

---

# Lifting Weighted Blow-ups

Marco Andreatta

---

**Abstract.**

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  be a local, projective, divisorial contraction between normal varieties of dimension  $n$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial singularities.

Let  $Y \subset X$  be a  $f$ -ample Cartier divisor and assume that  $f|_Y : Y \rightarrow W$  has a structure of a weighted blow-up. We prove that  $f : X \rightarrow Z$ , as well, has a structure of weighted blow-up.

As an application we consider a local projective contraction  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  from a variety  $X$  with terminal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial singularities, which contracts a prime divisor  $E$  to an isolated  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial singularity  $P \in Z$ , such that  $-(K_X + (n - 3)L)$  is  $f$ -ample, for a  $f$ -ample Cartier divisor  $L$  on  $X$ . We prove that  $(Z, P)$  is a hyperquotient singularity and  $f$  is a weighted blow-up.

**1. Introduction**

Let  $X$  be a normal variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $n = \dim X$ . A *contraction* is a surjective morphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Z$  with connected fibres onto a normal variety  $S$ . If  $Z$  is affine then  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  will be called a *local contraction*.

We always assume that  $f$  is *projective*, that is we assume the existence of  $f$ -ample Cartier divisors  $L$ .

If  $f$  is birational and its exceptional set is an irreducible divisor then it is called *divisorial*. We say that the contraction is  *$\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial* if  $X$  and  $Z$  have  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial singularities. Note that if  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial and  $f$  is a divisorial contraction of an extremal ray (in the sense of Mori Theory) then  $Z$  is also  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial (see Corollary 3.18 in [KM98]).

A fundamental example of local contraction in Algebraic Geometry is the blow-up of  $\mathbb{C}^n = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  at 0. More generally, given  $\sigma = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  such that  $a_i > 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , one can define the  $\sigma$ -blow-up (or the weighted blow-up with weight  $\sigma$ ) of a hyperquotient singularity  $Z : ((g = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n) / \mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . The definition is given in Section 2, in accordance with Section 10 in [KM92].

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification* (2010): Primary 14E30, 14N30; Secondary 14J40.

*Keywords*: Contractions, Weighted blow-up,  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities.

The main goal of the paper is to prove the following Theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  be a local, projective, divisorial and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial contraction, which contracts an irreducible divisor  $E$  to an isolated  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial singularity  $P \in Z$ . Assume that  $\dim X \geq 4$ .*

*Let  $Y \subset X$  be a  $f$ -ample Cartier divisor such that  $f' = f|_Y : Y \rightarrow f(Y) = W$  is a  $\sigma' = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ -blow-up,  $\pi_{\sigma'} : Y \rightarrow W$ .*

*Then  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  is a  $\sigma = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n)$ -blow-up,  $\pi_\sigma : X \rightarrow Z$ , where  $a_n$  is such that  $Y \sim_f -a_n E$  ( $\sim_f$  means linearly equivalent over  $f$ ).*

We apply the above Theorem to the study of birational contractions which appear in a Minimal Model Program (MMP) with scaling on polarized pairs.

More precisely, if  $X$  is a variety with terminal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial singularities and  $L$  is an ample Cartier divisor on  $X$ , the pair  $(X, L)$  is called a *Polarized Pair*. Given a non negative rational number  $r$ , there exists an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta^r$  on  $X$  such that  $\Delta^r \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} rL$  and  $(X, \Delta^r)$  is Kawamata log terminal. Consider the pair  $(X, \Delta^r)$  and the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor  $K_X + \Delta^r \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_X + rL$ .

By Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.3 of [BCHM10] we can run a  $K_X + \Delta^r$ -Minimal Model Program (MMP) with scaling. This type of MMP was studied in deeper details in the case  $r \geq (n-2)$  in [And13].

To perform such a program one needs to understand local birational maps (divisorial or small contractions),  $f : X \rightarrow Z$ , which are contractions of an extremal rays  $R := \mathbb{R}^+[C] \subset N_1(X/Z)$ , where  $C$  is a rational curve such that  $(K_X + rL) \cdot C < 0$  for a  $f$ -ample Cartier divisor  $L$ . We will call these maps Fano-Mori contractions or *contractions for a MMP*.

In [AT14] we classify local birational contractions for a MMP if  $r \geq (n-2)$ : they are  $\sigma$ -blow-up of a smooth point with  $\sigma = (1, 1, b, \dots, b)$ , where  $b$  is a positive integer.

