

ARCHEOLOGIA E CALCOLATORI

32.2

2021

All'Insegna del Giglio

ARCHEOLOGIA E CALCOLATORI

CNR – DIPARTIMENTO SCIENZE UMANE E SOCIALI, PATRIMONIO CULTURALE

ISTITUTO DI SCIENZE DEL PATRIMONIO CULTURALE

Rivista annuale open access e peer reviewed
fondata da Mauro Cristofani e Riccardo Francovich

Policy and Guidelines: http://www.archcalc.cnr.it/pages/guidelines.php

Autorizzazione del presidente del Tribunale di Firenze n. 3894 del 6/11/1989

Indirizzo Redazione: Rivista «Archeologia e Calcolatori», CNR – ISPC, Area della Ricerca di Roma 1, Via Salaria Km 29,300, 00015 Monterotondo Stazione (RM)
Tel. +39.06.90672670 – Fax +39.06.90672818
E-mail: redazioneac.ispc@ispc.cnr.it
http://www.archcalc.cnr.it/

Edizione e distribuzione: Edizioni ALL'INSEGNA DEL GIGLIO s.a.s.,
Via Arrigo Boito 50-52, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI)
Tel. +39.055.6142675
E-mail: redazione@insegnadelgiglio.it – ordini@insegnadelgiglio.it
https://www.insegnadelgiglio.it/

ARCHEOLOGIA
E CALCOLATORI

32.2

2021

All'Insegna del Giglio

Realizzazione grafica della sovracoperta di Marcello Bellisario
Rivista «Archeologia e Calcolatori» (ISSN 1120-6861, e-ISSN 2385-1953)
ISBN 978-88-9285-066-8, e-ISBN 978-88-9285-067-5

Sesto Fiorentino (FI), dicembre 2021
Stampa, MDF print

Abbonamento 2021: 2 volumi, 32.1 e 32.2, € 60,00.
Spedizione: Italia, gratuita; estero, a carico del destinatario.
https://www.insegnadelgiglio.it/categoria-prodotto/abbonamenti/

INDICE

From Pottery to Context. Archaeology and Virtual Modelling, edited by Vincenzo Baldoni

Vincenzo Baldoni, *Introduction* 9

The 'Alma Idea' Numana Project

Vincenzo Baldoni, *From finds to landscape: archaeological analysis and virtual modelling of the Davanzali necropolis in the Picenian emporion of Numana (AN)* 17

Andrea Gaucci, *Virtual Archaeology and the study of necropolises as a system: methodology and practice in the case study of Numana (AN), Italy* 27

Enrico Zampieri, *The Davanzali necropolis of Numana (AN): from the archaeological context to the virtual environment* 35

Michele Silani, *Reconstructing the funerary landscape: natural environment and topography of the necropolis* 45

Simone Garagnani, *Quick digitization techniques: the case study of Numana necropolis* 53

From Pottery to Context: Methodologies, Practices, Case Studies

Isabelle Algrain, Delphine Tonglet, *Studying the shapes of Greek vases: historiography and new methodologies* 65

Alessandro Pace, Daniele Bursich, *New perspectives on documenting Attic pottery* 83

Marta Natalucci, *The rediscovery of colors at* Kainua-*Marzabotto* 95

Marta Esposito, *Antefixes from Museo Provinciale Campano in Capua. A proposal for a virtual reconstruction* 105

Monica Salvadori, Emanuela Faresin, Luca Zamparo, *Classical pottery in collection: the MemO Project and the recreation of a 'context'* 121

Emanuele Taccola, Lisa Rosselli, Niccolò Albertini, Marta Martino, *Etruscan hypogea in 3D: a proposal for an immersive and interactive visualization of Volterra's funerary contexts* 135

*
* *

Archaeological Computing: Selected Papers from the 2020 IMEKO TC-4 MetroArchaeo International Conference, edited by Alessandra Caravale

Alessandra Caravale, *Archaeological Computing and the MetroArchaeo International Conference Award: an introduction* 155

Elisa Costa, Carlo Beltrame, *3D modelling from archive and legacy data: preliminary data processing on the Roman shipwreck Grado I* 157

