# Detection of Buried Inhomogeneous Elliptic Cylinders by a Memetic Algorithm

Salvatore Caorsi, *Member, IEEE*, Andrea Massa, Matteo Pastorino, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Mirco Raffetto, and Andrea Randazzo

Abstract—The application of a global optimization procedure to the detection of buried inhomogeneities is studied in the present paper. The object inhomogeneities are schematized as multilayer infinite dielectric cylinders with elliptic cross sections. An efficient recursive analytical procedure is used for the forward scattering computation. A functional is constructed in which the field is expressed in series solution of Mathieu functions. Starting by the input scattered data, the iterative minimization of the functional is performed by a new optimization method called memetic algorithm.

*Index Terms*—Electromagnetic imaging, elliptic cylinders, evolutionary algorithms.

## I. INTRODUCTION

THE DETECTION of buried inhomogeneities is a challenging topic in several applications, including nondestructive evaluation and testing, civil engineering, medical imaging, and subsurface diagnostics [1]–[8]. Recent efforts have been concentrated on solving the inverse scattering problem, which is the basic formulation for the electromagnetic imaging at frequencies such that the linear dimensions of the inhomogeneities are of the same magnitude order of the wavelength.

The detection of tunnels, pipes, and other circular structures has been recently addressed in [9], [10] by using a schematic representation of these structures as infinite cylinders of cylindrical and elliptical cross sections and resorting to a numerical model in order to compute the forward scattering needed for the developed iterative procedure. In the present paper, we still consider the same elliptical geometry, but an efficient analytical solution is used for the forward scattering computation. Moreover, in order to take into account possible layered inhomogeneous structures, we let the elliptic cylinder to be multilayer and the retrieval of the dimensions and dielectric properties of the various layers is one of the aims of the proposed inverse procedure.

The other novelty of the paper concerns the applied optimization procedure. The inverse scattering problem is a highly nonlinear ill-posed problem [11]. Two approaches are usually followed to face this problem. The first one concerns the use of

Manuscript received February 27, 2002; revised July 31, 2002.

S. Caorsi is with the Department of Electronics, University of Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy (e-mail: caorsi@ele.unipv.it).

A. Massa is with the Department of Information and Communication Technology, University of Trento, I-38050 Trento, Italy (e-mail: andrea.massa@ing.unitn.it).

M. Pastorino, M. Raffettto, and A. Randazzo are with the Department of Biophysical and Electronic Engineering, University of Genoa, 16145 Genova, Italy (e-mail: pastorino@dibe.unige.it).

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TAP.2003.817984

linearized procedures [12], which can allow for a quasireal-time qualitative imaging, whereas the use of iterative procedures (computationally more heavy) allows the inspection of highly contrasted inhomogeneities. Among the iterative procedures, stochastic optimization algorithms are potentially able to obtain the global minimum of a given functional resulting from the formulation of the inverse scattering problem. The global solution coincides with the "true" solution, whose retrieval is of main importance in several areas (e.g., medical imaging).

Recently, evolutionary algorithms (in particular, the genetic algorithm [13]-[16]) have been widely proposed for solving optimization problems in inverse scattering [17]-[20]. Several different implementations of the genetic algorithm have been used, concerning both the coding of the unknown and the genetic operators. Actually, one of the main features of the genetic algorithm is the possibility of using specific implementations application-oriented. However, in the present paper we explore the use of a new version of an evolutionary algorithm, called memetic algorithm [21], which seems to be very suitable for the proposed application. In fact, the memetic algorithm, during the iterative evolution, considers only local minima of the cost function, so that a great speed-up is introduced in the search process. Moreover, since the algorithm population is composed by only local optima, the number of individuals involved in the evolution can be chosen very little, even equal to the number of unknowns.

In the following, the mathematical formulation of the approach is presented, together with some numerical examples, which provide a preliminary assessment of the capabilities of the approach.