In [AT16], Theorem 1.1, we prove that if  $r > (n-3) > 0$  then one can find a general divisor  $X' \in |L|$  which is a variety with at most  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities and such that  $f|_{X'} : X' \rightarrow f(X') =: Z'$  is a contraction of an extremal ray  $R' := \mathbb{R}^+[C']$  such that  $(K_{X'} + (r-1)L') \cdot C' < 0$ , where  $L' := L|_{X'}$ .

On the other hand a very hard program, aimed to classify local divisorial contractions to a point for a MMP in dimension 3, has been started long ago by Y. Kawamata ([Ka96]); it was further carried on by M. Kawakita, T. Hayakawa and J. A. Chen (see, among other papers, [Kaw01], [Kaw02], [Kaw03], [Kaw05], [Kaw12], [Ha99], [Ha00], [Ha05], [Ch15]). They are all weighted blow-ups of (particular) cyclic quotient or hyperquotient singularities and this should be the case for the few remaining ones. It is reasonable to make the following:

*Assumption 1.2.* The divisorial contractions to a point for a MMP in dimension 3 are weighted blow-up.

The next result is a consequence, via a standard induction procedure, called *Apolonius method*, of Theorem 1.1, the above quoted Theorem 1.1 in [AT16] and Assumption (1.2) in dimension 3.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a variety with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminal singularities of dimension  $n \geq 3$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  be a local, projective, divisorial contraction which contracts a prime divisor  $E$  to an isolated  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial singularity  $P \in Z$  such that  $-(K_X + (n-3)L)$  is  $f$ -ample, for a  $f$ -ample Cartier divisor  $L$  on  $X$ . Then  $P \in Z$  is a hyperquotient singularity. Moreover, if we assume that 1.2 holds,  $f$  is a weighted blow-up.*

## 2. Weighted blow-ups

We recall the definition of weighted blow-up, our notation is compatible with that of Section 10 in [KM92] and of Section 3 in [Ha99].

Let  $\sigma = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  such that  $a_i > 0$  and  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$ ; let  $M = \text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .

The weighted projective space with weight  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , denoted by  $\mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , can be defined either as:

$$\mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n) := (\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^*,$$

where  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^*$  acts by  $\xi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\xi^{a_1}x_1, \dots, \xi^{a_n}x_n)$ .

Or as:

$$\mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \text{Proj}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n],$$

where  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is the polynomial algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  graded by the condition  $\deg(x_i) = a_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

A cyclic quotient singularity, denoted by  $\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_n) := X$ , is an affine variety defined as the quotient of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by the action  $\epsilon : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (\epsilon^{a_1}x_1, \dots, \epsilon^{a_n}x_n)$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity. Equivalently  $X$  is isomorphic to the spectrum of the ring of invariant monomials under the group action,  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\mathbb{Z}_m}$ .

Let  $Q \in Y : (g = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface singularity with a  $\mathbb{Z}^m$  action. The point  $P \in Y/\mathbb{Z}^m := X$  is called a *hyperquotient singularity*. In suitable local analytic coordinates the action on  $Y$  extends to an action on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  (in fact it acts on the tangent space  $T_{Y,Q}$ ) and we can assume that  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  acts diagonally by  $\epsilon : (x_0, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (\epsilon^{a_0}x_0, \dots, \epsilon^{a_n}x_n)$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity. Since  $Y$  is fixed by the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ , it follows that  $g$  is an eigenfunction, so that  $\epsilon : g \rightarrow \epsilon^e g$ . We define the *type* of the hyperquotient singularity  $P \in X$  with the symbol  $\frac{1}{m}(a_0, \dots, a_n; e)$ . Note that if  $m = 1$  this is simply a hypersurface singularity, while if  $g = x_0$  this is a cyclic quotient singularity.

Let  $X = \mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be a cyclic quotient singularity and consider the rational map

$$\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

given by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1 : \dots : x_n)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** The *weighted blow-up* of  $X = \mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  with weight  $\sigma = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  (or simply the  $\sigma$ -blow-up),  $\overline{X}$ , is defined as the closure in  $X \times \mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  of the graph of  $\varphi$ , together with the morphism  $\pi_\sigma : \overline{X} \rightarrow X$  given by the projection on the first factor.

The weighted blow-up can be described by the theory of torus embeddings, as in section 10 of [KM92]. Namely, let  $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $e = 1/m(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Then  $X$  is the toric variety which corresponds to the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}e_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}e_n + \mathbb{Z}e$  and the cone  $C(X) = \mathbb{Q}_+e_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Q}_+e_n$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{Q} : z \geq 0\}$ .

$\pi_\sigma : \overline{X} \rightarrow X$  is the proper birational morphism from the normal toric variety  $\overline{X}$  corresponding to the cone decomposition of  $C(X)$  consisting of  $C_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbb{Q}_+e_j + \mathbb{Q}_+e$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and their intersections.