Angela Bosco, Laura Carpentiero, Andrea D'Andrea, Eleonora Minucci, Rosario Valentini, *Developing an ABIM system: a new prospective for archaeological data management* 167

Emanuele Brienza, Lorenzo Fornaciari, *Rome: NE slopes of the Palatine hill. Archaeology of architecture and ancient masonries deep analysis* 177

Andrea Tavella, Marika Ciela, Paolo Chistè, Annaluisa Pedrotti, *Preliminary studies on the volumetric capacity of ceramic from the Neolithic site of Lugo di Grezzana (VR) through 3D graphics software* 187

Enej Guček Puhar, Aleš Jaklič, Franc Solina, Lidija Korat, Miran Erič, *Anatomical-morphological analysis of a volumetric 3D model of an archaeological object* 197

Andrea Aquino, Stefano Pagnotta, Marco Lezzerini, Elena Pecchioni, Vanni Moggi Cecchi, Stefano Columbu, *From minerals to artefacts: the role and challenges of 3D modelling* 209

Paolo Triolo, Luciano Marras, Gloria Adinolfi, Rodolfo Carmagnola, Stefano Legnaioli, Simona Raneri, Vincenzo Palleschi, *Imaging as a first step for Cultural Heritage and archaeology analyses* 219

Sara Mazzocato, Claudia Daffara, Giacomo Marchioro, Alessandra Menegazzi, *Optical microprofilometry optimized for surface analysis and 3D printing of archaeological objects* 227

Dario Giuffrida, Viviana Mollica Nardo, Rosina Celeste Ponterio, Oreste Adinolfi, Maria Amalia Mastelloni, *Testing a mobile laboratory at the Aeolian Museum of Lipari (Messina) for the 3D survey and the chemical characterization of archaeological materials: practice and further developments* 237

Devi Taelman, Dimitri Van Limbergen, Fabrizio Antonelli, *Architectural and sculptural decoration of Roman central Adriatic Italy: an archaeological and archaeometric approach to region-wide marble trade* 249

Salvatore Piro, Daniela Zamuner, Stefania Quilici Gigli, *High resolution geophysical surveys to characterise Norba archaeological site (Norma, Central Italy)* 261

Giovanni Leucci, Lara De Giorgi, Giovanni Fragalà, Antonino Mazzaglia, Daniele Malfitana, *New data about the Cathedral of Catania by geophysical investigations* 271

ARCHAEOLOGICAL COMPUTING:
SELECTED PAPERS FROM THE 2020 IMEKO TC-4 METROARCHAEO INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE

edited by

Alessandra Caravale

Special issue published with the financial support of Athena S.r.l.

Archeologia e Calcolatori
32.2, 2021, 187-196
doi 10.19282/ac.32.2.2021.17

PRELIMINARY STUDIES ON THE VOLUMETRIC CAPACITY OF CERAMIC FROM THE NEOLITHIC SITE OF LUGO DI GREZZANA (VR) THROUGH 3D GRAPHICS SOFTWARE

1. Introduction

1.1 *The ceramic record*

The study takes into account ceramic finds from the Neolithic site of Lugo di Grezzana, which is located in the Lessini Mountains[1]. The site, dated between 5400 and 4900-4800/4700 BC cal., has been the object of decades of research directed by the Archaeological Heritage of Veneto Region (since 1991) in collaboration with the University of Trento (B. Bagolini Laboratory, since 1996) up until 2005 (Pedrotti, Salzani 2010). The site represents one of the main pieces of evidence for the understanding of occupation strategies and raw materials exploitation between the end of the 6th and the beginning of the 5th millennium BC (Pedrotti *et al.* 2015). It gave back a considerable amount of artefacts (Fig. 1) that allowed the attribution mainly to the Fiorano culture. This culture is present in Northern Italy during the early Neolithic and shows a typical homogeneity in vessels typology. Jugs are possibly one of the most distinctive shapes of the Fiorano culture and are often imported into contemporary cultures (Pessina, Tiné 2008).

