2+} was loaded by overnight incubation with $ZnCl₂$ followed by washing.

The loading capacity of phanorod-Zn for Zn^{2+} was measured by quantifying Zn^{2+} by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). While phanorods (without Pol-K) did not bind detectable Zn^{2+} (i.e., <0.002 ng/mL), phanorod-Zn was found to be loaded by 1410 \pm 672 Zn²⁺ ions per phanorod-Zn particle, close to the expectation based on a 1:1 stoichiometry with the amount of Pol-K as measured by Ellman's assay. Thus, this bioconjugate contained approximately one Pol-K copy for every two copies of g8p, and each Pol-K copy was bound to ~0.8 Zn²⁺ ion on average.

Additionally, the binding affinity of phanorods and phanorod-Zn to host cells was measured to assess the strength of attachment to host cells. The phage-based reagents were mixed with host cells, centrifuged, and washed, and the amount bound was determined by quantitative PCR [\(Supporting](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf) [Methods](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)). The dissociation constants (K_d) of M13-g3p(Pf1), phanorods, and phanorod-Zn for the host cell P. aeruginosa (ATCC25102 (Schroeter) Migula) were found to be similar to each other (5−6 pM; [Figure S2a](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)), with affinities similar to that

seen for the interaction between M13 and E. coli (2 pM).⁴⁵ This result indicates that bioconjugation and modification with Pol-K did not substantially perturb the binding affinity to host cells.

Release of Zn^{2+} from Phanorod-Zn. The Pol-K dodecapeptide was designed based on the compact Zn^{2+} binding motif of RNA polymerase II and contains four cysteine ligands that tetrahedrally coordinate Zn^{2+} . We hypothesized that release of Zn^{2+} would occur at high temperatures, due to an increase in the off-rate of the bound Zn^{2+} , structural perturbations to Pol-K, or both. $46,47$ Laser irradiation of AuNRs leads to localized plasmonic heating and temperature increase (characterized further below). We therefore irradiated phanorod-Zn in PBS and monitored release of Zn^{2+} by ICP-MS. After irradiation for 15 min, the bulk temperature reached 55 °C, and the cumulative Zn^{2+} ion release reached ~1.06 ppm (17 μ M) after 1 day ([Figure 1](#page-2-0)a). Additional laser irradiation on day 2 (15 min per day, again giving a bulk temperature increase to 55 °C) resulted in additional release of Zn^{2+} , yielding a concentration of 1.69 ppm (26 μ M). Laser irradiation was again applied on day 3, but no significant additional release of Zn^{2+} was detected on day 3 [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)b), indicating that maximal release was achieved after 2 days. When no laser was applied, <0.2 ppm $(3.1 \mu M)$ of Zn^{2+} was released after 3 days of incubation in PBS ([Figure 1](#page-2-0)). Phanorods (in the absence of conjugation to Pol-K) did not capture detectable Zn^{2+} ions as assessed by ICP-MS, indicating that the capture and release of Zn^{2+} ions were attributable to the conjugated Pol-K peptide.

To determine whether Zn^{2+} release could be due to higher temperatures alone, we measured the effect of bulk temperature, without laser irradiation, on the rate of ion release. Although Zn^{2+} is spectroscopically silent, Co^{2+} is a wellestablished substitute of Zn^{2+} for spectroscopic study of Zn^{2+} -binding proteins.^{[48](#page-16-0)} Using this system, ligand release from Pol-K was observed to be negligible at room temperature, but increased with increasing bulk temperature, in the absence of irradiation ([Figure S1d](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)). Thus, heating by itself accelerates the rate of metal ligand release. We further tested the dependence of Zn^{2+} release on photothermal heating at different levels in the context of phanorod-Zn. We exposed phanorod-Zn to different intensities of laser irradiation, resulting in a range of different bulk temperatures, and quantified Zn^{2+} release by ICP-MS. As expected, while Zn^{2+} release was negligible at lower intensity, greater release was seen at higher intensity ([Figure S2c\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). Therefore, the increased rate of ligand ion release at higher temperatures is a probable mechanism for Zn^{2+} release from phanorod-Zn upon photothermal heating.

It is also possible that laser irradiation might denature Pol-K of the phanorod-Zn, reducing Zn^{2+} -loading and consequently causing release of Zn^{2+} . We measured the loading capacity of phanorod-Zn, with or without irradiation, by incubating with a solution of $ZnCl₂$, separating phanorod-Zn by centrifugation and washing, and quantifying bound Zn^{2+} by ICP-MS. The results showed that laser irradiation (15 min) does not measurably affect loading capacity [\(Figure S2b](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)). Since the coordination strength could be affected without loss of loading capacity, we also tested whether laser irradiation caused destruction of the thiol groups required to coordinate Zn^{2+} (e.g., by denaturation through oxidation). 49 We quantified the thiol groups by Ellman's assay after irradiation and found that irradiation caused a 6.1% decrease in thiols (from 1.23 ± 0.035 mM to 1.15 \pm 0.026 mM thiols; $p = 0.0034$). Although this

decrease in thiols did not appear to reduce overall loading capacity, it may reduce the coordination strength and thus increase the rate of Zn^{2+} release.

Photothermal Stability and Efficiency of Phanorods and Phanorod-Zn. The photothermal stability and efficiency of AuNR, phanorods and phanorod-Zn solutions ($[Au] = 3.3$ μ M) was measured under gradually increased 808 nm nearinfrared (NIR) laser irradiation (0.3 W $\rm cm^{-2})$, close to the absorbance peak of the AuNRs [\(Figure S1g,h](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)). The solution temperatures of AuNRs, phanorods, and phanorod-Zn reached 59.8 \pm 0.78 °C, 56.6 \pm 0.62 °C, and 55.2 \pm 0.17 °C after 5 min, respectively ($n = 5$ in all cases). Under the same treatment, the temperature of PBS buffer increased by $< 2 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, confirming that the AuNRs, phanorods, and phanorod-Zn efficiently convert the energy of NIR light into heat. We also assessed photothermal reversibility and stability over multiple irradiation cycles [\(Figure S3\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). No significant deterioration was observed over five on/off cycles. To determine whether conjugation of phages affected the photothermal conversion efficiency of the AuNRs, the conversion efficiency (η) of light into heat was quantitatively estimated by the time constant (τ_s) and the maximum steady-state temperature. The photothermal conversion efficiency was slightly perturbed by phage modification, with η = 42.6%, 40.6%, and 38.9% for AuNRs, phanorods, and phanorod-Zn [\(Figure S3\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf), respectively, which is consistent with an enhancement in scattered light by the phage and peptide.^{50,[51](#page-16-0)} Nevertheless, photothermal properties of the AuNRs were largely preserved in the bioconjugates.

Stability and Infectivity of Bioconjugates. We studied the stability of phanorod-Zn after storing at 4 C in a sealed flask (under air) for 15 days. The photothermal heating curves, binding curves for P. aeruginosa, and Zn^{2+} -loading capacity are similar when measured on day 1 and day 15 ([Figure S2d](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)−f), indicating that these properties of the bioconjugates were stable during this storage.

To determine whether the biological activity of the bioconjugates was affected by laser irradiation, we measured replication of phages after irradiation. Since the chimeric phage M13-g3p(Pf1) is not expected to propagate in P. aeruginosa or to attach to E. coli due to the mismatch of host species, we studied bioconjugates using M13KE phage, that is, M13KE-AuNR and M13KE-AuNR-Pol-K. These bioconjugates were prepared and irradiated with laser by the same method as described above. Afterward, their ability to propagate on E. coli ER2738 was tested by incubation with the host bacteria. Putative phage DNA was extracted and quantified with qPCR.^{[22](#page-16-0)} No DNA was detected from propagation of the treated sample [\(Figure S4\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf), indicating that the infectivity of the phages was inactivated by the laser treatment, as expected due to thermal denaturation of the phages. These results are consistent with previous observations.³

Antibacterial Activity of Phanorod-Zn with Planktonic P. aeruginosa In Vitro. Prior work²⁴ demonstrated the bacteriocidal effect of phanorods in vitro. Conjugation of Pol-K and Zn^{2+} -loading of phanorods (i.e., phanorod-Zn) was expected to increase the antibacterial effect due to the known antimicrobial effects of $\text{Zn}^{2+0.52,53}$ $\text{Zn}^{2+0.52,53}$ $\text{Zn}^{2+0.52,53}$ $\text{Zn}^{2+0.52,53}$ $\text{Zn}^{2+0.52,53}$ We first tested the bacteriocidal activity of phanorod-Zn in a suspension of P. aeruginosa cells. Irradiation of phanorods and phanorod-Zn $(10^{14} \text{ particles/mL})$ by 808 nm near-infrared (NIR) light (0.3 m) W cm[−]²) for 15 min resulted in an equilibrium bulk temperature of ∼55 °C ([Figure S1\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). Without irradiation, P. aeruginosa suspensions incubated with phanorods or phanorod-

Figure 2. Antibacterial effect of phanorods and phanorod-Zn in vitro, measured by cfu. P. aeruginosa cells in suspension were exposed to phanorods and phanorod-Zn without irradiation. The presence of these reagents did not affect bacterial survival (a) (control = no phanorods or phanorod-Zn added). With NIR laser irradiation, cell survival was reduced in the presence of phanorods, and phanorod-Zn showed a significantly greater reduction in cell survival (b). If repeated washing was applied to remove released Zn^{2+} (c), the colony counts (with NIR laser irradiation) were similar between phanorods and phanorod-Zn exposure. Colony counts of a treated P. aeruginosa biofilm (d) show trends similar to P. aeruginosa in suspension (b). Error bars are standard deviation (n = 5): **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, NS, not significant (p > 0.05, two-sided t test).

Zn showed no antibacterial activity (Figure 2a). However, after 15 min of irradiation, approximately 88.5 \pm 3.1% and 97.5 \pm 1.5% of P. aeruginosa colony-forming units (cfu) were destroyed in the phanorod and phanorod-Zn groups, respectively, showing significantly greater cell-killing by phanorod-Zn than phanorods $(p < 0.001;$ Figure 2b). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of P. aeruginosa cells showed that phanorod-Zn carried multiple AuNRs to the cells, and cell morphology was grossly altered after photothermal treatment with phanorod-Zn ([Figure S5a](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)−c,f,g).

Live/dead staining confirmed that phanorod-Zn resulted in significantly greater bacterial cell-killing compared to phanorods (phanorods: 87.2 \pm 3.9% cell death; phanorod-Zn: 98.8 \pm 1.1% cell death; $P < 0.001$) ([Figures S6 and S7](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)). Because the heating profiles of phanorods and phanorod-Zn are similar ([Figures S1g and S3](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)), the higher cell-killing efficiency of phanorod-Zn was suspected to be due the released Zn^{2+} ions. To test whether released Zn^{2+} ions might account for the difference, the bacteria-phanorod-Zn complexes were centrifuged and washed in PBS buffer three times after irradiation, to remove Zn^{2+} ions. Washing the bacteria-phanorod-Zn complexes resulted in lower antibacterial efficiency (86.1 \pm

4.2% cell death) compared with intact phanorod-Zn (97.5 \pm 1.5%; $p < 0.001$) and was comparable to the efficiency of phanorods (Figure 2c). This result supported the interpretation that released Zn^{2+} ions improved antibacterial efficiency by \sim 10%, for phanorod-Zn compared to phanorods.^{[54](#page-16-0)}

Because nonspecific effects may occur due to bulk heating of the suspension, we tested the specificity of bacteriocidal activity of phanorods and phanorod-Zn by incubation with E. coli and Vibrio cholerae ([Figure S8](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)). Some reduction (∼20%) in cfu was observed, but survival of these species was substantially greater than that observed for P. aeruginosa. The difference in bacteriocidal efficiency is consistent with the engineered specificity of the phage M13-g3p(Pf1).^{[24](#page-16-0)} A similar degree of nonspecific cell-killing was also observed with AuNRs alone under the same conditions ([Figure S15b](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)).

Antibacterial Activity of Phanorod-Zn with P. aeruginosa Biofilms In Vitro. P. aeruginosa is known to produce robust biofilms, leading to persistent infections and increased antimicrobial resistance.^{[55,56](#page-16-0)} P. aeruginosa biofilms were grown in vitro and exposed to phanorods and phanorod-Zn. Consistent with the results from planktonic culture, the antibacterial efficiency for P. aeruginosa biofilm eradication by

Figure 3. Treatment of P. aeruginosa-infected wounds by phanorod-Zn in vivo. (a) Thermal images of control and phanorod-Zn treatment before and after the NIR laser irradiation. (b) Representative photographs of the infected wound over time for control, Zn^{2+} ion, phanorod, and phanorod-Zn treatment (scale bar = 5 mm). (c) Wound area over time for control, phanorod, and phanorod-Zn treatment. Also see [Figure S19](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). (d) Bacterial load assessed by cfu from wound tissue on days 2 and 4. Error bars show standard deviation ($n = 5$ mice): *** indicates $p < 0.001$; NS = not significant $(p > 0.05)$; two-sided t test.

phanorod-Zn was significantly higher (94.2 \pm 1.7%) than for phanorods $(86.5 \pm 3.6\%, P < 0.01)$ [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)d). Consistent with this, live/dead staining of the biofilm showed significantly greater dead cells (97.6 \pm 1.3%) with phanorod-Zn compared to phanorods (84.7 \pm 1.5% dead cells; $p < 0.0001$) ([Figures S9](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf) [and S10\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). These studies verified that phanorod-Zn was ∼10− 13% more effective than phanorods in the biofilm, quantitatively consistent with the results obtained for

planktonic culture. To determine whether the cell-killing was effective deeper into the biofilm, confocal image slices of the live/dead staining were used to reconstruct a three-dimensional image, which showed efficient cell-killing throughout the biofilm under these conditions ([Figure S11a,b\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf).

