Metagenomic analysis of colorectal cancer datasets identifies cross-cohort 1 microbial diagnostic signatures and a link with choline degradation 2

3 4

5 Authors:

6 Andrew Maltez Thomas^{1,2,3,#}, Paolo Manghi^{1,#}, Francesco Asnicar¹, Edoardo Pasolli¹, Federica 7 Armanini¹, Moreno Zolfo¹, Francesco Beghini¹, Serena Manara¹, Nicolai Karcher¹, Chiara Pozzi⁴, Sara 8 Gandini⁴, Davide Serrano⁴, Sonia Tarallo⁵, Antonio Francavilla⁵, Gaetano Gallo^{6,7}, Mario Trompetto⁷, 9 Giulio Ferrero⁸, Sayaka Mizutani^{9,10}, Hirotsugu Shiroma⁹, Satoshi Shiba¹¹, Tatsuhiro Shibata^{11,12}, Shinichi Yachida^{11,13}, Takuji Yamada^{9,14}, Jakob Wirbel¹⁵, Petra Schrotz-King¹⁶, Cornelia M. Ulrich¹⁷, 10 Hermann Brenner^{16,18,19}, Manimozhiyan Arumugam^{20,21}, Peer Bork^{15,22,23,24}, Georg Zeller¹⁵, Francesca 11 Cordero⁸, Emmanuel Dias-Neto^{3,25}, João Carlos Setubal^{2,26}, Adrian Tett¹, Barbara Pardini^{5,27}, Maria 12 Rescigno²⁸, Levi Waldron^{29,30,*}, Alessio Naccarati^{5,31,*}, Nicola Segata^{1,*,^} 13

14

- 2 Biochemistry Department, Chemistry Institute, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.
- 3 Medical Genomics Laboratory, CIPE/A.C. Camargo Cancer Center, São Paulo, Brazil.
- 4 IEO, European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, Milan, Italy.
- 5 Italian Institute for Genomic Medicine (IIGM), Turin, Italy.
- 6 Department of Surgical and Medical Sciences, University of Catanzaro, Catanzaro, Italy.
- 7 Department of Colorectal Surgery, Clinica S. Rita, Vercelli, Italy.
- 8 Department of Computer Science, University of Turin, Turin, Italy
- 9 School of Life Science and Technology, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan
- 10 Research Fellow of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science
- 11 Division of Cancer Genomics, National Cancer Center Research Institute, Tokyo, Japan
- 12 Human Genome Center, The Institute of Medical Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- 13 Department of Cancer Genome Informatics, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
- 14 PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Saitama, Japan
- 15 Structural and Computational Biology Unit, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany
- 16 - Division of Preventive Oncology, National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT) and German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany
- 17 Huntsman Cancer Institute and Department of Population Health Sciences, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA
- 18 Division of Clinical Epidemiology and Aging Research, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany
- 19 German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany
- 20 Novo Nordisk Foundation for Basic Metabolic Research, Faculty of Health and Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Denmark
- 21 Faculty of Healthy Sciences, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark
- 22 Molecular Medicine Partnership Unit (MMPU), Heidelberg, Germany
- 23 Max Delbrück Centre for Molecular Medicine, Berlin, Germany
- 24 Department of Bioinformatics, Biocenter, University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany
- 25 Laboratory of Neurosciences (LIM-27), Institute of Psychiatry, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.
- 26 Biocomplexity Institute of Virginia Tech, Blacksburg VA 24061, USA
- 27 Department of Medical Sciences, University of Turin, Turin, Italy.
- 28 Mucosal immunology and microbiota Unit, Humanitas Research Hospital, Milan, Italy.
- 29 Graduate School of Public Health and Health Policy, City University of New York, New York, USA.
- 30 Institute for Implementation Science in Population Health, City University of New York, New York, USA.
- 31 Department of Molecular Biology of Cancer, Institute of Experimental Medicine, Prague, Czech Republic.
- 48 [#] These authors contributed equally
- 49 * Co-senior authors
- 50 ^ Corresponding author nicola.segata@unitn.it
- 51

^{1 -} Department CIBIO, University of Trento, Trento, Italy.

Abstract

54 Several studies have investigated links between the gut microbiome and colorectal cancer (CRC), but

- 55 questions remain about the replicability of biomarkers across cohorts and populations. We
- 56 performed a meta-analysis of five publicly available datasets and two new cohorts, and validated the
- 57 findings on two additional cohorts, considering in total 969 fecal metagenomes. Unlike microbiome
- shifts associated with gastrointestinal syndromes, the gut microbiome in CRC showed reproducibly higher richness than controls (P < 0.01), partially due to expansions of species typically from the oral
- cavity. Meta-analysis of the microbiome functional potential identified gluconeogenesis and the
- 61 putrefaction and fermentation pathways to be associated with CRC, whereas the stachyose and starch
- 62 degradation pathways were associated with controls. Predictive microbiome signatures for CRC
- 63 trained on multiple datasets showed consistently high accuracy in datasets not considered for model
- 64 training and independent validation cohorts (average AUC 0.84). Pooled analysis of raw
- 65 metagenomes showed that the choline trimethylamine-lyase gene was over-abundant in CRC (*P* =
- 66 0.001) identifying a novel relationship between microbiome choline metabolism and CRC. The
- 67 combined analysis of heterogeneous CRC cohorts thus identified reproducible microbiome
- 68 biomarkers and accurate disease-predictive models that can form the basis for clinical prognostic
- 69 tests and hypothesis-driven mechanistic studies.

70

52 53

71

72 73

Introduction

- 80 Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second most common non sex-specific cancer and is responsible for
- 81 more deaths than any other cancer after lung cancer ¹. Because of demographic trends toward an
- ageing population, the global incidence rate is expected to increase by nearly 80% to 2.2 million cases
- 83 per year over the next two decades ². Sporadic CRCs, as opposed to hereditary CRCs, account for
- approximately 70%-87% of cases ³ and genetics can only explain a small proportion of disease
- 85 incidence ⁴. The missing strong link of CRC with genetics points to the potential role of other variables
- 86 including lifestyle and environmental factors as disease co-determinants. Reported risk factors
- 87 associated with CRC include age, tobacco and alcohol consumption, lack of physical activity, increased
- body weight, and diet ^{5,6}. However, many non-genetic risk factors are common to several cancer types
- and these factors remain largely unsettled for CRC ^{7,8}.
- 90 The human gut microbiome defined as the microbial communities that populate our intestinal tract
- 91 is emerging as a relevant factor in human diseases ^{9,10}. Supported by some evidence of carcinogenic
- 92 mechanisms induced by bacterial organisms $^{11-13}$, the gut microbiome has also been hypothesized to
- 93 play a crucial role in the development of CRC. Studies using 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing have
- 94 led to the discovery of *Fusobacterium nucleatum*'s association with CRC ¹⁴, which was subsequently
- shown to be causal in animal models of CRC carcinogenesis and progression ^{15,16}. Compared to 16S
- 96 rRNA gene studies, a smaller number of metagenomic sequencing studies have linked other microbial
- 97 species and potential functional activities of the gut microbiome to CRC ^{17–19}. However, the
- 98 reproducibility and predictive accuracy of these high-resolution microbial signatures across cohorts
- and study design choices remain unclear. The potential use of the gut microbiome as a diagnostic tool
 for CRC has been proposed ¹⁷⁻²¹, but not yet validated across multiple independent study populations.
- 101 There is thus a need to establish and validate links between the human gut microbiome and CRC
- 102 carcinogenesis across populations, cohorts, and microbiome tools. Some multi-cohort works have
- been performed based on 16S rRNA gene studies ²², but this technique has important technical
- 104 limitations ²³. The recent availability of whole-metagenome shotgun datasets of CRC cohorts ^{17–21}
- enables a combined multi-population exploration of the CRC-associated microbiome with strain-level
- resolution ^{24,25} and meta-analytic predictive approaches ^{10,26}, but the only meta-analysis study
 performed so far on CRC is affected by overfitting issues ²⁷. It is thus crucial to perform large-scale
- performed so far on CRC is affected by overfitting issues ²⁷. It is thus crucial to perform large-scale
 cross-cohort studies to provide an unbiased and well-powered assessment of the link between CRC
- 109 and the gut microbiome.
- 110 In this study, we have sequenced 140 samples from two different cohorts, performed an integrated
- analysis combining all current metagenomic CRC datasets available, and assessed prediction
- 112 accuracies of the gut microbiome for CRC detection across populations, datasets, and conditions.
- 113

Results

- A meta-analysis of metagenomic datasets to identify links between the gut microbiome and
 CRC
- 116 To identify reproducible relationships between the gut microbiome and CRC, we performed shotgun
- 117 metagenomic sequencing ²⁸ of the stool microbiome of 140 CRC patients and controls recruited in
- 118 two cohorts, and analyzed these in the context of 624 additional samples from five publicly available
- and geographically diverse metagenomic studies. We validated the results on two novel datasets of
- 120 60 CRC and 65 controls ²⁹ and 40 CRC and 40 controls (see **Methods**), respectively. In total, we

79

- 121 considered 413 samples from CRC patients, 143 from subjects with adenoma and 413 control
- 122 samples. Participants from all studies underwent colonoscopy to diagnose CRC, adenoma, or to
- 123 confirm the absence of disease, with samples collected before diagnosis or beginning of treatment
- 124 **(Suppl. Table 1, Table 1)**. All datasets were sequenced at high depth except for the Hannigan *et al*.
- 125 study ³⁰ (**Extended Data 1A, Methods**).
- 126 Meta-analysis shows higher species richness in CRC-associated samples
- 127 We first tested whether microbial richness and diversity differed between CRC samples and controls,
- given contrasting current evidence ^{31–33}. In all but one study, the median species richness was higher
- in CRC samples compared to controls, and the increase was significant in four of the six deeply
- 130 sequenced datasets (*P* < 0.05 **Extended Data 1B-C**). Meta-analysis of standardized mean differences
- by random effects model for the number of microbial species confirmed the higher number of species
- in CRC compared to controls (μ =0.5, 95% CI [0.16, 0.85], *P* = 0.004), although with significant
- heterogeneity across datasets ($I^2 = 74.8\%$, p = 0.0007, Q-test). This difference was not meaningfully affected when controlling for potential confounding by and PM_1 or cov(Extended Data 1D E)
- affected when controlling for potential confounding by age, BMI, or sex(Extended Data 1D-E).
 Conversely, we observed no difference in diversity between carcinomas and controls (Extended
- 136 Data 2A-B). We thus provide strong evidence that the CRC-associated microbiome has a quantitative
- 137 species distribution which is consistent with healthy controls, but is significantly enriched in the total
- 138 number of detected microbes.
- 139 We further tested whether the CRC-associated microbiome possesses more oral cavity-associated
- species than controls, as previously hypothesized ^{22,34}. Considering the 161 species we identified from
- 141 multiple existing datasets ^{35,36} as being typical colonizers of the oral cavity (see **Methods**), we found
- 142 increased oral species richness in CRC samples for all but one of the six deeply sequenced datasets
- 143 compared to controls and the increase was significant in meta-analysis (μ = 0.16, 95% CI [-0.03, 0.35],
- 144 *P* = 0.02, **Extended Data 2G**). Similarly, the total abundance of oral species in the stool microbiome
- 145 was also significantly higher in CRC patients compared to controls (meta-analysis μ =0.23, 95% CI
- 146 [0.07, 0.39], P = 0.003). Altogether, greater species richness and abundance may be a sign of an
- 147 altered gut microbiome in CRC, and it is indicative of an influx of bacterial species originating from
- the oral cavity.