The following facts can be easily checked in many ways, for instance via toric geometry (see also section 10 in [KM92] or section 3 in [Ha99]).

- The map  $\pi_\sigma$  is birational and contracts an exceptional irreducible divisor  $E \cong \mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  to  $0 \in X$ .
- Let  $(y_1 : \dots : y_n)$  be homogeneous coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . For any  $1 \leq i \leq k$  consider the open affine subset  $U_i = \overline{X} \cap \{y_i \neq 0\}$ ; these affine open subset are described as follows:

$$U_i \cong \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n]/\mathbb{Z}_{a_i}(-a_1, \dots, m, \dots, -a_n)$$

The morphism  $\varphi_{\sigma|U_i} : U_i \rightarrow X$  is given by

$$(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) \mapsto (\bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_i^{a_1/m}, \dots, \bar{x}_i^{a_i/m}, \dots, \bar{x}_k \bar{x}_i^{a_k/m}).$$

- In the affine set  $U_i$  the divisor  $E$  is defined by  $\{\bar{x}_i = 0\}$ ; it is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor and  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-aE) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(ma)$ , for  $a$  divisible by  $\Pi a_i$ .  $H := -ME$  is actually Cartier, it is generated over  $\pi_\sigma$  by global sections and it is the generator of  $\text{Pic}(\overline{X}/X) = \mathbb{Z} = \langle H \rangle$ .
- Let  $L = aH$ , for  $a$  a positive integer; clearly  $L$  is  $\sigma$ -ample. We have

$$R^1 \pi_{\sigma*} \mathcal{O}_Y(iL) = H^1(\overline{X}, iL) = 0$$

for every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We now use Grothendieck's language to give a different characterization of the  $\sigma$ -weighted blow-up.

For  $a$  a positive integer let  $L = aH = -aME$ .  $L$  is a  $\pi_\sigma$ -ample Cartier divisor.

Consider the graduated  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\mathbb{Z}_m}$ -algebra  $\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(dL)$ . The construction in section (8.8) of [EGA II], gives

$$\bar{X} = \text{Proj}_X \left( \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \bigoplus_{d > 0} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(dL) \right) \rightarrow X.$$

Consider now the function

$$\sigma\text{-wt} : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

defined as follows. On a monomial  $M = x_1^{s_1} \dots x_n^{s_n}$  we put  $\sigma\text{-wt}(M) := \sum_{i=1}^n s_i a_i / m$ . For a general  $f = \sum_I \alpha_I M_I$ , where  $\alpha_I \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $M_I$  are monomials, we set

$$\sigma\text{-wt}(f) := \min\{\sigma\text{-wt}(M_I) : \alpha_I \neq 0\}.$$

**Definition 2.2.** For a rational number  $k$  the  $\sigma$ -weighted ideal  $I^\sigma(k)$  is defined as:

$$I^\sigma(k) = \{g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] : \sigma\text{-wt}(g) \geq k\} = (x_1^{s_1} \dots x_n^{s_n} : \sum_{j=1}^n s_j a_j / m \geq k).$$

$I^\sigma(k)$  is an ideal in  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and therefore also in  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\mathbb{Z}_m}$ ; in particular  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\mathbb{Z}_m} \oplus \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}, d > 0} I^\sigma(k)$  is a  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\mathbb{Z}_m}$ -graded module.

The next Lemma follows straightforward from the above discussion; see also Lemma 3.5 in [Ha99].

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\pi_\sigma : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  be a  $\sigma$ -blow-up,  $E$  the exceptional divisor; let  $D$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil divisor defined by a  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -semi invariant  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then we have

$$\pi_\sigma^*(D) = \bar{D} + (\sigma\text{-wt}(f))E,$$

where  $\bar{D}$  is the proper transform of  $D$ .

In particular, for every integer  $a$ , we have  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}(-aE) = I^\sigma(a)$ .

The Grothendieck set-up and the Lemma imply immediately the following characterization of weighted blow-up.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $X = \mathbb{C}^n / \mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $b$  a positive integer multiple of  $M = \text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . The weighted blow-up of  $X$  with weight  $\sigma$  defined above,  $\pi_\sigma : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ , is given by

$$\bar{X} = \text{Proj}_X \left( \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}, d > 0} I^\sigma(db) \right).$$

*Remark 2.5.* The above characterization of  $\bar{X}$  does not depend on the choice of  $b$  as a positive multiple of  $M$ ; in fact taking Proj of truncated graded algebras we obtain isomorphic objects (see for instance Exercise 5.13 or 7.11, Chapter II in [Ha77]).

