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## 1 Introduction

This report presents the progress on activities carried out on the topic of Metamaterials.

## 2 Mathematical Formulation

Each one step transformation involves two domains. In this report, the first domain is called "virtual domain" or "virtual space" while the other one is referred to as "physical domain" or "physical space". In addition, the terms "virtual" and "physical" are used to describe entities in virtual and physical spaces respectively. The rectangular coordinate system in virtual space is labeled as $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)$ whereas in the physical space the labels $(x, y, z)$ are used.

If the transformation from $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)$ to $(x, y, z)$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(x, y, z)=\Gamma\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)  \tag{1}\\
x=x\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2}\\
y=y\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)  \tag{3}\\
z=z\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right) \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

the Jacobian matrix of the transformation $\underline{\Lambda}$ will be:

$$
\underline{\Lambda}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial x}{\partial x^{\prime}} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial y^{\prime}} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial z^{\prime}}  \tag{5}\\
\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\prime}} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial y^{\prime}} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\prime}} \\
\frac{\partial z}{\partial x^{\prime}} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial y^{\prime}} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial z^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right] \text {. }
$$

For the inverse transformation i.e. $(x, y, z)$ to $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)=\Gamma^{\prime}(x, y, z) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=x^{\prime}(x, y, z) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=y^{\prime}(x, y, z) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}=z^{\prime}(x, y, z) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the corresponding Jacobian matrix will be

$$
\underline{\Lambda}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial x^{\prime}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial x^{\prime}}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial x^{\prime}}{\partial z}  \tag{10}\\
\frac{\partial y^{\prime}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial y^{\prime}}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial y^{\prime}}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial z^{\prime}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial z^{\prime}}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial z^{\prime}}{\partial z}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

and the following relations can be established.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\Lambda}^{\prime}=\underline{\Lambda}^{-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\underline{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(\underline{\Lambda})} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\underline{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ and $\underline{\mu}^{\prime}$ represent permittivity and permeability tensors in virtual medium respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\epsilon}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\epsilon_{x x}^{\prime} & \epsilon_{x y}^{\prime} & \epsilon_{x z}^{\prime} \\
\epsilon_{y x}^{\prime} & \epsilon_{y y}^{\prime} & \epsilon_{y z}^{\prime} \\
\epsilon_{z x}^{\prime} & \epsilon_{z y}^{\prime} & \epsilon_{z z}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{13}\\
& \underline{\mu}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mu_{x x}^{\prime} & \mu_{x y}^{\prime} & \mu_{x z}^{\prime} \\
\mu_{y x}^{\prime} & \mu_{y y}^{\prime} & \mu_{y z}^{\prime} \\
\mu_{z x}^{\prime} & \mu_{z y}^{\prime} & \mu_{z z}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

corresponding permittivity and permeability tensors in physical space can be computed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\epsilon}=\frac{\underline{\Lambda} \underline{\epsilon}^{\prime} \underline{\Lambda}^{T}}{\operatorname{det}(\underline{\Lambda})}  \tag{15}\\
& \underline{\mu}=\frac{\underline{\Lambda}^{\prime} \underline{\mu}^{\prime} \underline{\Lambda}^{T}}{\operatorname{det}(\underline{\Lambda})} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

If there is a source with current $I^{\prime}$ and current density $J^{\prime}$ in virtual space its corresponding image in the physical space can be computed as [2]

$$
\begin{gather*}
J=\frac{\underline{\Lambda} J^{\prime}}{\operatorname{det}(\underline{\Lambda})}  \tag{17}\\
I=I^{\prime} \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