149 A panel of microbial biomarkers for CRC is reproducible across cohorts

- 150 Individual biomarker discovery efforts can be sensitive to technical artefacts and to heterogeneity of
- 151 factors implicated in microbial shifts in healthy populations, including biogeography, diet, and host
- 152 genetics ^{25,37}. This is confirmed by the two newly sequenced datasets that have only partially
- 153 overlapping taxonomic and functional potential biomarkers (**Extended Data 3**). Even so, several CRC
- 154 biomarker species were identified by univariate statistics ³⁸ independently in the majority of the
- 155 datasets: F. nucleatum, Solobacterium moorei, Porphyromonas asaccharolytica, Parvimonas micra,
- 156 *Peptostreptococcus stomatis,* and *Parvimonas ssp.* Other species were identified in fewer datasets or
- 157 were dataset-specific (**Figure 1A**, and **Suppl. Table 2**). *F. nucleatum*, whose connection with CRC has
- been extensively reported ^{14,17-19}, had significantly increased abundance in CRC patients in all
- 159 datasets with adequate sequencing depth, when considering single markers for this species
- 160 (Extended Data 4A). Some of the cross-cohort CRC biomarker species have already been reported
- 161 ^{14,22,34} and many of them are commonly found in the oral cavity (8 out of the 39 total biomarkers
- 162 found in at least 2 datasets), consistent with the increased oral taxa presence in CRC samples
- 163 mentioned above.

Among the 26 differentially abundant species at FDR < 0.005, those with the highest effect size were 165 again F. nucleatum, S. moorei, P. asaccharolytica, P. micra and P. stomatis. The meta-analysis 166 167 additionally identified *Clostridium symbiosum*, which has been tested as a marker for early CRC 168 detection ³⁹ (Figure 1B). Other differentially abundant species at FDR < 0.05 have not been 169 previously reported in CRC microbiome studies, including *Streptococcus tigurinus* and *Streptococcus* 170 dysgalactiae, and 3 different Campylobacter species. We also confirmed Gemella morbillorum and 171 Streptococcus gallolyticus to be relevant biomarkers, as previously suggested in smaller cohorts ^{18,40}. 172 In contrast, only 12 species were associated with the control population in the meta-analysis and only 173 four were significantly enriched for the same populations in at least three datasets. Control-174 associated species with the highest effect sizes were Gordonibacter pamelae and Bifidobacterium 175 catenulatum (Figure 1B, Suppl. Table 2; Extended Data 4C), which are generally considered 176 beneficial microbes and have been used as probiotic supplements ⁴¹. Adjustment for potential 177 confounding by host characteristics did not meaningfully affect crude estimates in the meta-analysis 178 (Figure 1D, Extended Data 4B). The substantially higher number of species enriched in CRC than in

We then pooled evidence of differential abundance across datasets by random effects meta-analysis.

179 controls (49 vs. 12), even when focusing only on species with putative oral origin (15 vs. 2, **Extended**

- 180 **Data 5A**), points to the existence of a reproducible taxonomic signature of the CRC-associated
- 181 microbiome.

164

- 182 Functional potential of the microbiome was also significantly associated with CRC samples when
- 183 compared against healthy controls. We found overall increased richness of UniRef gene families ⁴² in
- 184 CRC samples in two datasets, with percentages of unmapped reads ranging between 20% and 40%
- 185 (Extended Data 5E). We found 33,840 of the 2,479,274 single gene families detected at least once to
- 186 be associated with CRC and 30,475 associated with controls (FDR < 0.05, 9,154 and 7,115 differential
- 187 gene families at FDR < 0.005 respectively). We further observed 136 out of 590 metagenomically
- 188 reconstructed microbial functional pathways to be CRC-associated, and only 37 associated with
- 189 controls (**Suppl. Table 3**). Among the most differentially abundant pathways (**Figure 1C**) that are at
- 190 worst just minimally affected by potential confounding factors (**Figure 1E**), we found starch,
- 191 stachyose, and galactose degradation to be associated with controls. These associations could
- indicate how potentially diet-associated changes in the functional repertoire of the microbiome can
- 193 influence host conditions. The CRC-associated microbiome showed an association with 194 gluconeogenesis and with capacity for uptake and metabolism of amino acids via putrefact
- 194 gluconeogenesis and with capacity for uptake and metabolism of amino acids via putrefaction and 195 fermentation pathways (**Suppl. Table 3-4**). These included those pathways responsible for the
- 1253 refinentation pathways (**Suppl. Table 5-4**). These included those pathways responsible for the
 196 conversion of different amino acids to tumor-promoting compounds ^{19,43}, such as polyamines (e.g. L-
- 197 arginine and L-ornithine degradation to putrescine) and ammonia (L-histidine and L-arginine
- 198 degradation, and L-lysine and L-alanine fermentation to acetate, butyrate and propionate). These
- 199 pathways (Figure 1C) and the set of species described above (Figure 1A,B) thus constitute a
- 200 collection of microbiome biomarkers that is reproducible across cohorts.

201 Predicting CRC from single metagenomic datasets in independent cohorts leads to reduced

- 202 *accuracy*
- 203 To test the hypothesis that the stool microbiome could be used as a reproducible CRC pre-screening

tool, we performed intra-cohort, cross-cohort and combined-cohort prediction validation on the

- 205 overall set of 621 CRC and controls samples using a Random Forest classifier (**Table 1**). In intra-
- 206 cohort cross-validation using species-level taxonomic relative abundances, we observed
- 207 performances ranging from 0.92 to 0.58 AUC score, with an average in the deeply sequenced datasets
- of 0.81 AUC (**Figure 2A**). When using the functional potential of the gut microbiome by means of

- 209 pathway abundances, we observed decreased single dataset cross-validation accuracies, with the
- 210 exception of our Cohort1 (maximum 0.82 AUC, average 0.71 AUC, **Extended Data 6A**). The profiling
- of the more fine-grained UniRef90 gene family abundances improved the predictions, with AUCs
- reaching 0.84 AUC for Cohort2 and an average of 0.77 AUC in the deeply sequenced datasets (Figure
- 213 **2B**). These results show that, while cross validation AUCs can be high for predicting CRC in some
- 214 datasets, they are highly variable and dataset dependent.
- 215 We then tested whether and how much the microbial signatures of CRC remained predictive across
- 216 distinct datasets and cohorts. To this end, we trained the classifier on each single "training" dataset
- 217 and applied the model on each distinct "testing" dataset. For most datasets this led to decreased AUC
- values when compared to single cross validation AUCs, and AUCs showed a high variability across
- cohorts (minimum 0.5 and maximum 0.86 cross dataset AUC). These results were consistent when
- using either pathway or gene family-abundances as predictors (**Extended Data 6** and **Figure 2B**).
- Overall, we highlight a poor transportability of the microbiome signature from one dataset to the
 other and experimental choices ⁴⁴ and cohort or population characteristics ²⁵, may explain the
- reduced cross-study predictability when considering single datasets to train the model (**Extended**
- 224 Data 6C-D).

225 Pooling of training cohorts substantially improves prediction across datasets

- To overcome the limitations of training on single datasets (Suppl. Table 5), we performed a Leave-
- 227 One-Dataset-Out (LODO) analysis ⁴⁵ in which classifiers were trained on six datasets combined, and
- validated on the left-out dataset, for each dataset in turn. For taxonomic profiles, this approach
- improved both AUC values and inter-dataset consistency, producing AUCs \ge 0.80 (average 0.84 s.d. 0.03) for all six deeply sequenced datasets (**Figure 2A**). Predictors based on clade-specific markers
- 0.03) for all six deeply sequenced datasets (Figure 2A). Predictors based on clade-specific markers
 also produced high, albeit more variable AUC values, outperforming taxonomic profiles in some
- datasets (Extended Data 6B). Gene families achieved slightly reduced performances, whereas
- pathway abundances produced substantially less accurate predictions (Figure 2B). The technical and
- host population diversity embedded in these training meta-cohorts may be crucial in improving the
- generalizability of classifiers, as we found this LODO approach to be substantially and consistently
- more informative than a single-dataset cross-validation, and independent investigations found
- similarly high LODO performances using different metagenomic profiles and machine learning tools
 ²⁹.
- 238 239
- 240 The model trained on taxonomic or functional features was also shown to capture the above whole-
- 241 microbiome biomarkers because the direct inclusion of alpha-diversity metrics, oral-species
- abundance, and a measure of metagenome mappability did not provide substantial improvements
- 243 (mean 0.83, s.d. 0.03 for the deeply sequenced datasets when using the taxonomic model). However,
- based on the performance and variability of the predictive models across datasets, we recommend
- 245 using species-level microbial abundance as the main feature set for CRC status prediction in a LODO
- 246 setting.
- 247 To assess the relation between population diversity in the training meta-cohort and prediction
- 248 performance, we considered increasingly larger subsets of the available training cohorts. AUC values
- sharply increased when moving from one to two training datasets (10% to 13% median AUC
- 250 improvement depending on the features considered in the model, **Extended Data 7**) with less
- 251 marked improvements at further dataset additions (**Figure 2C-D**). Large and heterogeneous
- 252 combined training sets thus generate improved accuracy for identifying CRC cases in independent
- 253 metagenomic datasets.

