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#### Abstract

Let $1<p \leq \infty$. We provide an asymptotic characterization of continuous viscosity solutions $u$ of the normalized $p$-Laplacian $\Delta_{p}^{N} u=0$ in any Carnot group $\mathbb{G}$.
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## 1 Introduction

The study of mean-value properties of solutions of elliptic PDEs has a long and fruitful history. For harmonic functions in the Euclidean setting, the study goes back to Gauss, Koebe, Volterra, and Zaremba, to mention just a few, see also [1] for recent results in Carnot groups. A generalized meanvalue property originating in [14] and [15], called the asymptotic mean-value property, facilitates similar analysis of $p$-harmonic functions, one of the most important nonlinear counterparts of harmonic functions. Related are applications of $p$-harmonic functions in statistical Tug-of-War games, see for instance [14] and [17]. In the setting of Carnot groups, similar studies have been conducted in 8 and 9 .

A new approach to the asymptotic mean-value property has been recently proposed in [11] (see also [2] for relations with statistical games). More precisely, in [11], the authors proved that every viscosity solution $u$ to the normalized $p$-laplacian in an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for a given $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ (Definition 2.2), can be characterized using an asymptotic mean-value property in terms of the function $\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)(x)$, defined as the unique minimizer of the following variational problem

$$
\left\|u-\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}\right)}=\min _{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}\|u-\lambda\|_{L^{p}\left(\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}\right)},
$$

where $B_{\varepsilon}(x) \subset \Omega$ denotes the ball centered at $x$ with radius $\varepsilon$. This notion encompasses the median, the mean-value and the min-max mean of a continuous function, see 11 for details.

In the present paper we generalize the results of [11] to the setting of an arbitrary Carnot group.
Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a Carnot group of step $k$ (Definition 2.11). Denote by $\Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N}$ the subelliptic normalized $p$ Laplacian (see (2) and (3)) and by $\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)$ the generalized median of a function $u$ defined uniquely
as in (55). The theorem below stays that a viscosity solution of $\Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} u=0$ can be characterized asymptotically by the minimum $\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)$. This provides one more, intrinsic, way to characterize $p$-harmonic functions via a variant of the asymptotic mean-value property.

Theorem 1.1. Let $1<p \leq \infty$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{G}$ be open. For a function $u \in C^{0}(\Omega)$ the following are equivalent:
(i) $u$ is a viscosity solution of $\Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} u=0$ in $\Omega$;
(ii) $u(x)=\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)(x)+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, in the viscosity sense for every $x \in \Omega$.

In order to prove this theorem we first prove Lemma 3.1, where the asymptotic behavior of minimizers $\mu_{p}$ is described for quadratic polynomials on balls. We illustrate the discussion with examples of the Heisenberg group and Carnot groups of step 2, see Examples 3 and 4 in Section 3. As presented in Remark 1 in Section 3, our results generalize those obtained in the Euclidean setting in [11. The techniques employed in 11 do not allow us to include in our discussion the case $p=1$, see Remark 2 at the end of Section 3 .

## 2 Carnot groups

In what follows, we briefly recall some standard facts on Carnot groups, see [5, 7, 10, 16, for a more detailed treatment.

Definition 2.1. A finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, is said to be stratified of step $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if there exists subspaces $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that:

$$
\mathfrak{g}=V_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{k} \text { and }\left[V_{1}, V_{i}\right]=V_{i+1} \quad i=1, \ldots, k-1 ; \quad\left[V_{1}, V_{k}\right]=\{0\} .
$$

We denote by $v_{k}$ the dimension of $V_{k}$.
A connected and simply connected Lie group $\mathbb{G}$ is a Carnot group if its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is finite dimensional and stratified. We also set $h_{0}:=0, h_{i}:=\sum_{j=1}^{i} v_{j}$ and $m:=h_{k}$.

Using the exponential map, every Carnot group $\mathbb{G}$ of step $k$ is isomorphic as a Lie group to $\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \cdot\right)$ where • is the group operation given by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula.

For each $x \in \mathbb{G}$ we define left translation by $\tau_{x}: \mathbb{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ by

$$
\tau_{x}(y):=x \cdot y
$$

For each $\lambda>0$ we define a dilation $\delta_{\lambda}: \mathbb{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ by

$$
\delta_{\lambda}(x)=\delta_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right):=\left(\lambda^{\sigma_{1}} x_{1}, \ldots, \lambda^{\sigma_{k}} x_{m}\right)
$$

where $\sigma_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ is called the homogeneity of the variable $x_{i}$ in $\mathbb{G}$ and it is defined by $\sigma_{j}:=i$, whenever $h_{i-1}<j \leq h_{i}$.

We endow $\mathbb{G}$ with a pseudonorm and pseudodistance by defining

$$
\begin{align*}
& |x|_{\mathbb{G}}:=\left|\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(k)}\right)\right|_{\mathbb{G}}:=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\|x^{(j)}\right\|^{\frac{2 k!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 k!}}  \tag{1}\\
& \mathrm{d}(x, y):=\left|y^{-1} \cdot x\right|_{\mathbb{G}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x^{(j)}:=\left(x_{h_{j-1}+1}, \ldots, x_{h_{j}}\right)$ and $\left\|x^{(j)}\right\|$ denotes the standard Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^{h_{j}-h_{j-1}}$. We define the pseudoball centered at $x \in \mathbb{G}$ of radius $R>0$ by

$$
B(x, R)=B_{R}(x):=\left\{y \in \mathbb{G}:\left|y^{-1} \cdot x\right|_{\mathbb{G}}<R\right\} .
$$

We illustrate the concept of Carnot groups with the following important examples.

Example 1 (The Heisenberg groups $\mathbb{H}_{n}$ ). The $n$-dimensional Heisenberg group $\mathbb{G}=\mathbb{H}_{n}$, is the Carnot group with a 2 -step Lie algebra and orthonormal basis $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{2 n}, Z\right\}$ such that

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{1}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{2 n}\right\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{2}=\operatorname{Span}\{Z\}
$$

and the nontrivial brackets are $\left[X_{i}, X_{n+i}\right]=Z$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
In particular, if $n=1$, then the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_{1}$ is often presented using coordinates $(z, t)$, where $z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and multiplication defined by $\left(z_{1}, t_{1}\right)\left(z_{2}, t_{2}\right)=\left(z_{1}+z_{2}, t_{1}+\right.$ $\left.t_{2}+2 \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{1} \bar{z}_{2}\right)\right)$. The pseudonorm given by $\|(z, t)\|=\left(|z|^{4}+t^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}$ gives rise to a left invariant distance defined by $d_{\mathbb{H}_{1}}(p, q)=\left\|p^{-1} q\right\|$ which is called the Heisenberg distance. A dilation by $r>0$ is defined by $\delta_{r}(z, t)=\left(r z, r^{2} t\right)$ and the left invariant Haar measure $\lambda$ is simply the 3-dimensional Lebesgue measure, moreover $\delta_{r}^{*} d \lambda=r^{4} d \lambda$. It follows that the Hausdorff dimension of the metric measure space $\left(\mathbb{H}_{1}, d_{\mathbb{H}_{1}}, \lambda\right)$ is 4 , and the space is 4 -Ahlfors regular, i.e., there exists a positive constant $c$ such that for all balls $B$ with radius $r$, we have $\frac{1}{c} r^{4} \leq \mathcal{H}^{4}(B) \leq c r^{4}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{4}$ denotes the 4-dimensional Hausdorff measure induced by $d_{\mathbb{H}_{1}}$.

The following proposition, proved in [5], shows that the Lebesgue measure is the Haar measure on Carnot groups.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\mathbb{G}=\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \cdot\right)$ be a Carnot group. Then the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is invariant with respect to the left and the right translations on $\mathbb{G}$. Precisely, if we denote by $|E|$ the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$, then for all $x \in \mathbb{G}$ we have that $|x \cdot E|=|E|=|E \cdot x|$. Moreover, for all $\lambda>0$ it holds $\delta_{\lambda}(E)\left|=\lambda^{Q}\right| E \mid$, where $Q:=\sum_{j=1}^{m} v_{j} \sigma_{j}$.

A basis $X=\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, is called the Jacobian basis if $X_{j}=J\left(e_{j}\right)$ where $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right)$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $J: \mathbb{R}^{m} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is defined by $J(\eta)(x):=\mathcal{J}_{\tau_{x}}(0) \cdot \eta$, where $\mathcal{J}_{\tau_{x}}$ denotes the Jacobian matrix of $\tau_{x}$.