## **II. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION**

The assumed problem geometry is shown in Fig. 1. A layered infinite cylinder, composed by L confocal elliptical layers, is positioned in a cross-borehole configuration [9]. The cylinder axis coincides with the z axis. A set of S electromagnetic sources, positioned at points (in the transverse plane)  $\mathbf{x}_s$ ,  $s = 1, \ldots, S$ , illuminates the objects. Each source generates an incident field,  $\mathbf{E}_i^{\text{inc}}(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, S$ . The total electric field,  $\mathbf{E}_i^{\text{iot}}(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, S$ . The total electric field,  $\mathbf{E}_i^{\text{iot}}(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, S$ , is measured by M sensors along a probing line in the transverse plane. Each layer of the cylinder is characterized by the dielectric permittivity  $\varepsilon_i$  and the semi-major axis  $a_i$ . The half focal distance is indicated by d. Under the hypotheses of deep inclusions [9], the line-source field-scattered data can be computed in terms of Mathieu functions, which are the eigenfunctions of the elliptic cylinder. In particular, the z-component of the electric field in the external medium satisfies the following



Fig. 1. Geometrical configuration.

Helmholtz equation, written in a standard elliptic coordinate system (u, v, z) [22]:

$$\frac{1}{d^2 \left(\cosh^2\left(u\right) - \cos^2\left(v\right)\right)} \left(\frac{\partial^2 E_{\text{scatt}}}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2 E_{\text{scatt}}}{\partial v^2}\right) + \frac{\partial^2 E_{\text{scatt}}}{\partial z^2} + K_i E_{\text{scatt}} = 0. \quad (1)$$

The solution of this equation can be expressed as [22]:

$$E_{\text{scatt}}(u, v) = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} e_m^{L+1} M c_m^{(4)}(q_{L+1}, u) c e_m(q_{L+1}, v) + \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} o_m^{L+1} M s_m^{(4)}(q_{L+1}, u) s e_m(q_{L+1}, v)$$
(2)

where  $q_{L+1}$  is given, for i = L + 1, by

$$q_i = \left(k_i d/2\right)^2 \tag{3}$$

(being  $k_i$  the wavenumber of the ith layer) and  $Mc_m^{(4)}$ ,  $Ms_m^{(4)}$ ,  $ce_m$  and  $se_m$  are the fourth-order radial and angular Mathieu functions, respectively. Moreover, in (2),  $e_m^{L+1}$  and  $o_m^{L+1}$  are unknown coefficients to be determined. Analogously, in the

generic ith layer, the total electric field satisfies a Helmholtz equation similar to (1), whose solution is given by

$$E_{z}^{i} = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \left[ e_{m,1}^{i} M c_{m}^{(1)}(q_{i}, u) + e_{m,2}^{i} M c_{m}^{(2)}(q_{i}, u) \right] c e_{m}(q_{i}, v) + \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \left[ o_{m,1}^{i} M s_{m}^{(1)}(q_{i}, u) + o_{m,2}^{i} M s_{m}^{(2)}(q_{i}, u) \right] s e_{m}(q_{i}, v)$$
(4)

where  $Mc_m^{(1)}$ ,  $Ms_m^{(2)}$ ,  $ce_m$  and  $se_m$  are odd and even radial Mathieu functions and  $e_{m,1}^i$ ,  $e_{m,2}^i$ ,  $o_{m,1}^i$  and  $o_{m,2}^i$  denote the unknown coefficients.

Finally, the known incident field can be expressed as shown in (5) at the bottom of the page, where  $u_s$  and  $v_s$  are the elliptic coordinates of the source. The corresponding magnetic field can be derived by the Maxwell equation in a straightforward way.

The unknown coefficients can be determined by truncating the above series (the Mathieu functions in different layers are not linearly independent sets) and applying the boundary conditions on the tangential components of  $\mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{tot}}(\mathbf{r})$  and  $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{tot}}(\mathbf{r})$  at all the dielectric boundaries

$$\begin{cases} E_{z}^{i}(u_{i}, v) = E_{z}^{i+1}(u_{i}, v) & i = 1, \dots, L-1 \\ E_{z}^{L}(u_{L}, v) = E_{z}^{inc}(u_{L}, v) + E_{z}^{scatt}(u_{L}, v) \\ \begin{cases} H_{v}^{i}(u_{i}, v) = H_{v}^{i+1}(u_{i}, v) & i = 1, \dots, L-1 \\ H_{v}^{L}(u_{L}, v) = H_{v}^{inc}(u_{L}, v) + H_{v}^{scatt}(u_{L}, v) \end{cases} \end{cases}$$
(6)

where  $u = u_i$  is the boundary between the *i*th and the (i + 1)th layers.

However, an efficient and fast recursive procedure for the computation of the above coefficients (starting from the external and the innermost layers) has been proposed in [23] and is used in the present work for solving the forward problem.

