

ON THE k -REGULARITY OF THE k -ADIC VALUATION OF LUCAS SEQUENCES

NADIR MURRU AND CARLO SANNA

ABSTRACT. For integers $k \geq 2$ and $n \neq 0$, let $\nu_k(n)$ denotes the greatest nonnegative integer e such that k^e divides n . Moreover, let $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a nondegenerate Lucas sequence satisfying $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = 1$, and $u_{n+2} = au_{n+1} + bu_n$, for some integers a and b . Shu and Yao showed that for any prime number p the sequence $\nu_p(u_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ is p -regular, while Medina and Rowland found the rank of $\nu_p(F_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$, where F_n is the n -th Fibonacci number.

We prove that if k and b are relatively prime then $\nu_k(u_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ is a k -regular sequence, and for k a prime number we also determine its rank. Furthermore, as an intermediate result, we give explicit formulas for $\nu_k(u_n)$, generalizing a previous theorem of Sanna concerning p -adic valuations of Lucas sequences.

1. INTRODUCTION

For integers $k \geq 2$ and $n \neq 0$, let $\nu_k(n)$ denotes the greatest nonnegative integer e such that k^e divides n . In particular, if $k = p$ is a prime number then $\nu_p(\cdot)$ is the usual p -adic valuation. We shall refer to $\nu_k(\cdot)$ as the k -adic valuation, although, strictly speaking, for composite k this is not a “valuation” in the algebraic sense of the term, since it is not true that $\nu_k(mn) = \nu_k(m) + \nu_k(n)$ for all integers $m, n \neq 0$.

Valuations of sequences with combinatorial meanings have been studied by several authors (see, e.g., [4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18]). To this end, an important role is played by the family of k -regular sequences, which were first introduced and studied by Allouche and Shallit [1, 2, 3] with the aim of generalizing the concept of automatic sequences.

Given a sequence of integers $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$, its k -kernel is defined as the set of subsequences

$$\ker_k(s(n)_{n \geq 0}) := \{s(k^e n + i)_{n \geq 0} : e \geq 0, 0 \leq i < k^e\}.$$

Then $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ is said to be k -regular if the \mathbb{Z} -module $\langle \ker_k(s(n)_{n \geq 0}) \rangle$ generated by its k -kernel is finitely generated. In such a case, the *rank* of $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ is the rank of this \mathbb{Z} -module.

Allouche and Shallit provided many examples of regular sequences. In particular, they showed that the sequence of p -adic valuations of factorials $\nu_p(n!)_{n \geq 0}$ is p -regular [1, Example 9], and that the sequence of 3-adic valuations of sums of central binomial coefficients

$$\nu_3 \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2i}{i} \right)_{n \geq 0}$$

is 3-regular [1, Example 23]. Furthermore, for any polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ with no roots in the natural numbers, Bell [5] proved that the sequence $\nu_p(f(n))_{n \geq 0}$ is p -regular if and only if $f(x)$ factors as a product of linear polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ times a polynomial with no root in the p -adic integers.

Fix two integers a and b , and let $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the Lucas sequence of characteristic polynomial $f(x) = x^2 - ax - b$, i.e., $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is the integral sequence satisfying $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = 1$, and $u_{n+2} = au_{n+1} + bu_n$, for each integer $n \geq 0$. Assume also that $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is nondegenerate, i.e., $b \neq 0$ and the ratio α/β of the two roots $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ of $f(x)$ is not a root of unity.

Using p -adic analysis, Shu and Yao [16, Corollary 1] proved the following result.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 11B37, 11B85. Secondary: 11A99.

Key words and phrases. Lucas sequence; Fibonacci numbers; p -adic valuation; k -regular sequence; automatic sequence.

