Publishing

Ultra-low threshold polariton lasing in photonic crystal cavities

Cite as: Appl. Phys. Lett. **99**, 111106 (2011); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3638469 Submitted: 29 June 2011 . Accepted: 21 August 2011 . Published Online: 13 September 2011

Stefano Azzini, Dario Gerace, Matteo Galli, Isabelle Sagnes, Rémy Braive, Aristide Lemaître, Jacqueline Bloch, and D. Bajoni



ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

Room temperature polariton lasing in a GaN / AlGaN multiple quantum well microcavity Applied Physics Letters **93**, 051102 (2008); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2966369

Polariton lasing in a hybrid bulk ZnO microcavity Applied Physics Letters **99**, 161104 (2011); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3650268

Strong exciton-photon coupling in a microcavity containing layered perovskite semiconductors Applied Physics Letters **89**, 171110 (2006); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2369533





Ultra-low threshold polariton lasing in photonic crystal cavities

Stefano Azzini,¹ Dario Gerace,¹ Matteo Galli,¹ Isabelle Sagnes,² Rémy Braive,² Aristide Lemaître,² Jacqueline Bloch,² and D. Bajoni^{3,a)} ¹Dipartimento di Fisica "A. Volta," and UdR CNISM, via Bassi 6, 27100 Pavia, Italy

^{*}Dipartimento di Fisica "A. Volta," and UdR CNISM, via Bassi 6, 2/100 Pavia, Italy ²CNRS-Laboratoire de Photonique et Nanostructures, Route de Nozay, 91460 Marcoussis, France ³Dipartimento di Elettronica and UdR CNISM, via Ferrata 1, 27100 Pavia, Italy

(Received 29 June 2011; accepted 21 August 2011; published online 13 September 2011)

The authors show clear experimental evidence of lasing of exciton polaritons confined in L3 photonic crystal cavities. The samples are based on an InP membrane in air containing five InAsP quantum wells. Polariton lasing is observed with thresholds as low as 120 nW, below the Mott transition, while conventional photon lasing is observed for a pumping power one to three orders of magnitude higher. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3638469]

Polariton lasing originates from the spontaneous formation of a coherent population of exciton-polaritons out of incoherent excitation.¹ Exciton-polaritons are the dressed states arising from the strong coupling of a photonic mode in a semiconductor microcavity with excitons confined in an embedded quantum well (QW).² Polariton lasers act as coherent light sources very similar to conventional lasers, the main difference being that polariton lasing occurs below the pumping rates necessary for population inversion: the formation mechanism of the coherent polariton state is stimulated relaxation of polaritons,³ as opposed to stimulated emission of photons. As a result, the threshold for polariton lasing has been predicted⁴ and observed to be several orders of magnitude below the conventional photon lasing threshold in the same samples,^{5,6} and recently reported up to room temperature in GaN based samples.⁷ However, the threshold powers for polariton lasing reported up to date are larger than (or comparable to) the lowest threshold reported for conventional lasers obtained with the same materials.⁸ This is mainly due to the inability to confine polaritons in volumes comparable to their optical wavelength: polariton "boxes" such as micropillars and cavity corrugations have been reported with confinement volumes on the order of 10 μ m³.⁹

To date, photonic nanocavities realized by point defects in photonic crystal (PC) slabs¹⁰ can be fabricated by topdown lithographic techniques,¹¹ yielding unprecedented figures of merit in terms of quality factor (Q) over effective confinement volume (V_{eff}).¹² The typical L3 cavity design,¹³ with three missing holes along the ΓK direction in a triangular lattice, supports diffraction limited cavity modes $V_{\rm eff} \simeq (\lambda/n)^3$, allowing the demonstration of basic cavity QED effects^{14,15} and ultra-low threshold lasing.¹⁶

The structures realized so far to observe the strong coupling regime in PC structures with embedded QWs (Ref. 17) rely on the modulation of the evanescent tail in the photonic mode.^{18,19} This is mainly due to the introduction of fast recombination channels for the excitons when patterning GaAs based QWs, which hinders exciton coherence to point of preventing strong coupling.¹⁸ In this work, we choose InP-based materials for their negligible nonradiative recombination issues, even after patterning, and we report experimental evidence of polariton lasing in L3 photonic crystal cavities.