Furthermore, the inverse scattering problem is recast as an optimization problem by defining the following cost function:

$$J(\xi_k) = \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{S} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left| E_{\text{scatt}}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_s, \omega_q, \xi_k) - E_{\text{scatt}}^{\text{meas}}(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_s, \omega_q) \right|^2}{\sum_{s=1}^{S} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left| E_{\text{scatt}}^{\text{meas}}(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_s, \omega_q) \right|^2}$$
(7)

$$E_{z}^{\text{inc}}(u,v) = \begin{cases} 2\pi \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{Mc_{m}^{(4)}(q_{N+1},u_{s}) Mc_{m}^{(1)}(q_{N+1},u) ce_{m}(q_{N+1},v_{s}) ce_{m}(q_{N+1},v)}{\int_{0}^{2\pi} [ce_{m}(q_{N+1},v)]^{2} dv} \\ + \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{Ms_{m}^{(4)}(q_{N+1},u_{s}) Ms_{m}^{(1)}(q_{N+1},u) se_{m}(q_{N+1},v_{s}) se_{m}(q_{N+1},v)}{\int_{0}^{2\pi} [se_{m}(q_{N+1},v)]^{2} dv} \\ 2\pi \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{Mc_{m}^{(4)}(q_{N+1},u) Mc_{m}^{(1)}(q_{N+1},u_{s}) ce_{m}(q_{N+1},v_{s}) ce_{m}(q_{N+1},v)}{\int_{0}^{2\pi} [ce_{m}(q_{N+1},v)]^{2} dv} \\ + \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{Ms_{m}^{(4)}(q_{N+1},u) Ms_{m}^{(1)}(q_{N+1},u_{s}) se_{m}(q_{N+1},v_{s}) se_{m}(q_{N+1},v)}{\int_{0}^{2\pi} [se_{m}(q_{N+1},v)]^{2} dv} \\ u > u_{s} \end{cases}$$
(5)

Authorized licensed use limited to: UNIVERSITA TRENTO. Downloaded on November 13,2023 at 15:45:21 UTC from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply.

Random creation of the initial N individuals

where k indicates the iteration of the minimization algorithm and  $\xi_k$  is an array that contains the problem unknowns, which is given by

$$\xi_k = (x_0, y_0, a_1, \dots, a_L, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_L, d).$$
(8)

In order to minimize  $J(\xi_k)$  and find (possibly) the global minimum, a so-called memetic algorithm is exploited.

It should be noticed that the above functional can be easily extended to multifrequency imaging.

### **III. MEMETIC ALGORITHMS**

Memetic algorithms are optimization methods that belong to the family of evolutionary methods. In particular, they can be thought as hybrid genetic algorithms. The basic idea of the memetic algorithm is to emulate the idea transmission process. To this end, the new approach is based on the concept of meme [21]. A meme is a unit of information that can be transmitted when people exchange ideas. Memetic algorithms are population-based algorithms, in which every "idea" is an individual. Since people process any idea to obtain a personal optimum before propagating it, each individual is a point of local minima of the cost function.

The evolution of the population is modeled by using the same operators of the genetic algorithm, i.e., selection, crossover and mutation. Since these operators are not generally able to produce local optima, an optimization procedure is needed.

The general schema of a memetic algorithm is shown in Fig. 2. Let us consider a generic individual denoted by  $x_k$ 

$$x_k = \left(x_k^1, \dots, x_k^m\right) \tag{9}$$

where  $x_k^i$  belongs to  $\Re$  (real number set). The population of the algorithm is composed by N individuals:

$$P_i = \{x_k \colon k = 1, \dots, N\}$$
(10)

where the subscript "i" indicates the generation's number. An initial population  $P_0$  is generated by randomly choosing N arrays in the search domain. Generally, these arrays are not points corresponding to local minima of the cost function; consequently, a local optimization procedure is applied to every vector  $x_k$  in order to obtain a point of minimum  $x_k^*$ . Such a procedure is denoted by  $O(x_k)$  and is defined as

$$O: \Re^m \ni x_k \to x_k^* \in \Re^m \tag{11}$$

where  $\Re^m$  is the search space. The new individuals, called  $x_k^*$ , k = 1, ..., N, generated by the optimization process, represent the new initial population  $P_0^*$  of the algorithm. The algorithm core is composed by three operations, i.e., selection, reproduction and mutation, which are applied sequentially.