Theorem 1.1. *For each prime number p , the sequence $\nu_p(u_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ is p -regular.*

In the special case $a = b = 1$, i.e., when $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is the sequence of Fibonacci numbers $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$, Medina and Rowland [11] gave an algebraic proof of Theorem 1.1 and also determined the rank of $\nu_p(F_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$. Their result is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *For each prime number p the sequence $\nu_p(F_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ is p -regular. Precisely, for $p \neq 2, 5$ the rank of $\nu_p(F_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ is $\alpha(p) + 1$, where $\alpha(p)$ is the least positive integer such that $p \mid F_{\alpha(p)}$, while for $p = 2$ the rank is 5, and for $p = 5$ the rank is 2.*

In this paper, we extend both Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 to k -adic valuations with k relatively prime to b . Let $\Delta := a^2 + 4b$ be the discriminant of $f(x)$. Also, for each positive integer m relatively prime to b let $\tau(m)$ denotes the *rank of apparition* of m in $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$, i.e., the least positive integer n such that $m \mid u_n$ (which is well-defined, see, e.g., [13]).

Our first two results are the following.

Theorem 1.3. *If $k \geq 2$ is an integer relatively prime to b , then the sequence $\nu_k(u_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ is k -regular.*

Theorem 1.4. *Let p be a prime number not dividing b , and let r be the rank of $\nu_p(u_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$.*

- *If $p \mid \Delta$ then:*
 - $r = 2$ if $p \in \{2, 3\}$ and $\nu_p(u_p) = 1$, or if $p \geq 5$;
 - $r = 3$ if $p \in \{2, 3\}$ and $\nu_p(u_p) \neq 1$.
- *If $p \nmid \Delta$ then:*
 - $r = 5$ if $p = 2$ and $\nu_2(u_6) \neq \nu_2(u_3) + 1$;
 - $r = \tau(p) + 1$ if $p > 2$, or if $p = 2$ and $\nu_2(u_6) = \nu_2(u_3) + 1$.

Note that Theorem 1.2 follows easily from our Theorem 1.4, since in the case of Fibonacci numbers $b = 1$, $\Delta = 5$, $\nu_2(F_3) = 1$, $\nu_2(F_6) = 3$, and $\tau(p) = \alpha(p)$.

As a preliminary step in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we obtain some formulas for the k -adic valuation $\nu_k(u_n)$, which generalize a previous result of the second author. Precisely, Sanna [15] proved the following formulas for the p -adic valuation of u_n .

Theorem 1.5. *If p is a prime number such that $p \nmid b$, then*

$$\nu_p(u_n) = \begin{cases} \nu_p(n) + \varrho_p(n) & \text{if } \tau(p) \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{if } \tau(p) \nmid n, \end{cases}$$

for each positive integer n , where

$$\varrho_2(n) := \begin{cases} \nu_2(u_3) & \text{if } 2 \nmid \Delta, 2 \nmid n, \\ \nu_2(u_6) - 1 & \text{if } 2 \nmid \Delta, 2 \mid n, \\ \nu_2(u_2) - 1 & \text{if } 2 \mid \Delta, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varrho_p(n) = \varrho_p := \begin{cases} \nu_p(u_{\tau(p)}) & \text{if } p \nmid \Delta, \\ \nu_3(u_3) - 1 & \text{if } p \mid \Delta, p = 3, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \mid \Delta, p \geq 5, \end{cases}$$

for $p \geq 3$.

Actually, Sanna's result [15, Theorem 1.5] is slightly different but it quickly turns out to be equivalent to Theorem 1.5 using [15, Lemma 2.1(v), Lemma 3.1, and Lemma 3.2]. Furthermore, in Sanna's paper it is assumed $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, but the proof of [15, Theorem 1.5] works exactly in the same way also for $\gcd(a, b) \neq 1$.

From now on, let $k = p_1^{a_1} \dots p_h^{a_h}$ be the prime factorization of k , where $p_1 < \dots < p_h$ are prime numbers and a_1, \dots, a_h are positive integers.