Antibacterial Activity of Antibody-AuNRs with P. aeruginosa In Vitro. For comparison to phanorods and phanorod-Zn, AuNRs were also conjugated to a monoclonal

antibody (1010/287) that recognizes P. aeruginosa serotype 6 $(ATCC25102 (Schroeter) Migula).^{24,57,58} Photothermal prop (ATCC25102 (Schroeter) Migula).^{24,57,58} Photothermal prop (ATCC25102 (Schroeter) Migula).^{24,57,58} Photothermal prop$ erties and absorbance spectra of antibody-AuNRs were confirmed to be similar to phanorods and phanorod-Zn ([Figure S1g,h\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). Binding of the antibody-AuNRs to P. aeruginosa cells was confirmed by TEM, which showed antibody-AuNRs bound to the surfaces of P. aeruginosa cells, with ∼50 antibody-AuNRs per cell [\(Figure S5d,e\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). As expected, free AuNRs did not associate with P. aeruginosa cells. The antibacterial efficiency of antibody-AuNRs was measured using a particle suspension containing the same concentration of Au as the phanorod and phanorod-Zn suspensions. In suspension, cell-killing was less efficient with antibody-AuNRs compared to phanorods and phanorod-Zn (70−80% cell death; [Figures S12a and S13b\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf) and even lower in a biofilm (55−65% cell death; [Figures S11c, S12b, and](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf) [S14b\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf).

Comparison of Antibacterial Effect of Phanorod-Zn, Phanorods with Free Zn^{2+} , and AuNR-Pol-K In Vitro. The results above showed that the antibacterial efficiency of phanorod-Zn was ∼10% higher than that of phanorods in vitro. In addition, if released Zn^{2+} ions were removed by centrifugation and washing, the antibacterial efficiency of phanorod-Zn dropped to that of phanorods, suggesting that the released Zn^{2+} improved the antimicrobial efficiency. To understand whether these two components, phanorods and Zn^{2+} , interacted additively or synergistically with each other to produce the antibacterial effect of phanorod-Zn, we compared phanorod-Zn to an equivalent preparation of phanorods mixed with free Zn^{2+} . The concentration of Zn^{2+} released after one irradiation in vitro was measured to be 1.06 ppm (16.2 μ M) at 24 h [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)a). Alone, Zn^{2+} of this concentration showed insignificant bacterial killing ([Figure S15b\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). Phanorods were compared to a mixture of phanorods and Zn^{2+} (1.06 ppm, 16.2) μ M). No significant difference was seen in cell-killing rates of the phanorods $(4.5 \pm 2.2\%)$ compared to phanorods mixed with Zn^{2+} (6.3 \pm 2.8%; $p > 0.05$), given irradiation achieving low temperature (45 °C; [Figure S15a\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). However, at higher laser flux achieving higher temperature, the cell-killing rates of both groups increased, with the phanorods mixed with Zn^{2+} being significantly more effective than phanorods alone (at 55 °C: 87.5 \pm 1.8% cell-killing by phanorods and 94.5 \pm 1.1% cell-killing by phanorods mixed with Zn^{2+} ; $p < 0.001$) [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf) [S15a\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). Nevertheless, phanorod-Zn $(97.5 \pm 1.5%)$ was still significantly more effective compared to phanorods mixed with Zn^{2+} (p < 0.001), indicating that phanorods and Zn^{2+} interact synergistically to augment bacteriocidal activity in phanorod-Zn.

To assess the importance of targeting the bioconjugates to the host cells, we conjugated AuNRs to Pol-K and loaded them with Zn^2 ⁺. These bioconjugates would release Zn^2 ⁺ upon photothermal heating, but lack binding activity for the bacterial cells. The Zn^{2+} -loaded AuNR-Pol-K shows significantly lower antimicrobial efficiency than phanorod-Zn $(p < 0.001;$ [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf) [S15b;](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf) [Figure 2b](#page-4-0)), illustrating the importance of targeting using phage.

In Vitro Cytocompatibility of Phanorods, Phanorod-**Zn, and Zn²⁺.** The in vitro cytocompatibility of the phanorods and phanorod-Zn with mammalian cells was studied by the PrestoBlue cell viability assay using human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells. The results [\(Figure S15c\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf) indicate that the bioconjugates are nontoxic to the HEK293T cells, with cell viabilities above 98% for all concentrations tested (up to 0.4

 μ M Au). For free Zn^{2+} [\(Figure S15d](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)), low concentrations (2) ppm or 31 μ M and below) were found to be nontoxic to the HEK293T cells, with cell viability of >98%. However, cell viability was substantially decreased at $[Zn^{2+}]$ higher than 6 ppm (92 μ M). The maximum cumulative Zn^{2+} concentration released by phanorod-Zn is 1.69 ppm or 25.9 μ M ([Figure 1](#page-2-0)), given laser irradiation for 15 min every 24 h, for 72 h. Zn^2 at this concentration (1.69 ppm, 25.9 μ M) displays insignificant cytotoxicity toward HEK293T cells, indicating that the amount of Zn^{2+} released during phanorod-Zn treatment would not decrease the viability of these cells.

Treatment of P. aeruginosa-Infected Wounds by Phanorods and Phanorod-Zn In Vivo. We evaluated the in vivo efficacy of phanorods and phanorod-Zn for treatment of P. aeruginosa-infected wounds using a mouse model. Wounds were introduced dorsally by scissors on anesthetized mice and inoculated with P. aeruginosa $(1 \times 10^7 \text{ cft})$. For initial experiments, after incubation for 1 h, phanorods or phanorod-Zn were applied topically to the wound, incubated for 30 min, and activated by exposure to NIR irradiation for 15 min (808 nm, 0.3–4 W cm^{-2}). Mice were monitored by thermal imaging to measure the temperature of the wound during treatment. Higher laser intensity (0.35−4 W cm[−]²) caused heating beyond 55 °C, resulting in a burn characterized by macroscopic discoloration, inflammation, and neutrophil infiltration ([Figure S16\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). While the higher laser intensities resulted in high bacterial cell-killing rate $(91.3 \pm 2.1\%$ cell death at 60 °C; 94.4 \pm 1.1% cell death at 65 °C), a moderate laser intensity (0.3 W cm^{-2}) , causing heating to 55 °C, gave a similar cell-killing rate (90.7 \pm 1.2%) ([Figure S17](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)) and relatively little tissue damage. This intensity (0.3 W cm^{-2}) is also within the scope of permissible skin exposure formulated by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI Z136.1- 2000).^{[59](#page-16-0)} Therefore, heating to 55 $^{\circ}$ C at this laser intensity for 15 min was chosen as the appropriate condition for subsequent experiments.

Wounds were created and infected on day 0. In the control group (wound exposed to PBS buffer; untreated), the wound temperature did not change observably under laser irradiation ([Figure 3](#page-5-0)a). On day 2, macroscopic suppuration and inflammation were observed in the wounds of the control group, and the wound area had approximately doubled from the initial size [\(Figure 3b](#page-5-0),c). Subsequently, the untreated wounds decreased slowly in size, reaching the original wound size on day 8, but were not closed by day 10. When treated with an equivalent amount of free Zn^{2+} (1.06 ppm, 16.2 μ M) on days 1 and 2, the wound area increased in size similar to the control group [\(Figure 3](#page-5-0), [S19b\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf), as expected since this concentration of Zn^{2+} is known to be too low to prevent the formation of biofilms by most pathogenic bacteria. 60

With phanorod and phanorod-Zn treatment (day 0: reagent applied and incubated for 30 min, after wound inoculation, and irradiated for 15 min; day 1: irradiated again for 15 min), the wound temperature rapidly increased to ∼55 °C in 2−3 min during laser irradiation [\(Figures 3](#page-5-0)a and [S18\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). With phanorod and phanorod-Zn treatment, wound size did not increase and instead decreased markedly over the next several days; by day 4, the wound area with treatment was <20% of the area of an untreated wound. Macroscopic signs of inflammation were minimal, and the wound reached complete closure by day 8. Assessment of bacterial load by cfu in the wound tissue confirmed that the decrease in wound size corresponded to a decrease in cfu by >10-fold by day 2 and >100-fold by day 4,

Figure 4. Treatment of infected wounds assessed by wound size (a) over time, comparing antibiotics (ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, polysporin) and antiseptics (acetic acid, chlorhexidine) with phanorod-Zn. Treatment was initiated on day 0 in all cases. (b) Quantitative assessment of bacterial load (cfu) in wounds during wound treatment. Error bars show standard deviation ($n = 5$ mice): *** indicates $p < 0.001$ (two-sided t test).

Figure 5. Delayed treatment of infected wounds, with treatment initiated at maximum wound size (day 2). (a) Wound size over time, with either ciprofloxacin or phanorod-Zn treatment begun on day 2. Control = no treatment. (b) Quantitative assessment of bacterial load (cfu) with treatment begun on day 2 and assessed on days 2, 4, and 6. Samples on day 2 were taken without treatment. Error bars show standard deviation ($n = 5$ mice): * indicates $p < 0.05$, *** indicates $p < 0.001$, NS = not significant ($p > 0.05$); two-sided t test.

relative to the control [\(Figure 3d](#page-5-0)). With phanorod treatment, a similar trend was observed, although healing and cfu decrease appeared slightly less rapid compared to phanorod-Zn [\(Figure](#page-5-0) [3](#page-5-0)c,d). A close comparison of the wound areas of the two groups on different days revealed that phanorod-Zn showed a significantly better therapeutic effect than the phanorods ([Figure S19a\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf).

Treatment with phage M13-g3p(Pf1) or unconjugated AuNRs gave results similar to the negative control by wound size and bacterial load ([Figure S20](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)), indicating that only the bioconjugated reagents were active. Interestingly, phanorod or phanorod-Zn treatment resulted in a wound closure rate that was slightly faster than that of noninfected wounds (i.e., had not been inoculated with P. aeruginosa), suggesting that hyperthermia and/or Zn^{2+} -release may be slightly beneficial even in the absence of overt infection [\(Figure S21](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)).^{61,62} The reason for this slight benefit is unclear; because the mice were

not kept in a sterile environment, it is possible that wounds that were not inoculated nevertheless harbored a small population of bacteria, and treatment reduced the burden of these cells. In addition, local heating might increase lymphocyte extravasation, 63 blood flow, and oxygen tension, 64 which may be beneficial to the innate immune response.

Phanorod-Zn treatment with a single irradiation was also assessed (irradiation only on day 0). The single irradiation regimen was also effective, with a wound closure rate comparable to a noninfected wound, but was less effective than irradiation on two consecutive days ([Figure S21\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). The difference is consistent with the fact that a small percentage of bacteria survive a single irradiation treatment ([Figure 2](#page-4-0)d) and that additional Zn^{2+} is released upon the second treatment ([Figure 1](#page-2-0)b). Overall, these results indicated that phanorod-Zn, and phanorods to a slightly lesser extent, were highly effective

Figure 6. Treatment of severe wound infections by phanorod-Zn, initiated early $(L0 + L1)$ or delayed initiation on day 2 $(L2 + L3)$. (a) Wound size over time; no data is available for the control group (no treatment) past day 2 due to 100% mortality. (b) Quantitative assessment of bacterial load (cfu) during treatment of severe wound infections, measured on days 2, 4, and 6. For delayed treatment (L2 + L3), samples on day 2 were taken without treatment. Error bars show standard deviation ($n = 5$ mice): *** indicates $p < 0.001$ (two-sided t test).

Figure 7. Treatment of wounds infected by polymyxin-resistant P. aeruginosa. (a) Wounds assessed by size over time, with polymyxin treatment initiated on day 0 or phanorod-Zn treatment initiated on day 0 (L0 + L1) or day 2 (L2 + L3). (b) Quantitative assessment of bacterial load (cfu) for polymyxin-resistant P. aeruginosa wound infection, measured on days 2, 4, and 6. For delayed treatment (L2 + L3), samples on day 2 were taken without treatment. Error bars show standard deviation ($n = 5$ mice): *** indicates $p < 0.001$; NS = not significant ($p > 0.05$); two-sided t test.

for reducing bacterial load and accelerating wound healing in the mouse model.

Comparison of Phanorod-Zn Treatment to Standard Antibiotic Therapy In Vivo. To compare the efficacy of phanorod-Zn treatment to standard therapy, multiple antibiotic and antiseptic treatments were assessed in the same wound infection model. Treatments included two topical antiseptic agents (acetic acid (2% solution) and chlorhexidine (4% solution)), a topical antibiotic (polysporin) ointment, and two systemic antibiotics (oral ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin). While all treatments were effective in promoting wound closure faster than no treatment, phanorod-Zn treatment gave significantly faster wound closure, accompanied by ∼10-fold greater reduction in bacterial load [\(Figures 4](#page-7-0) and [S22\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). While wound closure was completed with phanorod-Zn treatment by day 8, none of the other treatments yielded wound closure by

day 10. These results indicated that phanorod-Zn treatment was more effective than standard antimicrobial therapies in the mouse model.