Let us recall the following classical proposition describing the Jacobian basis on Carnot groups, see [5. Corollary 1.3.19] for a proof.
Proposition 2.2. Let $\mathbb{G}=\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \cdot\right)$ be a Carnot group of step $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the elements of the Jacobian basis $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}$ have polynomial coefficients and if $h_{l-1}<j \leq h_{l}, 1 \leq l \leq k$, then

$$
X_{j}(x)=\partial_{j}+\sum_{i>h_{l}}^{m} a_{i}^{(j)}(x) \partial_{i}
$$

where $a_{i}^{(j)}(x)=a_{i}^{(j)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{h_{l-1}}\right)$ when $h_{l-1}<i \leq h_{l}$, and $a_{i}^{(j)}\left(\delta_{\lambda}(x)\right)=\lambda^{\sigma_{i}-\sigma_{j}} a_{i}^{(j)}(x)$.
The following definition is one of the key concepts of the analysis on Carnot groups. Let $X=\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}$ be a Jacobian basis of $\mathbb{G}=\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \cdot\right)$. For any function $u \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, we define its horizontal gradient by the formula

$$
\nabla_{V_{1}} u:=\sum_{i=1}^{h_{1}}\left(X_{i} u\right) X_{i}
$$

and the intrinsic divergence of $u$ as

$$
\operatorname{div}_{V_{1}} u:=\sum_{i=1}^{h_{1}} X_{i} u
$$

Moreover, for $2 \leq j \leq k$, we set $\nabla_{V_{j}} u:=\sum_{h_{j-1}<i \leq h_{j}}\left(X_{i} u\right) X_{i}$. The horizontal Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbb{G}} u$ of a function $u: \mathbb{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by the following

$$
\Delta_{\mathbb{G}} u:=\sum_{i=1}^{h_{1}} X_{i}^{2} u
$$

A priori, one studies solutions to the Laplace equation under the $C^{2}$-regularity assumption. However, as in the Euclidean setting, it is natural to weaken the required degree of regularity and consider weak solutions belonging to the so-called horizontal Sobolev space. For further details we refer to e.g. [6, 13].

The following results describe the Taylor expansion formula in the Carnot groups, see 5, Proposition 20.3.11] .

Proposition 2.3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{G}$ be an open neighborhood of 0 and let $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then, the following Taylor formula holds for any point $P=\left(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \ldots, x^{(k)}\right) \in \Omega$ :

$$
u(P)=u(0)+\left\langle\nabla_{V_{1}} u(0), x^{(1)}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}_{1}^{h_{1}}}+\left\langle\nabla_{V_{2}} u(0), x^{(2)}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{h_{2}}}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle D_{V_{1}}^{2, *} u(0) x^{(1)}, x^{(1)}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{h_{1}}}+o\left(\|P\|^{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
D_{V_{1}}^{2, *} u:=\left(\frac{\left(X_{i} X_{j}+X_{j} X_{i}\right) u}{2}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq h_{1}}
$$

is the so called symmetrized horizontal Hessian of $u$.
Next, we recall the definition of the main differential operator studied in this work. For $p \in$ $[1,+\infty]$ the subelliptic normalized $p$-Laplace operator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} u:=\frac{\operatorname{div}_{V_{1}}\left(\left|\nabla_{V_{1}} u\right|^{p-2} \nabla_{V_{1}} u\right)}{\left|\nabla_{V_{1}} u\right|^{p-2}} \quad \text { if } \quad 1 \leq p<\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\infty, \mathbb{G}}^{N} u:=\frac{\left\langle D_{V_{1}}^{2, *} u \frac{\nabla_{V_{1}} u}{\mid \nabla_{V_{1}} u}, \frac{\nabla_{V_{1}} u}{\mid \nabla_{V_{1}} u}\right\rangle}{\left|\nabla_{V_{1}} u\right|^{2}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for $p=2, \Delta_{2, \mathbb{G}} u=\Delta_{\mathbb{G}} u$ is the so called Kohn-Laplace operator in $\mathbb{G}$. Thus, the $p$ Laplace operator is the natural generalization of the Laplacian. Furthermore, the $\infty$-Laplacian can be viewed as a limit of $p$-Laplacians in the appropriate sense for $p \rightarrow \infty$. Among its applications, let us mention best Lipschitz extensions, image processing and mass transport problems, see e.g. the presentation in [14] and references therein.

In the case of the non-renormalized $p$-Laplacian, notions of a viscosity solution and a weak solution agree for $1<p<\infty$, see 12 for the result in the Euclidean setting and 3 for the Heisenberg group. Since the normalized $p$-Laplacian is in the non-divergence form, the concept of viscosity solutions is more handy than weak solutions. Let us now introduce this notion.

Definition 2.2. Fix a value of $p \in[1, \infty]$ and consider the subelliptic normalized $p$-Laplace equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} u=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{G} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) A lower semi-continuous function $u$, is a viscosity supersolution of (4), if for every $x_{0} \in \Omega$, and every $\phi \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $\nabla_{V_{1}} \phi\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and $u-\phi$ has a strict minimum at $x_{0} \in \Omega$, we have $\Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} \phi \leq 0$ in $\Omega$.
(ii) A lower semi-continuous function $u$, is a viscosity subsolution of (4), if for every $x_{0} \in \Omega$, and every $\phi \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $\nabla_{V_{1}} \phi\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and $u-\phi$ has a strict minimum at $x_{0} \in \Omega$, we have $\Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} \phi \geq 0$ in $\Omega$.
(iii) A continuous function $u$ is a viscosity solution of of (4), if it is both a viscosity supersolution and a viscosity subsolution in $\Omega$.

Fix an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{G}$, let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let $u$ be a real-valued continuous function in $\Omega$. For a given $x \in \Omega$, choose $\varepsilon>0$ so that $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \subset \Omega$, we define the number $\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)(x)$ (or simply $\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)$ if the point $x$ is clear from the context) as the unique real number satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}\right)}=\min _{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}\|u-\lambda\|_{L^{p}\left(\overline{\left.B_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)}\right.} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following properties of $\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)(x)$ have been proved in 11 for the setting of compact topological spaces $X$, equipped with a positive Radon measure $\nu$ such that $\nu(X)<\infty$. Here we apply results from [11] to $X=\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \subset \mathbb{G}$ and $\nu$ the Lebesgue measure, cf. Proposition [2.1]

In Theorem 2.1 below, we summarize results proven in Theorems 2.1, 2.4 and 2.5 in 11 .
Theorem 2.1. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $u \in C\left(\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}\right)$.
(1) There exists a unique real valued $\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)$ such that

$$
\left\|u-\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}\right)}=\min _{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}\|u-\lambda\|_{L^{p}\left(\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}\right)} .
$$

Furthermore, for $1 \leq p<\infty, \mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)$ is characterized by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}\left|u(y)-\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)\right|^{p-2}\left(u(y)-\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)\right) d y=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $1 \leq p<2$ we assume that the integrand is zero if $u(y)-\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)=0$. For $p=\infty$ we have the following equality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\infty}(\varepsilon, u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\min }{B(x, \varepsilon)} u+\frac{\max }{B(x, \varepsilon)} u\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ then it follows that

$$
\left|\left\|u-\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}\right)}-\left\|v-\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, v)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}\right)}\right| \leq\|u-v\|_{L^{p}\left(\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}\right)}
$$

for any $u, v \in L^{p}\left(\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}\right)$. Moreover, if $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p}\left(\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}\right)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $u_{n}, u \in$ $C^{0}\left(\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}\right)$ for $p=1$, then $\mu_{p}\left(\varepsilon, u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the same is true for any $p \in[1, \infty]$ if $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset C^{0}\left(\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}\right)$ converges uniformly on $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
(3) Let $u$ and $v$ be two functions which, in the case $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, belong to $L^{p}\left(B_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)$, and in the case $p=1$, belong to $C^{0}\left(\overline{B_{\varepsilon}}(x)\right)$. If $u \leq v$ a.e. in $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}}(x)$, then $\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u) \leq \mu_{p}(\varepsilon, v)$.
(4) $\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u+c)=\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)+c$ for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$.
(5) $\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, c u)=c \mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)$ for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