We prove the following generalization of Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 1.6. *If $k \geq 2$ is an integer relatively prime to b , then*

$$\nu_k(u_n) = \begin{cases} \nu_k(c_k(n)n) & \text{if } \tau(p_1 \cdots p_h) \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{if } \tau(p_1 \cdots p_h) \nmid n, \end{cases}$$

for any positive integer n , where

$$c_k(n) := \prod_{i=1}^h p_i^{\varrho_{p_i}(n)}.$$

Note that Theorem 1.6 is indeed a generalization of Theorem 1.5. In fact, if $k = p$ is a prime number then obviously

$$\nu_p(c_p(n)n) = \nu_p(p^{\varrho_p(n)}n) = \nu_p(n) + \varrho_p(n),$$

for each positive integer n .

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we collect some preliminary facts needed to prove the results of this paper. We begin with some lemmas on k -regular sequences.

Lemma 2.1. *If $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $t(n)_{n \geq 0}$ are two k -regular sequences, then $(s(n) + t(n))_{n \geq 0}$ and $s(n)t(n)_{n \geq 0}$ are k -regular too. Precisely, if A is a finite set of generators of $\langle \ker_k(s(n)_{n \geq 0}) \rangle$ and B is a finite set of generators of $\langle \ker_k(t(n)_{n \geq 0}) \rangle$, then $A \cup B$ is a set of generators of $\langle \ker_k((s(n) + t(n))_{n \geq 0}) \rangle$.*

Proof. See [1, Theorem 2.5]. □

Lemma 2.2. *If $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a k -regular sequence, then for any integers $c \geq 1$ and $d \geq 0$ the subsequence $s(cn + d)_{n \geq 0}$ is k -regular.*

Proof. See [1, Theorem 2.6]. □

Lemma 2.3. *Any periodic sequence is k -regular.*

Proof. An ultimately periodic sequence is k -automatic for all $k \geq 2$, see [2, Theorem 5.4.2]. A k -automatic sequence is k -regular, see [1, Theorem 1.2]. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of integers. If there exist some*

$$(1) \quad s_1 = s, s_2, \dots, s_r \in \langle \ker_k(s(n)_{n \geq 0}) \rangle$$

such that the sequences $s_j(kn + i)_{n \geq 0}$, with $0 \leq i < k$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$, are \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of s_1, \dots, s_r , then $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ is k -regular and $\langle \ker_k(s(n)_{n \geq 0}) \rangle$ is generated by s_1, \dots, s_r .

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $s(k^e n + i)_{n \geq 0} \in \langle s_1, \dots, s_r \rangle$ for all integers $e \geq 0$ and $0 \leq i < k^e$. In fact, this claim implies that $\langle \ker_k(s(n)_{n \geq 0}) \rangle \subseteq \langle s_1, \dots, s_r \rangle$, while by (1) we have $\langle s_1, \dots, s_r \rangle \subseteq \langle \ker_k(s(n)_{n \geq 0}) \rangle$, hence $\langle \ker_k(s(n)_{n \geq 0}) \rangle = \langle s_1, \dots, s_r \rangle$ and so $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ is k -regular. We proceed by induction on e . For $e = 0$ the claim is obvious since $s = s_1$. Suppose $e \geq 1$ and that the claim holds for $e - 1$. We have $i = k^{e-1}j + i'$, for some integers $0 \leq j < k$ and $0 \leq i' < k^{e-1}$. Therefore, by the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} s(k^e n + i)_{n \geq 0} &= s(k^{e-1}(kn + j) + i')_{n \geq 0} \\ &\in \langle s_1(kn + j)_{n \geq 0}, \dots, s_r(kn + j)_{n \geq 0} \rangle \\ &\subseteq \langle s_1, \dots, s_r \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows. □

The next lemma is well-known, we give the proof just for completeness.

