

## LINEARLY DEPENDENT AND CONCISE SUBSETS OF A SEGRE VARIETY DEPENDING ON $k$ FACTORS

EDOARDO BALLICO

ABSTRACT. We study linearly dependent subsets with prescribed cardinality  $s$  of a multiprojective space. If the set  $S$  is a circuit, there is an upper bound on the number of factors of the minimal multiprojective space containing  $S$ . B. Lovitz gave a sharp upper bound for this number. If  $S$  has higher dependency, this may be not true without strong assumptions (and we give examples and suitable assumptions). We describe the dependent subsets  $S$  with  $\#S = 6$ .

### 1. Introduction

Take  $k$  non-zero finite dimensional vector spaces  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  and consider  $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k$ . An element  $u \in V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k$  is called a  $k$ -tensor with format  $(\dim V_1, \dots, \dim V_k)$  ([9, p. 33]). Two non-zero proportional tensors share many properties. Thus often the right object to study is the projectivization  $\mathbb{P}^r$  of  $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k$ , where  $r := -1 + \dim V_1 \times \dots \times \dim V_k$ . Set  $n_i := \dim V_i - 1$  and consider the multiprojective space  $Y := \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k}$ . Let  $\nu : Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$  denote the Segre embedding. Many properties of a non-zero tensor  $u$  (e.g., the tensor rank and the tensor border rank) may be describe in how its equivalence class  $[u] \in \mathbb{P}^r$  sits with respect to the Segre variety  $\nu(Y)$  (see [9, Def. 4.3.5.1] for the definition of Segre variety). For instance, the tensor rank  $r_Y([u])$  (as defined in [9, Def. 2.4.1.2]) of  $u$  is the minimal cardinality of a finite set  $S \subset Y$  such that  $\nu(S)$  spans  $[u]$ . We call  $\mathcal{S}(Y, [u])$  the set of all  $S \subset Y$  with minimal cardinality such that  $\nu(S)$  spans  $[u]$ . Using subsets of  $Y$  instead of ordered sets of points and  $\mathbb{P}^r$  instead of  $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k$  we take care of the obvious non-uniqueness in a finite decomposition  $u = \sum_i v_{i1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{ik}$ ,  $v_{ij} \in V_j$ , of a tensor.

Fix an equivalence class  $q = [u] \in \mathbb{P}^r$  of non-zero tensors. Let  $\pi_i : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , denote the projection of  $Y$  onto its  $i$ -th factor. The *width*  $w(q)$  of  $q$  is the minimal number of non-trivial factors of the minimal multiprojective subspace  $Y' \subseteq Y$  such that  $q \in \langle \nu(Y') \rangle$ , where  $\langle \ \rangle$  denote the linear span. For

---

Received March 16, 2020; Revised September 4, 2020; Accepted November 18, 2020.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14N07, 14N05, 12E99, 12F99.

*Key words and phrases*. Segre varieties, tensor rank, tensor decomposition.

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

any finite set  $A \subset Y$  the *width*  $w(A)$  of  $A$  is the number of integers  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $\#\pi_i(A) > 1$ , where  $\#E$  denotes the cardinality of the finite set  $E$ . By concision we have  $w(q) = w(A)$  if  $A \in \mathcal{S}(Y, q)$  ([9, Proposition 3.1.3.1]).

The non-uniqueness of tensor decompositions, i.e., the fact that  $\mathcal{S}(Y, [u])$  may have more than one element, may be rephrased as the linear dependency of certain subsets of  $Y$  ([5]). For any finite set  $S \subset Y$  set  $e(S) := h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(1, \dots, 1))$ . By the definition of Segre embedding and the Grassmann's formula we have  $e(S) = \#S - 1 - \dim\langle\nu(S)\rangle$ . We say that a non-empty finite set  $S \subset Y$  (or that the finite set  $\nu(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ ) is *equally dependent* if  $\dim\langle\nu(S)\rangle \leq \#S - 2$  and  $\langle\nu(S')\rangle = \langle\nu(S)\rangle$  for all  $S' \subset S$  such that  $\#S' = \#S - 1$ . Note that  $S$  is equally dependent if and only if  $e(S) > 0$  and  $e(S') < e(S)$  for all  $S' \subset S, S' \neq S$ , i.e., if and only if  $S \neq \emptyset$  and  $e(S') < e(S)$  for all  $S' \subset S, S' \neq S$ . We say that  $S$  is *uniformly dependent* if  $e(S') = \max\{0, e(S) - \#S + \#S'\}$  for all  $S' \subset S$ . A uniformly dependent subset is equally dependent, but when  $e(S) \geq 2$  the two notions are different (the key Examples 3.1 and 3.2 are equally dependent, but not uniformly dependent). When  $e(S) = 1$  equal and uniform dependence coincide. An equally dependent subset with  $e(S) = 1$  is often called a *circuit*. Fix an integer  $e > 0$ . Let  $S$  be a finite subset of a multiprojective space. We say that  $S$  is an *e-circuit* if  $e(S) = e$  and there is a subset  $S' \subseteq S$  such that  $S'$  is a circuit and  $\#S - \#S' = e - 1$ . A uniformly dependent set  $S$  is an  $e(S)$ -circuit, but the converse does not hold (Example 3.4).