Efficacy of Delayed Phanorod-Zn Treatment In Vivo. In initial experiments, treatments (including phanorod-Zn and antibiotic therapy) were initiated on day 0, shortly after wound creation and inoculation with P. aeruginosa. To determine whether the same treatments were effective late into infection, we tested the effect of treatments initiated once the wounds reached maximum size (day 2). When treatment was delayed, ciprofloxacin treatment was observed to have a minor effect, if any, on the rate of wound closure or bacterial load compared to no treatment [\(Figures 5](#page-7-0) and [S23\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf), consistent with formation of a biofilm during the 2 days without treatment. However, with phanorod-Zn treatment beginning on day 2 (with a second round of irradiation performed on day 3), wound size

Figure 8. H&E staining of wound tissue sections on days 2, 6, and 10. First column: control (no treatment); second column: phanorod treatment; third column: phanorod-Zn treatment. Treatments were initiated on day 0. Scale bar = 50 μ m. Neutrophil infiltration is pronounced in the control.

decreased rapidly after day 2, and wound closure was complete on day 10. Unlike delayed ciprofloxacin treatment, the bacterial load after delayed phanorod-Zn treatment decreased markedly, consistent with the observed decrease in wound size [\(Figure](#page-7-0) [5](#page-7-0)). These results indicated that, when wound treatment was delayed, phanorod-Zn treatment was still effective, in contrast to ciprofloxacin treatment.

Efficacy of Phanorod-Zn Treatment for Severe Wounds In Vivo. The wounds described above in this study (initially \sim 8 mm 2 , infected by 1 \times 10 7 cfu) were small enough to eventually heal without intervention. While these wounds allowed quantitative comparisons of size and cfu over time, wounds of larger size $({\sim}17 \text{ mm}^2)$ with greater bacterial inoculum $(5 \times 10^7 \text{ cft})$ were also studied to assess treatment of severe cases. For these severe wounds, the control wounds (no treatment: exposure to PBS) showed a mortality rate of 100% (5 out of 5 mice) within 3 days ([Figures 6](#page-8-0) and [S24](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)). However, all mice with severe wounds treated with phanorod-Zn survived (5 out of 5 mice), with wound closure by 10−12 days. Interestingly, even when phanorod-Zn treatment was delayed (initiated on day 2 with second round of irradiation on day 3), all mice survived the severe wounds (5 out of 5 mice), and wound closure was complete by 12−14 days. These results indicate that phanorod-Zn treatment, even if delayed, was effective for rescuing mice from severe wounds that would otherwise result in 100% mortality.

Treatment of Polymyxin-Resistant P. aeruginosa with Phanorod-Zn In Vivo. The main application of an alternative antimicrobial class is anticipated to be treatment of antibioticresistant infections. Current last-line therapy for P. aeruginosa is polymyxin antibiotics. We tested phanorod-Zn treatment of wounds infected by a polymyxin-resistant strain of P. aeruginosa, PAKpmrB6.^{[65](#page-17-0),[66](#page-17-0)} Consistent with this phenotype, polymyxin treatment was ineffective against wounds infected by strain PAKpmrB6, while phanorod-Zn treatment was effective, as shown by decreased wound size and decreased bacterial load [\(Figures 7](#page-8-0) and [S25\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). Phanorod-Zn treatment delayed to the time of maximum wound size (initiated on day 2) was also effective with this strain ([Figure 7](#page-8-0)). These results confirm that phanorod-Zn treatment is effective against wound infection by an organism resistant to last-line therapy.

Comparison of Phanorod-Zn with Antibody-AuNRs In Vivo. Treatments by AuNRs conjugated to antibodies (rather than phages) were studied, in order to determine whether phages possessed any advantage over antibodies for targeting bacterial cells. A monoclonal antibody (1010/287) recognizing the P. aeruginosa strain used here (PAK, serotype 6) was conjugated to AuNRs and tested for treatment of P. aeruginosa-infected wounds ([Figure S20\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). The antibody-AuNRs were less effective than phanorod-Zn, but gave comparable results to treatment by antibiotics, as shown by the reduction in wound size and bacterial load [\(Figure S20](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)). Thus, while treatment by antibody-AuNRs was inferior to phanorod-Zn, their moderate efficacy validated the general approach of using an affinity reagent to target AuNRs toward the bacterial cells for photothermal treatment. Although antibody-AuNRs were less effective than phanorod-Zn, antibody-AuNRs were more effective than Zn^{2+} -loaded AuNR-Pol-K, confirming the primary importance of targeting therapeutic agents to the pathogens in antibacterial treatment.

Histological Analysis and Collagen Deposition during Phanorod-Zn Treatment In Vivo. When infected wounds were not treated (exposed to PBS buffer), a high degree of neutrophil infiltration into the wound tissue was observed by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining on day 2 (Figure 8), consistent with acute inflammation and infection. In the control group, neutrophil infiltration is still observed on day 6, indicating prolonged inflammation, consistent with macroscopic signs of inflammation (redness and swelling) seen in the wound photographs for the untreated control group. In comparison, neutrophil infiltration in the phanorod and phanorod-Zn treatment groups was less dense on day 2 and decreased considerably in the following days (Figures 8 and [S26\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf), consistent with a resolving infection.

To assess wound repair at a microscopic level, we used Masson's trichrome staining to monitor collagen deposition in the wounds. Phanorod-Zn treatment resulted in substantially greater collagen deposition than the untreated control throughout the wound healing time frame [\(Figure S27](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)). Interestingly, phanorod-Zn treatment also gave greater collagen deposition compared to phanorod treatment, indicating that Zn^{2+} release from phanorod-Zn was beneficial for wound healing. Quantitation of collagen area from Masson's trichrome staining indicated ∼89.4 ± 5.6% collagen coverage of wound tissue sections by day 8 for phanorod-Zn treatment, consistent with wound closure by this day, which was significantly greater than collagen coverage from phanorod treatment (\sim 54.8 ± 6.5%, $p < 0.001$), which in turn was significantly greater than collagen coverage with no treatment $(\sim$ 30.9 ± 2.2%, *p* < 0.001) by day 8 [\(Figure S28](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)). Therefore, phanorod-Zn treatment was associated with reduced inflammation and relatively rapid collagen deposition, consistent with accelerated wound healing.

Toxicity Profile of Phanorods and Phanorod-Zn In Vivo. To evaluate possible systemic toxicity of phanorod and phanorod-Zn treatment, major organs (liver, spleen, kidneys, heart, and lungs) were harvested on day 10 and analyzed by H&E staining. No differences were apparent among the untreated, phanorod treatment, and phanorod-Zn treatment groups [\(Figure S29](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)), showing no histological indication of toxicity. Hematological analysis (hematocrit (HCT), hemoglobin (HGB), mean corpuscular HGB concentration (MCHC), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), platelet count, red blood cell count (RBC), red cell distribution width, and white blood cell count (WBC)) also showed no significant differences among levels in the untreated, phanorod, and phanorod-Zn groups at day 10 [\(Figure S30a](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)).

To study hepatic and renal toxicity in particular, the biomarkers alkaline phosphatase (ALP), alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine, and uric acid were also assessed on day 10. No significant differences were seen for the phanorod and phanorod-Zn treatment groups compared to the untreated group [\(Figures S30](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)−S32). In contrast, fluoroquinolone and polymyxin treatments resulted in significant elevations, consistent with the known toxicity of these antibiotics.

To test whether phanorod and phanorod-Zn treatment resulted in elevated levels of systemic Au^{2+} or Zn^{2+} , the blood concentrations of these ions were measured. Au^{2+} concentrations were undetectable in all groups (limit of detection = 0.002 ng/mL). The serum concentration of Zn^{2+} ions was slightly elevated in the phanorod-Zn group compared to the phanorod and untreated groups, but the difference was not statistically significant [\(Figure S30b\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). Overall, phanorod and phanorod-Zn treatments did not show signs of systemic toxicity, as assessed after wound closure.

Tissue Biodistribution of Phanorods and Phanorod-Zn. To study the biodistribution of phanorods and phanorod-Zn in mice, the concentration of the bioconjugate in the major organs and wound skin was investigated on day 8 following wound infection and treatment, measured by ICP-MS for Au ([Supporting Methods\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). The concentration of Au was >10-fold higher in the wound skin compared to all other organs measured (liver, kidneys, spleen, lungs, or heart) ([Figure S33](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)). Among these organs, the liver and spleen showed a higher Au amount compared to other organs. This is consistent with the topical application and washing of the bioconjugates during treatment and is in accordance with previous studies in biodistributions of nanoparticles for topical wound healing applications.^{[67,68](#page-17-0)}

CONCLUSIONS

Phage therapy is usually envisioned with lytic phages that selfamplify exponentially to eradicate a bacterial population. However, such a strategy poses multiple concerns about safety and efficacy, particularly if using poorly characterized phages. Yet phages have evolved multiple mechanisms for discovering and attaching to bacterial hosts in natural environments, including receptor-binding proteins whose affinities rival or surpass those of antibodies and subdiffusive "search" mechanisms.[69](#page-17-0)−[73](#page-17-0) By using chimeric M13 phages to carry an activatable, toxic cargo to bacterial pathogens, the phanorod strategy takes advantage of phage attachment while controlling the antimicrobial effect. Unlike phage therapy, the phage component of phanorods does not need to infect or lyse the host cells, limiting the requisite genetic engineering to the receptor-binding interaction, in contrast to strategies that rely on phage infection and gene expression.[74](#page-17-0) Such engineering to bind different host bacterial strains can be achieved by creating chimeric phages, in which the receptor-binding protein of M13 has been replaced by the homologue from a filamentous phage that targets the pathogen; the chimeric phages bind and deliver cargo to the targeted pathogen. 20 At the same time, complete phages may be advantageous over phage proteins (e.g., lysins^{[75](#page-17-0)}) for robustness to exposure to serum and the physiological milieu.^{22,[76](#page-17-0)} M13 and Pf1 are nonlytic filamentous phages (inoviruses), which bind to specific bacterial pili. In particular, the receptor-binding domain of Pf1 (used in the M13-g3p(Pf1) phanorods) binds to type IV pili, a virulence factor that enables adhesion, motility, and biofilm formation.⁷ In one analysis, 75% of P. aeruginosa strains isolated from wound infections expressed type IV pili.^{[78](#page-17-0)} Therefore, filamentous phages may be an appropriate source of receptor-binding domains against pathogens.

The advantage of phage-based targeting of AuNRs was highlighted in vivo. An alternative to phage targeting is antibody targeting, which is under study for antibacterial applications.^{[79](#page-17-0)−[81](#page-17-0)} Using an anti-P. aeruginosa monoclonal antibody with irradiation intensity tuned to achieve the same wound temperatures, reduction of the wound size to <50% of the initial size required >6 days with antibody-AuNR treatment, but only 2 days with phanorod treatment [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf) [S20\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). This difference could be due to the greater cargo capacity of phanorods, which carry approximately 15 AuNRs per particle,^{[24](#page-16-0)} compared to antibodies, whose size (\sim 12 nm) is unlikely to accommodate more than one AuNR (∼14 × 53 nm) per molecule. Even if a similar number of AuNRs attaches per cell when antibody targeting is used (e.g., with the antibody targeting a highly abundant bacterial receptor compared to pili), activation of multiple AuNRs at the same microscopic location, targeted by phanorods, would create a steeper thermal gradient at that site, compared to activation of the same number of AuNRs spaced apart from one another ([Figure S5\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf). TEM images also suggested that phanorods may aggregate together on the bacterial surface, possibly due to multiple interactions, which would further amplify the steepness of the gradient. In addition, phages are more stable than antibodies under harsh chemical conditions and high temperatures.[76](#page-17-0),[82](#page-17-0) This latter property may be relevant to the studies here, as early denaturation of the molecular interactions during photothermal heating could lead to dissociation of the bioconjugate from the bacterial cell. Also, while attachment of antibody-AuNRs was verified by TEM in this case, in principle, nonspecific conjugation of AuNRs might be more likely to interfere sterically with the antibody−antigen interaction due to their relative sizes, compared to the phage-receptor interaction, which occurs at one end of the virion (∼9 nm

diameter $\times 1$ μ m length). Understanding the mechanisms for the advantage of phage- over antibody-targeting would require further study.

While the quantitatively increased efficacy of phanorods over antibody-nanorods is important for individual treatment, it could also be significant at a population level, as undertreatment of microbial infections can lead to development of resistant phenotypes and strains as well as poorer clinical outcomes.[83](#page-17-0)−[86](#page-17-0) Indeed, resistant strains of P. aeruginosa emerge in ~10% of cases during antibiotic treatment,^{[87](#page-17-0)} suggesting that high efficacy is a critical feature for new therapeutic agents. Furthermore, the ability to engineer or evolve phages to overcome bacterial resistance is an important future concern. For the photothermal mechanism described here, resistance mechanisms are presumably limited to disruption of the receptor-binding interaction. A perceived advantage of phage therapy is the potential to conduct directed evolution to overcome bacterial resistance;^{[88](#page-17-0)} given the evolvability of phages against new receptors observed in other studies[,89](#page-17-0)[−][92](#page-17-0) such future developments may be feasible.

A well-known problem for antibiotics is penetration through biofilms, as illustrated by the relative lack of efficacy of ciprofloxacin treatment in the mice after the wound infection was left untreated for 2 days. Although we did not measure distribution of the phanorods into the biofilm, the cell-killing effect did not appear to be impeded by a biofilm in vitro, to the depth observed (∼9 μm). It should be noted that regardless of whether phanorods themselves penetrate the biofilm, the cytotoxic effect is mediated by the thermal gradient. Since biofilms may be ~80–95% water,^{93,[94](#page-17-0)} thermal conductivity is likely to be similar to that of water. The excellent efficacy of phanorods and phanorod-Zn in vivo, particularly when treatment was delayed for 2 days, also confirmed that the biofilm developed in the wounds of these experiments did not prevent treatment.