The samples consists in a 230 nm-thick InP guiding layer grown by molecular beam epitaxy on top of a 1.5 μ m thick InGaAs sacrificial layer, on InP substrate. The topmost layer contains five 8 nm-thick shallow InAsP QWs separated by 12 nm InP barriers at its center. The L3 cavities have been obtained via electron beam lithography followed by inductively coupled plasma dry etching; the 1.5 μ m sacrificial layer was then selectively removed by wet etching The lattice constant a of the PCs was scanned by lithographic tuning between 230 and 250 nm every 5 nm, while the ratio between the hole's radius and a is fixed as r/a = 0.32. The holes at specific positions around the cavity were slightly varied in size to maximize the out-of-plane emission from the cavity mode.²⁰ A SEM picture of the cavity region is shown in the inset of Fig. 1(b). Photoluminescence (PL) experiments were carried out, exciting the samples with a pulsed laser pump (10 ps pulse width) at $\lambda = 750$ nm focussed on a 500 nm spot through a high numerical aperture microscope objective, and the PL signal was selectively collected from the cavity using a confocal set-up through the



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) PL spectra measured on a sample with a = 235 nm for increasing pump power *P*; in the inset, a detail of the spectrum for P = 3 nW is shown. (b) PL spectra measured on the same sample at higher pumping; in the inset, a SEM image of the cavity is reported.

^{a)}Electronic mail: daniele.bajoni@unipv.it.

same objective. The sample was kept at 10 K in a cold finger cryostat.

A typical PL spectrum from the cavities is shown in the inset of Fig. 1(a). Two main features can be highlighted: a broad resonance at 1360 MeV, visible also outside the patterned area, due to the emission from bare OW excitons, and a sharp resonance on the low energy side of the exciton. The quality factor of these polariton resonances was, in all samples, between 3000 and 6000, corresponding to a lifetime of the order of a ps. Spectra taken from a sample with a = 235nm are reported in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) for increasing pump power, P. The PL emission shows a clearly nonlinear behavior: when the excitation power is increased above $P \sim 100$ nW, an evident blueshift and a super-linear increase of PL from the polariton line can be observed in Fig. 1(a). Another similarly nonlinear threshold accompanied by an even larger blueshift is observed for $P > 2 \mu W$ in Fig. 1(b). Between these two thresholds, the line significantly broadens.

We summarize in Figs. 2(a)-2(c), the behaviors of the integrated peak intensity, its linewidth, and blueshift, respectively, as a function of *P*. Both the first and the second thresholds are accompanied by a spectral narrowing of the emission, implying the increase of temporal coherence. Within the first threshold, the emitted peak shifts by ~ 1 MeV, while a total shift of more than 5 MeV is observed before the onset of the second threshold. The presence of both thresholds is an unambiguous (although indirect) evidence that the sample is in strong coupling at low pumping powers, and that we are indeed in presence of both polariton lasing (with threshold around $P \sim 100$ nW, corresponding to a power density of ~ 50 W/cm²), and conventional photon lasing (with threshold around $P \sim 2 \mu$ W, ~ 1 kW/cm²).

Unfortunately, it was not possible to observe anticrossing between exciton and bare cavity mode. In fact, temperature cannot be used as a tuning parameter, as the InAsP QW exciton shifts by less than 1 nm between 4 K and 70 K, while the cavity mode shifts by less than 2 nm using thin film coating in the cryostat. Lithographic tuning is too coarse and the



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Integrated intensity of the mode from PL spectra shown in Fig. 1 as a function of *P*. The continuous (black) line, the dotted (red) line, and the dashed (green) line are guides to the eye proportional to *P*, P^2 , and P^3 . (b) Linewidth and (c) blueshift of the mode from PL spectra shown in Fig. 1.

points too few to be used for a reliable anticrossing plot. However, we stress that the presence of two thresholds, separated by the Mott transition, is a sufficient proof that the sample is in strong coupling for pumping powers below 1 μ W. This is also confirmed by the blueshift, which continues well above the first threshold: a clear indication that the sample is entering the weak coupling regime, and the emission resonance is shifting to the bare cavity mode.⁶ Notice that just below polariton lasing (between 30 nW and 100 nW), there is a quadratic increase in the emission intensity: such a dependence is the fingerprint that the dominating relaxation mechanism giving rise to polariton lasing is polaritonpolariton scattering, as predicted.⁴ Notice also that the lasing threshold in these samples is reduced by three orders of magnitude with respect to the existing literature^{5–7} and is comparable to the lowest thresholds reported for quantum dots lasers¹⁶ so far. The threshold for photon lasing, on the contrary, occurs at powers consistent to those reported for other InP-based PC cavity lasers.²¹