The following result is an immediate corollary of [10, Corollary 14].

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $S \subset Y$  be an e-circuit. Then  $w(S) \leq \#S - e - 1$ .*

We give examples for any integer  $s \geq 6$  of an equally dependent set  $S$  with  $e(S) > 1$ ,  $\#S = s$  and  $w(S)$  arbitrarily large (Example 3.3). This example shows there is no upper bound for  $w(S)$  in term of  $\#S$  for all equally dependent sets if  $e(S) > 1$ .

The main result of this paper is the classification of all equally dependent subsets  $S$  of a Segre variety with  $\#S = 6$  and  $w(S) > 4$ . We prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $Y = \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k}, n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k > 0$  be a multiprojective space and  $S \subset Y$  a concise and equally dependent set with  $\#S = 6$ . Then either  $e(S) \geq 2$  and  $(Y, S)$  is in one of Examples 3.1 and 3.2 or  $w(Y) \leq 4$  and  $Y = (\mathbb{P}^1)^4$  if  $w(Y) = 4$ .*

The families in Examples 3.1, 3.2 have arbitrarily large width. The case  $Y = (\mathbb{P}^1)^4$  and  $e(S) = 1$  occurs ([5, Case 3 of Theorem 7.1]). In several cases we could give a more precise description of the pairs  $(Y, S)$ , but using too much ink.

For any  $q \in \mathbb{P}^r$  and any finite set  $S \subset Y$  we say that  $S$  *irredundantly spans*  $q$  if  $q \in \langle\nu(S)\rangle$  and  $q \notin \langle\nu(S')\rangle$  for any  $S' \subset S, S' \neq S$ . As a byproduct of a small part of the proof of Theorem 1.2 we also classify the set of all rank 2

tensors which may be irredundantly spanned by a set of 3 points (Proposition 4.3).

We work over a field  $K$ , since for the examples we only use that  $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$  has at least 3 points. For the proofs which require cohomology of coherent algebraic sheaves (like in the quotations of [2, Lemma 5.1] or [4, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5] or [5]) it is sufficient to work over the algebraic closure  $\overline{K}$  of  $K$ , because dimensions of cohomology of algebraic sheaves on projective varieties (and in particular the definition of  $e(S)$ ) are invariants under the extension  $K \hookrightarrow \overline{K}$  ([6, Proposition III.9.3]). We use Landsberg's book [9] for essential properties on Segre varieties related to tensors (e.g., the notion of concision), in particular concision is [9, Proposition 3.1.3.1] and [9, Ch. 5] contains many results and references on the secant varieties of the Segre varieties. This book contains many applications of tensors ([9, Ch. 11, 12, 13, 14]) and additive tensor decompositions are just a way to state linear combinations of elements of the Segre variety  $\nu(Y)$ . The elementary properties of the Segre varieties that we use do not depend on the base field. For an in-depth study of them over a finite field, see [7, Ch. 25].

### 1.1. Motivations for this paper

(a) There is no need to stress the importance of tensors and tensor decompositions for the applications of mathematics. Hence the importance of the solution sets  $\mathcal{S}(Y, q)$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{P}^r$ . Outside Kruskal's bound it is very difficult to prove that an irredundant decomposition of a tensor  $T$  associated to  $q$ , say  $q \in \langle \nu(S) \rangle$ , evinces the tensor rank of  $T$ , i.e.,  $r_Y(q) = \#S$ . Thus it seems important to study irredundant decompositions without assuming that they evince the tensor rank, i.e., to study all solution sets  $\mathcal{S}(Y, q, t)$ ,  $t \geq r_Y(q)$ , i.e., all  $S \subset Y$  such that  $\#S = t$  and  $\nu(S)$  irredundantly spans  $q$ . It is known that even if  $Y$  is minimal for  $S$ ,  $q$  may not be concise for  $Y$  ([3, Theorem 3.8]). Proposition 4.3 classifies all triples  $(Y, q, S)$  with  $r_Y(q) = 2$ ,  $\#S = 3$  and  $Y$  minimal for  $S$ , but not always for  $q$ . This result is proved studying dependent subsets with cardinality 5.