Note that it is not true that  $I^\sigma(db) = I^\sigma(b)^d$ : see for instance Example 3.5 in [AT14]. However this is true if  $b$  is chosen big enough; this can be proved, for instance, following the proof of Theorem 7.17 in [Ha77].

If this is the case we have that  $\bar{X} = \text{Proj}_X(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}, d > 0} I^\sigma(b)^d)$ ; that is  $\bar{X}$  is the blowing-up of  $X = \mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  with respect to the coherent ideal  $I^\sigma(b)$  (see the definition in Section 7, Chapter II, [Ha77]).

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $X : ((g = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1})/\mathbb{Z}_m(a_0, \dots, a_n)$  be a hyperquotient singularity and let  $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}_m(a_0, \dots, a_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}_m(a_0, \dots, a_n)$  be the  $\sigma = (a_0, \dots, a_n)$ -blow-up. Let  $\bar{X}$  be the proper transform of  $X$  via  $\pi$  and call again, by abuse,  $\pi$  its restriction to  $\bar{X}$ . Then  $\pi : \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  is also called the *weighted blow-up of  $X$  with weight  $\sigma = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$*  (or simply the  $\sigma$ -blow-up).

The above Proposition 2.4, together with Corollary 7.15, Chapter II, [Ha77], implies the following.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $X : ((g = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1})/\mathbb{Z}_m(a_0, \dots, a_n)$  be a hyperquotient singularity and let  $i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}_m(a_0, \dots, a_n)$  be the inclusion.*

*Then*

$$\bar{X} = \text{Proj}_X(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}, d > 0} J^\sigma(db)) \rightarrow X,$$

where  $J^\sigma(db) := i^{-1}(I^\sigma(db)) \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$ .

*If  $b$  is big enough then*

$$\bar{X} = \text{Proj}_X(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}, d > 0} J^\sigma(b)^d) \rightarrow X.$$

### 3. Lifting cyclic quotient singularities

In this section we consider affine varieties  $Z$  and  $W$ ; we think at them as germs of complex spaces around a point  $P$ ,  $(Z, P)$  and  $(W, P)$ . We assume that  $P \in Z$  is an isolated  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial singularities;  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality in this case depends on the analytic type of the singularity.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $Z$  be an affine variety of dimension  $n \geq 4$  and assume that  $Z$  has an isolated  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial singularity at  $P \in Z$ .*

*Assume that  $(W, P) \subset (Z, P)$  is a Weil divisor which is a cyclic quotient singularity, i.e.  $W = \mathbb{C}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ .*

*Then  $Z$  is a cyclic quotient singularity, i.e.  $Z = \mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n)$ , where  $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is defined in the proof.*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $W$  is a Cartier divisor, i.e.  $W$  is given as a zero locus of a regular function  $f$ ,  $W : (f = 0) \subset Z$ . The map  $f : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is flat, since  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C} = 1$ . Quotient singularities of dimension bigger or equal then three are rigid, by a fundamental theorem of M. Schlessinger ([Sch71]). Since  $Z$  has an isolated singularity and  $\dim W = n - 1 \geq 3$ , it implies that  $W$  is smooth, i.e.

$m = 1$ . A variety containing a smooth Cartier divisor is smooth along it, therefore, eventually shrinking around  $P$ ,  $Z$  is also smooth.

In the general case, since  $Z$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial, we can assume that there exists a minimal positive integer  $r$  such that  $rW$  is Cartier ( $r$  is the index of  $W$ ). Following Proposition 3.6 in [Re87], we can take a Galois cover  $\pi : Z' \rightarrow Z$ , with group  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ , such that  $Z'$  is normal,  $\pi$  is etale over  $Z \setminus P$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(P) =: Q$  is a single point and the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\pi^*W := W'$  is Cartier,  $W' : (f' = 0) \subset Z'$ .

Our assumption on  $W$  implies that  $r|m$ , i.e.  $m = r \cdot s$ , and  $W' = \mathbb{C}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_s(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ . By the first part of the proof we have that  $s = 1$ , i.e.  $W'$  and  $Z'$  are smooth.

Taking possibly a smaller neighborhood of  $Q$ , we can assume that, if  $W' = \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  with coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ , then  $Z' = \mathbb{C}^n$ , with coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n)$ , where  $x_n := f'$ .

The action of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , which extends the one on  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ , fixes  $W'$ , therefore  $f'$  is an eigenfunction; that is for a primitive  $m$ -root of unity  $\epsilon$  there exists  $a_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\epsilon : f' \rightarrow \epsilon^{a_n} f'$ .