We have observed polariton lasing in samples with different a, and thus different exciton/cavity detuning, $\Delta = E_{\text{cav}} - E_{\text{exc}}$. The threshold power increases with increasing Δ , hence with the photonic component of the polariton, as expected. Polariton lasing relies on polariton-polariton scattering, so it is strongly dependent on the excitonic fraction. In Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), we report PL spectra collected for increasing pump power on a sample with lattice constant a = 250 nm. In this case, Δ is too large and the exciton fraction is not enough to obtain polariton lasing: as it is shown in Fig. 3(c), the emitted intensity increases linearly, while the line broadens (Fig. 3(d)) and blueshifts (inset of Fig. 3(b)) due to the progressive loss of strong coupling. The crossover to weak coupling is observed around $P \sim 1 \ \mu W$ as in all other samples. When the sample is in weak coupling, the blueshift stops, and for $P > 10 \mu$ W, conventional photon lasing sets in with a super-linear increase of the emitted intensity. The fact that the first threshold is not observed far from the exciton resonance proves it is due to excitonic gain and not to conventional gain due to band filling.

At such large negative detunings, changes in refractive index with pumping related to the exciton resonance are negligible.²² However, effects due to the injected electron-hole pairs have to be taken into account.²³ Using InP parameters,²⁴ we obtain that the bare cavity mode is blueshifted by ~ 2.5 MeV, which gives a bare cavity resonance at $E_{cav} = 1329.7$ MeV. As the QW exciton energy is $E_{\rm exc} = 1360$ MeV, and the lower polariton energy below threshold is $E_{LP} = 1328.3$ MeV, we can estimate the value of the Rabi splitting from a simple two oscillator model as $\hbar\Omega = \sqrt{\left(2E_{\rm LP} - E_{\rm cav} - E_{\rm exc}\right)^2 - \Delta^2} \simeq 13.5 \text{ MeV} \text{ (Ref. 2)}$ (we estimate an uncertainty of ~ 1 MeV on this value). This value of $\hbar\Omega$ is consistent with what expected for five GaAsbased QWs in a comparable system.¹⁷ The correspondent detuning is $\Delta \simeq -10$ MeV for the sample of Figs. 1 and 2 (i.e., a = 235 nm), and $\Delta \approx -31$ MeV for the sample of Fig. 3 (a = 250 nm).

In conclusion, the reduction of the modal volume with respect to previously studied solutions for polariton confinement leads to a reduction of more than three orders of



FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) and (b) PL spectra measured on a sample with a = 250 nm for increasing P; inset: blueshift extracted from the data. (c) Integrated intensity as a function of the pump power. The continuous (black) line and the dashed (green) line are guides to the eye proportional to P and P³. (d) Linewidth from the data of panels (a) and (b).

magnitude in polariton lasing threshold. The ability to confine polaritons in volumes comparable to cube of their wavelength should also enable to observe effects related to the enhancement of their repulsion, such as polariton self-phase modulation²⁵ and ultimately polariton blockade.²⁶

This work was supported by CNISM funding through the INNESCO Project PcPol, by MIUR funding through the FIRB "Futuro in Ricerca" project RBFR08XMVY, and from the foundation Alma Mater Ticinensis. We thank L. C. Andreani and M. Patrini for fruitful discussions and L. Ferrera for early characterization of the sample.

- ¹A. Imamoglu, R. J. Ram, S. Pau, and Y. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. A 53, 4250 (1996).
- ²M. S. Skolnick, T. A. Fisher, and D. M. Whittaker, Semicond. Sci. Technol. **13**, 645 (1998).
- ³G. Malpuech, A. Kavokin, A. Di Carlo, and J. J. Baumberg, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 153310 (2002).