Lemma 2.5. *The sequence $\nu_k(n + 1)_{n \geq 0}$ is k -regular of rank 2. Indeed, $\langle \ker_k(\nu_k(n + 1)_{n \geq 0}) \rangle$ is generated by $\nu_k(n + 1)_{n \geq 0}$ and the constant sequence $(1)_{n \geq 0}$.*

Proof. For all nonnegative integers n and $i < k$ we have

$$\nu_k(kn + i + 1) = \begin{cases} 1 + \nu_k(n + 1) & \text{if } i = k - 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } i < k - 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, putting $s_1 = \nu_k(n + 1)_{n \geq 0}$ and $s_2 = (1 + \nu_k(n + 1))_{n \geq 0}$ in Lemma 2.4, we obtain that $\langle \ker_k(\nu_k(n + 1)_{n \geq 0}) \rangle$ is generated by $\nu_k(n + 1)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(1 + \nu_k(n + 1))_{n \geq 0}$, hence it is also generated by $\nu_k(n + 1)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(1)_{n \geq 0}$, which are obviously linearly independent. Thus $\nu_k(n + 1)_{n \geq 0}$ is k -regular of rank 2. \square

Now we state a lemma that relates the k -adic valuation of an integer with its p_i -adic valuations. The proof is quite straightforward and we leave it to the reader.

Lemma 2.6. *We have*

$$\nu_k(m) = \min_{i=1, \dots, h} \left\lfloor \frac{\nu_{p_i}(m)}{a_i} \right\rfloor,$$

for any integer $m \neq 0$.

We conclude this section with two lemmas on the rank of apparition $\tau(n)$.

Lemma 2.7. *For each prime number p not dividing b ,*

$$\tau(p) \mid p - (-1)^{p-1} \left(\frac{\Delta}{p} \right),$$

where $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p} \right)$ denotes the Legendre symbol. In particular, if $p \mid \Delta$ then $\tau(p) = p$.

Proof. The case $p = 2$ is easy. For $p > 2$ see [17, Lemma 1]. \square

Lemma 2.8. *If m and n are two positive integers relatively prime to b , then*

$$\tau(\text{lcm}(m, n)) = \text{lcm}(\tau(m), \tau(n)).$$

Proof. See [13, Theorem 1(a)]. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6

Thanks to Lemma 2.6, we know that

$$(2) \quad \nu_k(u_n) = \min_{i=1, \dots, h} \left\lfloor \frac{\nu_{p_i}(u_n)}{a_i} \right\rfloor.$$

Moreover, from Lemma 2.8 it follows that

$$\tau(p_1 \cdots p_h) = \text{lcm}\{\tau(p_1), \dots, \tau(p_h)\}.$$

Therefore, on the one hand, if $\tau(p_1 \cdots p_h) \nmid n$ then $\tau(p_i) \nmid n$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, h\}$, so that by Theorem 1.5 we have $\nu_{p_i}(u_n) = 0$, which together with (2) implies $\nu_k(u_n) = 0$, as claimed.

On the other hand, if $\tau(p_1 \cdots p_h) \mid n$ then $\tau(p_i) \mid n$ for $i = 1, \dots, h$. Hence, from (2), Theorem 1.5, and Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\nu_k(u_n) = \min_{i=1, \dots, h} \left\lfloor \frac{\nu_{p_i}(n) + \varrho_{p_i}(n)}{a_i} \right\rfloor = \min_{i=1, \dots, h} \left\lfloor \frac{\nu_{p_i}(c_k(n)n)}{a_i} \right\rfloor = \nu_k(c_k(n)n),$$

so that the proof is complete.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Clearly, if k is fixed, then $c_k(n)$ depends only of the parity of n . Thus it follows easily from Theorem 1.6 that

$$(3) \quad \nu_k(u_{n+1}) = \nu_k(c_k(1)(n+1))s(n) + \nu_k(c_k(2)(n+1))t(n),$$

for each integer $n \geq 0$, where the sequences $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $t(n)_{n \geq 0}$ are defined by

$$s(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tau(p_1 \cdots p_2) \mid n+1, 2 \nmid n+1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$t(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tau(p_1 \cdots p_2) \mid n+1, 2 \mid n+1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the one hand, by Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.2, we know that both $\nu_k(c_k(1)(n+1))_{n \geq 0}$ and $\nu_k(c_k(2)(n+1))_{n \geq 0}$ are k -regular sequences. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.3, also the sequences $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $t(n)_{n \geq 0}$ are k -regular, since obviously they are periodic.