An important practical question for phanorod and phanorod-Zn treatment was whether the bacterial population could be effectively eradicated without excessive harm to the animal, either locally or systemically. Greater laser exposure would result in greater bacterial cell-killing, but would also increase the potential for negative side effects from thermal burn at the wound area. Optimization experiments indicated that burns could be minimized by adjusting laser intensity to achieve a certain steady-state temperature (∼55 °C), while maintaining excellent bacteriocidal activity. Another source of potential harm is renal or hepatic toxicity, which limits the use of some antibiotics. For example, current last-line antibiotic treatment for P. aeruginosa and other Gram-negative infections is polymyxins, a drug class with substantial renal toxicity; in one study, 2/3 of patients treated by colistin developed nephrotoxicity marked by elevated creatinine levels.^{[95](#page-17-0)} In our experiments, indicators of renal and hepatic function indicated compromise after treatment of infected mice by systemic fluoroquinolones and polymyxin antibiotics, as expected. However, no elevations were seen after treatment with phanorods or phanorod-Zn. The lack of systemic toxicity is consistent with the localized application, in which the phanorods or phanorod-Zn were applied and incubated briefly to allow binding to target bacteria before irradiation. The biodistribution assessed here (day 8) showed that relatively little Au was present in organs other than the wound skin itself, consistent with other studies on the biodistribution of nanoparticles in topical wound healing applications. $67,68$

However, more work would be needed to assess biodistribution and biosafety over longer time periods. Another concern was whether phanorods or phanorod-Zn might induce a dysfunctional immune response. However, no significant elevation was seen in the white cell count. Other blood cell counts were also statistically indistinguishable from the untreated control, illustrating a lack of measured systemic toxicity.

Phanorod-Zn was designed to be loaded with Zn^{2+} that could be released upon photothermal heating. In this case, the Zn^{2+} -binding peptide Pol-K was conjugated through EDC chemistry. An alternative approach could be designing a fusion of Pol-K with g8p, although proper phage assembly would require verification when engineering the major coat protein. In these experiments, a temperature change was shown to cause Zn^{2+} release. Similarly, systems such as zinc fingers^{[47](#page-16-0)} and Zn^{2+} -substituted rubredoxins^{[46](#page-16-0)} are reported to release metal ions like Zn^{2+} upon thermal denaturation. Irradiation also resulted in a decrease in thiol groups. The amount of thiol loss apparently did not reduce the Zn^{2+} -loading capacity in this system, possibly because some of the lost thiols were not from Pol-K, and the four thiols of Pol-K may not all be necessary for coordination.^{[96](#page-17-0)} However, binding affinity is reduced as the number of coordinating cysteines decreases.^{[96](#page-17-0)} Here, both the effects of increased temperature and loss of thiols may contribute to the observed photothermal release of Zn^{2+} . Higher levels of thiol destruction may also affect loading capacity and lead to greater release of Zn^{2+} . While Zn^{2+} is an important trace metal for bacterial growth when present at low concentrations, higher concentrations are inhibitory, due to competition for metal-binding sites in proteins as well as direct association with bacterial cell membranes, leading to rupture.^{[97](#page-17-0)} Furthermore, increased concentrations of Zn^{2+} may lead to oxidative stress that can damage bacterial membranes, DNA, and mitochondria, resulting in bacterial death.^{[98](#page-18-0)} Indeed, phanorod-Zn was more effective at cell-killing compared to phanorods in our experiments, particularly in vitro, which appeared to be due to a released solute (presumed Zn^{2+}). At the same time, Zn^{2+} is relatively well-tolerated in humans, 99 and zinc oxide, in particular, has been shown to cause improvement in wound healing in multiple animal and clinical studies.[38](#page-16-0) Zinc is reported to be involved in regulating several phases of the wound healing process, including membrane repair, oxidative stress, coagulation, inflammation and immune defense, tissue re-epithelialization, angiogenesis, and fibrosis formation.^{[100](#page-18-0)} Although still unclear, release of Zn^{2+} is believed to be one of the mechanisms by which zinc oxide affects wound healing. Zn^{2+} is favorable for deposition of collagen, an essential component of tissue repair.^{[101](#page-18-0)±[103](#page-18-0)} Indeed, our studies suggested greater collagen deposition after phanorod-Zn treatment (48.3 \pm 4.6%, day 2; 61.3 \pm 5.7%, day 4) compared to phanorod treatment (18.6 \pm 3.7%, day 2; 30.5 \pm 5.2%, day 4), although it should be noted that the long-term effect of this deposition is unknown. In vivo, phanorod-Zn treatment appeared to be slightly more effective than phanorods in terms of wound reduction and cfu reduction, but these differences were not always statistically significant; more work is needed to clarify the overall effect of zinc release in this system.

The TEM images and prior microscopy with molecular rotors 37 indicate that photothermal activation of phanorods and phanorod-Zn causes severe damage to bacterial cells and membranes, such that cellular structures were no longer intact.

 Zn^{2+} is a micronutrient, but at high concentrations, Zn^{2+} is toxic to bacteria,^{[104](#page-18-0)−[106](#page-18-0)} although the molecular basis of toxicity remains poorly understood. Very high concentrations of Zn^{2+} (336 ppm, 5.14 mM) significantly alter membrane perme-ability of bacterial cells and result in cell death.^{[107](#page-18-0)} A plausible mechanism for the observed synergistic interaction of phanorods with Zn^{2+} , resulting in increased toxicity of phanorod-Zn compared to a mixture of phanorods and free Zn^{2+} , may be that localized photothermal heating disrupts the cell membrane, allowing the released Zn^{2+} ions to penetrate the cells. Nevertheless, photothermal ablation appears to be the dominant mechanism of toxicity, given the high efficacy of phanorods alone. Aside from toxicity, another property of phanorod-Zn appears to be improved wound healing compared to phanorods, as suggested by greater collagen deposition and significantly faster decrease in wound size. This difference is also consistent with the release Zn^{2+} ions, which are known to be associated with improved wound healing.^{38,[108](#page-18-0)}

In this work, we validated phanorods and phanorod-Zn as a potential antimicrobial treatment in a mouse model of P. aeruginosa wound infection ([Table S1](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)). The work presented here combines multiple strategies to create a nanomaterial that differs from prior approaches in several aspects. Each M13 phage particle can carry at least 1 order of magnitude more cargo compared with biomolecular targeting materials (e.g., antibodies), leading to more efficient cell ablation. In addition, the M13 phage appears to be very robust in capturing the bacterial target, even in harsh or complex environments (e.g., in vivo), possibly owing to the ongoing, long-term coevolu-tionary arms race between phages and bacteria.^{[76](#page-17-0)} Interesting, the antibacterial effects of the photothermal AuNRs and the released Zn^{2+} ions were found to be synergistic, killing the bacteria at relatively low local temperatures, which would be advantageous for protecting the surrounding tissues. Furthermore, the released Zn^{2+} can also accelerate wound tissue repair and promote collagen deposition. Considering utilization in practice, application of phanorods and phanorod-Zn is likely to be less convenient than orally administered antibiotics since treatment must be rendered in person. However, the primary importance of emerging therapies such as these would be for situations in which standard antibiotics have already failed. The in-person treatment time (approximately 1 h) would be comparable to intravenously administered antibiotics and only needs to be rendered 1−2 times (compared to a several-day course of intravenous antibiotics). Our study also shows that the practical challenges of treatment in vivo, such as monitoring wound temperature and mitigating laser power, can be surmounted. In addition, toxicity was not detected for the treatment regimen used, consistent with the surface application. An important consideration for future application is whether phanorods can be engineered to target particular pathogens. In general, phages, which determine specificity in this system, may be highly specific or have relatively broad host range.¹⁰⁹ Since phanorods rely only on attachment, expression of the appropriate receptor is expected to be the major, and possibly the sole, requirement for bacterial susceptibility. Some receptors, such as virulence factors, may be expressed by a substantial fraction of pathogens; further work is needed to define the frequency of targetable receptors in pathogenic populations. Given a susceptible organism, phanorod or phanorod-Zn treatment may be advantageous in terms of efficacy compared to standard-of-care antibiotic therapy. This was demonstrated in this study by the 3× faster rate of healing

([Figure 4](#page-7-0)), in which phanorod-Zn treatment led to a 50% reduction in wound size by day 2, a level that was achieved by systemic ciprofloxacin only on day 6. In addition, phanorod-Zn showed an important lack of effect on hepatic and renal biomarkers ([Figure S32](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)), compared to systemic fluoroquinolones, whose toxicity resulted in significantly elevated biomarker levels. These advantages may be more pronounced for established infections, as the thermal field is expected to penetrate the biofilm more easily than diffusion of antibiotics, consistent with our findings that bacterial cell death occurs throughout the biofilm [\(Figure S11](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)) and that phanorod-Zn is still highly effective when treatment is delayed, leading to a 50% reduction in wound size 2−3 days after treatment, in contrast to systemic fluoroquinolones, which showed relatively poor efficacy in the same situation (a 50% reduction in wound size was achieved in 5−6 days with ciprofloxacin, which was only a ∼1 day improvement compared to no treatment) ([Figure 5](#page-7-0)). Another advantage of phanorod-Zn is that the high specificity and localized treatment may be advantageous for avoiding undesirable effects on the microbiome.^{[110](#page-18-0),[111](#page-18-0)} Regardless, the main benefit of an alternative antimicrobial strategy would be as a last-line therapy for multidrug-resistant organisms.

METHODS

Materials. Reagents were obtained from the following sources: gold(III) chloride trihydrate (HAuCl₃, 99.9%, Sigma), sodium borohydride (NaBH4, 98%, Fisher Scientific), trisodium citrate dihydrate (99.9%; Sigma), P. aeruginosa (Schroeter) Migula (ATCC 25102; PAK strain), P. aeruginosa PAK-pmrB (gift of Prof. Jian Li, Monash University), V. cholerae 0395 (gift of Prof. Michael J. Mahan, UCSB), M13KE phage (New England Biolabs), M13-NotI-Kan construct,[45](#page-16-0) sodium chloride (NaCl, 99%, Fisher BioReagents), tryptone (99%, Fisher BioReagents), yeast extract (99%, Fisher BioReagents), E. coli ER2738 (New England Biolabs), N-(3- (dimethylamino)propyl)-N′-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC, 99%, Sigma), N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS, 98%, Sigma), Nsuccinimidyl-S-acetylthiopropionate (SATP) (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 5-bromosalicylic acid (5-BAA) (>98.0%; TCI), isopropyl β -D-1thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (99%; Fisher Scientific), thiol-PEGacid (HOOC-PEG-SH; PEG average M_n 5000; Sigma), poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG-8000, Sigma), dialysis kit (MWCO 3500 Da, Spectrum Laboratories), tetracycline (Sigma), kanamycin sulfate (Sigma), Top 10F′ cyan cells (Thermo Fisher), Mix and Go competent cells (Zymo Research), QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen), QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen), and KpnI-HF/NotI-HF restriction enzyme and T4 DNA ligase (New England Biolabs), and Pseudomonas aeruginosa antibody (1010/287) (Novus Biologicals, LLC).

Pol-K Peptide Design and Synthesis. The $CXCX_3CX_2C$ motif was previously identified in ca. 6% of the Zn^{2+} -binding sequences of the protein data bank (PDB).¹¹² Unlike other Zn^{2+} -binding motifs that can possess ligands to the metal center hundreds of amino acids apart, this specific motif is only 10 amino acids long and is among the shortest known binding sites that completely coordinate a metal ion. The Pol-K peptide GCFCEDACDKCG was based on the Zn^{2+} binding sequence CFCEDHCDKC from RNA Polymerase II (PDB ID: 1i3q, chain C).^{[113](#page-18-0)} Glycines were added to the extremities of the peptide to decrease the likelihood of interference from N- and Ctermini on coordination, while also facilitating functionalization by providing spacing to the cysteine ligands. The histidine residue was substituted with an alanine to remove competition with another potential ligand.

Reagents for peptide synthesis were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. The synthesis of the Pol-K peptide was performed according to standard Fmoc-based solid-phase peptide synthesis procedures.^{[114](#page-18-0)} N,N-dimethyl formamide (DMF) was used as the solvent, and Wang resin was used as the starting polymeric support. Fmoc-protected amino acids (AA) were used. Peptide elongation was by Fmoc-deprotection of the residue anchored to the resin and Fmoc-AA-OH coupling. Fmoc-deprotection was by washing with 20% (v/v) piperidine in DMF. For each coupling, an excess (Fmoc-AA-OH: anchored AA, 3:1) of the Fmoc- α -amino acid derivative was added to the resin. Apart from Fmoc-Cys(Trt)-OH, Fmoc- α -AA derivatives were activated with a mixture of hydroxylbenzotriazole (HOBt), N,N,N′,N′-tetramethyl-O-(benzotriazol-1-yl) uronium tetrafluoborate, and N,N-diisopropylethyl amine. Fmoc-Cys(Trt)OH was activated with a N,N′- diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC)/HOBt mixture. The peptide was cleaved from the resin and deprotected by treatment with a solution of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA):H2O:triisopropyl silane:1,2-ethanedithiol (volume ratio 37:1:1:1) for 2 h, and the product was precipitated with a cold solution of diethyl ether followed by washing cycles with diethyl ether. Finally, the peptide was dried under vacuum. The successful synthesis was confirmed by mass spectrometry using an Agilent 6530 LC-QTOF instrument (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) with electrospray ionization [\(Figure S1e\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf).