Regarding the study of ceramic record, it is important to refer to the digitalization in 3D of some pottery mentioned in this paper through photogrammetry. This work was carried out at TeFALab (Laboratorio di Tecniche Fotografiche Avanzate, unit of LaBAAF, University of Trento) under the technical direction of Paolo Chistè. At the present time, a systematic analysis that evaluates the metric criteria of the ceramics of the Fiorano culture has not yet been carried out (Becker 2018). However, for the Neolithic of Northern Italy there is a typological classification of the vessels that distinguishes their morphology in relation to the profile, the diameter/height ratio and the size of the mouth (Banchieri *et al.* 1999). Nevertheless, this classification does not include the volumetric capacity parameter.

[1] The research project concerning the preliminary studies on the volumetric capacity of ceramic from the Neolithic site of Lugo di Grezzana (VR) is conducted by the research laboratory LaBAAF (Laboratorio Bagolini Archeologia Archeometria Fotografia) that belongs to CeASUm (Centro di Alti Studi Umanistici) of the University of Trento. The project has Prof.ssa Annaluisa Pedrotti as scientific manager and Paolo Chistè as technical director of TeFaLab (Laboratorio di Tecniche Fotografiche Avanzate, unit of LaBAAF). Chapters 2 and 5 is due to M. Ciela, chapters 3 and 4 is due to A. Tavella.

Fig. 1 – Vessel from the Neolithic site of Lugo di Grezzana (photo P. Chistè - LaBAAF; Pedrotti, Salzani 2010).



Fig. 2 – Summary scheme of the operating methodology performed with Blender.

1.2 *The calculation of volumetric capacity: the application of computer-assisted calculation through 3D graphics software*

The volumetric estimate of a pottery container can be calculated with direct or indirect methods. Direct measurements involve filling the vessel with liquid or solid materials, the latter adaptable to the internal shape. However, this method cannot be applied to the entire ceramic record, both because usually a limited percentage of the potteries are complete or reconstructed, and also for conservation issues (Engels *et al.* 2009; Rodriguez, Hastorf 2013; Velasco Felipe, Celdrán Beltrán 2019). Indirect measurements, on the other hand, are two-dimensional geometric methods and more recently computer-assisted calculations based on a 3D model. The latter, unlike direct measurements, does not require the availability of the artefact *in situ*, as the



Fig. 3 – Typological table of the samples analysed during the study. Legend: H.V. = Internal Handles Vessel; Jg. = Jug; L.B. = Large Bowl; Mn. = Miniaturistic; N.V. = Necked Vessel; T.V. = Truncate cone-shaped Vessels; * = Partially preserved. Scale drawing 1:10 (Pedrotti, Salzani 2010; Pedrotti *et al.* 2015; Ciela 2019; Tavella 2019).

measurements are carried out using archaeological drawings, which have a continuous profile from the rim to the bottom, exploiting the principle of symmetry (Velasco Felipe, Celdrán Beltrán 2019). Pre-protohistoric artefacts often have an asymmetrical and irregular profile and are therefore an exception. The main software that can be used to apply the previously described indirect method are: AutoCAD, Rhinoceros and Blender (Sánchez Climent, Cerdeño Serrano 2014). In addition, other suitable programs as Kotyle (https://kotyle.readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html#) and web applications like Capacity (https://capacity.ulb.be/index.php/en/research-center-in-archeology-and-heritage/; Karasik, Smilansky 2006). In this study, the 3D graphics program of choice was Blender since it is free and open source, which allows the users to generate extensions in order to improve it. The estimate of the volumetric calculation was relied on the 3D-Print Toolbox extension, although different add-ons are known to be effective as well (cfr. *supra*).

2. Materials

The methodological protocol was applied to a selection of 20 archaeological drawings (Fig. 3). The sample analysed was chosen taking into consideration typological and technological data. Twelve drawings illustrate whole artefacts, with a continuous profile (from the rim to the bottom of the vessel), while the others are only partially preserved. Hence, in order to reconstruct the original morphology, the drawings of the fragmented samples were integrated through the study of whole ceramic vessels belonging to the same typological class. For this group of samples is essential to keep in mind that the capacity estimate will have a greater degree of inaccuracy. The development of the operational methodology allowed the identification of the minimum requirements that the ceramics and the drawings must have. First of all, through the graphic representation it must be possible to obtain the diameter and the internal profile. Furthermore, it is necessary to know the scale of representation because the calculation of the volume must be obtained on a 1:1 scale. Lastly, it was observed that using a high-resolution drawing (d.p.i.) allowed a more accurate 3D model of the interior wall of the vase.