(b) Take as  $K$  a finite field,  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Any  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  such that  $\langle S \rangle = \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  gives an  $[n, k]$ -code  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $n := \#S$ . Circuits  $S' \subset S$  arise in the computation of the minimum distance of  $S$ . Equally defined sets  $S' \subset S$  with  $e(S') \geq 2$  arise in the computation of the generalized Hamming weights of  $\mathcal{C}$  introduced by Wei ([8, §7.10]).

(c) In the proofs in [1] we needed to classify some rational normal curves contained in a Segre variety  $X$ . These curves occur implicitly when we quote [1] and explicitly (plus degenerations/variations of them like reducible conics or unions of 2 disjoint lines) in Example 3.2 and Remarks 5.1 and 5.2. It is easy to see that being contained in the linear span of a certain curve  $C \subset X$  often gives that  $\#\mathcal{S}(Y, q, t) > 1$  for some small  $t$ . When  $C$  is irreducible it is often easy to construct  $e$ -circuits  $S \subset C$ . More general curves, e.g. elliptic

curves, should occur for larger  $t$ , but a full classification of the set  $S$  should be too long. In our opinion the classification of the curves (and if  $K$  is finite the computation of their number) seems to be interesting.

## 1.2. Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.2

In Section 3 we describe the examples mentioned in the statement of Theorem 1.2. Take  $S \subset Y$  such that  $\#S = 6$  and  $S$  is equally dependent. We fix a partition  $S = A \cup B$  with  $\#A = \#B = 3$  and hence  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . In Section 5 we assume that at least one among  $\nu(A)$  and  $\nu(B)$  is linearly dependent. In that section we get Examples 3.1 and 3.2. Then we assume  $\nu(A)$  and  $\nu(B)$  linearly independent. Since  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , the Grassmann's formula gives  $\dim(\langle \nu(A) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(B) \rangle) = e(S) - 1$ . Thus  $\langle \nu(A) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(B) \rangle \neq \emptyset$ . We fix a general  $q \in \langle \nu(A) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(B) \rangle$ . Since  $q \in \langle \nu(A) \rangle$ , we have  $r_Y(q) \leq 3$ . We discuss the cases  $r_Y(q) = 1$ ,  $r_Y(q) = 2$ ,  $r_Y(q) = 3$  in Sections 6, 7 and 8, respectively. For the case  $r_Y(q) = 3$  we use [5, Theorem 7.1].

*Remark 1.3.* In the set-up of Theorem 1.2 the case  $k = 1$  is possible with  $Y = \mathbb{P}^n$  for any  $2 \leq n \leq 4$  (any 6 points spanning  $\mathbb{P}^n$  partitioned in two sets of 3 elements no 3 of them collinear). The case  $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$  was obtained when  $e(A) > 0$  and  $e(B) > 0$ . When  $Y = \mathbb{P}^n$  we have  $e(S) = 6 - n - 1$ .

Thus in Sections 5, 6, 7 and 8 we silently assume  $k > 1$ .

Thanks are due to the referees for useful comments and to Benjamin Lovits for correspondence related to [10].

## 2. Preliminaries, notation and the proof of Proposition 1.1

For any subset  $E$  of any projective space let  $\langle E \rangle$  denote the linear span of  $E$ . For any multiprojective space let  $\nu$  denote its Segre embedding. Let  $Y = \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k}$  be a multiprojective space. Let  $\pi_i : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$  be the projection of  $Y$  onto its  $i$ -th factor. Set  $Y_i := \prod_{j \neq i} \mathbb{P}^{n_j}$  and let  $\eta_i : Y \rightarrow Y_i$  be the projection. Thus for any  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_k) \in Y$ ,  $\pi_i(p) = p_i$  is the  $i$ -th component of  $p$ , while  $\eta_i(p) = (p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}, \dots, p_k)$  deletes the  $i$ -th component of  $p$ .

For any  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  let  $\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{N}^k$  (resp.  $\hat{\epsilon}_i$ ) be the multiindex  $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$  with  $a_i = 1$  and  $a_h = 0$  for all  $h \neq i$  (resp.  $a_i = 0$  and  $a_h = 1$  for all  $h \neq i$ ). Thus  $\mathcal{O}_Y(\epsilon_i)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Y(\hat{\epsilon}_i)$  are line bundles on  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Y(\epsilon_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\hat{\epsilon}_i) \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(1, \dots, 1)$ .