254 Accurate predictive models using a minimal microbial signature

255 The predictive CRC-associated microbiome signatures identified above considered all observed

- 256 species and gene functions and would thus be impractical for clinical application without whole
- 257 microbiome profiling. We thus sought to identify a minimal set of highly predictive microbial features
- by exploiting the internal feature ranking of the Random Forest classifier ¹⁰. We found that *P. stomatis*
- was the species with the highest average rank. As expected, other CRC-associated species such as *F*.
- 260 *nucleatum, Parvimonas ssp., P. asaccharolytica, G. morbillorum, Clostridium symbiosum* and *P. micra* 261 *succession of the succession of the start start of the sta*
- were also crucial to prediction accuracy (Figure 3A) with the top seven ranked species for CRC
 detection amongst those with the largest effect sizes in the meta-analysis. Very few species were
- ranked high in the learning models, further highlighting that successful discrimination is achieved by
- 264 CRC-specific rather than control-specific microbial features.
- 265 To evaluate how many microbial species or gene families are necessary to achieve prediction scores
- 266 comparable to those obtained using the full set of features, we computed AUC values at increasing
- 267 numbers of features. Feature ranking was performed internally to each training fold to avoid
- overfitting. By applying this approach to all datasets (**Figure 3B-C**), we found that using as few as 16
- species achieved CV AUC >0.8 for the majority of the datasets, with little improvement from using all
- remaining species (2% improvement in the mean AUC value). We also found that using only 64 gene
- families achieved prediction values >0.8 for the same datasets, and that using all 8,192 gene families
- improved AUC only slightly (2% improvement **-Extended Data 8**). Therefore, these results suggest
- that a stool-based diagnostic test using genetic markers targeting a limited number of microbial
- 274 species or genes would serve as a promising clinical tool.

275 Microbiome signatures for adenomas are only partially predictive

- We assessed the ability to discriminate adenomas from controls or carcinomas, using 27 newly
- sequenced adenoma-associated samples and 116 adenoma-associated samples from available studies
- 278 (**Table 1**). Adenomas could be distinguished from CRC patients with lower accuracy than controls
- 279 (mean AUC 0.69 versus 0.79, **Extended Data 6E-F**) and there are only eight species that differentiate
- adenoma patients from carcinoma patients in the meta-analysis (FDR < 0.05). Seven of these eight
- biomarkers are in common with the comparison between carcinoma patients and healthy individuals,
- and the LODO approach did not improve discrimination of adenomas from CRC (average AUC 0.68).
- 283 Moreover, we found that no dataset could accurately predict adenomas from control samples
- (maximum AUC 0.58, minimum 0.46), even when using a LODO approach (average AUC 0.54). In the
- meta-analysis, no species were significantly different when contrasting samples from patients with
- adenomas and healthy controls. These results reinforce previous findings ^{18,19} that the adenoma-
- associated stool microbiome closely resembles that of the healthy gut.

288 Increased abundance of choline TMA-lyase encoding genes in CRC

- 289 Microbiome-derived metabolites and specifically polyamines have been implicated in carcinogenesis
- both in animal models and in humans ⁴³. We chose to focus on trimethylamine (TMA), an amine
- 291 produced by bacteria from choline and carnitine, because it has been shown to play a role in complex
- 292 diseases such as atherosclerosis and primary sclerosing cholangitis ^{9,46}. Since dietary components
- have been linked with CRC risk ^{5,6}, we hypothesized that the TMA-producing potential of the human
- 294 gut microbiome could also be associated to CRC ⁴⁷. To test this hypothesis, we considered the genes
- belonging to the main TMA-synthesis pathways to reconstruct and quantify the presence of such
- 296 genes in the CRC-associated metagenomes. The main genes associated with TMA-synthesis are those
- encoding the choline TMA-lyase (*cutC*), the L-carnitine dioxygenase (*yeaW*) and the L-

carnitine/gamma-butyrobetaine antiporter (*caiT*) and we identified them in 923, 5,185 and 5,709

299 available bacterial genomes, respectively.

300 Screening the 7 CRC-associated metagenomic datasets, we found that only one of them had a

301 significant increase of *caiT* in CRC samples compared to controls, whereas no significant differences

302 were detected for *yeaW* (Extended Data 9A). However, we found increased abundance of *cutC* in

303 CRC samples compared to controls in all seven datasets (*P* < 0.05 by Wilcoxon Rank Sum test on
 304 RPKM abundances for five datasets, Figure 4A). Meta-analysis indicated an overall strong association

305 with no evidence of heterogeneity (P = 0.001, $\mu = 0.27$, 95% CI [0.1, 0.42], I² = 4.2%, Q-test = 0.65,

- **Figure 4B**). We also analyzed the abundance of the gene encoding the choline TMA-lyase-activating
- enzyme (*cutD*), finding a significant increase in CRC (meta analysis P = 0.001, $\mu = 0.32$, 95% CI [0.16,
- 0.47], $I^2 = 0\%$, Q-test = 0.96, **Extended Data 9B-C**). These results indicate that TMA production might
- happen preferentially via choline degradation, and not via carnitine, and could substantially affect the
 amounts of TMA and trimethylamine oxide (TMAO) in an individual ⁴⁸. Intermediate levels of *cutC* in
- adenomas (**Figure 4A**) is further suggestive of a TMA action along the adenoma-carcinoma axis. We
- validated the increased *cutC* gene abundance in CRC by qPCR ⁴⁹ on a subset of samples from Cohort1
- 313 with enough DNA left after sequencing, and confirmed the metagenomic findings (one-tailed
- Wilcoxon signed rank test P = 0.024, **Figure 4D**). Further quantification of *cutC* transcript abundance
- from the co-extracted RNA in the same dataset also pointed to an over-expression of this gene in CRC
- 316 (*P* = 0.035, **Figure 4E**).
- We further explored the role of *cutC* in the gut microbiome by reconstructing sample-specific
- sequence variants using a reference-aided targeted assembly approach (see **Methods**). We found a
- 319 large sequence divergence for the gene encoding this enzyme that is known to occur in single copies
- 320 in the genomes ⁴⁹ and we identified four main sequence variants that are associated with the
- 321 taxonomic structure (**Figure 4B**, **Extended Data 9C-D**, **10A-B**). Interestingly, the most prevalent
- 322 (46.5%) *cutC* sequence type belonged (>95% identity over the full lenght of the gene) to an unknown
- 323 species that was only recently assembled from metagenomics ⁵⁰ and assigned to species-level
- genome bin (SGB) ID 3957. This candidate species comprises 56 metagenomically-assembled species
 ⁵⁰ and is placed within the *Lachnospiraceae* family, but the missing genus assignment confirms that
- several microbes remain under-characterized in the human microbiome. This *cutC* variant was
- 327 associated with non-CRC samples (OR 0.38, 95% CI [0.25, 0.57], *P* = 0.0001, Fisher Test), whereas
- 328 *cutC* sequence types mostly belonging to *Hungatella hathewayi* and *Clostridium asparagiforme*
- 329 (*Firmicutes*) were significantly CRC-associated (OR 2.14, 95% CI [1.29, 3.56], *P* = 0.004, Fisher test),
- as were sequence types belonging to *Klebsiella oxytoca* and *Escherichia coli* (OR 1.85, 95% CI [1.13, 3],
- 331 *P* = 0.02, Fisher Test **Figure 4B**). Altogether, these novel findings highlight that sequence variants of
- *cutC* can be strongly associated with disease, potentially because of corresponding differences in the efficacy of choline degradation and TMA production
- 333 efficacy of choline degradation and TMA production.

334 Additional independent validation of predictive models

335 To further validate our meta-analysis results, we considered two additional independent

- 336 metagenomic cohorts from Germany²⁹ (Validation Cohort1) and Japan (Validation Cohort2)
- 337 comprising a total of 100 CRC patients and 105 controls (see **Methods**). The metagenomic predictive
- model was confirmed to be highly accurate on these new cohorts (**Figure 5A**) with an AUC of 0.90
- and 0.81 for the German and Japanese cohorts respectively, when using the species-level taxonomic
- abundance model. Species newly associated to the CRC microbiome such as *Streptococcus tigurinus*
- and *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* were confirmed to have higher prevalence in CRC than in controls In the two validation detected Wilcower test $51 P = 0.040 \text{ ms}^2$ P = 0.041 for C tion is used C
- 342 the two validation datasets (blocked Wilcoxon test ${}^{51}P = 0.049$ and P = 0.011 for *S. tigurinus* and *S.*

- 343 *dysgalactiae*, respectively). Enrichment in the CRC-associated microbiome of these two species was
- 344 confirmed also by the analysis of additional metagenomic datasets of IBD ⁵² and type-2 diabetes ^{53,54}
- in which the prevalence of *S. tigurinus* was always below 10% in both cases and controls, whereas *S.*
- 346 *dysgalactiae* was never detected in these additional datasets. We also confirmed species richness to
- be significantly higher in CRC (P = 0.0005 for both validation datasets after rarefaction at the 10th
- 348 percentile, **Figure 5B**) as well as richness of oral microbial species in the rarefied samples (blocked
- 349 Wilcoxon test ⁵¹ P = 0.003), and the abundance of the gene encoding the choline TMA-lyase enzyme
- 350 *cutC* in CRC (*P* < 1e-6).

351 **CRC-specificity of microbiome predictive models**

- 352 We performed additional experiments to validate the discriminative power of the above microbial
- 353 signatures specifically for CRC and not for other potentially microbiome-linked disease conditions. To
- this end, we first considered 13 additional fecal samples sequenced from patients that underwent
- colonoscopy in our Cohort1 that were originally discarded because the final diagnosis pointed at
 diseases other than adenomas or carcinomas such as ulcerative colitis, Crohn's disease,
- uncategorized colitis, and diverticular diseases. These were distinguishable from CRC samples based
- 358 on the taxonomic model (0.78 cross-validation AUC, 0.80 AUC using only 16 species), and only
- 359 slightly decreased the AUC of the model trained on all the other datasets when they were added to
- the non-disease (i.e. healthy) category (from 0.83 to 0.79 in AUC). We then expanded this analysis to
- diseases for which at least two distinct large metagenomic datasets are available in the public domain
- and this includes ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD) ^{52,55} as well as non-GI diseases such
- as type-2 diabetes ^{53,54}. For this purpose we added samples randomly drawn from each of the case
 and control conditions of these additional disease cohorts to the control class of the new validation
- 365 cohort and recorded the variations in AUCs when attempting to predict CRC (see **Methods**). By
- 366 comparing the AUCs obtained when adding non-CRC external cases and when adding the
- 367 corresponding external controls, we found for both validation cohorts a small decrease in prediction
- accuracy for both UC (3% and 4% for Validation Cohort1 and Validation Cohort2, respectively;
- **Figure 5C**) and CD (5% and 9%, for Validation Cohort1 and Validation Cohort2, **Figure 5C**), pointing
- to a limited effect on the CRC model of samples from these two diseases. For type-2 diabetes we
 observed an increase in the predictive power in one dataset ⁵³, and a decrease in the other ⁵⁴ in both
- observed an increase in the predictive power in one dataset ⁵³, and a decrease in the other ⁵⁴ in both validation datasets, and the CRC model always remained highly predictive (AUC \ge 0.80). Altogether,
- 373 these results point at the existence of a clear microbiome signature of CRC which is distinct from
- 374 other relevant diseases with a gastrointestinal component.