For a cascade of transformations: $\underline{\hat{\Gamma}}\left\{\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ followed by $\underline{\tilde{\Gamma}}\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(x, y, z)\right\}$, the overall transformation $\underline{\Gamma}\left\{\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow(x, y, z)\right\}$ can be formulated as follows. In the following discussion, and in the remaining of this report, when dealing with cascade of transformations, the space defined by the coordinates $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)$ will be termed as the Intermediate space and objects defined in this space will be called intermediate objects. Let $\underline{\hat{\Lambda}}$ and $\underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ represent the Jacobian matrices of the transformations $\underline{\hat{\Gamma}}$ and $\underline{\tilde{\Gamma}}$ respectively defined as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\hat{\Lambda}}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\partial x^{\prime}}{\partial x^{\prime \prime}} & \frac{\partial x^{\prime \prime}}{\partial y^{\prime \prime}} & \frac{\partial x^{\prime}}{\partial z^{\prime \prime}} \\
\frac{\partial y^{\prime}}{\partial x^{\prime \prime}} & \frac{\partial y^{\prime}}{\partial y^{\prime \prime}} & \frac{\partial y^{\prime}}{\partial z^{\prime \prime}} \\
\frac{\partial z^{\prime}}{\partial x^{\prime \prime}} & \frac{\partial z^{\prime \prime}}{\partial y^{\prime \prime}} & \frac{\partial z^{\prime}}{\partial z^{\prime \prime}}
\end{array}\right] .  \tag{19}\\
& \underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\partial x}{\partial x^{\prime}} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial y^{\prime}} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial z^{\prime}} \\
\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\prime}} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial y^{\prime}} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\prime}} \\
\frac{\partial z}{\partial x^{\prime}} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial y^{\prime}} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial z^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right] . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Further more, let $\left\{\underline{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}, \underline{\mu}^{\prime \prime}\right\},\left\{\underline{\epsilon}^{\prime}, \underline{\mu}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\{\underline{\epsilon}, \mu\}$ represent sets of permittivity and permeability tensors in $\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)$ and $(x, y, z)$ spaces respectively, while the corresponding currents are represented as $J^{\prime \prime}$,
$J^{\prime}$ and $J$. Considering the transformation: $\underline{\tilde{\Gamma}}\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(x, y, z)\right\}$, the following relations can be established:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\epsilon}=\frac{\tilde{\tilde{\Lambda}} \underline{\epsilon}^{\prime} \underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}^{T}}{\operatorname{det}(\underline{\tilde{\Lambda}})}  \tag{21}\\
& \underline{\mu}=\frac{\underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}^{\prime} \underline{\underline{\mu}}^{\prime} \underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}^{T}}{\operatorname{det}(\underline{\tilde{\Lambda}})} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

and for the other transformation, $\underline{\hat{\Gamma}}\left\{\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\epsilon}^{\prime}=\frac{\hat{\hat{\Lambda}} \underline{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \underline{\hat{\Lambda}}^{T}}{\operatorname{det}(\underline{\hat{\jmath}})}  \tag{23}\\
& \underline{\mu}^{\prime}=\frac{\underline{\hat{\Lambda}} \underline{\mu}^{\prime \prime} \underline{\hat{\Lambda}}^{T}}{\operatorname{det}(\underline{\hat{\Lambda}})} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (23) and (24) in (21) and (22) respectively and rearranging terms gives the relationship between material properties for the overall transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\epsilon}=\frac{(\underline{\tilde{\Lambda} \hat{\Lambda}}) \underline{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\underline{\tilde{\Lambda} \hat{\Lambda}})^{T}}{\operatorname{det}(\underline{\tilde{\Lambda} \hat{\Lambda}})}  \tag{25}\\
& \underline{\mu}=\frac{(\underline{\tilde{\Lambda} \hat{\Lambda}}) \underline{\mu}^{\prime \prime}(\underline{\tilde{\Lambda} \hat{\Lambda}})^{T}}{\operatorname{det}(\underline{\tilde{\Lambda} \hat{\Lambda}})} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Following similar analysis, the current sources for the complete transformation can be related as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
J=\frac{(\underline{\tilde{\Lambda} \hat{\Lambda}}) J^{\prime \prime}}{\operatorname{det}(\underline{\tilde{\Lambda} \hat{\Lambda}})}  \tag{27}\\
I=I^{\prime}=I^{\prime \prime} \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 2.1 +qTo Library

The + qTo software library is a numerical implementation of 2D Transformation. It takes boundary contour of virtual space as input and generates grid of transformation to a rectangular region. It first selects points on the input contour in virtual space corresponding to uniformly distributed points on the contour of rectangle in physical space. The internal grid is generated by taking these points as boundary conditions and solving the 2D Laplacian equation. The detail of the solution is presented in [4].