If needed we usually call  $\mathbb{P}^r$  the projectivization of the space of tensors with prescribed format we are working, i.e., the projective space in which the given Segre sits. For instance, if the given Segre is  $\nu(Y)$  we take  $r = -1 + \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i + 1)$ . For any  $q \in \mathbb{P}^r$  let  $r_Y(q)$  or  $r_{\nu(Y)}(q)$  denote the tensor rank of  $q$ . For any finite set  $A \subset Y$  the minimal multiprojective subspace of  $Y$  containing  $A$  is the multiprojective space  $\prod_{i=1}^k \langle \pi_i(A) \rangle \subseteq Y$ . For any positive integer  $t$  let  $\mathcal{S}(Y, q, t)$  denote the set of all  $S \subset Y$  such that  $q \in \langle \nu(S) \rangle$ ,  $\#S = t$  and  $S$  irredundantly

spans  $q$ . The set  $\mathcal{S}(Y, q) := \mathcal{S}(Y, q, r_{\nu(Y)}(q))$  is the set of all tensor decompositions of  $q$  with minimal length. By concision given any  $A \in \mathcal{S}(Y, q)$  the minimal multiprojective subspace of  $Y$  containing  $A$  is the minimal multiprojective subspace  $Y' \subseteq Y$  such that  $q \in \langle \nu(Y') \rangle$  ([9, Proposition 3.1.3.1]).

*Remark 2.1.* Take  $S \subset Y$  such that  $e(S) > 0$  and  $\#S \leq 3$ . Since  $\nu$  is an embedding, we have  $\#S = 3$ ,  $e(S) = 1$  and (by the structure of linear subspaces contained in a Segre variety) there is  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $\#\pi_h(S) = 1$  for all  $h \neq i$ ,  $\pi_{i|S}$  is injective and  $\pi_i(S)$  is contained in a line.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Fix a multiprojective space  $Y$  and any finite set  $Z \subset Y$  with  $z := \#Z \geq 3$  and concise for  $Y$ . Set  $e(Z) := z - 1 - \dim\langle \nu(Z) \rangle$ . We have  $e(Z) \leq z - 2$  and equality holds if and only if  $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\nu$  is an embedding,  $\nu(Z)$  is a set of  $z \geq 2$  points of  $\mathbb{P}^N$  and hence  $\dim\langle \nu(Z) \rangle \geq 1$ . The Grassmann's formula gives  $e(Z) \leq z - 2$  and that equality holds if and only if  $\nu(Z)$  is formed by collinear points. Since the Segre  $\nu(Y)$  is cut out by quadrics and  $z \leq 3$ , we get  $\langle \nu(Z) \rangle \subseteq \nu(Y)$ . Since the lines of a Segre variety are Segre varieties, the concision assumption gives  $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$ .

The converse is trivial, because  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) = 2$ . □

The following construction was implicitly used in the proof of [3, Theorem 3.8].

**Definition.** Fix a multiprojective space  $Y = \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k}$ ,  $n_h > 0$  for all  $h \neq i$ , and  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  (we allow the case  $n_i = 0$  so that  $\mathbb{P}^{n_i}$  may be a single point). Fix an integer  $m_i$  such that  $n_i \leq m_i \leq n_i + 1$ ; if  $n_i = 0$  assume  $m_i = 1$ . Let  $W \supseteq Y$  be a multiprojective space with  $\mathbb{P}^{n_j}$  as its  $j$ -th factor for all  $j \neq i$  and with  $\mathbb{P}^{m_i}$  as its  $i$ -th factor. Thus  $W = Y$  if  $m_i = n_i$  and  $\dim W = \dim Y + 1$  if  $m_i = n_i + 1$ . If  $W \neq Y$  we identify  $Y$  with a multiprojective subspace of  $W$  identifying its factor  $\mathbb{P}^{n_i}$  with a hyperplane  $M_i \subset \mathbb{P}^{m_i}$ . Fix a finite set  $E \subset Y$  (we allow the case  $E = \emptyset$ ) and  $o = (o_1, \dots, o_k) \in Y \setminus E$ . Set  $E_i := \pi_i(E) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$ . Fix any  $u_i \in \mathbb{P}^{m_i} \setminus (E_i \cup \{o_i\})$  and any  $v_i \in \langle \{o_i, v_i\} \rangle$  with  $v_i \notin E_i$ . Set  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$  and  $v := (v_1, \dots, v_k)$  with  $u_h = v_h = o_h$  for all  $h \neq i$ . Set  $F := E \cup \{o\}$  and  $G := E \cup \{u, v\}$ . We say that  $G$  is an *elementary increasing* of  $F$  with respect to  $o$  and the  $i$ -th factor. Note that  $\#G = \#E + 2$ ,  $\#F = \#E + 1$  and  $\langle \nu(F) \rangle \subseteq \langle \nu(G) \rangle$ . If  $n_i > 0$  we have  $w(Y) = w(W)$ , while if  $n_i = 0$  we have  $w(W) = w(Y) + 1$ . Thus an elementary increasing may increase the width, but only by 1 and only if  $n_i = 0$ .