Therefore the Galois cover  $\pi : Z' = \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow Z$  is exactly the cover of the cyclic quotient singularity  $Z = \mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n)$ . □

*Remark 3.2.* If  $n = 3$  the above Proposition is false, as the following example shows.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $Z' = \mathbb{C}^4/\mathbb{Z}_r(a, -a, 1, 0)$ ; let  $(x, y, z, t)$  be coordinates in  $\mathbb{C}^4$  and assume  $(a, r) = 1$ . Let  $Z \subset Z'$  be the hypersurface given as the zero set of the function  $f := xy + z^{r^m} + t^n$ , with  $m \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ . This is a terminal singularity which is not a cyclic quotient (it is a terminal hyperquotient singularity); in the classification of terminal singularities it is described in Theorem (12.1) of [Mo82] (see also section 6 of [Re87]).

However the surface  $W := Z \cap (t = 0)$ , which is the surface in  $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_r(a, -a, 1)$  given as the zero set of  $(xy + z^{r^m})$ , is a cyclic quotient singularity of the type  $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{r^2m}(a, rm - a)$ .

We give a proof of this last fact for the interested reader. Let  $\overline{W}$  be the surface in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , with coordinate  $(x, y, z)$ , given as the zero set of the function  $xy + z^{r^m}$ .  $\overline{W}$  has a singularity of type  $A_{rm-1}$ , which is a cyclic quotient singularity of type  $\overline{W} = \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{rm}(1, -1)$ .

Let  $(\xi, \eta)$  be the coordinate of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and let  $\epsilon = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r^2m}}$  a  $r^2m$  root of unit; note that  $\epsilon^r$  is a  $rm$  root of unit. The action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{rm}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  can be described as  $\epsilon^r(\xi, \eta) = (\epsilon^r \xi, \epsilon^{-r} \eta)$ . A base for  $\mathbb{C}[\xi, \eta]^{\mathbb{Z}_{rm}}$ , the spectrum of the ring of invariant monomials under the group action, is given by  $(\xi^{rm}, \eta^{rm}, \xi \cdot \eta)$  and therefore  $\overline{W} = \text{Spec}(\xi^{rm}, \eta^{rm}, \xi \cdot \eta)$ . Let  $(x, y, z) = (\xi^{rm}, \eta^{rm}, \xi \cdot \eta)$ , then  $W$  is obtained as the quotient of  $\overline{W}$  by the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_r$  with weights  $(a, -a, 1)$  given by  $\epsilon^{rm}(x, y, z) = (\epsilon^{rma}x, \epsilon^{-rma}y, \epsilon^{rm}z)$ . It is easy to check that this action can be lifted directly to  $\mathbb{C}^2$  as the action:  $\epsilon(\xi, \eta) = (\epsilon^a \xi, \epsilon^{rm-a} \eta)$ . This extends the previously defined  $\mathbb{Z}_{rm}$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and has  $W$  as quotient.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $Z$  be an affine variety of dimension  $n \geq 4$  with an isolated  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial singularity at  $P \in Z$ . Assume also that  $(W, P) \subset (Z, P)$  is a Weil divisor which has a hyperquotient singularity at  $P$ . Then  $(Z, P)$  is a hyperquotient singularity.*

*Proof.* Let  $W : (g = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n / \mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .

As in the previous proof we assume first that  $W$  is a Cartier divisor, i.e.  $W$  is given as the zero locus of a regular function  $f$ . The map  $f : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is flat and it gives a deformation of  $W$ . Since  $W$  is a hypersurface singularity, its infinitesimal deformations are all embedded deformations, i.e. they extend to a deformation of the ambient space. That is, there exists a flat map  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(0) = \mathbb{C}^n / \mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $Z$  is a hypersurface in  $\tilde{Z}$ , i.e.  $Z : (\tilde{g} = 0) \subset \tilde{Z}$ , and  $\tilde{f}|_Z = f$ .

By Schlessinger's theorem ([Sch71]) this deformation  $\tilde{f}$  is rigid, therefore  $\tilde{Z} = \mathbb{C}^n / \mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_n) \times \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} / \mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_n, 0)$ .

Thus  $Z : (\tilde{g} = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1} / \mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_n, 0)$ .

In the general case, as in [Re87], Proposition 3.6, we take the  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -Galois cover  $\pi : Z' \rightarrow Z$ , such that  $Z'$  is normal,  $\pi$  is etale over  $Z \setminus P$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(P) =: Q$  is a single point and the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\pi^*W := W'$  is a Cartier divisor:  $W' : (f' = 0) \subset Z'$ .