3. Methods

The calculation of the volumetric capacity was initially carried out by importing each drawing into Blender. The image was imported providing the exact graphic resolution of the file (d.p.i.). This step is necessary in order to avoid any changes in the original dimensions of the imported drawing which would therefore entail an incorrect estimate of the volume. Once this phase is finished, is possible to start with the generation and subsequently modelling the curve, dividing it into several segments in order to trace the underlying drawing. After obtaining a 2D profile of the inside of the vessel, it is necessary to generate a path, which will correspond with the rotation axis of the curve itself and with the midline of the archaeological drawing. Once the rotation axis is fixed, the curve can be rotated 360 degrees. As soon as the command is selected, it is necessary to define some options, namely: the Cartesian axis to which the curve is oriented, the object around which the rotation takes place and lastly the number of 'segments' the revolution is divided into, as a greater number of these entail a better graphic resolution and consequently a more accurate estimate of the volume. The rotation surface is converted into a solid by obtaining a mesh, and afterwards the solid is closed at the rim and at the base.

Once the solid is closed, the calculation of the volumetric capacity is performed automatically using the add-on 3D-Print and volume value expressed in cm^3 will be available in the *Result* box (Fig. 2). The validity of the procedure was previously established during the formulation of the method, through the graphic reproduction and the volumetric calculation of a cylinder of known dimensions (r = 5 cm; h = 20 cm). This procedure allowed to calculate the absolute and relative error in the method developed, taking into account the tolerance. The latter is characterized by different causes such as: the inherent uncertainty regarding the measured object, the conservation status, the operator, the procedure and the measuring instrument used. Taking these issues into account, it was calculated a tolerance of about ± 1 mm.

$$\text{Absolute Error (EA)} = (Vol_{max} - Vol_{min}) / 2 = 70.6889\ cm^3$$
$$\text{Relative Error (RE)} = EA / Vol_{avg} = 0.0449$$
$$\text{Percentage Error (PE)} = RE \times 100 = 4.49\ \%$$

The methodological approach was subsequently extended, considering two hypothetical types of contents, a liquid and a solid one. As to what concerns the estimate of the capacity, it has been treated converting the measure from cm^3 to ml (1 cm^3 = 1 ml). Instead, in the case of solids contents has been calculated the weight (grams) of three types of cereals such as: whole barley, emmer and naked wheats, selected accordingly to the data collected from archaeobotanical analysis carried out for the site of Lugo di Grezzana (Rottoli *et al.* 2015). The weights were estimated in relation to the bulk density of each kind of cereal (whole barley 0.61÷0.69 g/ml, emmer 0.47 g/ml e naked wheats 0.54 g/ml) (http://www.fao.org/infoods/infoods/tables-and-databases/faoinfoods-databases/en/; Güran 2009) and the volumes of the containers, according to the following formula:

$$\text{Weight} = \text{Bulk density} \times \text{Volume}$$

Lastly, metrical analysis were carried out through the correlation of the maximum volumetric capacity (cm^3), while the depth of the vessel was obtained from the ratio between diameter and height (Ø/h), and the typology (Banchieri *et al.* 1999).

4. Results

The calculation of the volumetric capacity allowed providing an estimate of the capacity (ml) and the weight of the different contents (g). At the same time, it was possible to correlate the values determined by the computer-assisted calculations with the ratio Ø/h (Tab. 1). Subsequently, the elaboration of the data took place through the compilation of a scatter plot, reporting the volumetric capacity in the X axis and the Ø/h ratio in the Y axis (Fig. 4).