Equations (15) and (16) are used to compute material permittivity and permeability tensors for generic transformation. Under the following assumptions, the expression for these quantities can be simplified[5].

- $T E$ or $T M$ mode of propagation.
- Grid lines are orthogonal.
- Size of mesh elements is equal (square mesh).
- Isotropic medium in virtual space.

Under such assumptions, permittivity and permeability in physical space will be simplified as:

- For TE mode of propagation:
- Constant permeability: $\mu_{r}(x, y)=\mu_{r}$.
- Isotropic permittivity computed as ratio of the area of the cells of the transformation grid.
- For $T M$ mode of propagation:
- Constant permittivity: $\epsilon_{r}(x, y)=\epsilon_{r}$.
- Isotropic permeability computed as ratio of the area of the cells of the transformation grid.


### 2.2 Grid Orthogonality Assessment

Since the orthogonality of the transformation grid is the basis for isotropic approximation, it is quantified as follows. Figure 1 shows a sample grid intersection in the complex plane.


Figure 1. Description of grid orthogonality measure: A sample unit cell of a grid in the complex plane.

Referring to Figure 1, and using Euler's notation, $z_{1}=\left|z_{1}\right| e^{j\left[\arg \left(z_{1}\right)\right]}, z_{2}=\left|z_{2}\right| e^{j\left[\arg \left(z_{2}\right)\right]}$, the internal angle $\theta$ can be computed as

$$
\theta=\arg \left(z_{1}\right)-\arg \left(z_{2}\right)=\arg \left(\frac{z_{1}}{z_{2}}\right) .
$$

The offset from orthogonality $\chi$ can then be evaluated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\theta-90 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Numerical Results

### 3.1 Linear Array Scaling

Goal: Reduction of Linear Array aperture size

## Test Case Description

A 2D problem is considered and the transformation is described pictorially in Figure 2. Figure 2(a) and 2(b) show the setup of the virtual array under investigation. The shape of the smooth transition in Figure 2(b) is defined by one complete period of the cosine function. The region included with the solid curve (Figure 2(a) and $2(b)$ ) is transformed to a rectangular region in the physical space (Figure $2(c)$ ). The shaded region in the physical space (Figure 2(c)) shows the location of metamaterial lens.

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 2. Description of (a) Virtual object with sharp corners and (b) Virtual object with smooth transition, and (c) Physical object.

## Simulation Parameters

- Array of Isotropic radiators.
- Frequency of operation: $\nu=600 \mathrm{MHz}$
- Wavelength in free space: $\lambda=0.5 m$


## Virtual Object Parameters:

- Linear Array of point sources in 2D.
- Width of transformation region: $w^{\prime}=12 \lambda=6 m$.
- Height of transformation region: $t^{\prime}=16 \lambda=8 \mathrm{~m}$.
- Element Spacing: $d^{\prime}=0.5 \lambda=0.25 m$.
- Uniform Excitation: $I_{n}^{\prime}=1, \varphi_{n}^{\prime}=0, n=1,2, \cdots N$.


## Transformation Parameters:

- Number of grid lines along $x^{\prime}$ - axis: xgrid $=121$.

Full wave simulation Parameters:

- Two dimensional problem.
- $T E$ waves.


## RESULTS

## Transformation Grid



Figure 3. Transformation Grids: (a) Virtual Space and (b) Physical space.

## Observations:

- The medium is discretized into: $92 \times 120$ cells for sharp corner and $90 \times 120$ cells for smooth transition.
- In physical space each cell has dimensions: $0.1 \lambda \times 0.1 \lambda$.
- As a result the dimension of the transformation region in physical space is:
$-w=120 \times 0.1 \lambda=12 \lambda, t=92 \times 0.1 \lambda=9.2 \lambda$ for transformation with sharp corner and
$-w=120 \times 0.1 \lambda=12 \lambda, t=90 \times 0.1 \lambda=9 \lambda$ for transformation with smooth transition.