375 **Relationship to currently available non-invasive clinical screening tests**

376 To assess the potential of microbiome-based prediction models in comparison and in combination 377 with currently used non-invasive clinical screening tests, we considered the Fecal Occult Blood Test 378 (FOBT) and the Wif-1 Methylation test available for 110 samples of the ZellerG_2014 cohort ¹⁹. The 379 LODO microbiome model tested on this dataset proved to be slightly superior to the FOBT at multiple 380 combinations of specificity and sensitivity levels (Figure 5D) and on par with the Wif-1 Methylation 381 test. Considering the LODO model predictions and the FOBT together in the same test improves the 382 sensitivity/specificity trade-off at high specificity levels when the integration is based on having at 383 least one predictor positive, and at relatively lower specificity levels when requiring both predictors 384 to be positive (Figure 5D). Integrating the microbiome model with the Wif-1 Methylation test results 385 in similar performances, and the use of the reduced microbiome model with only 16 species generally 386 improves the results (Figure 5D). We thus provide evidence for the potential clinical value of

387 microbiome predictive models especially when considered together with other available non-

- 388 invasive clinical tests.
- 389

390

Discussion

391 In the present study, we comprehensively assessed the CRC-associated gut microbiome and its ability 392 to distinguish newly diagnosed CRC patients from tumor-free controls. Our study was performed 393 across multiple datasets and populations, through a combined analysis of fecal CRC metagenomes 394 from four previously unpublished cohorts and five publicly available datasets. Whereas direct specific 395 host-microbe interactions have been shown to cause certain malignancies in vitro and in vivo animal 396 models ^{11-13,56} and genotoxic determinants such as colibactin tend to be over-represented in the 397 analyzed datasets ²⁹, indirect metabolite-mediated mechanisms may be more important to the 398 development of carcinomas although causality relations need to be tested. In our analysis, we indeed 399 found a reproducible panel of microbiome species (Figure 1), whole microbiome characteristics, and 400 strain-level biomarkers (Figure 4) beyond the validated mechanisms of specific variants of 401 *Escherichia coli*^{11,56} and *Bacteroides fragilis*⁵⁶. We found that the gut microbiome in CRC has greater 402 richness than controls, partially due to the presence of oral cavity-associated species rarely found in 403 healthy guts, challenging the widespread assumption that decreased alpha-diversity is generally

404 associated with intestinal dysbiosis ^{57,58}.

405 The identification of reproducible microbial biomarkers for CRC may enable the design of non-

406 invasive diagnostic tools. We developed machine learning models able to distinguish between

407 carcinoma patients and controls with an average performance above 0.84 AUC when validated on

408 datasets excluded from the training of the model (**Figure 2A**). Importantly, these performances are

409 quite independent of specific methodological choices given that complementary investigations ²⁹

using different metagenomic profilers and machine learning approaches achieved very similar
 results. Further increase in prediction performance can be achieved using larger datasets (n > 1,000)

rather than different methodologies (**Figure 2C-D, Figure 5C**), and the combination of a microbiome

413 model with other clinical tests and patient risk factors could substantially improve this diagnostic

414 accuracy (**Figure 5D**). Current clinical pre-colonoscopy screening tests (e.g. FOBT, WIF-1) remain

415 cheaper, but the microbiome-based CRC prediction models enable a very high diagnostic potential

416 which increases with the number of microbes or microbial genes used, with single biomarkers being

417 much inferior to multi-featured diagnostic models. However, nearly maximal accuracy was achieved

with as few as 15 to 25 microbes (**Figure 3B-C**) or a few hundred genes (**Extended Data 8**),

419 potentially enabling inexpensive clinical microbiological tests to be performed on stool. Prospective

420 studies of these biomarkers are needed to establish whether they can identify individuals at elevated

421 risk of CRC and provide the possibility of disease prevention.

The diversity and subject-specificity of the human gut microbiome is not yet fully uncovered, with
many microbial genes having unknown function, and with strain-level diversity that is missed by
many current analysis pipelines ⁵⁰. Large scale shotgun metagenomics can begin to overcome these
limitations, as shown here by the novel identification of a link between CRC and the microbial

426 pathway producing trimethylamine from choline ⁴⁸. The gene encoding for the key enzyme for this

427 pathway, the CutC choline TMA-lyase, is both more overall abundant and expressed in the gut

428 microbiomes of carcinoma patients, with specific variants of *cutC* characterizing controls, adenomas,

429 and carcinomas (**Figure 4**). TMA-producing choline lyases have been found to be associated with

430 atherosclerosis ⁹, and higher plasma trimethylamine oxide and choline levels have been reported to

- 431 be correlated with CRC risk ^{59,60}. We highlighted the importance of strain-level gene resolution in
- 432 understanding any potential carcinogenic role of *cutC*. CRC-associated variants mostly originated
- 433 from Hungatella hathewayi, Clostridium asparagiforme, Klebsiella oxytoca, and Escherichia coli,
- 434 whereas no significant enrichment was detected for a *cutC* variant carried by a unexplored recently
- discovered candidate species in the *Lachnospiraceae* family ⁵⁰. Thus, genetic variants in key microbial
- 436 genes involved in choline-induced TMA production by the gut microbiome are a plausible and novel
- 437 potential mechanism for colorectal carcinogenesis. Other partially diet-dependent microbiome
- factors can contribute to promote carcinogenesis, and we found in our parallel work that genes for
- 439 secondary bile acid conversion are consistently enriched in the CRC-associated microbiomes ²⁹.
- Further work is needed to establish the changes in protein structure and function associated with the genetic variants of the diet-related microbial genes found here to be enriched in the CRC microbiome.
- 442 Analysis of cancer cohorts that are heterogeneous for geography, ethnicity, and lifestyle, presents a
- distinct opportunity for studying the cancer-associated microbiome. By combining multiple small
- cohorts of potentially low generalizability, it is possible to obtain better representation of the
- spectrum of cancer cases and controls. With appropriate methodology, artifactual findings due to
- batch effects present in any individual dataset can be avoided. The use of large, diverse training sets
- enables creation of more accurate diagnostic models, and the availability of independent validation
- datasets enables more realistic estimation of that accuracy. Future shotgun metagenomic studies of
- the intestinal mucosa-associated microbiome, which are currently infeasible due to excessive human
- 450 DNA contamination ²⁸, will be important to further refine the list of CRC-associated gut microbes.
- 451 Nevertheless, this study identifies highly reproducible microbial CRC biomarkers and points to the
- 452 potential for non-invasive microbial diagnostic tests to supplement existing screening.
- 453

454 Acknowledgements

455 We thank the members of the Segata, Naccarati, and Waldron groups for insightful discussions, all the volunteers enrolled in the study, the NGS facility at University of Trento for performing the 456 457 metagenomic sequencing, and the HPC facility at University of Trento for supporting the computational experiments. This work was primarily supported by Lega Italiana per La Lotta contro i 458 459 Tumori to N.S., F.C. and A.N and by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP -460 16/23527-2) to A.M.T. This work was also partially supported by the Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa 461 e Desenvolvimento (CNPq, Brazil) to J.C.S. and E.D.-N., FAPESP (14/26897-0), Associação Beneficente Alzira Denise Hertzog Silva (ABADHS, Brazil) and PRONON/SIPAR to E.D.-N., by Coordenação de 462 463 Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brazil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001 to J.C.S., by the Italian Institute for Genomic Medicine (IIGM) and Compagnia di San Paolo Torino to A.N, A.F., B.P. and 464 S.T., by Fondazione Umberto Veronesi "Post-doctoral fellowship Year 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 465 2018" to B.P. and S.T., by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (17-16857S) to A.N., by Fondazione 466 467 Umberto Veronesi (FUV-14-SG-GANDINI) to S.G., by the European Union H2020 Marie-Curie grant 468 (707345) to E.P., by the European Research Council (ERC-STG project MetaPG) to N.S., by MIUR 469 "Futuro in Ricerca" RBFR13EWWI_001 to N.S., by the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the 470 European Union FP7 and H2020 to N.S., and by the National Cancer Institute (U24CA180996) and 471 National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (1R21AI121784-01) of the National Institutes of 472 Health to L.W. B.P. is recipient of a Fulbright Research Scholarships (year 2018). We acknowledge 473 funding from EMBL, DKFZ, the Huntsman Cancer Foundation, the Intramural Research Program of 474 the National Cancer Institute, ETH Zürich, and the following external sources: the European Research

- 475 Council (CancerBiome ERC-2010-AdG_20100317 to P.B., Microbios ERC-AdG-669830 tp P.B.), the
- 476 Novo Nordisk Foundation (grant NNF10CC1016515 to M.A.), the Danish Diabetes Academy
- 477 supported by the Novo Nordisk Foundation and TARGET research initiative (Danish Strategic
- 478 Research Council [0603-00484B] to M.A.), the Matthias-Lackas Foundation (to C.M.U.), the National
- 479 Cancer Institute (grants R01 CA189184, R01 CA207371, U01 CA206110, P30 CA042014 ll to C.M.U.), 499 the PMPE (the de NPL estructle #0214527P to P.P. and the FPA NET TPANSCAN empire to 01//T1502 to
- the BMBF (the de.NBI network #031A537B to P.B. and the ERA-NET TRANSCAN project 01KT1503 to
 C.M.U.), and the Helmut Horten Foundation (to S.Sunagawa). For the Validation Cohort2, funding was
- 482 provided by grants from the National Cancer Center Research and Development Fund (25-A-4,28-A-4,
- 483 and 29-A-6), Practical Research Project for Rare/Intractable Diseases from the Japan Agency for
- 484 Medical Research and Development (AMED) (JP18ek0109187), JST (Japan Science and Technology
- 485 Agency)-PRESTO (JPMJPR1507), JSPS (Japan Society for the Promotion of Science) KAKENHI
- 486 (16J10135, 142558 and 221S0002), Joint Research Project of the Institute of Medical Science, the
- 487 University of Tokyo, and the Takeda Science Foundation and Suzuken Memorial Foundation.
- 488

489 Author contributions

- 490 N.S., A.M.T., L.W., and A.N conceived the study. N.S. supervised the study. C.P., S.G., D.S., S.T., A.F., G.G.,
- 491 M.T., B.P, M.R., and A.N. organized the clinical study, recruited patients and collected samples.
- 492 F.Armanini generated metagenomic data. A.M.T., P.M., F.Asnicar, E.P., M.Z., F.B., N.K., and G.F. collected
- and analyzed the metagenomic data. A.M.T., P.M., F.Asnicar, E.P., M.Z., G.F., J.W., G.Z., and L.W.
- 494 performed machine learning and statistical analyses. F.Armanini, S.T., S.Manara, A.T., B.P, and A.N.
- 495 performed validation experiments. S.Mizutani., H.S., S.Shiba, T.S., S.Y., T.Y., J.W., P.S.-K, C.M.U., H.B.,
- 496 M.A., P.B., and G.Z. provided additional validation data. A.M.T., P.M., L.W., and N.S. designed and
- 497 produced the figures. A.M.T., P.M., and N.S. wrote the manuscript with contributions from S.Manara,
- 498 F.C., E.D.-N., J.C.S., M.R., L.W., and A.N. All authors discussed and approved the manuscript.
- 499

500 **Competing Interests**

- 501 P. Bork, G. Zeller, A.Y. Voigt, and S. Sunagawa are named inventors on a patent (EP2955232A1:
- 502 Method for diagnosing colorectal cancer based on analyzing the gut microbiome). All the other
- authors declare to have no competing interests as defined by Nature Research, or other interests that
- might be perceived to influence the results and/or discussion reported in this paper.
- 505

506 **References (for main text only)**

- 507 1. Ferlay, J. *et al.* Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major 508 patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *Int. J. Cancer* **136**, E359–86 (2015).
- 509
 2.
 Siegel, R., Desantis, C. & Jemal, A. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2014. CA Cancer J. Clin. 64, 104–

 510
 117 (2014).
- 5113.Frank, C., Sundquist, J., Yu, H., Hemminki, A. & Hemminki, K. Concordant and discordant512familial cancer: Familial risks, proportions and population impact. Int. J. Cancer 140, 1510-5131516 (2017).
- 5144.Foulkes, W. D. Inherited susceptibility to common cancers. N. Engl. J. Med. 359, 2143–2153515(2008).