Deionized (Milli-Q, Millipore) purified water was deoxygenated by distillation under nitrogen. Solutions of Pol-K peptide were handled under controlled nitrogen atmosphere with a Schlenk line and Schlenk glassware and transferred to an anaerobic glovebox (Iteco Engineering) for metalation and spectral acquisition. UV−vis absorption spectra of freshly prepared solutions of peptido-metal complexes were recorded with a Thermo Scientific Evolution 60S UV−visible spectrophotometer inside of an anaerobic glovebox (Iteco Engineering). Hellma high-performance quartz glass (QS) cuvettes were used for the acquisition.

Peptide Zn^{2+} -Binding Assay. To overcome the spectroscopic silence of Zn^{2+} , competitive binding experiments were performed using a preformed peptido- Co^{2+} complex. To form the saturated peptido-Co2+ complex, aliquots from stocks of 333 mM and 33 mM metal salt solution $(CoCl₂·6H₂O)$ were added into a cuvette containing 1 mL of 1 mM Pol-K peptide and 20 mM glycylglycine at pH 8.7. UV−vis spectra were collected upon each addition. Absorbance values at 750 nm, diagnostic for tetrahedral $4S \rightarrow Co^{2+}$ complexes,^{[48](#page-16-0)} were monitored until no further changes were observed. The K_d of the peptido-Co²⁺ complex was calculated by fitting the absorbance data to the equation:

$$
Y = B_{\text{max}} \times \frac{X^h}{K_d^h + X^h} \tag{1}
$$

where Y is the absorbance, B_{max} is the absorbance at saturation, X is the concentration of Co^{2+} , and h is the Hill coefficient. GraphPad Prism v. 6.00 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA) for Windows was used to calculate the K_d values.

To assay the competitive binding of Zn^{2+} , a decrease in the absorbance at 750 nm indicative of the peptido- $Co²⁺$ complex was monitored after additions of $ZnSO_4$. The concentration of $Co²⁺$ added to the peptide before the competition assay was equal to the K_d of the Pol-K-Co²⁺ complex, as determined in saturation binding experiments. UV−vis spectra were collected upon each addition. Titration continued until no change in absorbance at 750 nm was observed. The K_d value of the peptide-Zn²⁺ complex was calculated by fitting to a revised Cheng−Prusoff equation for competitive inhibition, as previously described¹¹⁵ ([Figure S1a](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)-c).

Phage, Bacteria, and Gold Nanorods. See [Supporting](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf) [Methods](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf).

Synthesis of Phanorods and Phanorod-Zn. To prepare phanorods, phages were chemically thiolated using SATP and conjugated to the AuNRs, and trace CTAB was exchanged for HS-PEG-COOH.²⁴ Phanorod-Zn was prepared by coupling the −COOH groups of phanorods with the amino groups of Pol-K through EDC
chemistry.^{[22](#page-16-0)} A total of 10¹⁴ phanorods were reacted with 1 mM EDC, 1 mM NHS, and 1 mM Pol-K (Zn^{2+}) binding peptide) in a volume of 2 mL of PBS buffer (pH 7.9) with gentle stirring at room temperature. The same amount of EDC was added three more times at time intervals of 30 min. The reaction was run overnight, and the

phanorod-Zn product purified by dialysis through regenerated cellulose dialysis tubing (molecular weight cutoff of 3500 Da) in PBS buffer. The concentration of the thiol groups from chemically conjugated Pol-K was quantified with Ellman's assay using a cysteine as a standard [\(Figure S27a\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf) and phanorods as control.^{[22](#page-16-0)[,116](#page-18-0)} The number of Pol-K per phage was estimated by dividing the amount of Pol-K (concentration of thiol groups divided by 4 cysteines per Pol-K) by the number of phage particles, as quantified by real-time PCR [\(Figure S4c](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf)).^{[22](#page-16-0)} The Zn^{2+} ions were loaded by incubating the phanorod-Zn (1 mL of $10^{14}/mL$) with 1 mM ZnCl₂ solution overnight by gentle rotating. The product was collected after centrifugation (12,000 rpm \times 30 min) and washing 3 \times with water.

 Zn^{2+} Release Experiments. The release behavior of Zn^{2+} from phanorod-Zn $(10^{14}/mL)$ was investigated in PBS buffer (pH 7.2) with or without laser irradiation for 15 min. The samples were incubated at 37 °C after laser treatment, and the released Zn^{2+} ions at different time points were collected by high-speed centrifugation (12,000g for 30 min) for ICP-MS analysis.

Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry Measurement. ICP- MS analysis was performed with the NexION 2000 (PerkinElmer, Inc.). Each sample was transferred to a clean Teflon vessel for acid digestion. Digestion was carried out with a mixture of concentrated $HNO₃$ (65−70%, trace metal grade, Fisher Scientific) and HCl (35−38%, trace metal grade, Fisher Scientific) in a ratio of 1:3 with a supplement of H_2O_2 (30%, certified ACS, Fisher Scientific) at 200 °C for 50 min in a microwave digestion system (Titan MPS, PerkinElmer). The sample was cooled to room temperature and subsequently diluted to a final volume of 50 mL by adding filtered DI water before analysis. The calibration curve was established using a standard solution, using a dwell time of 50 ms with 30 sweeps and three replicates with background correction.

Transmission Electron Microscopy. TEM was performed on a Tecnai FEI T12 electron microscope (CNSI, UCLA). The samples were prepared by applying a few drops of solution onto TEM grids coated with a 20 nm-thick carbon film.

Confocal Microscopy. Fluorescence images were obtained on a Leica SP8 confocal microscope (Leica, Germany) with excitation at 480 nm (CNSI, UCLA). The object-based colocalization was analyzed by JACoP v2.0 (ImageJ), and the number of green objects was counted $(N_{\rm green})$ and their centers identified. The number of these centers that colocalize with the center of a red object (dead cells) was counted (N_{col}) . The images were taken and analyzed by LAS X software.

Ultraviolet−vis Spectra Measurement. UV−vis absorbance spectra were collected on an HP 8453 UV−visible spectrophotometer with a quartz spectrasil UV−vis cuvette using direct detection at a slit width of 2 nm.

Attenuated Total Reflection Infrared Spectra Measurement. The samples were completely dried with lyophilization before the measurement. ATR-FTIR spectra were measured with a Nicolet iS10 FTIR using a MCT detector and a Harrick Scientific Corporation GATR accessory.

Photothermal Performance Measurements. The photothermal stabilities of AuNRs, phanorods, and phanorod-Zn were analyzed by irradiating the samples with NIR laser (1.2 W cm⁻²) for 6 min, and the samples were allowed to cool. This cycle was repeated for another four times. The photothermal conversion efficiency (η) of AuNR, phanorods, and phanorod-Zn was calculated by the following equation (more details in [Supporting Information\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf): $117,118$

$$
\eta = \frac{hA(T_{\text{max}} - T_s) - Q_0}{I(1 - 10^{-A_{\text{SOS}}})}
$$
(2)

where h is the heat transfer coefficient, A is the surface area of the container, T_{max} is the maximum temperature reached, T_s is the surrounding temperature, Q_0 is the heat input due to light absorption by the solvent (measured as 12.9 mW independently, using a quartz cuvette cell containing pure water), I is the power density of the laser applied (1.2 W cm⁻²), and A_{808} is the absorbance of the sample at 808 nm.

Here, hA can be calculated by the following equation:

$$
hA = \frac{\sum_{i} m_{i}C_{p,i}}{\tau_{S}} \approx \frac{m_{H_{2}O}C_{H_{2}O}}{\tau_{S}}
$$
\n(3)

where τ_s is the system time constant, and $m_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ and $C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ are the mass of the water used as solvent (1.0 g) and specific heat capacity of water (4.2 J/g), respectively. In the natural cooling period, τ_s can be calculated using the linear regression curve of the equation below:

$$
t = -\tau_{\rm S} \ln \theta = -\tau_{\rm S} \ln \frac{T - T_{\rm s}}{T_{\rm max} - T_{\rm s}} \tag{4}
$$

Evaluation of Antibacterial Effect In Vitro. The in vitro antibacterial activity of phanorods and phanorod-Zn against P. aeruginosa, V. cholerae, and E. coli was quantitatively assessed by determination of colony-forming units (cfu). 0.5 mL of bacterial suspension $(1 \times 10^8 \text{ cftu/mL})$ was incubated with PBS (control), phanorod (10^{14} phages/mL), or phanorod-Zn (10^{14} phages/mL) in quartz cuvette cells with or without 808 nm laser irradiation (0.3 W cm[−]²) for 15 min. After the laser treatments, the sample was diluted 1000-fold, and 10 μL was inoculated on LB plates and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The cfu were counted, and the survival rate of bacteria calculated.

Additionally, live/dead staining was performed to assay bacteriocidal activity, according to the manufacturer's protocol. The samples were stained with a BacLight bacterial viability kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and imaged on Leica SP8 confocal microscope. The antibody-AuNRs against P. aeruginosa were prepared according to previous report. 24 24 24 The in vitro antibacterial ability of antibody-AuNRs was evaluated using the same method as described above for phanorods. The concentration of the conjugated AuNRs was adjusted to be the same as phanorod and phanorod-Zn ($[Au] = 3.3 \mu M$).

Evaluation of In Vitro Ablation Effect of P. aeruginosa **Biofilm.** The P. aeruginosa biofilm was prepared using a protocol modified from the literature.¹¹⁹ A single colony of P. aeruginosa was used to inoculate LB and incubated in a shaker-incubator overnight at 37 °C. The culture was diluted 100-fold into fresh medium, and 150 $\mu {\rm L}$ of the dilution was added to Lab-Tek plates (culture area, 0.7 cm $^2)$ for overnight incubation at 37 °C. Then, the liquid was removed by turning the plate over and shaking. The remaining biofilm was washed two times by submerging the plate in a small tub of water and shaking out the water. 300 μ L of phanorod or phanorod-Zn $(10^{14}$ phages per mL, 3.3 μ M Au) or antibody-AuNRs (3.3 μ M Au, ~2 × 10¹⁵ AuNRs) was added to the biofilm and incubated for 30 min. Unbound bioconjugates in suspension were removed by pipetting. The biofilm was irradiated with the NIR laser for 15 min as described above. Cell viability was studied by resuspending the bacteria and growing aliquots on LB plates (1 μ L of cell suspension was diluted in 1 mL of PBS buffer, and 5 μ L of the dilution was plated) for colony counting and by confocal microscopy with live/dead cell viability staining with SYTO9 and PI (Filmtracer LIVE/DEAD biofilm viability kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Mouse Model of P. aeruginosa-Infected Wounds. All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with institutional guidelines and approved by the UCLA Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol ARC-2020-044). 12−15 weeks-old male and female mice (22−25 g, strain 027-C57BL/6 from Charles River Laboratories, MA, USA) were randomly assigned to different groups. Wound exposure to PBS buffer was used as the negative control group. Phanorod and phanorod-Zn treatments were used in the experimental groups, and antibody-AuNRs were used as a comparison group. The following standard clinical treatment methods were applied as positive control groups: two systemic antibiotics (ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin, orally fed), one topical antibiotic (polysporin), and two topical antiseptics (acetic acid and chlorhexidine).

On day 0, the mice were anaesthetized by isoflurane vaporized in $O₂$ (2.5%) prior to surgery and placed upon a sterile towel on a warm pad with circulating water. The dorsal side was shaved, depilated, and

then disinfected with ethanol pads and povidone iodine swabs. An artificial wound ∼6 mm long (or ∼12 mm long for the large wound group) was made on the dorsum of each mouse with a scissor, resulting in an initial wound area of ~8.5 mm² (or ~17.6 mm² for the large wound group). Wounds were inoculated with 20 or 100 μL of P. aeruginosa (5×10^8 cfu/mL) and incubated for 1 h.

For wound treatment by PBS (control), phanorod $(10^{14}/mL)$ in PBS), phanorod-Zn ($10^{14}/m$ L in PBS), or antibody-AuNR (3.3 uM in PBS), a particle suspension (∼50−100 μL) was applied and incubated for 30 min, followed by 808 nm laser irradiation $(0.3 \text{ W cm}^{-2}$, unless otherwise specified) for 15 min. Unless otherwise specified, phanorod and phanorod-Zn treatment (reagent application followed by irradiation) was performed on day 0, with a second round of irradiation also applied on day 1. Alternative treatment regimens included treatment on day 2 with a second round of irradiation on day 3 or single treatment on day 0. For systemic antibiotics, treatment was applied by feeding the antibiotics orally (250 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹). The topical antibiotic and antiseptic treatments were applied by covering the wound area with polysporin ointment (Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.), 4% chlorhexidine (McKesson Corporation), or 2% acetic acid (Akorn Pharmaceuticals). The antibiotics and antiseptics were applied every 24 h unless stated otherwise.

To evaluate the therapeutic effect, wounds were photographed (by iPhone 8) at regular time intervals, and wound size was measured by a ruler. For analysis of cfu, mice were sacrificed, and the wound tissue harvested, weighed, chopped, and homogenized in PBS buffer. The homogenized samples were centrifuged at 3000g for 6 min to pellet debris, and 100 μ L of supernatant was plated onto LB agar for CFU counting. For histomorphological analysis, wound tissue was fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde solution and washed with 75% ethanol. The tissue samples were analyzed by H&E staining^{[120](#page-18-0)} or Masson's trichrome staining^{[121](#page-18-0)} (performed by the Translational Pathology Core Laboratory (TPCL) at UCLA). The relative collagen area was determined using ImageJ. The area of collagen was measured by manual adjustment of a threshold value in the blue channel to match visual inspection. Similarly, the area of the tissue was measured by manual adjustment of the threshold in all color channels to match visual inspection. To assess toxicity, the major organs (heart, liver, spleen, lungs, and kidneys) of the mice were stained by H&E on day 10, and blood and serum were collected for biochemical analysis (performed by IDEXX Laboratories) on day 10. The concentrations of Zn^{2+} and Au^{3+} ions were measured by ICP-MS as described above.