The map  $W' \rightarrow W$  is an etale cover of  $W$  ramified at  $P$  and it depends on (a subgroup of) the local fundamental group  $\pi_1(W \setminus \{0\})$ . By our assumption on the dimensions and Lefschetz theorem this is equal to  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^n / \mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_n) \setminus \{0\}) = \mathbb{Z}_m$ . Therefore the etale cover extends to  $\mathbb{C}^n / \mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and we have that  $W' : (g' = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n / \mathbb{Z}_s(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , with  $m = r \cdot s$ . By the first part of the proof  $Z' : (\tilde{g}' = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1} / \mathbb{Z}_s(a_1, \dots, a_n, 0)$ . Therefore  $Z : (\tilde{g} := \tilde{g}' \circ \pi^{-1} = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1} / \mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$ .  $\square$

## 4. Lifting Weighted Blow-Ups

This section is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 1.1; therefore  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  will be a local, projective, divisorial contraction which contracts an irreducible divisor  $E$  to  $P \in Z$ . We assume that  $X$  (as a projective variety over  $Z$ ) and  $Z$  (as affine variety) are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial; factoriality on  $Z$  depends only on the analytic type of the singularities, on  $X$  also on their relative position.

By assumption  $Y \subset X$  is a  $f$ -ample Cartier divisor such that  $f' = f|_Y : Y \rightarrow f(Y) = W$  is a  $\sigma' = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ -blow-up,  $\pi_{\sigma'} : Y \rightarrow W$ .

In particular  $W = (g = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-1} / \mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ , possibly with  $g \equiv 0$ . Proposition 3.4 implies that  $Z = (\tilde{g} = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n / \mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n)$ . Note that  $W = f(Y)$  is given as  $(x_n = 0) \subset Z$ .

We have also  $\text{Pic}(Y/W) = \langle L|_E \rangle$ , where  $L = -ME$ ,  $M = \text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ . By the relative Lefschetz theorem,  $\text{Pic}(X/Z) = \text{Pic}(Y/W) = \langle L \rangle$ ; note that we simply use the injectivity of the restriction map  $\text{Pic}(X/Z) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y/W)$ , true even in the singular case (see for instance p.305 [Kle66] or [SGA II]).



Since  $Y$  is Cartier and ample, there exists a positive integer  $a$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_X(Y) \sim_f aL$ . We claim that  $a_n = aM$ . To show this consider the  $\sigma := (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ -blow up of  $Z$ ,  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Z$ . Let  $\tilde{E}$  be the exceptional divisor. Note that  $Y$  sits in  $\tilde{X}$  as an ample divisor, therefore by Lefschetz theorem there exists a Cartier divisor  $\tilde{L}$  on  $\tilde{X}$  which extends  $L|_{E'}$ ,  $\tilde{L} = -M\tilde{E}$  and  $Y = -aM\tilde{E}$ . Since  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{Y}) : (x_n = 0)$ , by Lemma 2.3 we compute that  $a_n = \sigma\text{-wt}(x_n) = aM$ .

The map  $f$  is proper, so, as in Section 2, we can apply Grothendieck's language, section 8 of [EGA II], to say that

$$X = \text{Proj}_Z(\mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \bigoplus_{d>0} I_d),$$

where  $I_d := f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-d(ME)) = f_*\mathcal{O}_X(dL)$ .

Note that, since  $E$  is effective,  $I_d = f_*\mathcal{O}_X(dL) \subset \mathcal{O}_Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is an ideal for positive  $d$  and  $I_d = f_*\mathcal{O}_X(dL) = \mathcal{O}_Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for non positive  $d$ .

By Propositions 2.4 and 2.7,  $X$  will be the weighted blow-up if for positive  $d$

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_X(dL) = i^{-1}(x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} : \sum_{j=1}^n s_j a_j \geq db) \cdot \mathcal{O}_Z$$

where  $b = M$ ,  $s_i$  are non negative integers and  $i : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is the inclusion.

We now mimic the proof of Theorem 3.6 in [Mo75].

Consider the exact sequence

$$(4.0.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(iL - aL) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(iL) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(iL) \rightarrow 0,$$

for every integer  $i$ .

We have noticed in Section 2 that  $R^1 f'_* \mathcal{O}_Y(iL) = 0$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore, by 4.0.1, we obtain surjections  $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X((i - aj)L) \rightarrow R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X(iL)$ ,  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq 0$ . On the other hand  $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-jL) = 0$  for sufficiently large  $j$ . Hence we obtain

$$R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X(iL) = 0 \quad \text{for every integer } i.$$

All this implies the following exact sequences of  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -algebras,  $\mathcal{O}_Z = (\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(\tilde{g}))^{\mathbb{Z}_m}$ :

$$(4.0.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X((i - a)L) \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X(iL) \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(iL) \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, for  $i = a$ , we have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X(aL) \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(aL) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $\theta$  be the image of 1 by the map  $\mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X(aL)$ ; then 4.0.2 becomes

$$(4.0.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X((i - a)L) \xrightarrow{\times \theta} f_* \mathcal{O}_X(iL) \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(iL) \rightarrow 0;$$

$\times\theta$  is exactly  $\times(x_n)$ .