516 517	5.	Johnson, C. M. <i>et al.</i> Meta-analyses of colorectal cancer risk factors. <i>Cancer Causes Control</i> 24 , 1207–1222 (2013).
518	6.	Huxley, R. R. et al. The impact of dietary and lifestyle risk factors on risk of colorectal cancer: a
519		quantitative overview of the epidemiological evidence. <i>Int. J. Cancer</i> 125 , 171–180 (2009).
520	7.	Schmidt, T. S. B., Raes, J. & Bork, P. The Human Gut Microbiome: From Association to
521		Modulation. <i>Cell</i> 172 , 1198–1215 (2018).
522	8.	Thomas, R. M. & Jobin, C. The Microbiome and Cancer: Is the 'Oncobiome' Mirage Real? <i>Trends</i>
523		<i>Cancer Res.</i> 1 , 24–35 (2015).
524	9.	Jie, Z. <i>et al.</i> The gut microbiome in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 8 ,
525		845 (2017).
526	10.	Pasolli, E., Truong, D. T., Malik, F., Waldron, L. & Segata, N. Machine Learning Meta-analysis of
527		Large Metagenomic Datasets: Tools and Biological Insights. <i>PLoS Comput. Biol.</i> 12 , e1004977
528		(2016).
529	11.	Cougnoux, A. <i>et al.</i> Bacterial genotoxin colibactin promotes colon tumour growth by inducing
530		a senescence-associated secretory phenotype. <i>Gut</i> 63 , 1932–1942 (2014).
531	12.	Wu, S. <i>et al.</i> A human colonic commensal promotes colon tumorigenesis via activation of T
532		helper type 17 T cell responses. <i>Nat. Med.</i> 15 . 1016–1022 (2009).
533	13.	Chung, L. <i>et al.</i> Bacteroides fragilis Toxin Coordinates a Pro-carcinogenic Inflammatory
534		Cascade via Targeting of Colonic Epithelial Cells. <i>Cell Host Microbe</i> 23 , 203–214.e5 (2018).
535	14.	Kostic, A. D. <i>et al.</i> Genomic analysis identifies association of Fusobacterium with colorectal
536		carcinoma. <i>Genome Res.</i> 22 , 292–298 (2012).
537	15.	Kostic, A. D. <i>et al.</i> Fusobacterium nucleatum potentiates intestinal tumorigenesis and
538		modulates the tumor-immune microenvironment. <i>Cell Host Microbe</i> 14 . 207–215 (2013).
539	16.	Rubinstein, M. R. <i>et al.</i> Fusobacterium nucleatum promotes colorectal carcinogenesis by
540		modulating E-cadherin/β-catenin signaling via its FadA adhesin. <i>Cell Host Microbe</i> 14 . 195–
541		206 (2013).
542	17.	Yu, J. <i>et al.</i> Metagenomic analysis of faecal microbiome as a tool towards targeted non-
543		invasive biomarkers for colorectal cancer. <i>Gut</i> 66 , 70–78 (2017).
544	18.	Feng, Q. <i>et al.</i> Gut microbiome development along the colorectal adenoma–carcinoma
545		sequence. Nat. Commun. 6, 6528 (2015).
546	19.	Zeller, G. <i>et al.</i> Potential of fecal microbiota for early-stage detection of colorectal cancer. <i>Mol.</i>
547		<i>Syst. Biol.</i> 10 , 766 (2014).
548	20.	Baxter, N. T., Ruffin, M. T., Rogers, M. A. M. & Schloss, P. D. Microbiota-based model improves
549		the sensitivity of fecal immunochemical test for detecting colonic lesions. <i>Genome Med.</i> 8 , 37
550		(2016).
551	21.	Zackular, J. P., Rogers, M. A. M., Ruffin, M. T., 4th & Schloss, P. D. The human gut microbiome as
552		a screening tool for colorectal cancer. <i>Cancer Prev. Res.</i> 7, 1112–1121 (2014).
553	22.	Drewes, J. L. <i>et al.</i> High-resolution bacterial 16S rRNA gene profile meta-analysis and biofilm
554		status reveal common colorectal cancer consortia. <i>NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes</i> 3 , 34 (2017).
555	23.	Pollock, J., Glendinning, L., Wisedchanwet, T. & Watson, M. The Madness of Microbiome:
556		Attempting To Find Consensus 'Best Practice' for 16S Microbiome Studies. Appl. Environ.
557		Microbiol. 84 , (2018).
558	24.	Segata, N. On the Road to Strain-Resolved Comparative Metagenomics. <i>mSystems</i> 3 , (2018).
559	25.	Truong, D. T., Tett, A., Pasolli, E., Huttenhower, C. & Segata, N. Microbial strain-level
560		population structure and genetic diversity from metagenomes. <i>Genome Res.</i> 27 , 626–638
561		(2017).
562	26.	Pasolli, E. <i>et al.</i> Accessible, curated metagenomic data through ExperimentHub. <i>Nat. Methods</i>
563		14 , 1023 (2017).
564	27.	Dai, Z. <i>et al.</i> Multi-cohort analysis of colorectal cancer metagenome identified altered bacteria
565		across populations and universal bacterial markers. <i>Microbiome</i> 6 , 70 (2018).
566	28.	Quince, C., Walker, A. W., Simpson, J. T., Loman, N. J. & Segata, N. Shotgun metagenomics, from

567		sampling to analysis. <i>Nat. Biotechnol.</i> 35 , 833–844 (2017).
568	29.	Wirbel, J. et al. Meta-analysis of fecal metagenomes reveals global microbial signatures that
569		are specific for colorectal cancer. Under Submission (2018).
570	30.	Hannigan, G. D., Duhaime, M. B., Ruffin, M. T., 4th, Koumpouras, C. C. & Schloss, P. D. Diagnostic
571		Potential and Interactive Dynamics of the Colorectal Cancer Virome. <i>MBio</i> 9 , (2018).
572	31.	Thomas, A. M. <i>et al.</i> Tissue-Associated Bacterial Alterations in Rectal Carcinoma Patients
573	01.	Revealed by 16S rRNA Community Profiling Front Cell Infect Microhiol 6 179 (2016)
574	32	Gao 7 Guo B Gao B 7hu O & Oin H Microbiota disbiosis is associated with colorectal
575	52.	cancer Front Migraphiel 6 20 (2015)
575	22	Abn L et al Human aut microbiome and rick for colorectal concer L Natl Cancer Inst 10
570	55.	Ann, J. et al. Human gut microbiome and risk for colorectal cancer. J. Nati. Cancer mst. 105,
577	24	1907–1911 (2013). Elemen D. et al. The anal mismobiote in colorectal concernic distinctive and predictive Cut
5/0	54.	(2017) dei 10.1126 (metin) 2017.214014
5/9	05	(2017). doi:10.1136/gutjni-2017-314814
580	35.	Brito, I. L. <i>et al.</i> Mobile genes in the human microbiome are structured from global to
581		individual scales. <i>Nature</i> 535 , 435–439 (2016).
582	36.	Human Microbiome Project Consortium. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy
583		human microbiome. <i>Nature</i> 486 , 207–214 (2012).
584	37.	Bonder, M. J. <i>et al.</i> The effect of host genetics on the gut microbiome. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 48 , 1407
585		(2016).
586	38.	Segata, N. <i>et al.</i> Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. <i>Genome Biol.</i> 12 , R60
587		(2011).
588	39.	Xie, YH. et al. Fecal Clostridium symbiosum for Noninvasive Detection of Early and Advanced
589		Colorectal Cancer: Test and Validation Studies. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 25 , 32–40 (2017).
590	40.	Boleij, A., van Gelder, M. M. H. J., Swinkels, D. W. & Tjalsma, H. Clinical Importance of
591		Streptococcus gallolyticus infection among colorectal cancer patients: systematic review and
592		meta-analysis. Clin. Infect. Dis. 53, 870–878 (2011).
593	41.	Fijan, S. Microorganisms with claimed probiotic properties: an overview of recent literature.
594		Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 11 , 4745–4767 (2014).
595	42.	Apweiler, R. <i>et al.</i> UniProt: the Universal Protein knowledgebase. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 32 . D115–
596		9 (2004).
597	43.	Gerner, E. W. & Mevskens, F. L., Ir. Polyamines and cancer: old molecules, new understanding.
598		Nat. Rev. Cancer 4, 781–792 (2004).
599	44	Costea, P. I. <i>et al.</i> Towards standards for human fecal sample processing in metagenomic
600		studies. Nat. Biotechnol. 35 , 1069–1076 (2017).
601	45	Riester M <i>et al.</i> Risk prediction for late-stage ovarian cancer by meta-analysis of 1525 natient
602	15.	samples 1 Natl Cancer Inst 106 (2014)
602	46	Sumples J. Mail. Survey hist. 100 , (2011). Kummen M <i>et al.</i> Flevated trimethylamine-N-oxide (TMAO) is associated with poor
604	40.	nrognosis in primary sclerosing cholangitis patients with normal liver function <i>United</i>
605		Furongan Castroontarol 15 522–541 (2017)
606	17	Collegard I. Winther S. A. Hanson T. S. Dossing D. & von Scholton B. I. Trimothylaming N
607	47.	ouride (TMAO) as a New Detential Therenoutin Target for Insulin Desistence and Cancer Curr
607		Diagram Dec 22, 2000, 2712 (2017)
000	40	Piluini. Des. 23 , 5099–5712 (2017). Kalaina C. et al. Structure and Eurotian of CutC Chalina Lanca from Human Misuchista
609	48.	Rainins, G. <i>et al.</i> Structure and Function of Cutt. Choine Lyase from Human Microbiota
610	10	Bacterium Kiebsiella pneumoniae. J. Biol. Chem. 290 , 21/32–21/40 (2015).
611	49.	kath, S., Heidrich, B., Pieper, D. H. & Vital, M. Uncovering the trimethylamine-producing
612	-	bacteria of the human gut microbiota. <i>Microbiome</i> 5 , 54 (2017).
613	50.	Pasolli, E. <i>et al.</i> Extensive unexplored human microbiome diversity revealed by over 150,000
614		genomes from metagenomes spanning age, geography, and lifestyle. <i>Cell</i> 176 , 1–14 (2019).
615	51.	Hothorn, T., Hornik, K., van de Wiel, M. A. & Zeileis, A. A Lego System for Conditional
616		Inference. <i>Am. Stat.</i> 60 , 257–263 (2006).
617	52.	Nielsen, H. B. et al. Identification and assembly of genomes and genetic elements in complex