Samples	Estimate Liquid Content (ml)	Estimate Solid Content (g)			Ø/H Ratio	Size Group
		Whole barley	Emmer	Naked wheats		
L.B. 1*	5276	3218÷3640	2480	2849	3,23	G3b
L.B. 2	6959	4245÷4802	3271	3758	2,74	G3b
T.V. 1	5646	3444÷3896	2654	3049	0,92	G3a
T.V. 2*	17307	10557÷11942	8134	9346	1,08	G4
T.V. 3	2967	1810÷2047	1394	1602	0,97	G3a
T.V. 4*	1329	811÷917	625	718	0,77	G2
T.V. 5*	5941	3624÷4099	2792	3208	0,82	G3a
T.V. 6	944	576÷651	444	510	1	G2
T.V. 7	3961	2416÷2733	1862	2139	0,8	G3a
T.V. 8*	5235	3193÷3612	2460	2827	0,87	G3a
H.V. 1	925	564÷638	435	500	1,16	G2
Jg. 1	125	76÷86	59	68	0,86	G1
Jg. 2	1825	1113÷1259	858	986	0,83	G2
Jg. 3	772	471÷533	363	417	0,83	G2
Jg. 4	839	512÷579	394	453	0,74	G2
N.V. 1*	6054	3693÷4177	2845	3269	0,47	G3a
N.V. 2*	13378	8161÷9231	6288	7224	0,26	G4
Mn. 1	53	32÷37	25	29	0,76	G1
Mn. 2	158	96÷109	74	85	2,24	G1
Mn. 3*	59	36÷41	28	32	1,14	G1

Tab. 1 – Summary of results (* = partially preserved).

Through the interpretation of the scatter plot, it was possible to group the ceramic samples into four size groups:

– Group 1: represented by four samples. The ceramic samples are characterized by a volume lower than approximately 200 cm^3, containing between 25 and 109 g of solid content and a Ø/h ratio is between 0.76 and 2.23. The wide range of the latter parameter is due to the fact that within the group there are different degrees of depth. Miniaturistic forms are in this group for a reduced volumetric capacity. In this group is also present a jug that differs from other equal typological samples for its lower volumetric capacity.
– Group 2: represented by six samples, whose ceramic forms are characterized by a volume between 750 and 1800 cm^3, containing between 363 and 1259 g of solid content and a Ø/h ratio between 0.74 and 1.16. Within the



Fig. 4 – Scatter plot between volumetric capacity (X axis) and diameter/height ratio (Y axis).

group are included forms that are mainly represented by jugs, two truncate cone-shaped vessels and the internal handles vessel.

– Group 3: represented by eight samples. The ceramic forms are characterized by a volume between 2900 and 7000 cm^3, containing between 1394 and 4802 g of solid content. At the same time, the group is divided into two subgroups, which differ from each other for their depth (3a and 3b). The first subgroup (six samples) is represented by a Ø/h ratio between 0.44 and 0.97, mainly consisting of truncate cone-shaped vessels with the only exception of a neck vessel. The second subgroup (two samples) differs by a ratio of Ø/h between 2.74 and 3.23, consisting of the two large bowls.

– Group 4: represented by two samples, of which one necked vessel and one truncate cone-shaped vessel. They have a volumetric class, an estimate of solid content and a Ø/h ratio that differs from all the previous groups. They have a volume between 13000 and 17500 cm^3, a capacity of solid content between 6288 and 11942 g and a Ø/h ratio between 0.26 and 1.08.

As emerged from the results, some ceramic forms that have similar Ø/h ratios and the same typological classification can have different volumetric capacities. This statement is noticeable, for example, in the class of truncate cone-shaped vessels. This type of pottery has a Ø/h ratio with a very limited (between 0.77 and 1.08), conversely the volumetric capacity has a much wider degree of variation (between 944 to 17306 cm^3).

Although most of the truncate cone-shaped vessels appear to have a capacity that varies between 2900 and 7000 cm^3 (Group 3a), three samples have respectively a lower (T.V. 4* and T.V. 6 in Group 2) and a greater volumetric capacity, although the latter reconstructed (T.V. 2* in Group 4). At the same time, this oscillation is observable, although in minor way, in the jug's class. Most of the samples belong to Group 2 with a volumetric capacity between 750 and 1800 cm^3, with only one case within Group 1 (Jg. 1), which can be considered as a miniaturistic jug.