In conclusion, thanks to (3) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $\nu_k(u_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ is a k -regular sequence.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

First, suppose that $p \mid \Delta$. By Lemma 2.7 we have $\tau(p) = p$. Moreover, it is clear that $\varrho_p(n) = \varrho_p$ does not depend on n . As a consequence, from Theorem 1.5 it follows easily that

$$(4) \quad \nu_p(u_{n+1}) = \nu_p(n+1) + s(n),$$

for any integer $n \geq 0$, where the sequence $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ is defined by

$$s(n) := \begin{cases} \varrho_p & \text{if } n+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

On the one hand, if $p \in \{2, 3\}$ and $\nu_p(u_p) = 1$, or if $p \geq 5$, then $\varrho_p = 0$. Thus $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ is identically zero and it follows by (4) and Lemma 2.5 that $r = 2$. On the other hand, if $p \in \{2, 3\}$ and $\nu_p(u_p) \neq 1$, then $\varrho_p \neq 0$. Moreover, for $i = 0, \dots, p-1$ we have

$$s(pn+i) = \begin{cases} \varrho_p & \text{if } i = p-1, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq p-1, \end{cases}$$

hence from Lemma 2.4 it follows that $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ is p -regular and that $\langle \ker_p(s(n)_{n \geq 0}) \rangle$ is generated by $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(\varrho_p)_{n \geq 0}$. Therefore, by (4), Lemma 2.5, and Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $\nu_p(u_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ is a p -regular sequence and that $\langle \ker_p(\nu_p(u_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}) \rangle$ is generated by $\nu_p(n+1)_{n \geq 0}$, $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$, and $(1)_{n \geq 0}$, which are clearly linearly independent, hence $r = 3$.

Now suppose $p \nmid \Delta$. By Lemma 2.7, we know that $p \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{\tau(p)}$, for some $\varepsilon \in \{-1, +1\}$. Furthermore, if $p = 2$ then it follows easily that $\tau(2) = 3$. As a consequence, from Theorem 1.5 we obtain that

$$(5) \quad \nu_p(u_{n+1}) = s(n) + t(n),$$

for any integer $n \geq 0$, where the sequences $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $t(n)_{n \geq 0}$ are defined by

$$s(n) := \begin{cases} \nu_p(n+1) + v & \text{if } n+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)} \\ 0 & \text{if } n+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \end{cases}$$

with $v := \nu_p(u_{\tau(p)})$, and

$$t(n) := \begin{cases} \nu_2(u_6) - \nu_2(u_3) - 1 & \text{if } p = 2, n+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We shall show that $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a p -regular sequence of rank $\tau(p) + 1$. Let us define the sequences $s_j(n)_{n \geq 0}$, for $j = 0, \dots, \tau(p) - 1$, by

$$s_j(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n + j + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n + j + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}. \end{cases}$$

On the one hand, for $i = 0, \dots, p - 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(pn + i) &= \begin{cases} \nu_p(pn + i + 1) + v & \text{if } pn + i + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } pn + i + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} v & \text{if } \varepsilon n + i + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon n + i + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} v & \text{if } n + (\varepsilon(i + 1) - 1) + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n + (\varepsilon(i + 1) - 1) + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \end{cases} \\ &= v \cdot s_{(\varepsilon(i+1)-1) \bmod \tau(p)}(n), \end{aligned}$$

since $p \nmid i + 1$ and consequently $\nu_p(pn + i + 1) = 0$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad s(pn + p - 1) &= \begin{cases} \nu_p(pn + p) + v & \text{if } p(n + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } p(n + 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \nu_p(n + 1) + v + 1 & \text{if } n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \end{cases} \\ &= s(n) + s_0(n), \end{aligned}$$

since $\nu_p(pn + p) = \nu_p(n + 1) + 1$ and $\gcd(p, \tau(p)) = 1$.