The following is [11, Corollary 2.3] in Carnot groups of step $k$ :
Corollary 2.1. Let $u \in L^{p}\left(B_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)$, for $1<p \leq \infty$, or in $C^{0}\left(\overline{B_{\varepsilon}}(x)\right)$ for $p=1$. Let $u_{\varepsilon}(z)=$ $u\left(x \delta_{\varepsilon}(z)\right)$ for $z \in \overline{B_{1}}(0)$, then

$$
\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)(x)=\mu_{p}\left(1, u_{\varepsilon}\right)(0)
$$

Proof. For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p<\infty$ it holds:
$\|u-\lambda\|_{L^{p}\left(B_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)}^{p}=\int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)}|u(\xi)-\lambda|^{p} d \xi=\varepsilon^{\sigma_{1}+\cdots+\sigma_{k}} \int_{B_{1}(0)}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(\xi)-\lambda\right|^{p} d \xi=\varepsilon^{v_{1}+2 v_{2}+\cdots+k v_{k}}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}-\lambda\right\|_{L^{p}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)}^{p}$
and

$$
\|u-\lambda\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)}=\left\|u_{\varepsilon}-\lambda\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)}
$$

and the conclusion follows by (1) in Theorem 2.1

Next we state carefully what is meant by the statement that the asymptotic expansion of the function $u$ in terms of $\mu_{p}$ holds in the viscosity sense, see (5) and Definition 2.4. First, we need the following auxiliary definition.

Definition 2.3. Let $h$ be a real valued function defined in a neighborhood of zero. We say that

$$
h(x) \leq o\left(x^{2}\right) \text { as } x \rightarrow 0^{+}
$$

if any of the three equivalent conditions is satisfied:
a) $\limsup _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{h(x)}{x^{2}} \leq 0$,
b) there exists a nonnegative function $g(x) \geq 0$ such that $h(x)+g(x)=o\left(x^{2}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow 0^{+}$,
c) $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{h^{+}(x)}{x^{2}} \leq 0$.

A similar definition is given for $h(x) \geq o\left(x^{2}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow 0^{+}$by reversing the inequalities in a) and c), requiring that $g(x) \leq 0$ in b) and replacing $h^{+}$by $h^{-}$in c) 1 .

Let $f$ and $g$ be two real valued functions defined in a neighborhood of $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that $f$ and $g$ are asymptotic functions for $x \rightarrow x_{0}$, if there exists a function $h$ defined in a neighborhood $V_{x_{0}}$ of $x_{0}$ such that:
(i) $f(x)=g(x) h(x)$ for all $x \in V_{x_{0}} \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}$.
(ii) $\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}} h(x)=1$.

If $f$ and $g$ are asymptotic for $x \rightarrow x_{0}$, then we simply write $f \sim g$ as $x \rightarrow x_{0}$.
Definition 2.4. A continuous function defined in a neighborhood of a point $x \in \mathbb{G}$, satisfies

$$
u(x)=\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)(x)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)
$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$in the viscosity sense, if the following conditions hold:
(i) for every continuous function $\phi$ defined in a neighborhood of a point $x$ such that $u-\phi$ has a strict minimum at $x$ with $u(x)=\phi(x)$ and $\nabla_{V_{1}} \phi(x) \neq 0$, we have

$$
\phi(x) \geq \mu_{p}(\varepsilon, \phi)(x)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \quad \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+} .
$$

(ii) for every continuous function $\phi$ defined in a neighborhood of a point $x$ such that $u-\phi$ has a strict maximum at $x$ with $u(x)=\phi(x)$ and $\nabla_{V_{1}} \phi(x) \neq 0$, then

$$
\phi(x) \leq \mu_{p}(\varepsilon, \phi)(x)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \quad \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+} .
$$

## 3 The proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following key lemma.
Lemma 3.1 (cf. Lemma 3.1 in [11]). Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a Carnot group of step $k$. Moreover, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{G}$ be an open set and $x \in \Omega$ be a point such that $B_{\varepsilon}(x) \subset \Omega$ for all small enough $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}(x)$. Let $1<p \leq \infty$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{v_{1}} \backslash\{0\}, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{v_{2}}$. Let further $A$ be a symmetric $v_{1} \times v_{1}$ matrix with trace $\operatorname{tr}(A)$. Moreover, consider the quadratic function $q: B_{\varepsilon}(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(y)=q(x)+\left\langle\xi,\left(x^{-1} y\right)^{(1)}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{v_{1}}}+\left\langle\eta,\left(x^{-1} y\right)^{(2)}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{v_{2}}}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A\left(x^{-1} y\right)^{(1)},\left(x^{-1} y\right)^{(1)}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{v_{1}}}, \quad y \in B_{\varepsilon}(x) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $\left(x^{-1} y\right)^{(1)}$ and $\left(x^{-1} y\right)^{(2)}$ are the horizontal and the vertical components of $x^{-1} y$, respectively and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{v_{1}}}$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{v_{2}}}$ denote the Euclidean scalar products on $\mathbb{R}^{v_{1}}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{v_{2}}$, respectively. It then follows that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, q)(x)=q(x)+\varepsilon^{2} c\left(\operatorname{tr}(A)+(p-2) \frac{\langle A \xi, \xi\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{v_{1}}}}{|\xi|^{2}}\right)+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where

$$
c:=c\left(p, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2\left(p+v_{1}\right)} \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2(k-1)!}, \frac{p+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j v_{j}}{2(k-1)!}+1\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2(k-1)!}, \frac{p-2+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j v_{j}}{2(k-1)!}+1\right)} \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{j v_{j}}{2 k!}, \frac{p+\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} i v_{i}}{2 k!}+1\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{j v_{j}}{2 k!}, \frac{p-2+\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} i v_{i}}{2 k!}+1\right)}
$$

and $\mathcal{B}(x, y)$ denotes the Beta function $\mathcal{B}(x, y)=\int_{0}^{1} t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} d t$ for all $x, y>0$. Furthermore, if $u \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\nabla_{V_{1}} u(x) \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)(x)=u(x)+c \Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} u(x) \varepsilon^{2}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. The formula describing the constant $c\left(p, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$ is complicated and not easily simplified using the properties of the Beta function.

Before we prove the lemma, let us discuss its assertion in some particular cases:
Example 2 (The Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ ). If $\mathbb{G}$ is the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ then $c\left(p, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$ agrees with the constant computed in [11, namely

$$
c(p, N)=\frac{1}{2(p+N)}
$$

Example 3 (The Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_{1}$, cf. Example (1). If $\mathbb{G}=\mathbb{H}_{1}$, then quadratic function $q$ in (8) takes the form:

$$
q(y)=q(x)+\left\langle\xi,\left(x^{-1} y\right)^{(1)}\right\rangle+w\left(x^{-1} y\right)^{(2)}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A\left(x^{-1} y\right)^{(1)},\left(x^{-1} y\right)^{(1)}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}, \quad y \in B_{\varepsilon}(x),
$$

where $w \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}$. Furthermore, the constant $c=c(p)$ appearing in (9) and (10) takes the following form

$$
c(p)=\frac{2}{(p+2)(p+4)}\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+6}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+4}{4}\right)}\right)^{2},
$$

where for $t>-1, \Gamma(t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{t-1} e^{-x} d x$ is the Gamma function.
Example 4 (Carnot groups of step 2). Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a Carnot group of step 2, then the quadratic function $q$ in (8) takes the form:

$$
q(y)=q(x)+\left\langle\xi,\left(x^{-1} y\right)^{(1)}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}+\left\langle\eta,\left(x^{-1} y\right)^{(2)}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{k}}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A\left(x^{-1} y\right)^{(1)},\left(x^{-1} y\right)^{(1)}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}, \quad y \in B_{\varepsilon}(x)
$$

that is $v_{1}=n, v_{2}=k, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$. Moreover, the constant $c=c(p, n, k)$, appearing in (9) and (10), takes the following form

$$
c(p, n, k):=\frac{1}{2(n+p)} \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{n+p+4}{4}\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{n+p+2}{4}\right)} .
$$