*Remark 2.3.* Let  $U \subset Y$  be a finite set,  $W \supseteq Y$  any multiprojective space and  $V \subset W$  any set obtained from  $U$  making an elementary increasing. For any finite set  $G \subset W$  either  $w(V \cup G) = w(U \cup G)$  or  $w(V \cup G) = w(U \cup G) + 1$ , but the latter may occur only if  $w(V) = w(U) + 1$ . Even when  $w(V) = w(U) + 1$  it is quite easy to see for which  $G$  we have  $w(V \cup G) = w(U \cup G) + 1$ .

*Proof of Proposition 1.1.* Set  $s := \#S$ . If  $e = 1$ , then we apply [10, Corollary 14]. Assume  $e > 1$  and take  $U \subset S$  such that  $\#U = e - 1$  and  $S \setminus U$  is

a circuit. Let  $Y'$  be the minimal multiprojective space containing  $S \setminus U$ . By [10, Corollary 14] we have  $w(S \setminus U) \leq (s - e + 1) - 2$ . Since  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S \setminus U}(1, \dots, 1)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_S(1, \dots, 1)) - \#U$ ,  $\langle \nu(S \setminus U) \rangle = \langle S \rangle$ . Thus  $\nu(S) \subseteq \langle \nu(Y') \rangle$ . Concision ([9, Proposition 3.1.3.1]) gives  $S \subset Y'$ . Thus  $w(S) = w(S \setminus U)$ .  $\square$

### 3. The examples

**Example 3.1.** Fix an integer  $k \geq 2$  and integers  $n_1, n_2 \in \{1, 2\}$ . We take  $Y = \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n_2} \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{k-2}$ . Take  $o = (o_1, \dots, o_k) \in Y$  and  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_k) \in Y$  such that  $p_i \neq o_i$  for all  $i$ . Take  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_k) \in Y$ ,  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in Y$ ,  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_k) \in Y$  and  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_k) \in Y$  such that  $u_i = v_i = o_i$  for all  $i \neq 1$ ,  $w_i = z_i = p_i$  for all  $i \neq 2$ ,  $\#\{u_1, v_1, o_1, p_1\} = \#\{o_2, p_2, w_2, z_2\} = 4$ . If  $n_1 = 2$  (resp.  $n_2 = 2$ ) we also require that  $\langle \{u_1, v_1, o_1\} \rangle \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  is a line not containing  $p_1$  (resp.  $\langle \{w_2, z_2, p_2\} \rangle \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  is a line not containing  $o_2$ ). Set  $S := \{o, p, u, v, w, z\}$ . By construction  $\#S = 6$ ,  $S$  is concise for  $Y$ , and  $e(S) = 2$ . It is easy to check that  $e(S') = 1$  (but  $S'$  is not a circuit) for any  $S' \subset S$  such that  $\#S' = 5$ . The family of these sets  $S$  has dimension  $n_1 + n_2 + 2$ . If  $k > 2$  instead of taking the first two factors of  $Y$  we may take two arbitrary (but distinct) factors and obtain another family of sets  $S$  not projectively equivalent to the one constructed using the first two factors. A small modification of the construction works even if  $o_i = p_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , but in that case we are forced to take  $n_i = 1$ .