Furthermore, for $i = 0, \dots, p - 1$ and $j = 0, \dots, \tau(p) - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} s_j(pn + i) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } pn + i + j + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } pn + i + j + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n + (\varepsilon(i + j + 1) - 1) + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n + (\varepsilon(i + j + 1) - 1) + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \end{cases} \\ &= s_{(\varepsilon(i+j+1)-1) \bmod \tau(p)}(n). \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, the sequences $s(pn + i)_{n \geq 0}$ and $s_j(pn + i)_{n \geq 0}$, for $i = 0, \dots, p - 1$ and $j = 0, \dots, \tau(p) - 1$, are \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $s_j(n)_{n \geq 0}$.

Moreover, for $i = 0, \dots, p^2 - 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad s_0(p^2n + i) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p^2n + i + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } p^2n + i + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n + i + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n + i + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\tau(p)}, \end{cases} \\ &= s_{i \bmod \tau(p)}(n), \end{aligned}$$

hence, by (7) and (6), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad s_{i \bmod \tau(p)}(n)_{n \geq 0} &= s_0(p^2n + i)_{n \geq 0} \\ &= s(p^3n + pi + p - 1)_{n \geq 0} - s(p^2n + i)_{n \geq 0} \\ &\in \langle \ker_p(s(n)_{n \geq 0}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tau(p) \mid p - \varepsilon$, we have

$$\tau(p) \leq p - \varepsilon \leq p + 1 < p^2,$$

hence by (8) we get that $s_j(n)_{n \geq 0} \in \langle \ker_p(s(n)_{n \geq 0}) \rangle$, for each $j = 0, \dots, \tau(p) - 1$.

Therefore, in light of Lemma 2.4, we obtain that $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a p -regular sequence and that $\langle \ker_p(s(n)_{n \geq 0}) \rangle$ is generated by $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $s_j(n)_{n \geq 0}$, with $j = 0, \dots, \tau(p) - 1$. It is straightforward to see that these last sequences are linearly independent, hence $s(n)_{n \geq 0}$ has rank $\tau(p) + 1$.

If $p > 2$, or if $p = 2$ and $\nu_2(u_6) = \nu_2(u_3) + 1$, then $t(n)_{n \geq 0}$ is identically zero, thus from (5) and the previous result on $s(n)$ we find that $r = \tau(p) + 1$.

So it remains only to consider the case $p = 2$ and $\nu_2(u_6) \neq \nu_2(u_3) + 1$. Recall that in such a case $\tau(2) = 3$, and put $d := \nu_2(u_6) - \nu_2(u_3) - 1$. Obviously, the sequence $t(2n)_{n \geq 0}$ is identically zero, while

$$\begin{aligned} t(2n+1) &= \begin{cases} d & \text{if } 2n+2 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ 0 & \text{if } 2n+2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} d & \text{if } n+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \end{cases} \\ &= d \cdot s_0(n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, again from Lemma 2.4, we have that $t(n)$ is a 2-regular sequence and that $\langle \ker_p(t(n)_{n \geq 0}) \rangle$ is generated by $t(n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $d \cdot s_j(n)_{n \geq 0}$, for $j = 0, 1, 2$.

In conclusion, by (5) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $\nu_p(u_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ is a 2-regular sequence and that $\langle \ker_p(\nu_p(u_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}) \rangle$ is generated by $s(n)$, $t(n)$, and $s_j(n)$, for $j = 0, 1, 2$, which are linearly independent, hence $r = 5$. The proof is complete.