We will prove, by induction on  $d$ , that

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_X(dL) = (x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} : \sum_{j=1}^n s_j a_j \geq db) \cdot \mathcal{O}_Z.$$

By assumption we have that

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(dL) = (x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} : \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} s_j a_j \geq db) \cdot \mathcal{O}_W$$

where  $s_j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

By induction on  $d$ , we can assume that

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_X((d-a)L) = (x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} : \sum_{j=1}^n s_j a_j \geq (d-a)b) \cdot \mathcal{O}_Z,$$

the case  $d-a \leq 0$  being trivial.

Let  $g = x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n} \in f_*\mathcal{O}_X(dL)$  be a monomial.

If  $s_n \geq 1$  then, looking at the sequence 4.0.3,  $g$  comes from  $f_*\mathcal{O}_X((d-a)L)$  by the multiplication by  $(x_n)$ ; therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^n s_j a_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} s_j a_j + s_n a_n \geq (d-a)b + s_n a_n \geq db - ab + ab = db.$$

If  $s_n = 0$ , then  $g \in f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(dL)$  and so

$$\sum_{j=1}^n s_j a_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} s_j a_j \geq db.$$

The non-monomial case follows immediately.

## 5. Application to MMP with scaling

The proof of Theorem 1.3, as explained in the introduction, follows via a standard induction procedure using Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.1 in [AT16] and, for dimension 3, assuming 1.2. It is actually very similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2.A in [AT16], we rewrite it for the reader's convenience.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  be a local projective, divisorial contraction which contracts a prime divisor  $E$  to  $P \in Z$  as in the Theorem.

$\tau_f(X, L) := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} : K_X + tL \text{ is } f\text{-nef}\}$  is called the *nef-value* of the pair  $(f : X \rightarrow Z, L)$ . By the rationality theorem of Kawamata (Theorem 3.5 in

[KM98]),  $\tau_f(X, L) := \tau$  is a rational non-negative number. Moreover  $f$  is an adjoint contraction supported by  $K_X + \tau L$ , that is  $K_X + \tau L \sim_f \mathcal{O}_X$  ( $\sim_f$  stays for numerical equivalence over  $f$ ).

By our assumption  $\tau > (n - 3)$ . Therefore  $\tau + 3 > n > n - 1 = \dim E$  and, by Proposition 3.3.2 in [AT16], there exists a section of  $L$  not vanishing along  $E$ ; in particular  $|L|$  is not empty.

Let  $H_i \in |L|$  be general divisors for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 3$ . By Theorem 1.1 in [AT16], quoted in the introduction, for any  $i$ ,  $H_i$  is a variety with terminal singularities and the morphism  $f_i = f|_{H_i} : H_i \rightarrow f(H_i) =: Z_i$  is a local contraction supported by  $K_{H_i} + (\tau - 1)L|_{H_i}$ . Since  $Z$  is terminal and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial (see [KM98, Corollary 3.36] and [KM98, Corollary 3.43]), then the  $Z_i$ 's are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisors on  $Z$ .

For any  $t = n - 3, \dots, 0$  define  $Y_t = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-3-t} H_i$  and  $g_t = f|_{Y_t} : Y_t \rightarrow f(Y_t) = W_t$ ; in particular  $Y_{n-3} = X$ ,  $g_{n-3} = f$  and  $W_{n-3} = Z$ .

By induction on  $t$ , applying Theorem 1.1 in [AT16], one sees that, for any  $t = n - 4, \dots, 0$ ,  $Y_t$  is terminal and  $g_t : Y_t \rightarrow W_t$  is a local Fano Mori contraction supported by  $K_{Y_t} + (\tau - (n - 3 - t))L|_{Y_t}$ . Therefore  $W_t$  is a terminal variety (by [KM98, Corollary 3.43]) and it is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor in  $W_{t+1}$ , because intersection of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisors (by construction  $W_t = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-3-t} Z_i$ ).

Set  $L_t := L|_{W_t}$ . By Proposition 3.3.4 of [AT16]  $Bs|_{L_t}$  has dimension at most 1; by Bertini's theorem (see [Jou83, Thm. 6.3])  $E_t := Y_t \cap E$  is a prime divisor.  $E_t$  is the intersection of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisors and hence it is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier.