In the proof of Lemma 3.1 we employ the following integral formula.
Lemma 3.2. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be real numbers such that $\alpha_{i}>-1$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. It then follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T_{n}} x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} d x=\frac{1}{2^{n}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha_{i}+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+2+\sum \alpha_{i}}{2}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{n}:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: x_{1}^{2}+\ldots+x_{n}^{2}<1, x_{i} \geq 0\right.$ for $\left.i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Let $a, b>-1$. Upon applying the change of variables $t=\sin ^{2} x$, we obtain the following equation:
$\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin ^{a} x \cos ^{b} x d x=\int_{0}^{1} t^{\frac{a}{2}}(1-t)^{\frac{b}{2}} \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{t} \sqrt{1-t}} d t=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\frac{a-1}{2}}(1-t)^{\frac{b-1}{2}} d t=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{a+1}{2}, \frac{b+1}{2}\right)$.
Now we are in a position to calculate the left-hand side of (11). We apply the spherical coordinates

$$
\begin{cases}x_{1} & =r \cos \varphi_{1} \\ x_{2} & =r \sin \varphi_{1} \cos \varphi_{2} \\ x_{3} & =r \sin \varphi_{1} \sin \varphi_{2} \cos \varphi_{3} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} & =r \sin \varphi_{1} \sin \varphi_{2} \ldots \cdot \cos \varphi_{n-1} \\ x_{n} & =r \sin \varphi_{1} \sin \varphi_{2} \ldots \sin \varphi_{n-1}\end{cases}
$$

with the Jacobian determinant $|J|=r^{n-1} \sin ^{n-2} \varphi_{1} \sin ^{n-3} \varphi_{2} \cdot \ldots \cdot \sin \varphi_{n-2}$ and the spherical coordinates varying as follows: $r \in(0,1), \varphi_{i} \in(0, \pi / 2)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-2$. The result is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{T_{n}} x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} d x & =\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \ldots \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left[r^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}+n-1} \cdot \cos ^{\alpha_{1}} \varphi_{1} \sin ^{\sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_{i}+n-2} \varphi_{1}\right. \\
& \cdot \cos ^{\alpha_{2}} \varphi_{2} \sin \sum_{i=3}^{n} \alpha_{i}+n-3 \\
\varphi_{2} & \left.\ldots \cdot \cos ^{\alpha_{n-1}} \varphi_{n-1} \sin ^{\alpha_{n}} \varphi_{n-1}\right] d \varphi_{1} \ldots d \varphi_{n-1} d r \\
& =\frac{1}{n+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_{i}+n-1}{2}, \frac{\alpha_{1}+1}{2}\right) \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=3}^{n} \alpha_{i}+n-2}{2}, \frac{\alpha_{2}+1}{2}\right) \\
& \cdot \ldots \cdot \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\alpha_{n}+1}{2}, \frac{\alpha_{n-1}+1}{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equal to the right-hand side of (11) upon using the well-known formula $\mathcal{B}(x, y)=\frac{\Gamma(x) \Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}$.
Proof of Lemma 3.1]. In the proof we follow the steps of the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [11. However, since the setting of Carnot groups differs from the Euclidean one, the computations are to some extent, more demanding and nontrivial.

We begin with computing $\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, q)$. For $z=\left(z^{(1)}, \ldots, z^{(k)}\right) \in B:=B(0,1)$, we introduce the following functions:

$$
q_{\varepsilon}(z):=q\left(x \delta_{\varepsilon}(z)\right), \quad v_{\varepsilon}(z):=\frac{q_{\varepsilon}(z)-q(x)}{\varepsilon} \quad \text { and } \quad v(z):=\left\langle\xi,\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{v_{1}}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}:=\left\langle\xi, z^{(1)}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{v_{1}}}
$$

We know that $\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, q)(x)=\mu_{p}\left(1, q_{\varepsilon}\right)(0)$ by Corollary 2.1. Then, by points (4) and (5) of Theorem [2.1] we see that

$$
\frac{\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, q)(x)-q(x)}{\varepsilon}=\mu_{p}\left(1, v_{\varepsilon}\right)(0)
$$

Let us further observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\varepsilon}(z)=\left\langle\xi, z^{(1)}\right\rangle+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left\langle A z^{(1)}, z^{(1)}\right\rangle+\varepsilon\left\langle\eta, z^{(2)}\right\rangle \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $v_{\varepsilon}$ converges uniformly to $v$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ on $\bar{B}$. We appeal to the second part of claim (2) in Theorem 2.1 to obtain that $\mu_{p}\left(1, v_{\varepsilon}\right)(0) \rightarrow \mu_{p}(1, v)(0)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Recall that the characterization of $\lambda=\mu_{p}(1, v)(0)$ given by (6) in Theorem 2.1] states that if $p \in[1, \infty)$, then $\lambda$ is the unique number such that

$$
\int_{B}\left|\left\langle\xi, y^{(1)}\right\rangle-\lambda\right|^{p-2}\left(\left\langle\xi, y^{(1)}\right\rangle-\lambda\right) d y=0
$$

On the other hand we have

$$
\int_{B}\left|\left\langle\xi, y^{(1)}\right\rangle\right|^{p-2}\left(\left\langle\xi, y^{(1)}\right\rangle\right) d y=0
$$

which follows from the symmetry of the unit ball and the following natural change of variables

$$
\Phi\left(y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, \ldots, y^{(k)}\right)=\left(-y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, \ldots, y^{(k)}\right), \quad\left|J_{\Phi}\right|=1, \quad \Phi(B)=B .
$$

It now follows that $\mu_{p}(1, v)(0)=\lambda=0$.
If $p=\infty$, then by (7):

$$
\mu_{\infty}(1, v)(0)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\min _{\bar{B}}\left\langle\xi, y^{(1)}\right\rangle+\max _{\bar{B}}\left\langle\xi, y^{(1)}\right\rangle\right)=\frac{1}{2}(-|\xi|+|\xi|)=0 .
$$

Next, we split the discussion into the cases depending on the value of $p$. Let us define

$$
\gamma_{\varepsilon}:=\frac{\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, q)(x)-q(x)}{\varepsilon^{2}} .
$$

3.1 Case 1: $1<p<\infty$.

For the sake of brevity, we introduce a function $f(s)=|s|^{p-2} s$. Then, upon applying (6) to $\mu_{p}\left(1, v_{\varepsilon}\right)(0)=\varepsilon \gamma_{\varepsilon}$, we obtain

$$
\int_{B} f\left(v_{\varepsilon}(z)-\varepsilon \gamma_{\varepsilon}\right) d z=0
$$

By using (12), this can be transformed to the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B} f\left(\left\langle\xi, z^{(1)}\right\rangle+\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A z^{(1)}, z^{(1)}\right\rangle-\gamma_{\varepsilon}+\left\langle\eta, z^{(2)}\right\rangle\right)\right) d z=0 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $|\xi|=1$, since otherwise we can consider the quadratic function $\tilde{q}=q /|\xi|$. Let us apply the change of variables $z=\left(z^{(1)}, z^{(2)}, \ldots, z^{(k)}\right)=$ $\left(R y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, \ldots, y^{(k)}\right)$ in (13), where $R$ is a $v_{1} \times v_{1}$ rotation matrix with $R^{T} \xi=e_{1}$ and $e_{1}$ denotes the first element of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{\nu_{1}}$. Set $C=R^{T} A R$, then (13) reads as

$$
\int_{B} f\left(y_{1}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle-\gamma_{\varepsilon}+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\right)\right) d y=0 .
$$

Since $\int_{B} f\left(y_{1}\right) d y=0$, it follows that for all $\varepsilon>0$, we have:

$$
\int_{B} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(f\left(y_{1}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle-\gamma_{\varepsilon}+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\right)\right)-f\left(y_{1}\right)\right) d y=0 .
$$

Therefore, by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B}\left[\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime}\left(y_{1}+t \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle-\gamma_{\varepsilon}+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\right)\right) d t\right]\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle-\gamma_{\varepsilon}+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\right) d y=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality (14) implies that $\gamma_{\varepsilon}$ is a weighted mean value of the function $\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle$ over $B$ with respect to a weighted Lebesgue measure $w(y) d y$ for

$$
w(y):=\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime}\left(y_{1}+t \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle-\gamma_{\varepsilon}+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\right)\right) d t, \quad y \in B
$$

The weight function $w$ is nonnegative since $f^{\prime}(s)=(p-1)|s|^{p-2} \geq 0$. Therefore, $\gamma_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded by $c:=\left\|\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\right\|_{L^{\infty}(B)}$.