## RESULTS

Grid orthogonality assessment.


Figure 4. Transformation grid orthogonality test: Transformation with (a),(c) sharp corner, (b),(d) smooth transition.

## Observations:

- Large degree of offset from orthogonality is observed.
- The offset is severe around the top and bottom corners. (Figure 4(a)).
- The offset is significantly reduced when using transformation with smooth transition.


## RESULTS

Exact values of permittivity.

Because of the two dimensionality of the problem, some of the parameters related to the $z$-axis are zero valued (i.e $\epsilon_{v z}^{\prime}=\epsilon_{v z}=\epsilon_{z v}^{\prime}=\epsilon_{z v}=\mu_{v z}^{\prime}=\mu_{v z}=\mu_{z v}^{\prime}=\mu_{z v}=0$ where $v \in\{x, y\}$ ). The non-zero valued parameters are reported next.



Figure 5. Components of the permittivity tensor in the physical medium: Transformation with (a)-(e) sharp corner, $(f)-(j)$ smooth transition.

## Observations:

- Since the virtual medium is free space $\left(\epsilon_{r}^{\prime}=\mu_{r}^{\prime}=1\right)$, the permeability tensor in the physical medium, $\mu$ is the same as that of permittivity, i.e $\underline{\mu}=\underline{\epsilon}$.
- For $T E$ waves, the components of $\underline{\epsilon}$ and $\underline{\mu}$ that contribute are $\epsilon_{z z}, \mu_{x x}, \mu_{x y}, \mu_{y y}$ and $\mu_{y x}$.
- The quantities $\mu_{x y}=\epsilon_{x y}$ and $\mu_{y x}=\epsilon_{y x}$ are near zero whereas $\mu_{x x}=\epsilon_{x x}$ and $\mu_{y y}=\epsilon_{y y}$ are near unity.
- As a result, pure Isotropic approximation can be made for $T E$ waves.


## RESULTS

## Isotropic approximation



Figure 6. Isotropic permittivity $\left(\epsilon_{r}\right)$ of the physical medium computed as ratio of area of transformation grid: Transformation with (a) sharp corner, (b) smooth transition.

## Observations:

- For $T E$ waves, this Isotropic gradient of permittivity $\left(\epsilon_{r}\right)$ is used.
- This approximation is valid under the assumption that the grids in virtual space are "nearly" orthogonal. Looking at Figure 4, this assumption is better satisfied for the transformation with smooth transition.
- Values are bounded:
$-0.0317 \leq \epsilon_{r} \leq 4.936$ for transformation with sharp corner (Figure 6(a)).
$-0.3649 \leq \epsilon_{r} \leq 64.61$ for transformation with smooth transition (Figure 6(b)).


## RESULTS

Simulation Results



Figure 7. Normal component of the electric field: (a), (b) Virtual half wavelength linear array with sharp corners; (c),(d) Transformed linear array with sharp corners; (e),(f) Difference between virtual and transformed array; (g),(h) Transformed linear array in the absence of the metamaterial lens (with sharp corner transformation); (i), (j) Virtual half wavelength linear array with smooth transition transformation; (k),(l)

Transformed linear array with smooth transition transformation; (m),(n) Difference between virtual and transformed array (smooth transition transformation); (o),(p) Transformed linear array in the absence of the metamaterial lens (smooth transition transformation)

## RESULTS

## Comparison of power patterns

The patterns are obtained from COMSOL far-field computing tool.

(a)

Smooth Transition Transformation

(b)

Figure 8. Comparison between normalized power patterns.

## Observations

- Comparing Figure 7(e) and Figure 7(m), the transformation with smooth transition gives less error (better match with ideal).
- The patterns of the virtual array and the physical array have good agreement in a limited range ( $-15<$ $\phi<15)$ Figure 8(a), Figure 8(b). The agreement is much better for the smooth transition transformation.
- The pattern are different outside that limited range. I think this is also because of the mismatch of the transformation region in the upper and lower directions.