**Example 3.2.** Fix integers  $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Set  $Y := \mathbb{P}^n \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{k-1}$ . If  $k > 1$  fix any  $o_i, p_i \in \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq k$ , such that  $o_i \neq p_i$  for all  $i$ . Fix lines  $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ . If  $n = 2$  assume  $L \neq D$ . If  $n = 3$  assume  $L \cap D = \emptyset$ . Fix 3 distinct points  $o_1, u_1, v_1 \in L$  and 3 distinct points  $w_1, p_1, z_1$  of  $D$ . If  $n = 1$  assume  $\#\{o_1, p_1, u_1, v_1, w_1, z_1\} = 6$ . If  $n = 2$  assume  $L \cap D \notin \{o_1, p_1, u_1, v_1, w_1, z_1\}$ . Set  $o := (o_1, o_2, \dots, o_k)$ ,  $u := (u_1, o_2, \dots, o_k)$ ,  $v := (v_1, o_2, \dots, o_k)$ ,  $p := (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k)$ ,  $w := (w_1, p_2, \dots, p_k)$ ,  $z := (z_1, p_2, \dots, p_k)$ ,  $A := \{o, u, v\}$ ,  $B := \{p, w, z\}$ , and  $S := A \cup B$ . The decomposition  $S = A \cup B$  immediately gives that  $S$  is equally dependent. If  $k = 1$  we have  $e(S) = 5 - n$ . Now assume  $k > 1$ . Since neither  $\nu(A)$  nor  $\nu(B)$  are linearly independent and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , we have  $e(S) \geq 2$ . Take  $D \in |\mathcal{I}_p(\epsilon_2)|$ . By construction we have  $S \cap D = B$ . Thus the residual exact sequence of  $D$  gives the exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_A(\hat{\epsilon}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_S(1, \dots, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{B,D}(1, \dots, 1) \rightarrow 0.$$

It is easy to check that  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_A(\hat{\epsilon}_2)) = 1$  and that  $h^1(D, \mathcal{I}_{B,D}(1, \dots, 1)) = 1$ . Thus (1) gives  $e(S) \leq 2$ . Thus  $e(S) = 2$ . A small modification of the construction works even if  $o_1 = p_1$ , but in this case we take  $n < 3$ .

**Example 3.3.** Assume  $k > 1$ . Fix  $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and an integer  $s \geq 6$  and set  $Y := \mathbb{P}^n \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{k-1}$ . We mimic the proof of Example 3.2 taking 3 points on  $L$  and  $s - 3$  points on  $Y \setminus L$ . We get  $S \subset Y$  concise for  $Y$  and such that  $\#S = s$ ,  $e(S) = s - 4$  and  $e(S') < e(S)$  for all  $S' \subset S$ ,  $S' \neq S$ . We get examples similar

to Example 3.1 taking instead of two points a fixed set  $S'$  of points and get a set with  $\#S' + 2$  points.

**Example 3.4.** Take  $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$ . Fix a line  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ , any  $E \subset L$  such that  $\#E = 3$  and a general  $G \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus L$  such that  $\#G = 2$ . Set  $S := E \cup G$ . We have  $e(S) = 2$  and for any  $p \in E$ , the set  $S \setminus \{p\}$  is a circuit. However,  $E$  shows that  $S$  is not uniformly dependent.

#### 4. $4 \leq \#S \leq 5$

In this paper we often use two results from [1] which give a complete classification of circuits  $S$  with  $\#S \leq 5$  ([1, Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 5.2]). In this section we extend them to the case of equally dependent subsets  $S \subset Y$  with  $e(S) \geq 2$ . Sometimes we will use them later, but the key point is that the cases with arbitrarily large width and fixed  $s := \#S$  occur exactly when  $s \geq 6$ . We always call  $Y = \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k}$  the minimal Segre variety containing  $S$ .

Fix a set  $S \subset Y$  such that  $\#S \leq 5$ ,  $e(S') < e(S)$  for all  $S' \subset S$ ,  $S' \neq S$ , and  $e(S) \geq 2$ . We put the last assumption because we described all circuits (i.e., the case  $e(S) = 1$ ) in [1, Proposition 5.2] (case  $\#S = 4$ ) and [1, Theorem 1.1] (case  $\#S = 5$ ).

Now the two new observations for the case  $e(S) \geq 2$ . We always assume that  $S$  is concise for  $Y$ .

*Remark 4.1.* Assume  $\#S = 4$  and  $e(S) \geq 2$ . By Lemma 2.2 we have  $e(S) = 2$  and  $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$ . Any union  $F$  of 4 distinct points of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  has  $e(F) = 2$  and it is equally dependent. For the existence of this case we need  $\#K \neq 2$ .

*Remark 4.2.* Assume  $\#S = 5$ . If  $e(S) \geq 3$ , then  $e(S) = 3$ ,  $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $S$  is an arbitrary subset of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with cardinality 5 (Lemma 2.2). Assume  $e(S) = 2$ . Thus for all  $o \in S$  we have  $e(S \setminus \{o\}) = 1$ . Let  $S_o \subseteq S \setminus \{o\}$  the minimal subset with  $e(S_o) = 1$ . Each  $S_o$  is a circuit. Let  $Y[o] \subseteq Y$  be the minimal multiprojective subspace containing  $o$ . The plane  $\langle \nu(S) \rangle$  contains at least 5 points of  $\nu(Y)$ . Since  $\nu(Y)$  is cut out by quadrics either  $\langle \nu(S) \rangle \subseteq \nu(Y)$  (and hence  $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$  by the assumption that  $Y$  is the minimal multiprojective space containing  $S$ ) or  $\langle \nu(S) \rangle \cap \nu(Y)$  is a conic. In the latter case the conic may be smooth or reducible, but not a double line. In this case  $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . To show that this case occurs we take an element  $C \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 1)|$  and take a union  $S$  of 5 points of  $C$ , with no restriction if  $C$  is irreducible, with the restriction that no component of  $C$  contains 4 or 5 points of  $S$  if  $C$  is reducible. To get examples with  $C$  irreducible we need  $\#K \geq 4$ , but even if  $\#K \in \{2, 3\}$  there are examples contained in a reducible  $C$ .