Let us consider any subsequence of $\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}\right)$ converging to $\gamma_{0}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$, which for the sake of brevity, we also denote by $\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Let us consider two cases. If $2 \leq p<\infty$, then for all $y \in B$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime}\left(y_{1}+t \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle-\gamma_{\varepsilon}+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\right)\right) d t\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle-\gamma_{\varepsilon}+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\right)\right| \\
& \leq 2 c(p-1) \int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{1}+t \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle-\gamma_{\varepsilon}+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\right)\right|^{p-2} d t \leq 2 c(p-1)(1+2 c \varepsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by the dominated convergence theorem the sequence $\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}\right)$ converges to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{0}:=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma_{\varepsilon}=\frac{\int_{B}\left|y_{1}\right|^{p-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\right) d y}{\int_{B}\left|y_{1}\right|^{p-2} d y} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $1<p<2$. Fix $0<\theta<1$ and split the integral (14) into two parts: over the set $G_{\theta}:=B \cap\left\{\left|y_{1}\right|>\theta\right\}$ and $F_{\theta}:=B \cap\left\{\left|y_{1}\right| \leq \theta\right\}$. Observe that for all $y \in G_{\theta}$ and for all $\varepsilon>0$ satisfying $2 c \varepsilon<\theta$, we have the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime}\left(y_{1}+t \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle-\gamma_{\varepsilon}+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\right)\right) d t\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle-\gamma_{\varepsilon}+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\right)\right| \\
& \leq 2 c| | y_{1}|-2 c \varepsilon|^{p-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{G_{\theta}}| | y_{1}|-2 c \varepsilon|^{p-2} d y=\int_{G_{\theta}}\left|y_{1}\right|^{p-2} d y<\int_{B}\left|y_{1}\right|^{p-2} d y \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the inequality holds uniformly for all $\theta \in(0,1)$. Furthermore, the last integral turns out to be finite which can be seen from the explicit calculation below in (17). Hence, by applying Theorem 5.4 in [11 to $X=G_{\theta}$ with $\nu$ being the Lebesgue measure, we obtain the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{G_{\theta}} \int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime}\left(y_{1}+t \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle-\gamma_{\varepsilon}+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\right)\right) d t\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle-\gamma_{\varepsilon}+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\right) d y \\
& =\int_{G_{\theta}}(p-1)\left|y_{1}\right|^{p-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle-\gamma_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that here the upper bound in (16) allows us to conclude that the limit as $\theta \rightarrow 0^{+}$is finite. We now focus on the part of the integral in (14) involving the set $F_{\theta}$. Since $\left|F_{\theta}\right|=\int_{F_{\theta}} 1 d y$, then upon writing this integral as in (17), one sees that $\left|F_{\theta}\right|=c\left(k, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) \theta$, and so $\left|F_{\theta}\right| \rightarrow 0$, as $\theta \rightarrow 0^{+}$. Moreover, it suffices to consider $\theta=2 c \varepsilon$ and the related $\int_{F_{2 c \varepsilon}}| | y_{1}|-2 c \varepsilon|^{p-2} d y$. We again appeal to integral (17) and reduce our computations to finding

$$
\int_{B_{v_{1}}\left(0, R_{1}\right) \cap\left\{\left|y_{1}\right| \leq 2 c \varepsilon\right\}}\left(2 c \varepsilon-\left|y_{1}\right|\right)^{p-2} d y^{(1)} .
$$

However, direct computation shows that this integral is of order $\varepsilon^{p-1}$, which then allows us to let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$, and in turn conclude (15).

In order to approach the proof of (9), we first need to compute integrals in (15). We begin with computing the denominator of (15). Once this is completed, the computation of the numerator will be more straightforward. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{B}\left|y_{1}\right|^{p-2} d y=\int_{B_{v_{k}}(0,1)} \int_{B_{v_{k-1}}\left(0, R_{k-1}\right)} \ldots \int_{B_{v_{2}}\left(0, R_{2}\right)} \int_{B_{v_{1}}\left(0, R_{1}\right)}\left|y_{1}\right|^{p-2} d y^{(1)} d y^{(2)} \ldots d y^{(k-1)} d y^{(k)}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $j=1, \ldots, k, B_{v_{j}}\left(0, R_{j}\right)$ denotes the Euclidean ball in $\mathbb{R}^{v_{j}}$ centered at 0 with radius $R_{k}=1$. Furthermore, each radius $R_{j}>0$ is a function depending on the variables $y^{(i)}$ with $i>j$, with the following property:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{k-1}= & R_{k-1}\left(y^{(k)}\right)=\left(1-\left\|y^{(k)}\right\|^{\frac{2 k!}{k}}\right)^{\frac{k-1}{2 k!}} \\
R_{k-2}= & R_{k-2}\left(y^{(k)}, y^{(k-1}\right)=\left(1-\left\|y^{(k)}\right\|^{\frac{2 k!}{k}}-\left\|y^{(k-1)}\right\|^{\frac{2 k!}{k-1}}\right)^{\frac{k-2}{2 k!}} \\
& \vdots \\
R_{j}= & R_{j}\left(y^{(k)} \ldots, y^{(j+1)}\right)=\left(1-\left\|y^{(k)}\right\|^{\frac{2 k!}{k}}-\ldots-\left\|y^{(j+1)}\right\|^{\frac{2 k!}{j+1}}\right)^{\frac{j}{2 k!}} \\
& \vdots \\
R_{2}= & R_{2}\left(y^{(k)}, \ldots, y^{(3)}\right)=\left(1-\sum_{i=3}^{k}\left\|y^{(i)}\right\|^{\frac{2 k!}{i}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2 k!}} \\
R_{1}= & R_{1}\left(y^{(k)}, \ldots, y^{(2)}\right)=\left(1-\sum_{i=2}^{k}\left\|y^{(i)}\right\|^{\frac{2 k!}{i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 k!}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Upon applying the scaling change of variables, followed by Lemma 3.2 with $\alpha_{1}=p-2$ and $\alpha_{i}=0$ for $i=2, \ldots, v_{1}$, we obtain the following equality:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{v_{1}}\left(0, R_{1}\right)}\left|y_{1}\right|^{p-2} d y^{(1)} & =R_{1}^{v_{1}+p-2} \int_{B_{v_{1}}(0,1)}\left|y_{1}\right|^{p-2} d y^{(1)}=R_{1}^{v_{1}+p-2} 2^{v_{1}} \int_{T_{v_{1}}} y_{1}^{p-2} d y^{(1)} \\
& =R_{1}^{v_{1}+p-2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{v_{1}-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{1}+p}{2}\right)} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (18) in $I$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{v_{1}-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{1}+p}{2}\right)} \int_{B_{v_{k}}(0,1)} \ldots \int_{B_{v_{2}}\left(0, R_{2}\right)} R_{1}^{v_{1}+p-2} d y^{(2)} \ldots d y^{(k)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $R_{1}^{v_{1}+p-2}$ is a radial function with respect to $y^{(2)}, \ldots, y^{(k)}$, in particular with respect to $y^{(2)}$, we use the spherical coordinates together with the observation that $R_{1}=\left(R_{2}^{\frac{2 k!}{2}}-\left\|y^{(2)}\right\|^{\frac{2 k!}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 k!}}$ to obtain the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{v_{2}}\left(0, R_{2}\right)} R_{1}^{v_{1}+p-2} d y^{(2)} & =\frac{2 \sqrt{\pi}^{v_{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{2}}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{R_{2}}\left(R_{2}^{\frac{2 k!}{2}}-r^{\frac{2 k!}{2}}\right)^{\frac{v_{1}+p-2}{2 k!}} r^{v_{2}-1} d r \\
& =\frac{2 \sqrt{\pi}^{v_{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{2}}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} R_{2}^{\frac{v_{1}+p-2}{2}}\left(1-s^{\frac{2 k!}{2}}\right)^{\frac{v_{1}+p-2}{2 k!}} R_{2}^{v_{2}-1} s^{v_{2}-1} R_{2} d s \quad\left(R_{2} s:=r\right) \\
& =\frac{2 \sqrt{\pi^{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{2}}{2}\right)} R_{2}^{\frac{2 v_{2}+v_{1}+p-2}{2}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-s^{\frac{2 k!}{2}}\right)^{\frac{v_{1}+p-2}{2 k!}} s^{v_{2}-1} d s \\
& =\frac{2 \sqrt{\pi^{v_{2}}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{2}}{2}\right)} R_{2}^{\frac{2 v_{2}+v_{1}+p-2}{2}} \frac{2}{2 k!} \int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{\frac{v_{1}+p-2}{2 k!}} t^{\frac{2\left(v_{2}-1\right)}{2 k!}} t^{\frac{2}{2 k!-1} d t \quad\left(t:=s^{\frac{2 k!}{2}}\right)} \\
& =\frac{2 \sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{2}}{2}\right)} R_{2}^{\frac{2 v_{2}+v_{1}+p-2}{2}} \frac{2}{2 k!} \int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{\frac{v_{1}+p-2}{2 k!}} t^{\frac{2 v_{2}}{2 k!}-1} d t \\
& =\frac{4 \sqrt{\pi}}{2 k!\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{2}}{2}\right)} R^{\frac{2 v_{2}+v_{1}+p-2}{2}} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{2 v_{2}}{2 k!}, \frac{v_{1}+2 k!+p-2}{2 k!}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In summarise, we now have
$I=\frac{4 \Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}^{v_{1}+v_{2}-1}}{2 k!\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{1}+p}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{v_{2}}{2}\right)} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{2 v_{2}}{2 k!}, \frac{v_{1}+2 k!+p-2}{2 k!}\right) \int_{B_{v_{k}(0,1)}} \ldots \int_{B_{v_{3}\left(0, R_{3}\right)}} R_{2}^{\frac{2 v_{2}+v_{1}+p-2}{2}} d y^{(3)} \ldots d y^{(k)}$.
In order to complete the computation of the iterated integral $I$, we need to proceed similarly to the previous case. As it turns out, the key step is to calculate the following integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{v_{j}}\left(0, R_{j}\right)} R_{j-1}^{\theta_{j}} d y^{(j)} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{j}>0$ is defined inductively for $j=2,3, \ldots, k-1$. From the previous computations we see that $\theta_{2}=v_{1}+p-2$ and $\theta_{3}=\frac{2 v_{2}+v_{1}+p-2}{2}$.