618 metagenomic samples without using reference genomes. Nat. Biotechnol. 32, 822–828 (2014). 619 53. Karlsson, F. H. et al. Gut metagenome in European women with normal, impaired and diabetic 620 glucose control. Nature 498, 99–103 (2013). 621 54. Qin, J. et al. A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. Nature 622 **490**, 55–60 (2012). 623 55. Integrative HMP (iHMP) Research Network Consortium. The Integrative Human Microbiome 624 Project: dynamic analysis of microbiome-host omics profiles during periods of human health 625 and disease. Cell Host Microbe 16, 276–289 (2014). 626 56. Dejea, C. M. et al. Patients with familial adenomatous polyposis harbor colonic biofilms 627 containing tumorigenic bacteria. Science 359, 592–597 (2018). 628 57. Manichanh, C. et al. Reduced diversity of faecal microbiota in Crohn's disease revealed by a 629 metagenomic approach. Gut 55, 205–211 (2006). 630 Le Chatelier, E. et al. Richness of human gut microbiome correlates with metabolic markers. 58. Nature 500, 541-546 (2013). 631 632 59. Bae, S. et al. Plasma choline metabolites and colorectal cancer risk in the Women's Health 633 Initiative Observational Study. Cancer Res. 74, 7442–7452 (2014). 634 60. Xu, R., Wang, Q. & Li, L. A genome-wide systems analysis reveals strong link between 635 colorectal cancer and trimethylamine N-oxide (TMAO), a gut microbial metabolite of dietary 636 meat and fat. BMC Genomics 16 Suppl 7, S4 (2015). 637

638 Figure legends (for main text only)

639 Figure 1. Reproducible taxonomic and functional microbial biomarkers across datasets when

640 **contrasting carcinoma against healthy controls (no adenoma samples considered). (A)** UpSet 641 plot showing the number of taxonomic biomarkers identified using LEfSE on MetaPhlAn2 species

bit showing the number of taxonomic biomarkers identified using LEISE on MetarinAliz species
profiles shared by combinations of datasets (see Suppl. Table 3 for all single significant
associations). (B) Pooled effect sizes for the 20 significant features with the largest effect size
calculated using a meta-analysis of standardized mean differences and a random effects model on
MetaPhlAn2 species abundances and on (C) HUMANn2 pathway abundances. Bold lines represent
the 95% confidence interval for the random effects model coefficient estimate . (D) Scatter plot of
crude and age-, sex-, and BMI-adjusted coefficients obtained from linear models using MetaPhlAn2
species abundances. (E) Scatter plot of crude and age-, sex-, and BMI-adjusted coefficients obtained

649 from linear models using HUMANn2 pathway abundances.

650

Figure 2. Assessment of prediction performances of the gut microbiome for CRC detection

652 within and across cohorts. (A) Cross prediction matrix reporting prediction performances as AUC

values obtained using a Random Forest (RF) model on species-level relative abundances (see
 Methods). Values on the diagonal refer to 20 times repeated 10-fold stratified cross validations. Off-

diagonal values refer to the AUC values obtained by training the classifier on the dataset of the

656 corresponding row and applying it on the dataset of the corresponding column. The Leave-One-

657 Dataset-Out (LODO) row refers to the performances obtained by training the model on the species-

658 level abundances and MetaPhlAn2 markers using all but the dataset of the corresponding column and

- applying it on the dataset of the corresponding column. See **Extended Data 6** for the marker cross-
- 660 study validation matrix. **(B)** Cross prediction matrix of AUC values obtained using HUMANn2
- 661 UniRef90 gene-family abundances and HUMANn2 pathway relative abundances. See Extended Data
 662 6 for the pathway cross-study validation matrix. (C) Prediction performances for the two Italian
- 663 cohorts at increasing numbers of external datasets considered for training the model. The dark
- 663 conorts at increasing numbers of external datasets considered for training the model. The dark 664 yellow line interpolates the median AUC at each number of training datasets considered. See
- 665 **Extended Data 7** for the plots referred to the other testing datasets. **(D)** Prediction performances at

- 666 increasing number of datasets in the training, using HUMANn2 UniProt90 gene-family abundances.
- 667 See **Extended Data 7** for the plots referred to the other testing datasets.
- 668

669 Figure 3. Ranking relevance of each species in the predictive models for each dataset and 670 identification of a minimal microbial signature for CRC detection. (A) The importance of each 671 species for the cross-validation prediction performance in each dataset estimated using the internal 672 RF scores. Only species appearing in the five top ranking features in at least one dataset are reported. 673 Prediction performances at increasing number of microbial species obtained by re-training the RF 674 classifier on the N top ranked features identified with a first RF model training in a cross-validation 675 (B) and LODO-setting (C). The rankings are obtained excluding the testing dataset to avoid 676 overfitting. 677 Figure 4. Choline TMA-lyase gene *cutC* and its genetic variants are strong biomarkers for CRC-678 679 associated stool samples. (A) Distribution of reads per kilobase million (RKPM) abundances

- obtained using ShortBRED for the choline TMA-lyase enzyme gene *cutC*. P-values were computed by
- two-tailed Wilcoxon Signed-Rank tests comparing values between controls and carcinomas for each
- dataset. **(B)** Forest plot reporting effect sizes calculated using a meta-analysis of standardized mean
- differences and a random effects model on *cutC* RPKM abundances between carcinomas and controls.
- 684 **(C)** Phylogenetic tree of sample-specific *cutC* sequence variants identified four main sequence
- variants. Tips with no circles represent *cutC* sequence variants from genomes absent from the
 datasets. Taxonomy was assigned based on mapping against existing *cutC* sequences (criteria of 80%)
- 687 coverage, >97% identity and minimum 2,000nt alignment length). (**D**) qPCR validation of *cutC* gene
- abundance and **(E)** *cutC* transcript abundance (normalized by total 16S rRNA gene/transcript
- abundance) on a subset of DNA samples from Cohort1. qPCR validation P-values are obtained by 1-
- 690 tail Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test.691
- 692 **Figure 5 Clinical potential and validation of the predictive biomarkers. (A)** Prediction
- 693 performance of the taxonomic models trained on the 7 datasets of **Table 1** and applied on the new 694 validation cohorts confirmed the strong reproducibility of metagenomic models for CRC across 695 cohorts when sufficiently large training cohorts are available. Feature ranking of the 16-species 696 model are obtained the testing cohort to avoid overfitting. (B) Species richness, rarefied oral species 697 richness, and *cutC* gene abundance (RPKM) are confirmed to be strong biomarkers of CRC in the validation datasets ²⁹. P-values underlying the panels refer to one-tailed Wilcoxon Signed Rank test; 698 699 P-values overlying the panels refer to the one-sided permutation-based Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney 700 tests, blocked for cohort. **(C)** Prediction performances as AUC values on the validation cohorts when 701 adding external set of case and controls samples from metagenomic cohorts of diseases other than 702 CRC (Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, type-2 diabetes). (D) Assessment of the potential of 703 microbiome-based prediction models in comparison and in combination with current non-invasive 704 clinical screening tests. Models integrating our LODO machine learning approach with the FOBT or
- 705 the Wif-1 Methylation tests are termed OR and AND, depending on whether only one or both need to
 706 be positive for the combined test to be positive.
- be positive for the combined test to be positive.
- 708 Tables
- 709
- 710 **Table 1**. Size and characteristics of the large-scale CRC metagenomic datasets included in this study.

Dataset	Groups (N)	Age	BMI	Sex	Country	# of reads
		(mean +/- s.d.)	(mean +/- s.d.)	F(%)/M((x 10^9)
				%)		

ZellerG_2014 (Zeller et al. 2014)	Control (61) Adenoma (42) CRC (53)	60.6 +/- 11.4 63 +/- 9.1 66.8 +/- 10.9	24.7 +/- 3.2 25.9 +/- 4.1 25.5 +/- 5.2	54.1/45.9 28.5/71.5 45.2/54.8	France	9.4
YuJ_2015 (Yu et al. 2015)	Control (54) CRC (74)	61.8 +/- 5.7 66 +/- 10.6	23.5 +/- 3 24 +/- 3.2	38.9/61.1 35.1/64.9	China	7.2
FengQ_2015 (Feng et al. 2015)	Control (61)* Adenoma (47) CRC (46)	67 +/- 6.5 66.5 +/- 7.9 67 +/- 10.9	27.6 +/- 3.8 28 +/- 4.7 26.5 +/- 3.5	41/59 51.1/48.9 39.1/60.9	Austria	8.3
VogtmannE_2016 (Vogtmann et al. 2016)	Control (52) CRC (52)	61.2 +/- 11 61.8 +/- 13.6	25.3 +/- 4.2 24.9 +/- 4.2	28.8/71.2 28.8/71.2	USA	6.9
HanniganGD_2018 (Hannigan et al. 2018)	Control (28) Adenoma (27) CRC (27)	NA	NA	NA	USA (54) Canada (28)	0.5
Cohort1 (This study)	Control (24) Adenoma (27) CRC (29)	67.9 +/- 7.1 62.8 +/- 8.6 71.4 +/- 8.2	25.3 +/- 3.5 25.3 +/- 4.1 25.7 +/- 4.1	45.8/54.1 40.7/59.3 20.7/79.3	Italy	8.2
Cohort2 (This study)	Control (28) CRC (32)	57.8 +/- 8.3 58.4 +/- 8.4	24.6 +/- 3.8 26.8 +/- 4.3	42.9/57.1 28.1/71.9	Italy	5.1
Total	Control (308) Adenoma (143) CRC (313)					45.6

711

*Numbers differed from the original sample numbers (N = 61 instead of 63) reported in the article due to metadata and/or sequence

712 processing issues. NA = Not available.