## RESULTS

Simulation Results for $\mathbf{N}=20$

The simulations are repeated using the same transformation setup as in the previous case (transformation with smooth transition), except the number of radiating elements which in this case is $N=20$. The simulations are done using smooth transition transformation only.


Figure 9. Normal component of the electric field: (a), (b) Virtual half wavelength linear array; (c),(d) Transformed linear array; (e),(f) Difference between virtual and transformed array; (g),(h) Transformed linear array in the absence of the metamaterial lens

## RESULTS

Simulation Results for $\mathbf{N}=$ 20: Far-field patterns

Smooth Transition Transformation


Figure 10. Comparison between normalized power patterns.

## RESULTS

Simulation Results for $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 0}$

The simulations are repeated using the same transformation setup as in the previous case (transformation with smooth transition), except the number of radiating elements which in this case is $N=30$. The simulations are done using smooth transition transformation only.


Figure 11. Normal component of the electric field: (a), (b) Virtual half wavelength linear array; (c),(d) Transformed linear array; (e),(f) Difference between virtual and transformed array; (g),(h) Transformed linear array in the absence of the metamaterial lens

## RESULTS

## Simulation Results for $\mathbf{N}=$ 30: Far-field patterns

The patterns are obtained from COMSOL far-field computing tool.


Figure 12. Comparison between normalized power patterns.

## Observations:

- The error plotted in Figure 11(e) (also in Figure 9(e), Figure 7(m) and Figure 7(e)), is greater in the upper and lower directions ( $\pm y$ direction) from the lens. This could be because the virtual object grid is not matched to the outside space in those directions.


### 3.2 Resume

|  | $N=10$ |  | $N=20$ |  | $N=30$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Array Type | $L[\lambda]$ | $B W[$ degree $]$ | $L[\lambda]$ | $B W[$ degree] | $L[\lambda]$ | $B W$ [degree] |
| Virtual Array | 4.50 | 10.27 | 9.50 | 5.23 | 14.50 | 3.42 |
| Physical Array: Sharp Corner | 3.49 | 9.91 | - | - | - | - |
| Physical Array: Smooth Transition | 3.95 | 8.65 | 7.38 | 6.31 | 8.91 | 6.85 |
| Without Metamaterial: Sharp Corner | 3.49 | 13.33 | - | - |  |  |
| Without Metamaterial: Smooth Transition | 3.95 | 11.71 | 7.38 | 6.31 | 8.91 | 4.86 |

Tab. I - Parameters of the arrays: Number of Elements $(N)$, Aperture Length $(L), 3 \mathrm{~dB}$ Beam Width ( $B W$ )

## Observations:

- As the array size is increased, the matching between virtual and physical array far-field patterns reduces.
(Figure 8(b), Figure 10 and Figure 12)
- The error in near-field is also increased when the array size is increased. (Figure 7(m), Figure 9(e) and Figure 11(e))
- The size of the lens has not been scaled in proportion to the array size, and this could be the reason behind the performance degradation.


## Acknowledgment

This work has been developed within the EMERALD Project funded by Autonomous Province of Trento - Calls for proposal "Team 2011".