The selection mechanism is applied to the population to select the individuals to mate. The used selection is the tournament selection [13], where the individuals are selected by randomly choosing (with uniform probability density) a subset of the current population. The reproduction mechanism adopted in this work is the proportional selection. Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be two selected individuals. Reproduction generates a new individual  $x_3$ such that

 $x_3 = R(x_1, x_2) = ax_1 + (1 - a)x_2$ 

Fig. 2. Memetic algorithm flow chart.

where a is a random variable uniformly chosen in the interval (0,1). The mutation operator is the standard uniform mutation [13]. Let  $x_1$  be the individual selected to mutate; the new individual  $x_2$  is generated according to the following rule:

End

$$x_2 = M(x_1) = x_1 + \nu \tag{13}$$

where  $\nu$  is an uniform random array chosen in the range of variation of the variables. The reproduction and mutation operators are executed with probabilities  $p_r$  and  $p_m$ , respectively. The applied recombination operators generate  $N_r$  and  $N_m$  new individuals, respectively, according to the reproduction and

2880

Authorized licensed use limited to: UNIVERSITA TRENTO. Downloaded on November 13,2023 at 15:45:21 UTC from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply.

(12)

3.5

3 2.5 Fitness function, J 2 1.5 1 0.5 0 -1.2 -0.8 -0.6 -1 -0.4 -0.2 0 -1.4  $y/\lambda_b$ 

Fig. 3. Fitness function versus y coordinate of the cross-section center.

mutation probability. At the *i*th iteration, a new population, called  $P'_i$ , is generated by joining the old population  $P_i$  (that contains N individuals) and the  $N_r + N_m$  new individuals. Since  $P'_i$  contains more vectors than the N needed, it is necessary to reduce the population dimension. The individuals to propagate are chosen according to the following rule. The reproduced and mutated elements are always propagated. Moreover, if  $N_r + N_m$  is less than the population size N, the best individual and some randomly chosen arrays of the old generation are propagated.

The obtained population, called  $P_{i+1}$ , is composed by arrays that are not local minima and the local search procedure  $O(x_i)$ is applied again. As a result, the new population of the memetic algorithm  $P_{i+1}^*$  is generated. Since the population is composed by only local minima, the individuals evolve over the search domain by "jumping" from a minimum to another one and a great speed up in the optimization procedure is obtained. Moreover, since the population is only composed by local minima, a reduced number of elements can be considered (e.g., equal to the number of unknown), with a significant computational saving.

#### **IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS**

Some preliminary results are reported in this section. With reference to the problem geometry shown in Fig. 1, we consider a three-layer cylinder. The semi major axes of the ellipses are  $0.3\lambda_{\rm b}$ ,  $0.39\lambda_{\rm b}$ , and  $0.48\lambda_{\rm b}$ , being  $\lambda_{\rm b}$  the wavelength of the incident radiation in the background medium, which is characterized by  $\varepsilon_{\rm b} = 12.0\varepsilon_0$  and  $\mu_{\rm b} = \mu_0$ . The semi-focal distance is equal to  $0.024\lambda_{\rm b}$  and the cross-section center is at point ( $0.3\lambda_{\rm b}$ ,  $-0.7\lambda_{\rm b}$ ).

The cylinder is illuminated by a single line source located, in the transversal plane at  $\mathbf{x}_s = (0, -0.7\lambda_b)$ . The total electric field is measured by a set of 21 sensors, uniformly distributed along a probing line in the borehole located at  $x = 0.6\lambda_b$ . The distance between two sensors is  $0.06\lambda_b$ .

First of all, a study of the cost function has been performed in order to evaluate the degree of nonlinearity. Results of this study are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. In particular, Fig. 3 shows the behavior of the cost function when only the y coordinate of the cross-section center is changed, while Fig. 4 shows the variations with respect to the permittivity value of the internal



Fig. 4. Fitness function versus the dielectric permittivity of the first layer (normalized to the background permittivity).

layer. As can be seen from these figures, the cost function exhibits some minima, both along the space direction related to the y coordinate of the cross-section center and along the one related to the dielectric permittivity. This behavior can justify the use of the proposed algorithm, which explores the search space only by jumping into the minima.

As a first test, the reconstruction of the dielectric cylinder is considered. The original relative dielectric permittivities of the three layers are equal to 12.0, 48.0, and 30.0, respectively. The crossover and mutation probabilities are  $p_r = 0.9$  and  $p_m = 0.3$  and the dimension of the population is chosen equal to the number of unknowns, whereas the maximum numbers of reproduced and mutated individuals are calculated according to the following rule:

$$N_r^{\max} = \lfloor N \cdot p_r \rfloor$$
  

$$N_m^{\max} = \lfloor (N - N_r) \cdot p_m + 1 \rfloor$$
(14)

where |x| indicates the biggest integer smaller than x.