713

714 Methods

715 Italian cohorts of CRC patients, adenomas and controls

The two clinical studies performed here were approved by the relevant ethics committees (Cohort1:

717 Ethics committee of Azienda Ospedaliera "SS. Antonio e Biagio e C. Arrigo" of Alessandria, Italy,

718 protocol N. Colorectal_miRNA_CEC2014 and Cohort2: Ethics committee of European Institute of

719 Oncology of Milan, Italy, protocol N. R107/14-IEO 118) and informed consent was obtained from all

720 participants.

For Cohort1, samples were collected from patients at the Clinica S. Rita in Vercelli, Italy. Patients with

hereditary CRC syndromes, with previous history of CRC, and with uncompleted or poorly cleaned

- colonoscopy, were excluded from the study. Patients were recruited at initial diagnosis and had not
- received any treatment prior to fecal sample collection. Subjects reporting the use of antibiotics
- during the 6 months prior to the sample collection were excluded from the study. On the basis of
- colonoscopy results, recruited subjects were classified into three categories: 1) healthy subjects:
- individuals with colonoscopy negative for tumor, adenomas and other diseases; 2) adenoma patients:
- individuals with colorectal adenoma/s; and 3) CRC patients: individuals with newly diagnosed CRC. A
- total of 93 subjects were initially recruited, and the 80 that passed quality control (see below) are
- divided into 29 CRC patients, 27 adenomas and 24 controls. An additional 13 subjects that presented
 inflammatory GI tract diseases (ulcerative and Crohn's colitis, diverticular diseases) were recruited
- 731 inflammatory Gi tract diseases (dicerative and croin s collis, diverticular diseases) were recruited 732 and fecal samples were subsequently used as a part of the final validation. Stool was collected in Stool
- 732 Nucleic Acid Collection and Transport Tubes with RNA stabilising solution (Norgen Biotek Corp) and
- returned before performing the colonoscopy. Aliquots of the stool samples were stored at -80°C until
- retained before performing the coordiscopy. Inquots of the stoor samples were stored at 50 c
 use. DNA was extracted from aliquot of fecal samples using the Qiamp DNA stool kit (Qiagen)
- following manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA from faeces was extracted using the Stool Total
- 737 RNA Purification Kit (Norgen Biotek Corp) following manufacturer's instructions.

- For Cohort2, a total of 60 subjects were recruited at the European Oncology Institute in Milan, Italy
- and were divided into 32 CRC patients and 28 controls. Controls, matched for age (± 5 years) and
- season of blood withdrawn (± 2 years), were recruited among subjects who underwent recent
- colonoscopy and had negative or no other relevant gastrointestinal disorders. Subjects reporting the
- use of antibiotics in the 6 months prior to the sample collection were excluded. Fecal samples were
- collected from healthy subjects and patients (before surgery, or any other cancer treatment) and
- directly frozen at -80°C in resuspension buffer (TES buffer: 50 mM Tris-HCL, 10 mM NaCl, 10 mM
- EDTA, pH 7.5) and kept in liquid nitrogen until DNA extraction. DNA was extracted from fecal
- samples with the GNOME DNA isolation kit (MP).
- 747 Sequencing libraries were prepared using the NexteraXT DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina,
- 748 California, USA), following the manufacturer's guidelines. Sequencing was performed on the
- HiSeq2500 (Illumina, California, USA) at the internal sequencing facility of the Centre for Integrative
- 750 Biology, Trento, Italy.

751 **Public metagenomic cohorts of CRC patients, adenomas and controls**.

- We downloaded 5 public fecal shotgun CRC datasets covering samples from 6 different countries,
- totaling 313 CRC patients, 143 adenomas and 308 controls (**Table 1**) and now available in
- curatedMetagenomicData ²⁶. We manually curated metadata tables for the public cohorts according to
- the curated Metagenomic Data ²⁶ R-package grammatical rules. The metadata table includes ten fields
- (sampleID, subjectID, body_site, country, sequencing_platform, PMID, number_reads, number_bases,
- minimum_read_length, median_read_length) that are mandatory for all datasets in addition to other
- 758 fields that are dataset-specific.

759 **Description of the two validation cohorts**

- 760 We consider an additional set of samples from two independent cohorts that were not available at the
- time we performed the meta-analysis on the other seven datasets, and we thus used them as
- validation cohorts. Validation Cohort1 consists of 60 CRC metagenomes collected in Germany after
- colonoscopy and 65 sex and age-matched healthy controls and is described in depth in the study
- accompanying this work ²⁹. Shotgun metagenomic sequencing was performed by Illumina HiSeq 2000
- 765 / 2500 / 4000 (Illumina, San Diego, USA) platforms at the Genomics Core Facility, European
- Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg. Validation Cohort2 consists of 40 CRC samples and 40
 controls from a Japanese cohort from Tokyo. DNA was extracted for Validation Cohort2 from frozen
- for a Japanese conort from Tokyo. DNA was extracted for validation conort2 from frozen
 fecal samples by bead-beating using the GNOME DNA Isolation Kit (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA)
- and DNA quality was assessed with an Agilent 4200 TapeStation (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara
- 70 CA). Sequencing libraries were generated with a Nextera XT DNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina, San
- 771 Diego, CA) and shotgun metagenomics of fecal samples was carried out on the HiSeq2500 platform
- 772 (Illumina) at a targeted depth of 5.0 Gb (150-bp paired end reads).
- The samples and clinical information used from both validation cohorts in this study were obtained
- under conditions of informed consent and with approval of the institutional review boards of each
- 775 participating institute.

776 **Public metagenomic cohorts of non-CRC patients.**

- We used the curatedMetagenomicData ²⁶ resource to retrieve taxonomical and functional potential
- profiles as well as metadata of three public cohorts: NielsenHB_2014 ⁵² comprising 21 Crohn Disease
- (CD) patients, 127 Ulcerative Colitis (UC) patients and 248 controls; KarlssonFH_2013 ⁵³ comprising
- 780 53 Type-2 Diabetes (T2D) patients and 43 controls; QinJ_2012 ⁵⁴ comprising 172 T2D patients and

- 781 174 controls; and we downloaded 1339 metagenomes from the Human Microbiome Consortium
- phase-2 cohort ⁵⁵, comprising 598 Crohn Disease patients, 375 Ulcerative Colitis patients and 365
 controls.

784 Sequence pre-processing, taxonomic and functional profiling

- 785 Fecal metagenomic shotgun sequences obtained from the Italian cohorts were subjected to a pre-
- 786 processing pipeline whereby sequences were quality filtered using trim_galore (parameters: --
- nextera --stringency 5 --length 75 --quality 20 --max_n 2 --trim-n) discarding all reads with quality
- 788less than 20 and shorter than 75 nucleotides. Filtered reads were then aligned to the human genome
- (hg19) and the PhiX genome for human and contaminant DNA removal using bowtie2⁶¹. Thirteen
- samples, having less than 2Gb of host-decontaminated DNA, were excluded from the study.
- We used MetaPhlAn2⁶² for quantitative profiling the taxonomic composition of the microbial
- communities of all metagenomic samples, whereas HUMANn2⁶³ was used to profile pathway and
- gene family abundances. The profiles generated for the 6 public cohorts, along with their metadata,
- and the two newly sequenced cohorts are available through the curated MetagenomicData R package
- ²⁶. Oral species were defined in this work by analyzing the 463 oral samples from the Human
- Microbiome Project dataset 36 and the 140 saliva samples from 35 . Specifically, all species with > 0.1%
- abundance and > 5% prevalence were deemed to be of oral origin. For *F. nucleatum* marker analysis,
- we extracted MetaPhlAn2 clade-specific markers from each sample sam file and considered a marker
- to be present if the coverage was greater than zero.

800 The Random Forest based machine learning approach

- 801 Our machine learning analyses exploited 4 types of microbiome quantitative profiles: taxonomic
- species-level relative abundances and marker presence or absence patterns inferred by MetaPhlAn2
- 803 ⁶², gene-family and pathway relative abundances estimated by HUMAnN2 ⁶³.
- All machine learning experiments used Random Forest ⁶⁴, as this algorithm has been shown to
- 805 outperform, on average, other learning tools for microbiome data ¹⁰. The code generating the
- analyses and the figures is available at
- 807 <u>https://bitbucket.org/CibioCM/multidataset_machinelearning/src/</u>, and is based on MetAML ¹⁰ with
- the Random Forest implementation taken from Scikit-Learn version 0.19.0, ⁶⁵. We used an ensemble
- of 1000 estimator trees and Shannon entropy to evaluate the quality of a split at each node of a tree.
- 810 The two hyper-parameters for the minimum number of samples per leaf and for the number of
- 811 features per tree are set as indicated elsewhere ⁶⁶ to 5 and 30% respectively. For the marker
- 812 presence/absence profiles we used a number of features equal to the square root of the total number
- 813 of features, and this percentage was further decreased to 1% when using gene-family profiles as they
- 814 have a substantially higher number of features (> 2M). The experiments ran on reduced sets of input
- features (**Figure 4**, **Suppl. Fig. 19**) avoided feature subsampling when less than 128 features were
- 816 used (**Suppl. Fig. 19**).

817 Application and evaluation of the learning models

- 818 The inside-dataset prediction capability was measured through 10-fold cross-validation, stratified so
- 819 each fold contained a balanced proportion of positive and negative cases. The procedure of forming
- the folds and assessing the models was repeated 20 times. The final result is therefore an average
- 821 over 200 validation folds. In the cross-study validation, datasets are considered two by two: one is
- 822 used for training the model, the other to validate.

- 823 The Leave-one-dataset-out (LODO) approach consists of training the model on the pooled samples
- from all cohorts except the one used for model testing. This mimics the scenario in which all the
- available samples from multiple cohorts are used to predict CRC-positive samples in a newly
- 826 established cohort. As a part of the meta-analysis, we iterated along all the cohorts, performing a
- LODO validation on each set of samples (**Figure 2**).