To evaluate delayed treatment by phanorod-Zn, an experimental group was treated with phanorod-Zn on days 2 and 3 (phanorod-Zn was applied only on day 2 and irradiated with laser on days 2 and 3) and compared to a control group with ciprofloxacin treatment starting from day 2.

No unexpected or unusually high safety hazards were encountered.

Statistical Analysis. All the quantitative data in each experiment are presented as mean \pm standard deviation of at least three independent experiments. Student's t test (two-sided) was utilized to evaluate the statistical significance. Values of $p < 0.05$ (*), $p < 0.01$ (**) and $p < 0.001$ (***) were considered statistically significant.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

9 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048?goto=supporting-info).

Figures S1−S33: Characterization of phanorod and phanorod-Zn; binding affinity of phanorod-Zn to the host cells and stability; photothermal reversibility and stability; TEM images; live/dead assay results; in vitro cytocompatibility; in vivo wound treatments; histological analysis; hematological analysis; hepatic/renal function analysis and biodistribution. Table S1: List of experiments and figures. Supporting Methods: preparation of phage, bacteria, AuNRs, conjugates; determining binding affinity and infectivity of conjugates to the host

cells; in vitro cytotoxicity test. Supporting references [\(PDF](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048/suppl_file/nn2c00048_si_001.pdf))

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Irene A. Chen − Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-6040-7927; Email: ireneachen@ucla.edu

Authors

- Huan Peng − Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States
- Daniele Rossetto − Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G2, Canada; CIBIO, University of Trento, 38123 Trento, Italy
- Sheref S. Mansy − Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G2, Canada; CIBIO, University of Trento, 38123 Trento, Italy; \bullet [orcid.org/](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2382-198X) [0000-0003-2382-198X](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2382-198X)
- Maria C. Jordan − Department of Physiology, David Geffen School of Medicine at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States
- Kenneth P. Roos − Department of Physiology, David Geffen School of Medicine at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.2c00048?ref=pdf)

Author Contributions

H.P. and I.A.C. conceived of the project and designed experiments. H.P. conducted experiments and analyzed data. I.A.C. supervised the project direction. D.R. and S.S.M. developed the Pol-K peptide. H.P. and M.C.J. conducted animal experiments. K.P.R. supervised animal experiments. H.P. and I.A.C. wrote the manuscript with significant input from all authors.

Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): Patent application UC Case 2018-758 and UCLA Case 2022-013-1.

A version of this manuscript was previously submitted to an online preprint server. Peng, H.; Rossetto, D.; Mansy, S.S.; Jordan, M.C.; Roos, K.P.; Chen, I.A. Treatment of wound infections in a mouse model using Zn^{2+} -releasing phage bound to gold nanorods. bioRxiv, January 2, 2022, ver. 1. [10.1101/](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.05.475129) [2022.01.05.475129](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.05.475129) (accessed 2022-02-08).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank J. Li for provision of the P. aeruginosa PAKpmrB6 strain. The authors acknowledge the use of ICP-MS facility within the UC Center for Environmental Implications of Nanotechnology in CNSI at UCLA. The Leica SP8 confocal laser scanning microscopy was performed at the Advanced Light Microscopy/Spectroscopy Laboratory and the Leica Microsystems Center of Excellence at the California NanoSystems Institute at UCLA with funding support from NIH Shared Instrumentation grant S10OD025017 and NSF Major Research Instrumentation grant CHE-0722519. The authors acknowledge the use of instruments at the Electron Imaging Center for NanoMachines

supported by NIH (1S10RR23057 to Z.H.Z.) and CNSI at UCLA. Funding is acknowledged from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (grant DP2GM123457 to I.A.C.), Camille Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar Program (I.A.C.), Simons Foundation (290358FY18 to S.S.M.), and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) [RGPIN-2020-04375 to S.S.M.].

REFERENCES

(1) Morens, D. M.; Folkers, G. K.; Fauci, A. S. [The challenge of](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02759) [emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02759) Nature 2004, 430, 242−249.

(2) Nikaido, H. [Multidrug Resistance in Bacteria.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.78.082907.145923) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2009, 78, 119−146.

(3) Podolsky, S. H[. The evolving response to antibiotic resistance](https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-018-0181-x) (1945−[2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-018-0181-x) Palgrave Commun. 2018, 4, 124.

(4) O'Neill, J. Tackling Drug-resistant Infections Globally: Final Report and Recommendations. Review on Antimicrobial Resistance; Wellcome Trust Collection: London, UK, 2016.

(5) CDC. Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States, 2019; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, CDC: Atlanta, GA, 2019. (6) Nikolich, M. P.; Filippov, A. A. [Bacteriophage Therapy:](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9030135) [Developments and Directions.](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9030135) Antibiotics 2020, 9, 135.

(7) Waldor, M. K.; Mekalanos, J. J. [Lysogenic conversion by a](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.272.5270.1910) [filamentous phage encoding cholera toxin.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.272.5270.1910) Science 1996, 272, 1910− 1914.

(8) Haaber, J.; Leisner, J. J.; Cohn, M. T.; Catalan-Moreno, A.; Nielsen, J. B.; Westh, H.; Penades, J. R.; Ingmer, H. [Bacterial viruses](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13333) [enable their host to acquire antibiotic resistance genes from](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13333) [neighbouring cells.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13333) Nat. Commun. 2016, 7, 13333.

(9) Tarafder, A. K.; von Kugelgen, A.; Mellul, A. J.; Schulze, U.; Aarts, D.; Bharat, T. A. M[. Phage liquid crystalline droplets form](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1917726117) [occlusive sheaths that encapsulate and protect infectious rod-shaped](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1917726117) [bacteria.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1917726117) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2020, 117, 4724−4731.

(10) Torres-Barceló, C. [The disparate effects of bacteriophages on](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41426-018-0169-z) [antibiotic-resistant bacteria.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41426-018-0169-z) Emerg. microbes & infect. 2018, 7, 1−12.

(11) Dąbrowska, K.; Abedon, S. T[. Pharmacologically Aware Phage](https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00012-19) [Therapy: Pharmacodynamic and Pharmacokinetic Obstacles to Phage](https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00012-19) [Antibacterial Action in Animal and Human Bodies.](https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00012-19) Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 2019, 83, e00012.

(12) Abedon, S. T.; Kuhl, S. J.; Blasdel, B. G.; Kutter, E. M. [Phage](https://doi.org/10.4161/bact.1.2.15845) [treatment of human infections.](https://doi.org/10.4161/bact.1.2.15845) Bacteriophage 2011, 1, 66−85.

(13) Lemire, S.; Yehl, K. M.; Lu, T. K. [Phage-Based Applications in](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-092917-043544) [Synthetic Biology.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-092917-043544) Annu. Rev. Virol. 2018, 5, 453−476.

(14) Chen, H.; Liu, Z.; Jiang, O.; Zhang, J.; Huang, J.; You, X.; Liang, Z.; Tao, W.; Wu, J[. Nanocomposite of Au and black](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giant.2021.100073) [phosphorus quantum dots as versatile probes for amphibious SERS](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giant.2021.100073) [spectroscopy, 3D photoacoustic imaging and cancer therapy.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giant.2021.100073) Giant 2021, 8, 100073.

(15) Liang, J.-J.; Zhou, Y.-Y.; Wu, J.; Ding, Y[. Gold Nanoparticle-](https://doi.org/10.2174/1389200215666140605131427)[Based Drug Delivery Platform for Antineoplastic Chemotherapy.](https://doi.org/10.2174/1389200215666140605131427) Curr. Drug Metab. 2014, 15, 620−631.

(16) Rastinehad, A. R.; Anastos, H.; Wajswol, E.; Winoker, J. S.; Sfakianos, J. P.; Doppalapudi, S. K.; Carrick, M. R.; Knauer, C. J.; Taouli, B.; Lewis, S. C.; Tewari, A. K.; Schwartz, J. A.; Canfield, S. E.; George, A. K.; West, J. L.; Halas, N. J[. Gold nanoshell-localized](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1906929116) [photothermal ablation of prostate tumors in a clinical pilot device](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1906929116) [study.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1906929116) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2019, 116, 18590−18596.

(17) Ali, M. R. K.; Wu, Y.; Tang, Y.; Xiao, H.; Chen, K.; Han, T.; Fang, N.; Wu, R.; El-Sayed, M. A[. Targeting cancer cell integrins using](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1703151114) [gold nanorods in photothermal therapy inhibits migration through](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1703151114) [affecting cytoskeletal proteins.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1703151114) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2017, 114, 5655−5663.

(18) Melamed, J. R.; Edelstein, R. S.; Day, E. S. [Elucidating the](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b00021?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Fundamental Mechanisms of Cell Death Triggered by Photothermal](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b00021?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Therapy.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b00021?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) ACS Nano 2015, 9, 6−11.

(19) Wang, Y.; Ju, Z.; Cao, B.; Gao, X.; Zhu, Y.; Qiu, P.; Xu, H.; Pan, P.; Bao, H.; Wang, L.; Mao, C. [Ultrasensitive Rapid Detection of](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b01074?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)

(20) Lee, Y. J.; Yi, H.; Kim, W.-J.; Kang, K.; Yun, D. S.; Strano, M. S.; Ceder, G.; Belcher, A. M. [Fabricating Genetically Engineered](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1171541) [High-Power Lithium-Ion Batteries Using Multiple Virus Genes.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1171541) Science 2009, 324, 1051−1055.

(21) Yacoby, I.; Bar, H.; Benhar, I. [Targeted Drug-Carrying](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00163-07) [Bacteriophages as Antibacterial Nanomedicines.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00163-07) Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2007, 51, 2156−2163.

(22) Peng, H.; Chen, I. A[. Rapid Colorimetric Detection of Bacterial](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.8b06395?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Species through the Capture of Gold Nanoparticles by Chimeric](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.8b06395?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Phages.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.8b06395?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) ACS Nano 2019, 13, 1244−1252.

(23) Marzari, R.; Edomi, P.; Bhatnagar, R. K.; Ahmad, S.; Selvapandiyan, A.; Bradbury, A[. Phage display of Bacillus thuringiensis](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(97)00647-9) [CryIA\(a\) insecticidal toxin.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(97)00647-9) FEBS Lett. 1997, 411, 27−31.

(24) Peng, H.; Borg, R. E.; Dow, L. P.; Pruitt, B. L.; Chen, I. A. [Controlled phage therapy by photothermal ablation of specific](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1913234117) [bacterial species using gold nanorods targeted by chimeric phages.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1913234117) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2020, 117, 1951−1961.

(25) Gottrup, F.; Holstein, P.; Jørgensen, B.; Lohmann, M.; Karlsmar, T. [A New Concept of a Multidisciplinary Wound Healing](https://doi.org/10.1001/archsurg.136.7.765) [Center and a National Expert Function of Wound Healing.](https://doi.org/10.1001/archsurg.136.7.765) Arch. Surg. 2001, 136, 765−772.

(26) Klockgether, J.; Tümmler, B[. Recent advances in understanding](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10506.1) [Pseudomonas aeruginosa as a pathogen.](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10506.1) F1000Research 2017, 6, 1261.

(27) Serra, R.; Grande, R.; Butrico, L.; Rossi, A.; Settimio, U. F.; Caroleo, B.; Amato, B.; Gallelli, L.; de Franciscis, S. [Chronic wound](https://doi.org/10.1586/14787210.2015.1023291) [infections: the role of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus](https://doi.org/10.1586/14787210.2015.1023291) [aureus.](https://doi.org/10.1586/14787210.2015.1023291) Expert Rev. Anti. Infect. Ther. 2015, 13, 605−613.

(28) Obritsch, M. D.; Fish, D. N.; MacLaren, R.; Jung, R. [Nosocomial Infections Due to Multidrug-Resistant Pseudomonas](https://doi.org/10.1592/phco.2005.25.10.1353) [aeruginosa: Epidemiology and Treatment Options.](https://doi.org/10.1592/phco.2005.25.10.1353) Pharmacotherapy 2005, 25, 1353−1364.

(29) Bodey, G. P.; Bolivar, R.; Fainstein, V.; Jadeja, L. [Infections](https://doi.org/10.1093/clinids/5.2.279) [Caused by Pseudomonas aeruginosa.](https://doi.org/10.1093/clinids/5.2.279) Rev. Infect. Dis. 1983, 5, 279− 313.

(30) World Health Organization. Global Priority List of Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria to Guide Research, Discovery, and Development of New Antibiotics. WHO Press: Geneva, 2017.

(31) Maura, D.; Bandyopadhaya, A.; Rahme, L. G. Animal Models for Pseudomonas aeruginosa Quorum Sensing Studies. In Quorum Sensing: Methods and Protocols; Leoni, L., Rampioni, G., Eds.; Springer New York: New York, 2018; pp 227−241.

(32) Steele, A.; Stacey, H. J.; de Soir, S.; Jones, J. D. [The Safety and](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9110754) [Efficacy of Phage Therapy for Superficial Bacterial Infections: A](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9110754) [Systematic Review.](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9110754) Antibiotics 2020, 9, 754.

(33) Burrows, L. L. [Pseudomonas aeruginosa Twitching Motility:](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-092611-150055) [Type IV Pili in Action.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-092611-150055) Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 2012, 66, 493−520.