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

It might be interesting to understand if, actually, $\nu_k(u_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ is k -regular for every integer $k \geq 2$, so that Theorem 1.3 holds even by dropping the assumption that k and b are relatively prime. A trivial observation is that if k and b have a common prime factor p such that $p \nmid a$, then $p \nmid u_n$ for all integers $n \geq 1$, and consequently $\nu_k(u_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ is k -regular simple because it is identically zero. Thus the nontrivial case occurs when each of the prime factors of $\gcd(b, k)$ divides a .

Another natural question is if it is possible to generalize Theorem 1.4 in order to say something about the rank of $\nu_k(u_{n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ when k is composite. Probably, the easier cases are those when k is squarefree, or when k is a power of a prime number.

We leave these as open questions to the reader.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Allouche and J. Shallit, *The ring of k -regular sequences*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **98** (1992), no. 2, 163–197.
- [2] ———, *Automatic sequences: Theory, applications, generalizations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [3] ———, *The ring of k -regular sequences. II*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **307** (2003), no. 1, 3–29.
- [4] T. Amdeberhan, D. Manna, and V. H. Moll, *The 2-adic valuation of Stirling numbers*, Experiment. Math. **17** (2008), no. 1, 69–82.
- [5] J. P. Bell, *p -adic valuations and k -regular sequences*, Discrete Math. **307** (2007), no. 23, 3070–3075.
- [6] H. Cohn, *2-adic behavior of numbers of domino tilings*, Electron. J. Combin. **6** (1999), Research Paper 14, 7 pp. (electronic).
- [7] S. Hong, J. Zhao, and W. Zhao, *The 2-adic valuations of Stirling numbers of the second kind*, Int. J. Number Theory **8** (2012), no. 4, 1057–1066.
- [8] T. Lengyel, *The order of the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers*, Fibonacci Quart. **33** (1995), no. 3, 234–239.
- [9] ———, *Exact p -adic orders for differences of Motzkin numbers*, Int. J. Number Theory **10** (2014), no. 3, 653–667.
- [10] D. Marques and T. Lengyel, *The 2-adic order of the Tribonacci numbers and the equation $T_n = m!$* , J. Integer Seq. **17** (2014), no. 10, Article 14.10.1, 8.
- [11] L. A. Medina and E. Rowland, *p -regularity of the p -adic valuation of the Fibonacci sequence*, Fibonacci Quart. **53** (2015), no. 3, 265–271.

- [12] A. Postnikov and B. E. Sagan, *What power of two divides a weighted Catalan number?*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **114** (2007), no. 5, 970–977.
- [13] M. Renault, *The period, rank, and order of the (a, b) -Fibonacci sequence mod m* , Math. Mag. **86** (2013), no. 5, 372–380.
- [14] C. Sanna, *On the p -adic valuation of harmonic numbers*, J. Number Theory **166** (2016), 41–46.
- [15] ———, *The p -adic valuation of Lucas sequences*, Fibonacci Quart. **54** (2016), 118–224.
- [16] Z. Shu and J.-Y. Yao, *Analytic functions over \mathbb{Z}_p and p -regular sequences*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **349** (2011), no. 17-18, 947–952.
- [17] L. Somer, *The divisibility properties of primary Lucas recurrences with respect to primes*, Fibonacci Quart. **18** (1980), no. 4, 316–334.
- [18] X. Sun and V. H. Moll, *The p -adic valuations of sequences counting alternating sign matrices*, J. Integer Seq. **12** (2009), no. 3, Article 09.3.8, 24.

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TORINO, ITALY

Email address: `nadir.murru@unito.it`

URL: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0509-6278>

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TORINO, ITALY

Email address: `carlo.sanna.dev@gmail.com`

URL: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2111-7596>