5. Discussion

This methodological protocol has led to obtain an analysis of the volumetric capacity of the vessels and to propose a division into size groups, which could be a reflection of different functional and/or cultural choices, as indicated by the change in volume found within some typological classes, such as truncate cone-shaped vessels and jugs. The observations reported are, however, preliminary as for some typological classes it was not possible to consider a group of samples adequately large; in addition, some typological categories are absent, such as bowls and jars, whose base is rarely preserved. The latter characteristic obviously makes it difficult to reconstruct the original height and consequently the calculation of their capacity. Another important factor is that the evaluation of the volumetric data is usually, in literature, correlated with other criteria. In addition to the dimensions and typological aspects taken into account in this paper, it would also be appropriate to consider the technological aspects such as the petrographic analysis (fabric), the surface treatment processes (smoothing, polishing, slip) (Cuomo di Caprio 2007), the use-wear and organic residues (Vieugué 2012; Orton *et al.* 2013; Vieugué *et al.* 2016). Only through a complete analysis of these parameters is generally possible to distinguish the ceramic samples into five functional categories such as storage, cooking (food preparation with heat), food preparation without heat, serving and transport (Rice 2015). Therefore, only with the systematic application of the method discussed in this paper and the evaluation of further investigation parameters, it will be possible to explain the functionality of the ceramic samples from Lugo di Grezzana site.

6. Conclusion

This study aimed to propose a methodology for the volumetric calculation of ceramic samples from the Neolithic site of Lugo di Grezzana, with the aid of a 3D graphics software, concluding that:

– The use of the Blender allowed to work directly on the published bibliography available, with no need for direct interaction with the object of study and to obtain a computerized calculation of the volume in just a short amount of time and just a few steps.

– At the same time, the application of the method to ceramic artefacts must consider the tolerance. The latter is determined, not only by parameters associated with the vessel itself (asymmetries, conservation status), but also by the graphic reproduction. For this reason, the results obtained must be considered as estimates of the volumetric capacity, in any case proving sufficiently valid to be applied to an archaeological study.
– The results emerged must be considered partial since only through the application of the method to a sufficiently large group of samples, not only from the Lugo di Grezzana site but also from contemporary archaeological contexts, could clearly define the vessel capacity for each typological class.
– The interpretation of scatter plot, made by the correlation between the volumetric capacity and the diameter and height ratio, led to the creation of different size group that contain different ceramic shapes. In fact, within some typological classes, can be observed a different degree of variability. Such variation could be a consequence of different functional uses and/or different cultural models.
– In general terms, the study of vessel capacity is one of the parameters necessary for the functional understanding of the artefacts. However, the volumetric data alone is not enough and must be correlated with the multidisciplinary study of the ceramic record and the archaeological context.

The results obtained highlight the informative potential of the method applied starting from the use of the 3D graphics software Blender. Future studies aimed at investigating this aspect in a systematic way will allow the gain of more information about the functionality of ceramic vessels.

Andrea Tavella, Marika Ciela, Paolo Chistè, Annaluisa Pedrotti
LaBAAF
Università degli Studi di Trento
andrea.tavella@live.com, marika.ciela@gmail.com, paolo.chiste@unitn.it,
annaluisa.pedrotti@unitn.it

REFERENCES

Banchieri D.G., Montanari E., Odetti G., Pedrotti A. 1999, *Il Neolitico dell'Italia settentrionale*, in D. Cocchi Genick (ed.), *Criteri di nomenclatura e di terminologia inerente alla definizione delle forme vascolari del Neolitico/Eneolitico e del Bronzo/Ferro (Lido di Camaiore 1998)*, Firenze, Octavo, 1, 43-62.

Becker V. 2018, *Studien zum Altneolithikum in Italien*, 3, Münster, LIT Verlag.

Ciela M. 2019, *Lo studio tipologico degli impasti ceramici nel sito neolitico di Lugo di Grezzana (VR): settore XIII*, Tesi di Laurea Magistrale in Quaternario, preistoria e archeologia, Università degli studi di Trento, depositata presso Laboratorio B. Bagolini, Trento.

Cuomo di Caprio N. 2007, *Ceramica in archeologia 2*, Roma, L'Erma di Bretschneider.

Engels L., Bavay L., Tsingarida A. 2009, *Calculating vessel capacities: A new web-based solution*, in A. Tsingarida (ed.), *Shapes and Uses of Greek Vases (7th-4th Centuries BC), Proceedings of the Symposium held at the Université libre de Bruxelles (Bruxelles 2006)*, Bruxelles, Ed. de Boccard, 129-133.