In the last part of this section we classify the quintuples  $(W, Y, q, A, B)$ , where  $W$  and  $Y$  are multiprojective spaces,  $Y \subseteq W$ ,  $q \in \langle \nu(Y) \rangle$ ,  $r_{\nu(Y)}(q) = 2$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{S}(Y, q)$ ,  $B \subset W$  and  $B \in \mathcal{S}(W, q, 3)$ . We assume that  $q$  is concise for  $Y$ . By [9, Proposition 3.1.3.1] this assumption is equivalent to the conciseness of  $A$  for  $Y$ . We assume that  $B$  is concise for  $W$ , but we do not assume  $W = Y$ .

Since  $Y$  is concise for  $A$  and  $\#A = 2$ , we have  $Y = (\mathbb{P}^1)^k$  for some  $k > 0$ . Since  $r_{\nu(Y)}(q) \neq 1$ , we have  $k \geq 2$ . Since  $W$  is concise for  $B$  and  $\#B = 3$  we have  $W = \mathbb{P}^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_s}$  for some  $s \geq k$  and  $m_i \in \{1, 2\}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . We see the inclusion  $Y \subseteq W$ , fixing for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  a one-dimensional linear subspace  $L_i \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{m_i}$  and for  $i = k + 1, \dots, s$  fixing  $o_i \in \mathbb{P}^{m_i}$ .

We prove the following statement.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Fix  $q \in \mathbb{P}^r$  with rank 2 and take a multiprojective space  $Y = (\mathbb{P}^1)^k$  concise for  $q$ . Take a multiprojective space  $W \supseteq Y$  and assume the existence of  $B \in \mathcal{S}(W, q, 3)$ . Fix  $A \in \mathcal{S}(Y, q)$ . Then one of the following cases occurs:*

- (1)  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ ,  $B$  is obtained from  $A$  making an elementary increasing and either  $W = Y$  or  $W \cong \mathbb{P}^2 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{k-1}$  or  $W \cong (\mathbb{P}^1)^{k+1}$ ;
- (2)  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ; in this case either  $W \cong \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  or  $W \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  or  $W \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

The multiprojective spaces  $W$ 's listed in (2) of Proposition 4.3 are the ones with  $k > 1$  in the list of [1, Theorem 1.1].

For more on the possibles  $B$ 's in case (1), see Lemma 4.5. For the proof of Proposition 4.3 we set  $S := A \cup B$ . Our working multiprojective space is  $W$  and cohomology of ideal sheaves is with respect to  $W$ . Since  $\nu(A)$  and  $\nu(B)$  irredundantly spans  $q$ , we have  $e(S) > 0$ . Note that  $k > 1$ , because we assumed that the tensor  $q$  has tensor rank  $\neq 1$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $S$  is irredundantly dependent and either  $e(S) = 1$  or  $e(S) = 2$ ,  $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $S$  is formed by 5 points of some  $C \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 1)|$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , we have  $e(S) - 1 = \dim(\langle \nu(A) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(B) \rangle)$ . Since  $\nu(A)$  (resp.  $\nu(B)$ ) irredundantly spans  $q$ , we have  $\langle \nu(A \setminus \{a\}) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(B) \rangle \subset \langle \nu(A) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(B) \rangle$  (with strict inclusion) for all  $a \in A$  and  $\langle \nu(A) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(B \setminus \{b\}) \rangle \subset \langle \nu(A) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(B) \rangle$  (with strict inclusion) for all  $b \in B$ . Thus  $e(S') < e(S)$  for all  $S' \subset S$ ,  $S' \neq S$ , by the Grassmann's formula. Assume  $e(S) \geq 2$ . Since  $k > 1$  we have  $e(S) = 2$  (Lemma 2.2). Since  $e(S) = 2$ , Remark 4.2 gives  $W = Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and that  $S$  is formed by 5 points of any smooth  $C \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 1)|$ . For the existence of this case we need  $\#K \geq 4$ . □