828 Additional validation experiments on independent datasets and other diseases

- 829 We built a validation LODO model trained on MetaPhlAn2 taxonomic abundances from the previously
- described set of 7 cohorts and applied it to the independent validation cohorts. To test the
- 831 performance of the model when challenged with other diseases, we selected 4 metagenomic cohorts
- 832 ⁵²⁻⁵⁵ covering 3 non-CRC diseases (ulcerative colitis UC, Crohn's disease CD, and type-2 diabetes -
- T2D) and we used them for further experiments. For each disease (UC, CD, T2D) in each dataset, we
- randomly drawn 60 samples from the control class as well as 60 samples from the cases and added
- them to each validation dataset in turn, labelled as controls. The random selection was repeated ten
- times, and the validation AUC computed on the model's prediction accordingly. The rationale is to observe the decrease in AUC when the external cases are added to the controls of the validation
- observe the decrease in AUC when the external cases are added to the controls of the validation
- 838 cohort with respect the addition of healthy controls.
- 839 Specificity of the prediction model was also assessed by the addition of 13 IBD samples to Cohort1:
- 840 we used the 13 samples either as controls for Cohort1 or added to the original controls; we
- 841 performed a cross-validation and a LODO on Cohort1 (no validation cohorts in the training) using
- 842 MetaPhlAn2 microbial species.
- 843 To assess the prediction ability of our Random Forest approach with respect to more traditional non-
- invasive tests like the FOBT and the Wif-1 Methylation test, we recorded the true positive rate
- 845 (sensitivity) and the false positive rate (1 specificity) for a subset of the ZellerG_2014 cohort
- according to these two tests and one-hundred positive detection thresholds in the case of Random
- Forest models. We then combined the Random Forest approach with the two tests in turn, first
- assigning the positive class when both predictors are positive ("AND" model) secondly when just one
- 849 predictor is ("OR" model).

850 Statistical analysis

- 851 Univariate analyses on a per dataset basis was performed using LEfSe ³⁸ to identify features that were
- 852 statistically different among groups and estimate their effect size. ANCOM was also applied ⁶⁷ but
- 853 showed reduced power on our datasets (e.g. it identified *F. nucleatum* as a biomarker in only one
- dataset) probably due to the low relative abundance of CRC biomarkers that are thus only minimally
- affected by the problem of compositionality. For these reasons, we chose to use LEfSe for the
- univariate analysis and focused on the biomarkers with the highest effect size. To overcome the
- 857 limitations of univariate statistics, we performed multivariate analysis using linear models fitted to
- the data using the limma R package ⁶⁸ and possible confounders such as age, sex and BMI were
- included in the models. For the meta-analysis on taxonomic and functional profiles, we converted
 relative abundances to arcsine-square root transformed proportions and used the *escalc* function
- relative abundances to arcsine-square root transformed proportions and used the *escalc* function
 from the R metafor package that employed Cohen's standardized mean difference statistic to
- acalculate random effects model estimates. We quantified study heterogeneity using the l² estimate
- 602 calculate random energy inder estimates, we quantified study neterogeneity using the restimates663 (percentage of variation reflecting true heterogeneity) as well as Cochran's Q test to assess
- statistically significant heterogeneity. P-values obtained from the random effects models were
- 865 corrected for multiple hypothesis testing correction using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure and
- P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Cluster analysis was conducted by

- 867 calculating distance matrices from phylogenetic trees using the APE R-package, clustering using
- 868 partitioning around medoids (PAM) and computing clusters' prediction strength using the cluster R-
- 869 package. When validating differential species richness, oral-species richness, and increased
- abundance of the *cutC* gene, we also assessed significance through one-sided permutation-based
- 871 Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests where we blocked for cohort ⁵¹, as implemented in the 'coin' R-
- 872 package. The lower and upper hinges of boxplots presented in the figures correspond to the 25th and
- 873 75th percentiles. The upper and lower whiskers extend from the hinges to the largest (or smallest)
- value no further than 1.5 * inter-quartile range (IQR) from the hinge, defined as the distance between
- the 25th and 75th percentiles. Data beyond the end of the whiskers are plotted individually.

876 Identification and quantification of the genes encoding TMA producing enzymes

- 877 In order to obtain a more comprehensive database of choline TMA-lyase enzyme sequences, we
- downloaded amino acid sequences that matched the keywords "*cutC*" and "*cutD*" from UniProt90⁴²,
- 879 mapped their IDs to EMBL CDS using UniParc and used the resulting DNA sequences to search, using
- BLASTn ⁶⁹, all 48,902 Prokka ⁷⁰ annotated genomes available in our repository ⁷¹. Matching queries
- 881 were filtered to include only alignments with >80% identity and length > 1000nt for *cutC* and > 800nt
- for *cutD*, and an e-value < 1e-15. We used ShortBRED 72 to identify short seed sequences that were
- representative of the filtered queries using UniProt's UniRef100 database and quantified them in the
- 884 metagenomes, normalizing by the number of reads per kilobase million (RPKM). The pipeline was
- also applied to identify and quantify the L-carnitine/gamma-butyrobetaine antiporter (*caiT*) and the dioxygenase *yeaW*, responsible for producing TMA preferentially via carnitine degradation. In order
- to investigate differences in *cutC* sequence types, we clustered *cutC* sequences at 97% sequence
- identity using UCLUST ⁷³ and aligned raw reads to the clustered *cutC* database using bowtie2 ⁶¹. From
- the bam files we calculated the breadth and depth of each sequence and generated their
- corresponding consensus sequence using Samtools ⁷⁴ and VCF utils ⁷⁵. We chose the representative
- *cutC* sequence for each sample as the one with the highest breadth or the highest depth, if there were
- 892 multiple *cutC* sequences with the same breadth. We filtered representative *cutC* sequences from each
- sample to include only those with a breadth > 80%, aligned them using MAFFT ⁷⁶, built a phylogenetic $\frac{1}{2}$

tree using fastTree ⁷⁷ which was refined using RAxML ⁷⁸ and visualized using GraPhlAn ⁷⁹.

895 Validation of *cutC* gene and transcript abundances by qPCR

- 896 Real time qPCR was used to assess differences in *cutC* genes and transcripts between CRC samples
- and controls. We used a previously described protocol ⁴⁹ which employs 16S rRNA abundances as an
- internal sample normalization. For first strand cDNA synthesis, 400 ng of RNA templates were
- 899 retrotranscribed using the High-capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kits with Random Primers
- 900 (Thermofisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's instructions. The *cutC* and 16S rRNA genes
- 901 (and transcripts from cDNA) were amplified using degenerate primers and cycling conditions as
- 902 described previously ⁴⁹. Briefly, reactions were performed in triplicate with 10 ng of template DNA or
- 30 ng of cDNA on the Rotor Gene Q (QIAGEN) using HOT FIREPol EvaGreen qPCR mix (SOLIS
- BIODYNE) with a final primer concentration of 0.5 $\mathbb{Z}M$ (16S) or 0.75 $\mathbb{Z}M$ (*cutC*). Cycling conditions
- 905 were as follows: initial denaturation of 95°C for 15 min; followed by 40 cycles of denaturing at 95°C
- for 45 s, annealing at 57° C (*cutC*) or 55°C for (16S) for 45 s and an extension step of 72°C for 45 s.
- 907 Melting curves were subsequently performed for all reactions using the following program: 95° for 5
- 908 s, followed by 65°C for 60s, and a final continuous reading step of seven acquisitions per second 909 between 65 and 97°C
- between 65 and 97°C.

- 910 Quantification of the *cutC* gene by means of qPCR protocol was applied to 44 samples belonging to
- 911 Cohort1 for which enough DNA was available. Samples for which either the *cutC* or the 16S rRNA
- amplification failed were removed and we retained measurements for a total of 16 CRC and 19
- 913 control samples. Relative gene fold change was calculated by applying the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method ⁸⁰, with Δ Ct
- calculated as difference between *cutC* and 16S rRNA Ct values. Significance of the *cutC* vs. 16S rRNA
- 915 comparison was assessed through the one-tailed Wilcoxon Signed Rank test. The same procedure
- 916 was applied on the quantification of *cutC* and 16S rRNA transcripts from cDNA, which was computed
- 917 using 26 CRC and 20 control samples for which we obtained a reliable quantification of both *cutC* and
- 918 16S rRNA.

919 Data Availability

- 920 Nucleotide sequences for the two new Italian cohorts are available in the Sequence Read Archive
- 921 (SRA) under the accession number SRP136711. MetaPhlAn2 and HUMANn2 profiles for the new
- 922 cohorts were also added to the curatedMetagenomicData R package along with their corresponding
- 923 metadata. Validation Cohort1 is available in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under the study
- identifier PRJEB27928, Validation Cohort2 is available in the DDBJ databases under the accession
- 925 number DRA006684.
- 926

927 Methods-only References

- 928
- 92961.Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat. Methods 9, 357930(2012).
- 931 62. Truong, D. T. *et al.* MetaPhlAn2 for enhanced metagenomic taxonomic profiling. *Nat. Methods*932 12, 902–903 (2015).
- 93363.Abubucker, S. *et al.* Metabolic reconstruction for metagenomic data and its application to the934human microbiome. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **8**, e1002358 (2012).
- 935 64. Breiman, L. Random Forests. *Mach. Learn.* **45**, 5–32 (2001).
- 936
 65.
 Pedregosa, F. *et al.* Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. J. Mach. Learn. Res. 12, 2825–

 937
 2830 (2011).
- Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R. & Friedman, J. *The Elements of Statistical Learning*. 1, (Springer-Verlag New York, 2009).
- 94067.Mandal, S. *et al.* Analysis of composition of microbiomes: a novel method for studying941microbial composition. *Microb. Ecol. Health Dis.* **26**, 27663 (2015).
- 94268.Ritchie, M. E. *et al.* limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and943microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, e47 (2015).
- 94469.Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local alignment search945tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403–410 (1990).
- 94670.Seemann, T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2068–2069947(2014).
- 948 71. Segata, N., Börnigen, D., Morgan, X. C. & Huttenhower, C. PhyloPhlAn is a new method for
 949 improved phylogenetic and taxonomic placement of microbes. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 2304 (2013).
- 72. Kaminski, J. *et al.* High-Specificity Targeted Functional Profiling in Microbial Communities
 with ShortBRED. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **11**, e1004557 (2015).
- 952 73. Edgar, R. C. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* 26, 2460–2461 (2010).
- 954 74. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078–2079

955		(2009).
956	75.	Danecek, P. et al. The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics 27, 2156–2158 (2011).
957	76.	Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
958		improvements in performance and usability. <i>Mol. Biol. Evol.</i> 30 , 772–780 (2013).
959	77.	Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. & Arkin, A. P. FastTree 2approximately maximum-likelihood trees
960		for large alignments. <i>PLoS One</i> 5 , e9490 (2010).
961	78.	Stamatakis, A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large
962		phylogenies. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 30 , 1312–1313 (2014).
963	79.	Asnicar, F., Weingart, G., Tickle, T. L., Huttenhower, C. & Segata, N. Compact graphical
964		representation of phylogenetic data and metadata with GraPhlAn. <i>PeerJ</i> 3 , e1029 (2015).
965	80.	Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
966		quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. <i>Methods</i> 25 , 402–408 (2001).
967		
968		

















С

D

0.4

1.0

0.8