Let us observe, that from the construction of $R_{j}$, it follows that

$$
R_{j-1}=\left(R_{j}^{\frac{2 k!}{j}}-\left\|y^{(j)}\right\|^{\frac{2 k!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{j-1}{2 k!}}
$$

Hence
$\int_{B_{v_{j}}\left(0, R_{j}\right)} R_{j-1}^{\theta_{j}} d y^{(j)}=\int_{B_{v_{j}}\left(0, R_{j}\right)}\left(R_{j}^{\frac{2 k!}{j}}-\left\|y^{(j)}\right\|^{\frac{2 k!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}}{2 k!}} d y^{(j)}=\frac{2 \sqrt{\pi}^{v_{j}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{j}}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{R_{j}}\left(R_{j}^{\frac{2 k!}{j}}-r^{\frac{2 k!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}}{2 k!}} r^{v_{j}-1} d r$,
which again follows by the integrand being radial. We apply the change of variables $R_{j} s:=r$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{R_{j}}\left(R_{j}^{\frac{2 k!}{j}}-r^{\frac{2 k!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}}{2 k!}} r^{v_{j}-1} d r & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(R_{j}^{\frac{2 k!}{j}}-R_{j}^{\frac{2 k!}{j}} s^{\frac{2 k!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}}{2 k!}} R_{j}^{v_{j}-1} s^{v_{j}-1} R_{j} d s \\
& =R_{j}^{\frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}+j v_{j}}{j}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-s^{\frac{2 k!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}}{2 k!}} s^{v_{j}-1} d s \\
& =R_{j}^{\frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}+j v_{j}}{j}} \int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{\frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}}{2 k!}} t^{\frac{j\left(v_{j}-1\right)}{2 k!}} \frac{j}{2 k!} t^{\frac{j-2 k!}{2 k!}} d t \quad\left(t:=s^{\frac{2 k!}{j}}\right) \\
& =\frac{j}{2 k!} R_{j}^{\frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}+j v_{j}}{j}} \int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{\frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}}{2 k!}} t^{\frac{j v_{j}-2 k!}{2 k!}} d t \\
& =\frac{j}{2 k!} R_{j}^{\frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}+j v_{j}}{j}} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{j v_{j}}{2 k!}, \frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}}{2 k!}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\theta_{j}$ is defined by the following recursive formula

$$
\theta_{2}=v_{1}+p-2 \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{j+1}=v_{j}+\frac{j-1}{j} \theta_{j}, \quad j=2, \ldots, k-1,
$$

which leads to the following explicit formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{j+1}=\frac{p-2+\sum_{i=1}^{j} i v_{i}}{j} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, observe that

$$
\frac{j-1}{j} \cdot \frac{p-2+\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} i v_{i}}{j-1}+v_{j}=\frac{p-2+\sum_{i=1}^{j} i v_{i}}{j} .
$$

Now we are in a position to complete the calculation of the integral $I$, cf. (17) and (19):

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}^{v_{1}-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{1}+p}{2}\right)} \int_{B_{v_{k}(0,1)}} \ldots \int_{B_{v_{2}}\left(0, R_{2}\right)} R_{1}^{v_{1}+p-2} d y^{(2)} \ldots d y^{(k)} \\
& =\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}^{v_{1}-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{1}+p}{2}\right)} \frac{4 \sqrt{\pi}^{v_{2}}}{2 k!\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{2}}{2}\right)} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{2 v_{2}}{2 k!}, \frac{v_{1}+2 k!+p-2}{2 k!}\right)_{B_{v_{k}}(0,1)} \ldots \int_{B_{v_{3}}\left(0, R_{3}\right)} R_{2}^{\frac{2 v_{2}+v_{1}+p-2}{2}} d y^{(3)} \ldots d y^{(k)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Each inner integral of $R_{j-1}^{\theta_{j}}$ gives rise to the multiplicative constant

$$
\frac{\sqrt{\pi}^{v_{j}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{j}}{2}\right)} \frac{j}{k!} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{j v_{j}}{2 k!}, \frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}}{2 k!}+1\right)
$$

in the value of the iterated integral. Therefore, we end up with

$$
I=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}^{-1+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} v_{j}}(k-1)!}{(k!)^{k-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{v_{1}+p}{2}\right) \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{v_{j}}{2}\right)} \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{j v_{j}}{2 k!}, \frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}}{2 k!}+1\right) \int_{B_{v_{k}(0,1)}} R_{k-1}^{\theta_{k}} d y^{(k)}
$$

Recall, that $\theta_{k}=\frac{p-2+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j v_{j}}{k-1}, R_{k}=\left(1-\left\|y^{(k)}\right\|^{\frac{2 k!}{k}}\right)^{\frac{k-1}{2 k!}}$ and compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{v_{k}}(0,1)} R_{k-1}^{\theta_{k}} d y^{(k)} & =\int_{B_{v_{k}}(0,1)}\left(1-\left\|y^{(k)}\right\|^{\frac{2 k!}{k}}\right)^{\frac{\theta_{k}(k-1)}{2 k!}} d y^{(k)} \\
& =\frac{2 \sqrt{\pi}^{v_{k}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-r^{\frac{2 k!}{k}}\right)^{\frac{\theta_{k}(k-1)}{2 k!}} r^{v_{k}-1} d r \quad\left(s:=r^{\frac{2 k!}{k}}\right) \\
& =\frac{2 \sqrt{\pi}^{v_{k}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2}\right)} \frac{1}{2(k-1)!} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\frac{\theta_{k}(k-1)}{2 k!}} s^{\frac{v_{k}-1}{2(k-1)!}} s^{\frac{1}{2(k-1)!}-1} d s \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{} \begin{aligned}
& v_{k} \\
& \Gamma\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2}\right)(k-1)! \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\frac{\theta_{k}(k-1)}{2 k!}} s^{\frac{v_{k}-2(k-1)!}{2(k-1)!}} d s \\
&=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2}\right)(k-1)!} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2(k-1)!} \frac{\theta_{k}(k-1)}{2(k-1)!}+1\right) .
\end{aligned} .\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{k}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}^{-1+\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}}}{(k!)^{k-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{v_{1}+p}{2}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{k} \Gamma\left(\frac{v_{i}}{2}\right)} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2(k-1)!}, \frac{\theta_{k}}{2(k-2)!}+1\right) \prod_{i=2}^{k-1} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{j v_{j}}{2 k!}, \frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}}{2 k!}+1\right) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we consider the integral in the numerator of (15), namely

$$
J:=\int_{B}\left|y_{1}\right|^{p-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle C y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\right) d y
$$