- ⁴D. Porras, C. Ciuti, J. J. Baumberg, and C. Tejedor, Phys. Rev. B 66, 085304 (2002).
- ⁵J. Kasprzak, M. Richard, S. Kundermann, A. Baas, P. Jeambrun, J. M. J. Keeling, F. M. Marchetti, M. H. Szymanska, R. André, J. L. Staehli, V. Savona, P. B. Littlewood, B. Deveaud, and L. S. Dang, Nature **443**, 409 (2006).
- ⁶D. Bajoni, P. Senellart, E. Wertz, I. Sagnes, A. Miard, A. Lemaître, and J. Bloch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 047401 (2008).
- ⁷G. Christmann, R. Butte, E. Feltin, J. F. Carlin, and N. Grandjean, Appl. Phys. Lett. 93, 051102 (2008).
- ⁸G. M. Yang, M. H. MacDougal, and P. D. Dapkus, Electron. Lett. **31**, 886 (1995).
- ⁹J. Bloch, R. Planel, V. Thierry-Mieg, J. M. Gérard, D. Barrier, J. Y. Marzin, and E. Costard, Superlattices Microstruct. **22**, 371 (1997); O. El Daïf, T. Guillet, J. P. Brantut, R. Idrissi Kaitouni, J. L. Staehli, F. Morier-Genoud, and B. Deveaud, Appl. Phys. Lett. **88**, 061105 (2006).
- ¹⁰J. D. Joannopoulos, S. G. Johnson, J. N. Winn, and R. D. Meade, *Photonic Crystals: Molding the Flow of Light* (Princeton University, Princeton, 2008).
- ¹¹K. H. Lee, S. Guilet, G. Patriarche, I. Sagnes, and A. Talneau, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 26, 1326 (2008); F. Romanato, L. Businaro, E. Di Fabrizio, A. Passaseo, M. De Vittorio, R. Cingolani, M. Patrini, M. Galli, D. Bajoni, L.C. Andreani, F. Giacometti, M. Gentili, D. Peyrade, and Y. Chen, Nanotechnology 13, 644 (2002); M. Belotti, M. Galli, D. Bajoni, L. C. Andreani, G. Guizzetti, D. Decanini, and Y. Chen, Microelectron. Eng. 73, 405 (2004).
- ¹²B. S. Song, S. Noda, T. Asano, and Y. Akahane, Nature Mater. 4, 207 (2005); S. Combrié, A. De Rossi, Q. V. Tran, and H. Benisty, Opt. Lett. 33, 1908 (2008).
- ¹³Y. Akahane, T. Asano, B. S. Song, and S. Noda, Nature **425**, 944 (2003).
- ¹⁴D. Englund, D. Fattal, E. Waks, G. Solomon, B. Zhang, T. Nakaoka, Y. Arakawa, Y. Yamamoto, and J. Vučković, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 013904 (2005).
- ¹⁵K. Hennessy, A. Badolato, M. Winger, D. Gerace, M. Atatüre, S. Gulde, S. Fält, E. Hu, and A. Imamoğlu, Nature 445, 896 (2007).
- ¹⁶S. Strauf, K. Hennessy, M. T. Rakher, Y.-S. Choi, A. Badolato, L. C. Andreani, E. L. Hu, P. M. Petroff, and D. Bouwmeester, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 127404 (2006).
- ¹⁷D. Gerace and L. C. Andreani, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 235325 (2007).
- ¹⁸D. Bajoni, D. Gerace, M. Galli, J. Bloch, R. Braive, I. Sagnes, A. Miard, A. Lemaître, M. Patrini, and L. C. Andreani, Phys. Rev. B 80, 201308(R) (2009).
- ¹⁹S. Zanotto, G. Biasiol, R. Degli Innocenti, L. Sorba, and A. Tredicucci, Appl. Phys. Lett. **97**, 231123 (2010).
- ²⁰N.-V.-Q. Tran, S. Combrié, P. Colman, A. De Rossi, and T. MeiNguyen, Phys. Rev. B 82, 075120 (2010); S. L. Portalupi, M. Galli, C. Reardon, T. F. Krauss, L. O'Faolain, L. C. Andreani, and D. Gerace, Opt. Express 18, 16064 (2010).
- ²¹L. J. Martinez, B. Alén, I. Prieto, D. Fuster, L. Gonzalez, Y. Gonzlez, M. L. Dotor, and P. A. Postigo, Opt. Express 17, 14993 (2009).
- ²²D. Bajoni, P. Senellart, A. Lemaître, and J. Bloch, Phys. Rev. B 76, 201305(R) (2007).
- ²³I. Fushman, E. Waks, D. Englund, N. Stoltz, P. Petroff, and J. Vučković, Appl. Phys. Lett. **90**, 091118 (2007).
- ²⁴See http://www.ioffe.rssi.ru/SVA/NSM/Semicond/InP for InP physical parameters; K. Inoshita and T. Baba, IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron. 9, 1347 (2003).
- ²⁵D. M. Whittaker and P. R. Eastham, Europhys. Lett. **87**, 27002 (2009).
- ²⁶A. Verger, C. Ciuti, and I. Carusotto, Phys. Rev. B 73, 193306 (2006).