The results are shown in Figs. 5-7 and demonstrate that the algorithm reaches the correct solution after only 15 iterations. In particular, Fig. 5 gives the reconstructed values of the dielectric permittivities of the various layers and Fig. 6 shows the behavior of the fitness function versus the iteration number. As can be seen, the fitness function assumes only a limited set of values, corresponding to local minima. The proposed algorithm does not use the elitism [13]: this causes the presence of oscillations in the cost function, since the same minimum is repeatedly encountered. Finally, Fig. 7 shows the reconstructed profile of the cylinder at some significant iterations. The above simulation has been performed starting from noiseless analytical data. The same computation has been repeated after corrupting the input data by adding random sequences corresponding to Gaussian noise with zero mean values and variances related to the fixed signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs). In the case in which SNR = 20 dB, a rather accurate reconstruction has been obtained after 20 iterations. In particular, the dielectric properties have been retrieved with minimum, mean, and maximum square errors equal to 0.0025, 0.092, and 0.24. The quality of the data inversion becomes worse when the SNR is reduced to 10 dB.



Fig. 5. Values of the normalized dielectric permittivities in the three layers of the elliptic cylinder versus the iteration number.



Fig. 6. Fitness function versus the iteration number.

In this case, the same reconstruction errors are equal to 0.001, 0.12, and 0.36.

In another test, only the center of the cylinder is changed. The values of the relative dielectric permittivities used for this test are 24.0, 30.0, and 36.0, respectively. The other parameters are left unchanged. The obtained results are shown in Figs. 8 and 9. In particular, Fig. 8 shows the behavior of the retrieved crosssection center (x and y coordinates) versus the iteration number. Moreover, Fig. 9 shows the cost function versus the iteration number. As can be seen from these figures, the convergence is reached in only three iterations, and after one iteration the candidate solution is already very close to the correct solution, even if the initial guess is very far from it.

Although the number of unknowns is small, a deterministic algorithm is not able to reach the exact solution as can be deduced from the results reported in Figs. 10 and 11, which have been obtained by using a conjugate gradient (CG) procedure for comparison purposes. In particular, Fig. 10 gives the plots of the functional J [(7)], calculated as follows:

$$J(t) = J\left(\xi_k + t\left(\xi_f - \xi_k\right)\right) \qquad -0.5 \le t \le 1.5$$
  
$$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{15}$$



Fig. 7. Reconstructed normalized dielectric permittivities ( $\varepsilon/\varepsilon_{\rm b}$ ) in the three layers of the elliptic cylinder ( $y = -0.7\lambda_{\rm b}$ ).



Fig. 8. Localization of the cross-section center (x and y coordinates) versus the iteration number.



Fig. 9. Fitness function versus the iteration number.

where  $\xi_k$  denotes the current solution of the CG procedure and the starting point  $\xi_0$  is assumed to be equal to the best starting point of the memetic algorithm;  $\xi_f$  indicates the point where the global minimum is located. It is evident that the deterministic procedure falls in a local minimum after only a few iter-

Authorized licensed use limited to: UNIVERSITA TRENTO. Downloaded on November 13,2023 at 15:45:21 UTC from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply.



Fig. 10. Behavior of the fitness function [(15)] plotted along a straight line connecting the current solution (at the  $k_{CG}$  th iteration) and the exact solution, for different values of the iteration number of the conjugate gradient procedure.



Fig. 11. Behavior of the fitness function [(15)] plotted along a straight line connecting the current solution (at the  $k_{\rm MA}$  th iteration) and the exact solution, for different values of the iteration number of the memetic algorithm.

ations. On the contrary, the MA-based inversion procedure is able to reach the exact solution as shown in Fig. 11, where the same quantity is provided for different iteration values of the stochastic algorithm.