Güran Ü.H. 2009, *Some physical and nutritional properties of hulled wheat*, «Journal of Agricultural Sciences», 15.1, 58-64.

Karasik N., Smilansky P.U. 2006, *Computation of the capacity of pottery vessels based on drawn profiles (Appendix 1A to chapter 12)*, in A. Mazar (ed.), *Excavations at Tel Beth Shean 1989-1996*, I, Jerusalem, Israel Exploration Society and the Hebrew University, 392-394.

Orton C., Hughes M. 2013, *Pottery in Archaeology*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Pedrotti A., Salzani P. 2010, *Lugo di Grezzana: un "emporio" di settemila anni fa sui Monti Lessini veronesi*, «La Lessinia ieri oggi domani», 33, 87-103.

Pedrotti A., Salzani P., Cavulli F., Carotta M., Angelucci D.E., Salzani L. 2015, *L'insediamento di Lugo di Grezzana (VR) nel quadro del primo Neolitico padano alpino*, in G. Leonardi, V. Tiné (eds.), *Preistoria e Protostoria in Veneto*, Studi di Preistoria e Protostoria 2, IIPP, Firenze, 95-107.

Pessina A., Tiné V. 2008, *Archeologia del Neolitico. L'Italia tra VI e IV millennio a.C.*, Roma, Carocci Editore.

Rice P.M. 2015, *Pottery Analysis: A Sourcebook*, Chicago, University of Chicago Press.

Rodriguez E.C., Hastorf C.A. 2013, *Calculating ceramic vessel volume: An assessment of methods*, «Antiquity», 87, 1182-1190.

Rottoli M., Cavulli F., Pedrotti A. 2015, *L'agricoltura di Lugo di Grezzana (Verona): considerazioni preliminari*, in G. Leonardi, V. Tiné (eds.), *Preistoria e Protostoria in Veneto*, Studi di Preistoria e Protostoria 2, IIPP, Firenze, 109-116.

Sánchez Climent Á., Cerdeño Serrano M.L. 2014, *Methodological proposal for the volumetric study of archaeological ceramics through 3D edition free-software programs: The case of the Celtiberians cemeteries of the meseta*, «Virtual Archaeology Review», 5, 11, 20-33.

Tavella A. 2019, *Lo studio tipologico e tecnologico della ceramica dal sito neolitico di Lugo di Grezzana (VR): settore XIII*, Tesi di laurea Magistrale in Quaternario, preistoria e archeologia, Università degli studi di Trento, depositata presso Laboratorio B. Bagolini, Trento.

Velasco Felipe C., Celdrán Beltrán E. 2019, *Towards an optimal method for estimating vessel capacity in large samples*, «Journal of Archaeological Science», Report 27, 1-12.

Vieugué J. 2012, *Spécialisation fonctionnelle des premières productions céramiques dans les Balkans (6100-5500 av. J.C.)*, «Bulletin de la Société préhistorique française», 109, 2, 251-265.

Vieugué J., Garfinkel Y., Barzilai O., van den Brink E.C.M. 2016, *Pottery function and culinary practices of Yarmukian societies in the late 7th millennium cal. BC: First results*, «Paléorient», 42, 2, 97-115.

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to obtain an estimate of the volumetric capacity of a selection of ceramic vessels from the Neolithic site of Lugo di Grezzana (Verona, Italy). The method applied involved the use of Blender, a free and open source 3D computer graphics software. This program can calculate the volume from the graphic elaboration of the archaeological drawing of the artifacts. Through the calculation of volume it has been possible to obtain an estimate of the total capacity of the vessels, proposing two types of content. Volumetric estimates were then compared between the diameter and height of each ceramic vessels, to define size classes. The research shows that the internal variability of some ceramic shapes could be the consequence of different functional and/or cultural choices. The methodology tested in this paper could be applied in future research projects.

32.2

2021

ARCHEOLOGIA E CALCOLATORI

€ 40,00
ISSN 1120-6861
e-ISSN 2385-1953
ISBN 978-88-9285-066-8
e-ISBN 978-88-9285-067-5
9 788892 850668
AC-32-2