## References

[1] D.-H. Kwon, "Quasi-conformal transformation optics lenses for conformal arrays," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett., vol. 11, pp. 1125-1128, Sept. 2012.
[2] S. A. Cummer, N. Kundtz, and B.-I. Popa, "Electromagnetic surface and line sources under coordinate transformations," Physical Review Letters A, 80 033820, pp. 033820 1-7, Sept. 2009.
[3] Y. Luo, J. Zhang, L. Ran, H. Chen, and J. A. Kong, "New concept conformal antennas utilizing metamaterial and transformation optics," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett., vol. 7, pp. 509-512, Jul. 2008.
[4] D. C. Ives and R. M. Zacharias, "Conformal mapping and orthogonal grid generation," In AIAA/SAE/ASME/ASEE 23rd Joint Propulsion Conference, San Diego, California, Paper number 872057, June 1989.
[5] W. Tang, C. Argyropoulos, E. Kallos, W. Song and Y. Hao, "Discrete coordinate transformation for designing all-dielectric flat antennas," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., vol. 58, no. 12, pp. 3795-3804, Dec. 2010.
[6] C. A. Balanis, Antenna Theory: Analysis and Design (3rd Ed.). Wiley, 2005.
[7] P. Rocca, M. Benedetti, M. Donelli, D. Franceschini, and A. Massa, "Evolutionary optimization as applied to inverse problems," Inverse Problems - 25th Year Special Issue of Inverse Problems, Invited Topical Review, vol. 25, pp. 1-41, Dec. 2009.
[8] P. Rocca, G. Oliveri, and A. Massa, "Differential Evolution as applied to electromagnetics," IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 38-49, Feb. 2011.
[9] G. Oliveri and A. Massa, "GA-Enhanced ADS-based approach for array thinning," IET Microwaves, Antennas \& Propagation, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 305-315, 2011.
[10] G. Oliveri, F. Caramanica, and A. Massa, "Hybrid ADS-based techniques for radio astronomy array design," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation - Special Issue on "Antennas for Next Generation Radio Telescopes," vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 1817-1827, Jun. 2011.
[11] L. Poli, P. Rocca, G. Oliveri, and A. Massa, "Harmonic beamforming in time-modulated linear arrays," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 59, no. 7, pp. 2538-2545, Jul. 2011.
[12] L. Poli, P. Rocca, L. Manica, and A. Massa, "Handling sideband radiations in time-modulated arrays through particle swarm optimization," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 1408-1411, Apr. 2010.
[13] L. Poli, P. Rocca, L. Manica, and A. Massa, "Time modulated planar arrays - Analysis and optimization of the sideband radiations," IET Microwaves, Antennas \& Propagation, vol. 4, no. 9, pp. 1165-1171, 2010.
[14] L. Lizzi, F. Viani, R. Azaro, and A. Massa, "Optimization of a spline-shaped UWB antenna by PSO," IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters, vol. 6, pp. 182-185, 2007.
[15] L. Lizzi, F. Viani, R. Azaro, and A. Massa, "A PSO-driven spline-based shaping approach for ultrawideband (UWB) antenna synthesis," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 56, no. 8, pp. 2613-2621, Aug. 2008.
[16] P. Rocca, L. Manica, and A. Massa, "An improved excitation matching method based on an ant colony optimization for suboptimal-free clustering in sum-difference compromise synthesis," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 2297-2306, Aug. 2009.
[17] P. Rocca, L. Manica, and A. Massa, "Ant colony based hybrid approach for optimal compromise sumdifference patterns synthesis," Microwave and Optical Technology Letters, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 128-132, Jan. 2010.
[18] P. Rocca, L. Manica, F. Stringari, and A. Massa, "Ant colony optimization for tree-searching based synthesis of monopulse array antenna," Electronics Letters, vol. 44, no. 13, pp. 783-785, Jun. 19, 2008.
[19] G. Oliveri and L. Poli, "Optimal sub-arraying of compromise planar arrays through an innovative ACOweighted procedure," Progress in Electromagnetic Research, vol. 109, pp. 27-299, 2010.
[20] G. Oliveri, "Improving the reliability of frequency domain simulators in the presence of homogeneous metamaterials - A preliminary numerical assessment," Progress In Electromagnetics Research, vol. 122, pp. 497-518, 2012.
[21] I. Martinez, A. H. Panaretos, D. Werner, G. Oliveri, and A. Massa "Ultra-thin reconfigurable electromagnetic metasurface absorbers," 2013 European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EUCAP), (Gothenburg, Sweden), 8-12 April 2013.
[22] G. Oliveri, P. Rocca, D. H. Werner, E. Bekele, M. Salucci, and A. Massa, "Design and synthesis of innovative metamaterial-enhanced arrays" 2013 IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society International Symposium, (Orlando, USA), July 7-13, 2013.
[23] E. Martini, G. M. Sardi, P. Rocca, G. Oliveri, A. Massa, and S. Maci "Optimization of metamaterial WAIM for planar arrays", 2013 USNC-URSI National Radio Science Meeting, (Orlando, USA), July 7-13, 2013.