## V. CONCLUSION

A new optimization method has been applied to the detection of multilayer infinite cylinders describing buried inhomogeneities. The optimization process is performed by a memetic algorithm, in which a series of local searches precede the global search performed by a genetic algorithm. At any iteration, the population is constituted by local minima. The approach has been found to be effective in dealing with the functional derived from the line-source inverse scattering problem for multilayer elliptic cylinders (which exhibits a number of local minima in all the directions of the search space). The obtained results, although preliminary, are rather interesting and indicate that a limited number of iterations is sufficient to obtain a correct localization of the multilayer elliptic cylinder, for which the forward problem, at the various iterations, has been analytically solved by an efficient recursive procedure.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank Dr. J. Wettergreen for providing the subroutines for the computation of the Mathieu functions.

## REFERENCES

- C. Dourthe, C. Pichot, J. Y. Dauvignac, and J. Cariou, "Inversion algorithm and measurement system for microwave tomography of buried object," *Radio Sci.*, vol. 35, pp. 1097–1108, Sept.–Oct. 2000.
   Z. Q. Zhang and Q. H. Liu, "Two nonlinear inverse methods for elec-
- [2] Z. Q. Zhang and Q. H. Liu, "Two nonlinear inverse methods for electromagnetic induction measurements," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing*, vol. 39, pp. 1331–1339, June 2001.
- [3] I. T. Rekanos and T. D. Tsiboukis, "A finite element-based technique for microwave imaging of two-dimensional objects," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 49, pp. 234–239, Apr. 2000.
- [4] S. J. Lockwood and H. Lee, "Pulse-echo microwave imaging for NDE of civil structures: Image reconstruction, enhancement, and object recognition," *Int. J. Imaging Systems Technol.*, vol. 8, pp. 407–412, 1997.
- [5] L. Chommeloux, Ch. Pichot, and J.-C. Bolomey, "Electromagnetic modeling for microwave imaging of cylindrical buried inhomogeneities," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 34, pp. 1064–1076, Oct. 1986.
- [6] S. Caorsi, G. L. Gragnani, and M. Pastorino, "Numerical electromagnetic inverse-scattering solutions for two-dimensional infinite dielectric cylinders buried in a lossy half-space," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 41, pp. 352–356, Feb. 1993.
- [7] S. K. Moore, "Better breast cancer detection," *IEEE Spectrum*, pp. 50–55, May 2001.
- [8] D. Hughes and R. Zoughi, "A method for evaluating the dielectric properties of composites using a combined embedded modulated scattering and near-field microwave nondestructive testing technique," in *Proc. 18th IEEE Instrumentation Measurement Technology Conf.*, Budapest, Hungary, 2001, pp. 1882–1886.
- [9] K. A. Michalski, "Electromagnetic imaging of elliptical-cylindrical conductors and tunnels using a differential evolution algorithm," *Microwave Opt. Technol. Lett.*, vol. 28, pp. 164–167, 2001.
- [10] —, "A neural-network approach to the electromagnetic imaging of elliptic conducting cylinders," *Microwave Opt. Technol. Lett.*, vol. 28, pp. 303–306, 2001.
- [11] W. C. Chew and Y. M. Wang, "Reconstruction of two-dimensional permittivity dinstribution using the distorted Born iterative method," *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging*, vol. 9, pp. 218–225, 1990.
- [12] M. Slaney, A. C. Kak, and L. E. Larsen, "Limitation of imaging with first-order diffraction tomography," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 32, pp. 860–873, 1984.
- [13] D. Golberg, "Genetic and evolutionary algorithms come of age," Comm. of the ACM, vol. 37, pp. 113–119, 1994.
- [14] R. L. Haupt, "An introduction to genetic algorithms for electromagnetics," *IEEE Antennas Propagat. Mag.*, vol. 37, pp. 7–15, Feb. 1995.
- [15] D. S. Weile and E. Michielssen, "Genetic algorithm optimization applied to electromagnetics: a review," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat*, vol. 45, pp. 343–353, Mar. 1997.
- [16] J. M. Johnson and Y. Rahmat-Samii, "Genetic algorithms in engineering electromagnetics," *IEEE Antennas Propagat. Mag.*, vol. 39, pp. 7–25, Apr. 1997.
- [17] Z. P. Qian and W. Hong, "Image reconstruction of conducting cylinder based on FD-MEI and genetic algorithm," in *Proc. IEEE APS Int. Symp.*, vol. 2, 1998, pp. 718–721.
- [18] C. C. Chiu and P. T. Liu, "Image reconstruction of a perfectly conducting cylinder by the genetic algorithm," *Proc. Inst. Elect. Eng. Microwave Antennas Propagation*, vol. 143, p., 1996.
- [19] S. Caorsi, A. Massa, and M. Pastorino, "A microwave imaging procedure for NDT identification of a crack based on a genetic algorithm for nondestructive testing," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 49, no. 12, p., Dec. 2001.
- [20] S. Kent and T. Gunel, "Dielectric permittivity estimation of cylindrical objects using genetic algorithm," *J. Microwave Power and Electromagn. Energy*, vol. 32, pp. 109–113, 1997.
- [21] P. Moscato, "On evolution, search, optimization, genetic algorithms and martial arts toward memetic algorithms," California Inst. Technol., Pasadena, CA, Tech. Rep. Caltech Concurrent Computation Program, Rep. 826, 1989.
- [22] J. J. Bowman, T. B. A. Senior, and P. L. E. Uslenghi, *Electromagnetic and Acoustic Scattering by Simple Shapes*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: North-Holland, 1969.
- [23] S. Caorsi, M. Pastorino, and M. Raffetto, "Electromagnetic scattering by a multilayer elliptic cylinder: Series solution in terms of Mathieu functions," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 45, pp. 926–935, June 1997.