(34) Denis, K.; Le Bris, M.; Le Guennec, L.; Barnier, J.-P.; Faure, C.; Gouge, A.; Bouzinba-Ségard, H.; Jamet, A.; Euphrasie, D.; Durel, B.; Barois, N.; Pelissier, P.; Morand, P. C.; Coureuil, M.; Lafont, F.; Join-Lambert, O.; Nassif, X.; Bourdoulous, S. [Targeting Type IV pili as an](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0395-8) [antivirulence strategy against invasive meningococcal disease.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0395-8) Nat. Microbiol. 2019, 4, 972−984.

(35) Duménil, G[. Type IV Pili as a Therapeutic Target.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2019.05.005) Trends Microbiol 2019, 27, 658−661.

(36) Persat, A.; Inclan, Y. F.; Engel, J. N.; Stone, H. A.; Gitai, Z. [Type IV pili mechanochemically regulate virulence factors in](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1502025112) [Pseudomonas aeruginosa.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1502025112) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2015, 112, 7563−7568.

(37) Peng, H.; Borg, R. E.; Dow, L. P.; Pruitt, B. L.; Chen, I. A. [Controlled phage therapy by photothermal ablation of specific](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1913234117) [bacterial species using gold nanorods targeted by chimeric phages.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1913234117) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2020, 117, 1951−1961.

(38) Lansdown, A. B. G.; Mirastschijski, U.; Stubbs, N.; Scanlon, E.; Ågren, M. S[. Zinc in wound healing: Theoretical, experimental, and](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-475X.2006.00179.x) [clinical aspects.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-475X.2006.00179.x) Wound Repair Regen 2007, 15, 2−16.

(39) Negut, I.; Grumezescu, V.; Grumezescu, A. M[. Treatment](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23092392) [Strategies for Infected Wounds.](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23092392) Molecules 2018, 23, 2392.

(40) Kus-Liskiewicz, M.; Fickers, P.; Ben Tahar, I. [Biocompatibility](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222010952) ́ [and Cytotoxicity of Gold Nanoparticles: Recent Advances in](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222010952) [Methodologies and Regulations.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222010952) Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2021, 22, 10952.

(41) Wilkinson, E. A. J.; Hawke, C. C[. Oral zinc for arterial and](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001273) [venous leg ulcers.](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001273) Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 1998, 9, CD001273.

(42) Zhang, Y.; Nayak, R. T.; Hong, H.; Cai, W. [Biomedical](https://doi.org/10.2174/1566524013666131111130058) [Applications of Zinc Oxide Nanomaterials.](https://doi.org/10.2174/1566524013666131111130058) Curr. Mol. Med. 2013, 13, 1633−1645.

(43) Wang, S.; Li, R.; Xia, D.; Zhao, X.; Zhu, Y.; Gu, R.; Yoon, J.; Liu, Y[. The impact of Zn-doped synthetic polymer materials on bone](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-021-02195-y) [regeneration: a systematic review.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-021-02195-y) Stem Cell Res. Ther. 2021, 12, 123.

(44) Barbas, C. F. Phage display: a laboratory manual; Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: Cold Spring Harbor, NY, 2001.

(45) Lin, A.; Jimenez, J.; Derr, J.; Vera, P.; Manapat, M. L.; Esvelt, K. M.; Villanueva, L.; Liu, D. R.; Chen, I. A[. Inhibition of Bacterial](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019991) [Conjugation by Phage M13 and Its Protein g3p: Quantitative Analysis](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019991) [and Model.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019991) PLoS One 2011, 6, No. e19991.

(46) Bonomi, F.; Iametti, S.; Mazzini, S.; Fessas, D.; Kurtz, D. M., Jr. [Thermal stability of Clostridium pasteurianum rubredoxin: Deconvo](https://doi.org/10.1110/ps.9.12.2413)[luting the contributions of the metal site and the protein.](https://doi.org/10.1110/ps.9.12.2413) Protein Sci. 2000, 9, 2413−2426.

(47) Perales-Calvo, J.; Lezamiz, A.; Garcia-Manyes, S. [The](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.5b01371?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Mechanochemistry of a Structural Zinc Finger.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.5b01371?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) J. Phys. Chem.Lett. 2015, 6, 3335−3340.

(48) Vallee, W. M. B. L. [\[3\] Cobalt as probe and label of proteins.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0076-6879(93)26005-T) Meth. Enzymol. 1993, 226, 52−71.

(49) Bonfio, C.; Valer, L.; Scintilla, S.; Shah, S.; Evans, D. J.; Jin, L.; Szostak, J. W.; Sasselov, D. D.; Sutherland, J. D.; Mansy, S. S. [UV](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.2817)[light-driven prebiotic synthesis of iron](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.2817)−sulfur clusters. Nat. Chem. 2017, 9, 1229−1234.

(50) Jain, P. K.; Lee, K. S.; El-Sayed, I. H.; El-Sayed, M. A. [Calculated Absorption and Scattering Properties of Gold Nano](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp057170o?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)[particles of Different Size, Shape, and Composition: Applications in](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp057170o?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Biological Imaging and Biomedicine.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp057170o?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 7238−7248.

(51) Almada, M.; Leal-Martínez, B. H.; Hassan, N.; Kogan, M. J.; Burboa, M. G.; Topete, A.; Valdez, M. A.; Juárez, J. [Photothermal](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2017.03.218) [conversion efficiency and cytotoxic effect of gold nanorods stabilized](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2017.03.218) [with chitosan, alginate and poly\(vinyl alcohol\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2017.03.218) Mater. Sci. Eng., C 2017, 77, 583−593.

(52) Riduan, S. N.; Zhang, Y. [Recent Advances of Zinc-based](https://doi.org/10.1002/asia.202100656) [Antimicrobial Materials.](https://doi.org/10.1002/asia.202100656) Chem.-Asian J. 2021, 16, 2588-2595.

(53) Imani, S. M.; Ladouceur, L.; Marshall, T.; Maclachlan, R.; Soleymani, L.; Didar, T. F. [Antimicrobial Nanomaterials and](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.0c05937?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Coatings: Current Mechanisms and Future Perspectives to Control](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.0c05937?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [the Spread of Viruses Including SARS-CoV-2.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.0c05937?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) ACS Nano 2020, 14, 12341−12369.

(54) Ning, C.; Wang, X.; Li, L.; Zhu, Y.; Li, M.; Yu, P.; Zhou, L.; Zhou, Z.; Chen, J.; Tan, G.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Mao, C. [Concentration Ranges of Antibacterial Cations for Showing the](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrestox.5b00258?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Highest Antibacterial Efficacy but the Least Cytotoxicity against](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrestox.5b00258?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Mammalian Cells: Implications for a New Antibacterial Mechanism.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrestox.5b00258?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Chem. Res. Toxicol. 2015, 28, 1815−1822.

(55) Nickel, J. C.; Ruseska, I.; Wright, J. B.; Costerton, J. W. [Tobramycin resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa cells growing as a](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.27.4.619) [biofilm on urinary catheter material.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.27.4.619) Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1985, 27, 619−624.

(56) Driscoll, J. A.; Brody, S. L.; Kollef, M. H. [The Epidemiology,](https://doi.org/10.2165/00003495-200767030-00003) [Pathogenesis and Treatment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Infections.](https://doi.org/10.2165/00003495-200767030-00003) Drugs 2007, 67, 351−368.

(57) Wang, C.; Irudayaraj, J. [Gold Nanorod Probes for the](https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.200800309) [Detection of Multiple Pathogens.](https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.200800309) Small 2008, 4, 2204−2208.

(58) Joshi, P. P.; Yoon, S. J.; Hardin, W. G.; Emelianov, S.; Sokolov, K. V[. Conjugation of Antibodies to Gold Nanorods through Fc](https://doi.org/10.1021/bc3004815?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Portion: Synthesis and Molecular Specific Imaging.](https://doi.org/10.1021/bc3004815?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Bioconjugate Chem. 2013, 24, 878−888.

(59) Liu, L.; Wang, S.; Zhao, B.; Pei, P.; Fan, Y.; Li, X.; Zhang, F. [Er3+ Sensitized 1530 to 1180 nm Second Near-Infrared Window](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201802889) [Upconversion Nanocrystals for In Vivo Biosensing.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201802889) Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 7518−7522.

(60) Wu, C.; Labrie, J.; Tremblay, Y. D. N.; Haine, D.; Mourez, M.; Jacques, M. [Zinc as an agent for the prevention of biofilm formation](https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12197) [by pathogenic bacteria.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12197) J. Appl. Microbiol. 2013, 115, 30−40.

(61) Wu, M.-C.; Deokar, A. R.; Liao, J.-H.; Shih, P.-Y.; Ling, Y.-C. [Graphene-Based Photothermal Agent for Rapid and Effective Killing](https://doi.org/10.1021/nn304782d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [of Bacteria.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nn304782d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) ACS Nano 2013, 7, 1281−1290.

(62) Yu, T.-J.; Li, P.-H.; Tseng, T.-W.; Chen, Y.-C[. Multifunctional](https://doi.org/10.2217/nnm.11.34) [Fe3O4/alumina core/shell MNPs as photothermal agents for targeted](https://doi.org/10.2217/nnm.11.34) [hyperthermia of nosocomial and antibiotic-resistant bacteria.](https://doi.org/10.2217/nnm.11.34) Nanomedicine 2011, 6 (8), 1353−1363.

(63) Khan, A. A.; Banwell, P. E.; Bakker, M. C.; Gillespie, P. G.; McGrouther, D. A.; Roberts, A. H. [Topical radiant heating in wound](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4801.2004.00065.x) [healing: an experimental study in a donor site wound model.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4801.2004.00065.x) Int. Wound J. 2004, 1, 233−240.

(64) Rabkin, J. M.; Hunt, T. K. [Local Heat Increases Blood Flow](https://doi.org/10.1001/archsurg.1987.01400140103014) [and Oxygen Tension in Wounds.](https://doi.org/10.1001/archsurg.1987.01400140103014) Arch. Surg. 1987, 122, 221−225.

(65) Gutu, A. D.; Sgambati, N.; Strasbourger, P.; Brannon, M. K.; Jacobs, M. A.; Haugen, E.; Kaul, R. K.; Johansen, H. K.; Høiby, N.; Moskowitz, S. M. [Polymyxin Resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02353-12) [phoQ Mutants Is Dependent on](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02353-12) Additional Two-Component [Regulatory Systems.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02353-12) Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2013, 57, 2204− 2215.

(66) Miller, A. K.; Brannon, M. K.; Stevens, L.; Johansen, H. K.; Selgrade, S. E.; Miller, S. I.; Høiby, N.; Moskowitz, S. M. [PhoQ](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.05391-11) [Mutations Promote Lipid A Modification and Polymyxin Resistance](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.05391-11) [of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Found in Colistin-Treated Cystic Fibrosis](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.05391-11) [Patients.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.05391-11) Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2011, 55, 5761−5769.

(67) Vairo, C.; Collantes, M.; Quincoces, G.; Villullas, S.; Peñuelas, I.; Pastor, M.; Gil, A. G.; Gainza, E.; Hernandez, R. M.; Igartua, M.; Gainza, G. [Preclinical safety of topically administered nanostructured](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2019.118484) [lipid carriers \(NLC\) for wound healing application: biodistribution](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2019.118484) [and toxicity studies.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2019.118484) Int. J. Pharm. 2019, 569, 118484.

(68) Keleştemur, S.; Kilic, E.; Uslu, Ü.; Cumbul, A.; Ugur, M.; Akman, S.; Culha, M[. Wound healing properties of modified silver](https://doi.org/10.5101/nbe.v4i4.p170-176) [nanoparticles and their distribution in mouse organs after topical](https://doi.org/10.5101/nbe.v4i4.p170-176) [application.](https://doi.org/10.5101/nbe.v4i4.p170-176) Nano Biomed. Eng. 2012, 4, 160−176.

(69) Weitz, J. S.; Hartman, H.; Levin, S. A[. Coevolutionary arms](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0504062102) [races between bacteria and bacteriophage.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0504062102) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2005, 102, 9535−9540.

(70) Hampton, H. G.; Watson, B. N. J.; Fineran, P. C[. The arms race](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1894-8) [between bacteria and their phage foes.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1894-8) Nature 2020, 577, 327−336. (71) Brüssow, H.; Canchaya, C.; Hardt, W.-D. [Phages and the](https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.68.3.560-602.2004) [Evolution of Bacterial Pathogens: from Genomic Rearrangements to](https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.68.3.560-602.2004) [Lysogenic Conversion.](https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.68.3.560-602.2004) Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 2004, 68, 560−602. (72) Joiner, K. L.; Baljon, A.; Barr, J.; Rohwer, F.; Luque, A. [Impact](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52794-2) [of bacteria motility in the encounter rates with bacteriophage in](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52794-2) [mucus.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52794-2) Sci. Rep. 2019, 9, 16427.

(73) Barr, J. J.; Auro, R.; Sam-Soon, N.; Kassegne, S.; Peters, G.; Bonilla, N.; Hatay, M.; Mourtada, S.; Bailey, B.; Youle, M.; Felts, B.; Baljon, A.; Nulton, J.; Salamon, P.; Rohwer, F[. Subdiffusive motion of](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1508355112) [bacteriophage in mucosal surfaces increases the frequency of bacterial](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1508355112) [encounters.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1508355112) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2015, 112, 13675−13680.

(74) Selle, K.; Fletcher, J. R.; Tuson, H.; Schmitt, D. S.; McMillan, L.; Vridhambal, G. S.; Rivera, A. J.; Montgomery, S. A.; Fortier, L.-C.; Barrangou, R.; Theriot, C. M.; Ousterout, D. G. [In Vivo Targeting of](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00019-20) [Clostridioides difficile Using Phage-Delivered CRISPR-Cas3 Anti](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00019-20)[microbials.](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00019-20) mBio 2020, 11, e00019.