We note that $\int_{B}\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle\left|y_{1}\right|^{p-2}=0$, which follows by applying the change of variables

$$
\psi\left(y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, y^{(3)}, \ldots, y^{(k)}\right)=\left(y^{(1)},-y^{(2)}, y^{(3)}, \ldots, y^{(k)}\right)
$$

with $|J \psi|=1$ and $\psi(B)=B$, resulting in the value of the integral being invariant under multiplication by -1 . Let us denote the coefficients of matrix $C$ as follows: $C=\left[c_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1, \ldots, v_{1}}$, then

$$
2 J=\underbrace{c_{11} \int_{B}\left|y_{1}\right|^{p} d y}_{J_{1}}+\underbrace{\sum_{i \neq j} c_{i j} \int_{B}\left|y_{1}\right|^{p-2} y_{i} y_{j} d y}_{J_{2}}+\underbrace{\sum_{i=2}^{v_{1}} c_{i i} \int_{B}\left|y_{1}\right|^{p-2} y_{i}^{2} d y}_{J_{3}}
$$

Observe, that by the symmetry of $B$, every integral term of the sum $J_{2}$ vanishes. We will handle $J_{1}$ and $J_{3}$ analogously to $I$. First, for $i=2, \ldots, v_{1}$ we compute the following integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{v_{1}}\left(0, R_{1}\right)}\left|y_{1}\right|^{p-2} y_{i}^{2} d y^{(1)}=R_{1}^{v_{1}+p} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{v_{1}-2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+v_{1}+2}{2}\right)}=R_{1}^{v_{1}+p} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}^{v_{1}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{p+v_{1}+2}{2}\right)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where again we use Lemma 3.2 and the familiar property $\Gamma(1+s)=s \Gamma(s)$ with $s=\frac{1}{2}$ (cf. computations at (18)).

Notice that the calculations summarised in (22) work for an arbitrary $p>1$. More precisely, the integrals $J_{1}$ and $J_{3}$ over the ball $B$, can be expressed in the same way as in (17), the multiplicative constants arising from the computation of integrals (20) will be the same but with the exponents $\theta_{j}$ replaced by the exponents $\theta_{j}^{\prime}$ defined by the following formula (cf. definition of $\theta_{j}$ in (21)):

$$
\theta_{j}^{\prime}=\frac{p+\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} i v_{i}}{j-1}
$$

Therefore, by using (23) and calculations analogous to those between formula (20) and (22) we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{3} & =\sum_{i=2}^{v_{1}} c_{i i} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}^{-1+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} v_{j}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)(k-1)!}{2(k!)^{k-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+v_{1}+2}{2}\right) \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{v_{j}}{2}\right)} \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{j v_{j}}{2 k!}, \frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}^{\prime}}{2 k!}+1\right) \int_{B_{v_{k}}(0,1)}\left(1-\left\|y^{(k)}\right\|^{\left.\frac{2 k!}{k}\right)^{\frac{\theta_{k}^{\prime}(k-1)}{2 k!}} d y^{(k)}}\right. \\
& =\sum_{i=2}^{v_{1}} c_{i i} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2(k!)^{k-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+\sum_{1}^{k}+2}{2}\right) \prod_{j=2}^{k} \Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2(k-1)!}, \frac{\theta_{k}^{\prime}}{2(k-2)!}+1\right) \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{j v_{j}}{2 k!}, \frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}^{\prime}}{2 k!}+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, in order to compute $J_{1}$, we proceed computationally the same way we did for for (17) with the power $p$ instead of $p-2$, and obtain (22) with $p$ now corresponding to $p+2$ :

$$
J_{1}=c_{11} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}^{-1+\sum_{j=1}^{k} v_{j}}}{(k!)^{k-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{v_{1}+p+2}{2}\right) \prod_{j=2}^{k} \Gamma\left(\frac{v_{j}}{2}\right)} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2(k-1)!}, \frac{\theta_{k}^{\prime}}{2(k-2)!}+1\right) \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{j v_{j}}{2 k!}, \frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}^{\prime}}{2 k!}+1\right) .
$$

We collect the above calculations to arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
J=\frac{J_{1}+J_{3}}{2} & =\frac{\sqrt{\pi}^{-1+\sum_{j=1}^{k} v_{j}}}{2(k!)^{k-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{v_{1}+p+2}{2}\right) \prod_{j=2}^{k} \Gamma\left(\frac{v_{j}}{2}\right)} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2(k-1)!}, \frac{\theta_{k}^{\prime}}{2(k-2)!}+1\right) \\
& \times\left(c_{11} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{v_{1}} \frac{1}{2} c_{i i} \Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\right) \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{j v_{j}}{2 k!}, \frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}^{\prime}}{2 k!}+1\right) \\
& =\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}^{-1+\sum_{j=1}^{k} v_{j}}}{4(k!)^{k-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{v_{1}+p+2}{2}\right) \prod_{j=2}^{k} \Gamma\left(\frac{v_{j}}{2}\right)} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2(k-1)!}, \frac{\theta_{k}^{\prime}}{2(k-2)!}+1\right) \\
& \times\left(c_{11}(p-1)+\sum_{i=2}^{v_{1}} c_{i i}\right) \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{j v_{j}}{2 k!}, \frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}^{\prime}}{2 k!}+1\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we again use the familiar property of the $\Gamma$ function as in (23). It now follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{0} & =\frac{J}{I}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+v_{1}}{2}\right)}{4 \Gamma\left(\frac{p+2+v_{1}}{2}\right)} \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2(k-1)!}, \frac{\theta_{k}^{\prime}}{2(k-2)!}+1\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2(k-1)!}, \frac{\theta_{k}}{2(k-2)!}+1\right)}\left(c_{11}(p-1)+\sum_{i=2}^{v_{1}} c_{i i}\right) \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{j v_{j}}{2 k!}, \frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}^{\prime}}{2 k!}+1\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{j v_{j}}{2 k!}, \frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}}{2 k!}+1\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2\left(p+v_{1}\right)} \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2(k-1)!}, \frac{\theta_{k}^{\prime}}{2(k-2)!}+1\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{v_{k}}{2(k-1)!}, \frac{\theta_{k}}{2(k-2)!}+1\right)} \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{j v_{j}}{2 k!}, \frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}^{\prime}}{2 k!}+1\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{j v_{j}}{2 k!}, \frac{(j-1) \theta_{j}}{2 k!}+1\right)}\left(c_{11}(p-1)+\sum_{i=2}^{v_{1}} c_{i i}\right) \\
& =c\left(p, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) \cdot\left(c_{11}(p-1)+\sum_{i=2}^{v_{1}} c_{i i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constant $c\left(p, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$ is defined with the above equality (see also Remark 1 and Examples 24 4 in Section 3 for further discussion about this constant).

In order to arrive at assertion (9), we express the constants $c_{11}$ and $\operatorname{tr}(C)$ in terms of the matrix $A$ and the vector $\xi$. Recall that $C=R^{T} A R$ and $R^{T} \xi=e_{1}$, which imply that

$$
c_{11}=\left\langle C e_{1}, e_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle C R^{T} \xi, R^{T} \xi\right\rangle=\left\langle R\left(R^{T} A R\right) R^{T} \xi, \xi\right\rangle=\langle A \xi, \xi\rangle
$$

moreover, the orthogonality of $R$ implies that $\operatorname{tr}(C)=\operatorname{tr}\left(R^{T} A R\right)=\operatorname{tr}(A)$. Therefore, we can conclude that

$$
\gamma_{0}=c\left(p, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)(\langle A \xi, \xi\rangle(p-2)+\operatorname{tr}(A))
$$

which upon substituting $\xi$ with $\xi /|\xi|$, proves the assertion (9).
We now consider the second assertion of the lemma, namely the asymptotic formula (10) for $\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)$ and $u \in C^{2}(\Omega)$. Suppose $\varepsilon>0$ is chosen so that $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \subset \Omega$. Consider the function $q(y)$ as in (8), with

$$
q(x)=u(x), \quad \xi=\nabla_{V_{1}} u(x), \quad A=\nabla_{V_{1}}^{2} u(x), \quad \text { and } \quad \eta=2 \nabla_{V_{2}} u(x)
$$