**Salvatore Caorsi** (M'99) received the *laurea* degree in electronic engineering from the University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy, in 1973.

Since 1994, he has been a Full Professor of Electromagnetic Compatibility at the Department of Electronics, University of Pavia, Italy. He is also teaching the course of Antennas at the University of Genoa. His primary activities focus in applications of electromagnetic field to telecommunications, artificial vision and remote sensing, biology and medicine. In particular, he is working on research project concerning human hazard to electromagnetic exposure, numerical methods for solving electromagnetic problems, wave interaction in the presence of non linear media, inverse scattering and microwave imaging, and electromagnetic compatibility.

Prof. Caorsi is the Past President and Founding Member of the Inter-University Research Center for the Interactions Between Eletromagnetic Fields and Biological Systems (ICEmB). He is a member of the Elettrotecnica ed Elettronica Italiana (AEI), the European Bioelectromagnetic Association (EBEA), and the European Society for Hyperthermic Oncology (ESHO).

Andrea Massa received the "laurea" degree in electronic engineering and the Ph.D. degree in electronics and computer science from the University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy, in 1992 and 1996, respectively.

From 1997 to 1999, he was an Assistant Professor of electromagnetic fields at the Department of Biophysical and Electronic Engineering, University of Genoa. Currently, he is an Associate Professor at the University of Trento where he teaches the courses of "Electromagnetic Fields" and "Electromagnetic Diagnostic Techniques." His research work since 1992 has been principally on electromagnetic direct and inverse scattering, optimization techniques for microwave imaging, wave propagation in presence of nonlinear media, applications of electromagnetic fields to telecommunications, medicine and biology.

Dr. Massa is a Member of the Inter-University Research Center for Interactions Between Electromagnetic Fields and Biological Systems (ICEmB). **Matteo Pastorino** (M'90–SM'96) received the *laurea* degree in electronic engineering and the Ph.D. degree in electronics and computer science from the University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy, in 1987 and 1992, respectively.

Presently, he is an Associate Professor of electromagnetic fields, Head of the Applied Electromagnetics Group, and Vice Director of the Department of Biophysical and Electronic Engineering, University of Genoa, Italy. He teaches the university courses of "Electromagnetic Fields" and "Antennas and Remote Sensing." His main research interests are in the field of electromagnetic direct and inverse scattering, microwave imaging, wave propagation in presence of nonlinear media, and in analytical and numerical methods in electromagnetism.

Prof. Pastorino is a Member of the IEEE Instrumentation and Measurement Technical Committee on Imaging Systems. He is also a member of the Associazione Elettrotecnica ed Elettronica Italiana (AEI) and of the European Bioelectromagnetic Association (EBEA).

**Mirco Raffetto** received the *laurea* degree (*summa cum laude*) in electronic engineering and the Ph.D. degree in models, methods, and tools for electronic and electromagnetic systems from the University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy, in 1990 and 1997, respectively.

Currently, he is an Associate Professor in the Department of Biophysical and Electronic Engineering, University of Genoa. His main interests are in the fields of electromagnetic scattering, theoretical electromagnetics, and numerical methods in electromagnetics.

Andrea Randazzo received the *laurea* degree in electronic engineering (*summa cum laude*) from the University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy, in 2001, where he is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree in electronics and computer science.

His main research interests are in the field of electromagnetic direct and inverse scattering, microwave imaging, global optimization problems.