(75) Ghose, C.; Euler, C. W. [Gram-Negative Bacterial Lysins.](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9020074) Antibiotics 2020, 9, 74.

(76) Peng, H.; Chen, I. A[. Phage engineering and the evolutionary](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2020.09.009) [arms race.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2020.09.009) Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 2021, 68, 23−29.

(77) Ochoa, S. A.; Cruz-Cordova, A.; Rodea, G. E.; Cazares-Dominguez, V.; Escalona, G.; Arellano-Galindo, J.; Hernandez-Castro, R.; Reyes-Lopez, A.; Xicohtencatl-Cortes, J. [Phenotypic character](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2014.11.005)[ization of multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains isolated](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2014.11.005) [from pediatric patients associated to biofilm formation.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2014.11.005) Microbiol. Res. 2015, 172, 68−78.

(78) Horna, G.; Quezada, K.; Ramos, S.; Mosqueda, N.; Rubio, M.; Guerra, H.; Ruiz, J[. Specific type IV pili groups in clinical isolates of](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10123-018-00035-3) [Pseudomonas aeruginosa.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10123-018-00035-3) Int. Microbiol. 2019, 22, 131−141.

(79) Millenbaugh, N. J.; Baskin, J. B.; DeSilva, M. N.; Elliott, W. R.; Glickman, R. D[. Photothermal killing of Staphylococcus aureus using](https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S76150) [antibody-targeted gold nanoparticles.](https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S76150) Int. J. Nanomed. 2015, 10, 1953−1960.

(80) Teng, C. P.; Zhou, T.; Ye, E.; Liu, S.; Koh, L. D.; Low, M.; Loh, X. J.; Win, K. Y.; Zhang, L.; Han, M.-Y. [Effective Targeted](https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201600346) [Photothermal Ablation of Multidrug Resistant Bacteria and Their](https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201600346) [Biofilms with NIR-Absorbing Gold Nanocrosses.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201600346) Adv. Healthc. Mater. 2016, 5, 2122−2130.

(81) Norman, R. S.; Stone, J. W.; Gole, A.; Murphy, C. J.; Sabo-Attwood, T. L[. Targeted Photothermal Lysis of the Pathogenic](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl0727056?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Bacteria, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, with Gold Nanorods.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl0727056?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Nano Lett. 2008, 8, 302−306.

(82) Peng, H.; Borg, R. E.; Nguyen, A. B. N.; Chen, I. A. [Chimeric](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.0c00654?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Phage Nanoparticles for Rapid Characterization of Bacterial](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.0c00654?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Pathogens: Detection in Complex Biological Samples and Determi](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.0c00654?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)[nation of Antibiotic Sensitivity.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.0c00654?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) ACS Sens 2020, 5, 1491−1499.

(83) Rossi, I.; Bettini, R.; Buttini, F[. Resistant Tuberculosis: the](https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612827666210122143214) [Latest Advancements of Second-line Antibiotic Inhalation Products.](https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612827666210122143214) Curr. Pharm. Des 2021, 27, 1436−1452.

(84) Milatovic, D.; Braveny, I[. Development of resistance during](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02017607) [antibiotic therapy.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02017607) Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1987, 6, 234−244.

(85) Rhee, C.; Kadri, S. S.; Dekker, J. P.; Danner, R. L.; Chen, H.-C.; Fram, D.; Zhang, F.; Wang, R.; Klompas, M[. Prevalence of Antibiotic-](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.2899)[Resistant Pathogens in Culture-Proven Sepsis and Outcomes](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.2899) [Associated With Inadequate and Broad-Spectrum Empiric Antibiotic](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.2899) [Use.](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.2899) JAMA Netw. Open 2020, 3, e202899−e202899.

(86) Marwick, C.; Broomhall, J.; McCowan, C.; Phillips, G.; Gonzalez-McQuire, S.; Akhras, K.; Merchant, S.; Nathwani, D.; Davey, P. [Severity assessment of skin and soft tissue infections: cohort](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkq362) [study of management and outcomes for hospitalized patients.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkq362) J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2011, 66, 387−397.

(87) Carmeli, Y.; Troillet, N.; Eliopoulos, G. M.; Samore, M. H. [Emergence of Antibiotic-Resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa: Com](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.43.6.1379)[parison of Risks Associated with Different Antipseudomonal Agents.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.43.6.1379) Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1999, 43, 1379−1382.

(88) Bull, J. J.; Levin, B. R.; Molineux, I. J. [Promises and Pitfalls of In](https://doi.org/10.3390/v11121083) [Vivo Evolution to Improve Phage Therapy.](https://doi.org/10.3390/v11121083) Viruses 2019, 11, 1083.

(89) Kashiwagi, A.; Yomo, T. [Ongoing Phenotypic and Genomic](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002188) Changes in Experimental Coevolution of RNA Bacteriophage $Q\beta$ and [Escherichia coli.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002188) PLoS Genet 2011, 7, No. e1002188.

(90) Meyer, J. R.; Dobias, D. T.; Weitz, J. S.; Barrick, J. E.; Quick, R. T.; Lenski, R. E. [Repeatability and Contingency in the Evolution of a](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1214449) [Key Innovation in Phage Lambda.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1214449) Science 2012, 335, 428−432.

(91) Hayashi, Y.; Sakata, H.; Makino, Y.; Urabe, I.; Yomo, T. [Can an](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-002-2389-y) [Arbitrary Sequence Evolve Towards Acquiring a Biological Function?](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-002-2389-y) J. Mol. Evol. 2003, 56, 162−168.

(92) Petrie, K. L.; Palmer, N. D.; Johnson, D. T.; Medina, S. J.; Yan, S. J.; Li, V.; Burmeister, A. R.; Meyer, J. R[. Destabilizing mutations](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar1954) [encode nongenetic variation that drives evolutionary innovation.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar1954) Science 2018, 359, 1542−1545.

(93) Characklis, W. G.; Marshall, K. C. Biofilms; Wiley: New York, 1990; pp 93−130.

(94) Trueba, A.; Eguía, E.; Río-Calonge, B.; Girón, A.; Amieva, J. J.; Bielva, C[. Combined monitor for direct and indirect measurement of](https://doi.org/10.1080/08927010701817241) [biofouling.](https://doi.org/10.1080/08927010701817241) Biofouling 2008, 24, 75−86.

(95) Sabuda, D. M.; Laupland, K.; Pitout, J.; Dalton, B.; Rabin, H.; Louie, T.; Conly, J. [Utilization of Colistin for Treatment of](https://doi.org/10.1155/2008/743197) [Multidrug-Resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2008/743197) Can. J. Infect. Dis. Med. Microbiol. 2008, 19, 743197.

(96) Kluska, K.; Adamczyk, J.; Kręzel, A[. Metal binding properties of](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7MT00256D) ̇ [zinc fingers with a naturally altered metal binding site.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7MT00256D) Metallomics 2018, 10, 248−263.

(97) Ye, Q.; Chen, W.; Huang, H.; Tang, Y.; Wang, W.; Meng, F.; Wang, H.; Zheng, Y[. Iron and zinc ions, potent weapons against](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-020-10600-4) [multidrug-resistant bacteria.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-020-10600-4) Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2020, 104, 5213−5227.

(98) Dwivedi, S.; Wahab, R.; Khan, F.; Mishra, Y. K.; Musarrat, J.; Al-Khedhairy, A. A. [Reactive Oxygen Species Mediated Bacterial](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111289) [Biofilm Inhibition via Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles and Their Statistical](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111289) [Determination.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111289) PLoS One 2014, 9, No. e111289.

(99) Plum, L. M.; Rink, L.; Haase, H. [The Essential Toxin: Impact](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph7041342) [of Zinc on Human Health.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph7041342) Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2010, 7, 1342−1365.

(100) Roohani, N.; Hurrell, R.; Kelishadi, R.; Schulin, R. Zinc and its importance for human health: An integrative review. J. Res. Med. Sci. 2013, 18, 144−157.

(101) Osorio, R.; Yamauti, M.; Osorio, E.; Ruiz-Requena, M. E.; Pashley, D. H.; Tay, F. R.; Toledano, M[. Zinc reduces collagen](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2010.11.005) [degradation in demineralized human dentin explants.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2010.11.005) J. Dent. 2011, 39, 148−153.

(102) Jørgensen, L. N. Collagen deposition in the subcutaneous tissue during wound healing in humans: a model evaluation. APMIS Suppl 2003, 115, 1−56.

(103) Nyström, A. 9 - Collagens in wound healing. In Wound Healing Biomaterials; Ågren, M. S., Ed.; Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2016; pp 171−201.

(104) Finney, L. A.; O'Halloran, T. V[. Transition Metal Speciation](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1085049) [in the Cell: Insights from the Chemistry of Metal Ion Receptors.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1085049) Science 2003, 300, 931−936.

(105) Corbin, B. D.; Seeley, E. H.; Raab, A.; Feldmann, J.; Miller, M. R.; Torres, V. J.; Anderson, K. L.; Dattilo, B. M.; Dunman, P. M.; Gerads, R.; Caprioli, R. M.; Nacken, W.; Chazin, W. J.; Skaar, E. P. [Metal Chelation and Inhibition of Bacterial Growth in Tissue](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1152449) [Abscesses.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1152449) Science 2008, 319, 962−965.

(106) McDevitt, C. A.; Ogunniyi, A. D.; Valkov, E.; Lawrence, M. C.; Kobe, B.; McEwan, A. G.; Paton, J. C[. A Molecular Mechanism for](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002357) [Bacterial Susceptibility to Zinc.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002357) PLoS Pathog 2011, 7, No. e1002357.

(107) Fan, W.; Sun, Q.; Li, Y.; Tay, F. R.; Fan, B. [Synergistic](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-018-0336-3) mechanism of $Ag^{\text{+}}$ - Zn^{2+} [in anti-bacterial activity against Enter](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-018-0336-3)[ococcus faecalis and its application against dentin infection.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-018-0336-3) J. Nanobiotechnology 2018, 16, 10.

(108) Li, Y.; Liu, X.; Tan, L.; Cui, Z.; Yang, X.; Zheng, Y.; Yeung, K. W. K.; Chu, P. K.; Wu, S. [Rapid Sterilization and Accelerated Wound](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201800299) Healing Using Zn^{2+} [and Graphene Oxide Modified g-C3N4 under](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201800299) [Dual Light Irradiation.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201800299) Adv. Funct. Mater. 2018, 28, 1800299.

(109) Flores, C. O.; Meyer, J. R.; Valverde, S.; Farr, L.; Weitz, J. S. [Statistical structure of host](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1101595108)−phage interactions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2011, 108, E288−E297.

(110) Dethlefsen, L.; McFall-Ngai, M.; Relman, D. A[. An ecological](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06245) [and evolutionary perspective on human](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06245)−microbe mutualism and [disease.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06245) Nature 2007, 449, 811−818.

(111) Zheng, D.; Liwinski, T.; Elinav, E. [Interaction between](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-020-0332-7) [microbiota and immunity in health and disease.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-020-0332-7) Cell Res. 2020, 30, 492−506.

(112) Belmonte, L.; Mansy, S. S. [Patterns of Ligands Coordinated to](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.7b00468?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Metallocofactors Extracted from the Protein Data Bank.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.7b00468?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2017, 57, 3162−3171.

(113) Cramer, P.; Bushnell, D. A.; Kornberg, R. D. [Structural Basis](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1059493) [of Transcription: RNA Polymerase II at 2.8 Ångstrom Resolution.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1059493) Science 2001, 292, 1863−1876.

(114) Shelton, P. T., Jensen, K. J. Peptide Synthesis and Applications; Humana Press Inc: Totowa, NJ, 2016; pp 23−41.

(115) Cheng, H. C[. The power issue: determination of KB or Ki](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1056-8719(02)00166-1) [from IC50: A closer look at the Cheng](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1056-8719(02)00166-1)−Prusoff equation, the Schild [plot and related power equations.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1056-8719(02)00166-1) J. Pharmacol. Toxicol. Methods 2001, 46, 61−71.

(116) Ellman, G. L[. Tissue sulfhydryl groups.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(59)90090-6) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1959, 82, 70−77.

(117) Cole, J. R.; Mirin, N. A.; Knight, M. W.; Goodrich, G. P.; Halas, N. J. [Photothermal Efficiencies of Nanoshells and Nanorods for](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp9003592?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Clinical Therapeutic Applications.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp9003592?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 12090−12094.

(118) Roper, D. K.; Ahn, W.; Hoepfner, M. [Microscale Heat](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp064341w?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Transfer Transduced by Surface Plasmon Resonant Gold Nano](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp064341w?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)[particles.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp064341w?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 3636−3641.

(119) O'Toole, G. A. [Microtiter Dish Biofilm Formation Assay.](https://doi.org/10.3791/2437) J. Vis. Exp. 2011, 47, No. e2437.

(120) Fischer, A. H.; Jacobson, K. A.; Rose, J.; Zeller, R. [Hematoxylin and Eosin Staining of Tissue and Cell Sections.](https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.prot4986) Cold Spring Harb. Protoc. 2008, 2008, pdb.prot4986.

(121) Foot, N. C[. The Masson Trichrome Staining Methods in](https://doi.org/10.3109/10520293309116112) [Routine Laboratory Use.](https://doi.org/10.3109/10520293309116112) Stain Technol. 1933, 8, 101−110.