Let  $X'' = Y_0$  and  $f'' = g_0$ ; by what said above,  $f'' : X'' \rightarrow Z''$  is a divisorial contraction from a 3-fold  $X''$  with terminal singularities, which contracts a prime  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor  $E''$  to a point  $P \in Z''$ . Using the classification in dimension 3 of terminal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial singularities ([Mo82]) and of divisorial contractions (for a summary see [Ch15]), one can see that  $Z''$  has a hyperquotient singularity at  $P$ , which is actually contained in a special list.

By Proposition 3.4 and by induction on  $t$ , also  $Z$  has a hyperquotient singularity at  $P$ .

Assume now (1.2), that is that  $f''$  is a weighted blow-up of  $P$ ; applying Theorem 1.1 inductively on  $t$ , we have that  $f$  is a weighted blow-up of a hyperquotient singularities.

□

**Acknowledgments.** I like to thank Alessio Corti for suggesting to use Schlessinger theorem in the proof of Proposition 3.1 and for providing Example 3.3. I thank Roberto Pignatelli and Luca Tasin for very helpful conversations. I was supported by the MIUR grant PRIN-2010 and 2015.

## References

- [And13] M. Andreatta. Minimal model program with scaling and adjunction theory, *Internat. J. Math.*, volume 24 n.2 , 2013, 1350007.

- [AT14] M. Andreatta, L.Tasin. Fano-Mori contractions of high length on projective varieties with terminal singularities, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* vol. 46, 2014, 185–196.
- [AT16] M. Andreatta, L.Tasin. Local Fano-Mori contractions of high nef-value, *Math. Res. Lett.* vol. 23 n.5, 2016, 1247-1262.
- [BCHM10] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. Hacon, J. McKernan. Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* volume 23 n. 2, 2010, p. 405–468.
- [Ch15] J.A. Chen. Birational maps of 3-folds, *Taiwanese J. of Math.* 19 n.6, 2015, 1619–1642.
- [CLS11] D. A. Cox, David, J. B. Little, H.K. Schenck. *Toric varieties*, volume 16 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [SGA II] A. Grothendieck. Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et thormes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2). *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, Vol. 2, 1968.
- [EGA II] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes, *Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, No. 8, 1961.
- [Ha99] T. Hayakawa. Blowing ups of 3-dimensional terminal singularities, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 35, (1999), 515–570.
- [Ha00] T. Hayakawa. Blowing ups of 3-dimensional terminal singularities. II, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 36(3), (2000), 423-456.
- [Ha05] T. Hayakawa. Divisorial contractions to 3-dimensional terminal singularities with discrepancy one, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 57(3), (2005), 651-668.
- [Ha77] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*, volume 52 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [Jou83] J.-P. Jouanolou, Théorèmes de Bertini et applications, Vol. 42 of *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1983.
- [Ka96] Y. Kawamata. Divisorial contractions to 3-dimensional terminal quotient singularities, *Higher Dimensional Complex Varieties, Proceedings of Trento's conference 1994*, W. de Gruyter-Berlin, 1996, 241-246.
- [Kaw01] M. Kawakita. Divisorial contractions in dimension three which contract divisors to smooth points, *Invent. Math.* vol. 145 no. 1, 2001, 105-119.
- [Kaw02] M. Kawakita. Divisorial contractions in dimension three which contract divisors to compound A1 points, *Compo. Math.*,133, (2002), 95-116.
- [Kaw03] M. Kawakita. General elephants of three-fold divisorial contractions, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 16 no. 2, 2003, 331-362.
- [Kaw05] M. Kawakita. Three-fold divisorial contractions to singularities of higher indices, *Duke Math. J.* 130(1), 2005, 57-126.
- [Kaw12] M. Kawakita. Supplement to classification of three-fold divisorial contractions, *Nagoya Math. J.* 206, 2012, 67-73.
- [Kle66] S. Kleimann. Toward a numerical theory of ampleness, *Ann. of Math.* 84 (2), 1966, 293-344.
- [KM92] J. Kollár, S. Mori. Classification of three-dimensional flips, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 5 no. 3, 1992, 533-703.

- [KM98] J. Kollár, S. Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Mo75] S. Mori. On a generalization of complete intersections. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* vol. 15-3, 1975, 619-646.
- [Mo82] S. Mori. On 3-dimensional terminal singularities. *Nagoya Math. J.* vol. 98, 1985, 43-66.
- [Re87] M. Reid. Young person's guide to canonical singularities. Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 46, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, 345-414.
- [Sch71] M. Schlessinger. Rigidity of quotient singularities, *Invent. Math.* vol. 14, 1971, 17-26.

Received ??

MARCO ANDREATTA: Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Trento, I-38123 Povo (TN)

E-mail: [marco.andreatta@unitn.it](mailto:marco.andreatta@unitn.it)