Notice that with this notation (and by the assumption $\xi \neq 0$ ), it holds that

$$
\Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} u(x)=\operatorname{tr}(A)+(p-2) \frac{\langle A \xi, \xi\rangle}{|\xi|^{2}} .
$$

Set $u_{\varepsilon}(z)=u\left(x \delta_{\varepsilon}(z)\right)$ and $q_{\varepsilon}(z)=q\left(x \delta_{\varepsilon}(z)\right)$. Since $u \in C^{2}(\Omega)$, it follows that for all $t>0$, there exists $\varepsilon(t)>0$ such that for every $z \in \bar{B}$ and all $\varepsilon \in(0, \varepsilon(t))$ it holds $\left|u_{\varepsilon}(z)-q_{\varepsilon}(z)\right|<t \varepsilon^{2}$. Furthermore, by claims (4) and (5) of Theorem 2.1] we have $\mu_{p}\left(\varepsilon, q \pm t \varepsilon^{2}\right)(x)=\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, q)(x) \pm t \varepsilon^{2}$. These observations together with Corollary 2.1 and Part (3) of Theorem 2.1 allow us to obtain the following estimates:

$$
\frac{\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, q)-u(x)}{\varepsilon^{2}}-t \leq \frac{\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)-u(x)}{\varepsilon^{2}} \leq \frac{\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, q)-u(x)}{\varepsilon^{2}}+t
$$

Applying (9) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(p, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) \Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} u(x)-t & \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, q)-u(x)}{\varepsilon^{2}} \\
& \leq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, q)-u(x)}{\varepsilon^{2}} \leq c\left(p, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) \Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} u(x)+t
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the assertion (10) for $1<p<\infty$.

### 3.2 Case 2: $p=\infty$.

We need to demonstrate that the expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{\varepsilon} & =\frac{\mu_{\infty}(\varepsilon, q)-q(x)}{\varepsilon^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(\min _{y \in \bar{B}}\left[\left\langle\xi, y^{(1)}\right\rangle+\varepsilon\left(\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\max _{y \in \bar{B}}\left[\left\langle\xi, y^{(1)}\right\rangle+\varepsilon\left(\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle\right)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

has a limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
Let us define a function $g: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting $g(y)=\left\langle\xi, y^{(1)}\right\rangle+\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle$. Observe further, that the change of variables $y=\delta_{1 / \varepsilon}(z)$ implies the following equalities:

$$
\min _{y \in \overline{B_{1}(0)}}\left[\left\langle\xi, y^{(1)}\right\rangle+\varepsilon\left(\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle\right)\right]=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \min _{z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(0)}} g(z)
$$

and

$$
\underset{y \in \overline{B_{1}(0)}}{\max }\left[\left\langle\xi, y^{(1)}\right\rangle+\varepsilon\left(\left\langle\eta, y^{(2)}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A y^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right\rangle\right)\right]=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \underset{z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(0)}}{\max } g(z),
$$

and it follows that

$$
\gamma_{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{2}}\left(\min _{z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(0)}} g(z)+\max _{z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(0)}} g(z)\right)
$$

Next we note that $\nabla_{V_{1}} g(0)=\xi \neq 0$, thus we can apply Lemma 1.5 and 1.6 in 9, and affirm that for all small enough $\varepsilon$, there exist points $P_{\varepsilon, M}=\left(y_{\varepsilon, M}^{(1)}, \ldots, y_{\varepsilon, M}^{(k)}\right)$ and $P_{\varepsilon, m}=\left(y_{\varepsilon, m}^{(1)}, \ldots, y_{\varepsilon, m}^{(k)}\right)$ in $\partial B_{\varepsilon}(0)$ with the following properties:

$$
\frac{\max }{B_{\varepsilon}(0)} g=g\left(P_{\varepsilon, M}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\min }{B_{\varepsilon}(0)} g=g\left(P_{\varepsilon, m}\right)
$$

In terms of the expression we have the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{2}}\left(g\left(P_{\varepsilon, m}\right)+g\left(-P_{\varepsilon, m}\right)\right) \leq \gamma_{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{2}}\left(g\left(P_{\varepsilon, M}\right)+g\left(-P_{\varepsilon, M}\right)\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by applying again [9, Lemma 1.6], we have that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{y_{\varepsilon, M}^{(1)}}{\varepsilon}=\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{y_{\varepsilon, m}^{(1)}}{\varepsilon}=-\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{2}}\left(g\left(P_{\varepsilon, M}\right)+g\left(-P_{\varepsilon, M}\right)\right) & =\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon^{2}}\left(\left\langle A y_{\varepsilon, M}^{(1)}, y_{\varepsilon, M}^{(1)}\right\rangle+\left\langle A-y_{\varepsilon, M}^{(1)},-y_{\varepsilon, M}^{(1)}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A \frac{y_{\varepsilon, M}^{(1)}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{y_{\varepsilon, M}^{(1)}}{\varepsilon}\right\rangle \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle A \xi, \xi\rangle}{|\xi|^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We treat the left-hand side of (24) similarly to conclude that

$$
\mu_{\infty}(\varepsilon, q)=q(x)+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} \frac{\langle A \xi, \xi\rangle}{|\xi|^{2}}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) .
$$

Upon repeating the reasoning similar to the one for $\Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N}$, we obtain that asymptotic formula (10) holds for $\Delta_{\infty, \mathbb{G}}^{N}$ as well. Thus, the proof of Lemma 3.1 is completed for all $1<p \leq \infty$.

We are now in position to prove Theorem 1.1
The proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $B(x) \subset \Omega$ be ball and let us fix $u \in C^{0}(\Omega)$ and $\phi \in C^{2}(B(x))$ with $\nabla_{V_{1}} \phi(x) \neq 0$. The asymptotic formula (10) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, \phi)(x)-c\left(p, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) \Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} \phi(x) \varepsilon^{2}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $u$ is a viscosity solution, in the sense of Definition 2.2, to the equation $\Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} u=0$ in $\Omega$. Thus, in particular, $u$ satisfies parts (i) and (ii) of Definition [2.2. Since $u$ is a viscosity supersolution of $\Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N}=0$ in $\Omega$, then at point $x$, for $\phi$ as above such that $u-\phi$ has a strict minimum at $x$ and $u(x)=\phi(x)$, it holds that $\Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} \phi(x) \leq 0$. Therefore, from (25) we obtain

$$
\phi(x) \geq \mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)(x)+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

which proves that $\phi$ at $x$ satisfies part (i) of Definition 2.4. By using the fact that $u$ is also a viscosity subsolution (and so $u$ satisfies part (ii) of Definition 2.2) we show that inequality in part (ii) of Definition 2.4 holds as well. This proves that $u(x)=\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)(x)+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the viscosity sense.

Now we will prove the converse. Suppose, that $u(x)=\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, u)(x)+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the viscosity sense. If $u-\phi$ attains a strict minimum at $x$, then by Definition [2.4 it follows that $\phi(x) \geq \mu_{p}(\varepsilon, \phi)(x)+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Using this result in (25), we get

$$
\Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} \phi(x)=\frac{\mu_{p}(\varepsilon, \phi)(x)-\phi(x)}{c\left(p, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) \varepsilon^{2}}+o(1) \leq o(1)
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and hence $\Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} \phi(x) \leq 0$. We apply a similar reasoning in the case $u-\phi$ has a strict maximum at $x$. This proves, that $u$ is a viscosity solution of $\Delta_{p, \mathbb{G}}^{N} u=0$ in $\Omega$.

We close this section with a remark of Theorem 1.1 in the case $p=1$.
Remark 2. The techniques used in the proof of 11, Lemma 3.1] cannot be easily adapted to obtain Theorem 1.1 for $p=1$. Indeed, the Implicit Function Theorem employed on pg. 11 in 11 for an ellipsoid in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $f_{\varepsilon}$, cannot be used directly already in the setting of the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_{1}$. The noncommutativity of the group operation in $\mathbb{H}_{1}$ together with the formula for the Koranyi-Reimann distance result in the singular set within the ellipsoid in $\mathbb{H}_{1}$ and prevent us from using the Implicit Function Theorem. The alternative approaches lead to difficulties of computational nature.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ As usual, we denote by $h^{+}(x):=\max \{h(x), 0\}$ and $h^{-}(x):=-\min \{h(x), 0\}$.