**Lemma 4.5.** *If  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , then  $B$  is obtained from  $A$  making an elementary increasing of  $A$  with respect to the point  $A \setminus A \cap B$  and one of the coordinates. In this case for any  $Y = (\mathbb{P}^1)^k$  concise for  $q$  the concise  $W$  for  $B$  is either  $Y$  or isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{k-1}$  in which we may prescribe which of the  $k$  factors of  $W$  has dimension 2. For any rank 2 point  $q \in \langle \nu(Y) \rangle$ , any  $A \in \mathcal{S}(Y, q)$ , any point  $a \in A$  and any  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  we get a 2-dimensional family of such sets  $B$ 's with  $W = Y$  and a 3-dimensional family of such  $B$ 's with  $\dim W = \dim Y + 1$ .*



*Proof.* Assume  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\nu(A)$  and  $\nu(B)$  irredundantly span  $q$ ,  $A$  is not contained in  $B$ . Thus  $A \cap B \neq A$ . Assume  $A \cap B = \{o\}$  with  $A = \{o, p\}$ . Thus  $\#S = 4$ . Since  $q \neq \nu(o)$ , and  $q \in \langle \nu(B) \rangle$ , we get  $\langle \nu(B) \rangle \supset \langle \nu(A) \rangle$  and in particular  $\nu(p) \in \langle \nu(B) \rangle$ .

First assume that  $S$  is equally dependent. Since  $S$  is equally dependent and  $s \geq k \geq 2$ , by Remark 4.1 and [1, Proposition 5.2] we get  $W = Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and the list of all possible  $S$ 's. In this list  $\nu(p) \notin \langle \nu(S \setminus \{p\}) \rangle$ , a contradiction.

Now assume that  $S$  is not equally dependent. The proof of Lemma 4.4 gives that  $e(S') = e(S)$  only if  $S' = S \setminus \{o\}$ . Since  $\#S' = 3$ , there is  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  such that  $\#\pi_h(S') = 1$  for all  $h \neq i$ . We see that  $B$  is obtained from  $A$  keeping  $o$  and making an elementary increasing with respect to  $p$  to get two other points of  $B$ .  $\square$

### 5. $\nu(A)$ or $\nu(B)$ linearly dependent

Recall that  $\#S = 6$ ,  $Y$  is concise for  $S$  and we fixed a partition  $S = A \cup B$  such that  $\#A = \#B = 3$ . In this section we assume that at least one among  $\nu(A)$  and  $\nu(B)$  is linearly dependent, while in the next sections we will always assume that both  $\nu(A)$  and  $\nu(B)$  are linearly independent. Just to fix the notation we assume  $e(A) > 0$ . Thus  $\nu(A)$  is the union of 3 collinear points and there is  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $\#\pi_h(A) = 1$  for all  $h \neq i$  and  $\pi_i(A)$  is formed by the points spanning a line (Remark 2.1). With no loss of generality we may assume  $i = 1$ .

*Remark 5.1.* Assume also  $e(B) > 0$ . We want to prove that we are in one of the cases described in Example 3.1 or 3.2, up to a permutation of the factors of  $Y$  (assuming obviously  $k > 1$ ). By Remark 2.1 there is  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $\#\pi_h(B) = 1$  for all  $h \neq j$  and  $\pi_j(B)$  is formed by 3 collinear points.

(a) Assume  $i \neq j$ . Up to a permutation of the factors of  $Y$  we may assume  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2$ . Fix  $o = (o_1, \dots, o_k) \in A$  and  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_k) \in B$ . Set  $\{u_1, o_1, v_1\} := \pi_1(A)$  and  $\{w_2, z_2, o_2, p_2\} := \pi_2(B)$ . Since  $\#\pi_i(A) = 1$  for all  $i > 1$ ,  $\pi_i(a) = o_i$  for all  $a \in A$  and all  $i > 1$ . Since  $\#\pi_i(B) = 1$  for all  $i \neq 1$ ,  $\pi_i(b) = p_i$  for all  $b \in B$  and all  $i \neq 1$ . Thus we are as in Example 3.1.

(b) Now assume  $i = j$ . Up to a permutation of the factors of  $Y$  we may assume  $i = 1$ . In this case we are in the set-up of Example 3.2.

*Remark 5.2.* Now assume  $e(B) = 0$ . Since  $A \subset S$ ,  $A \neq S$  and  $e(A) > 0$ , we have  $e(S) \geq 2$ . Take  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  as in part (a) and set  $\{o_i\} := \pi_i(A)$ . By assumption  $\langle \nu(B) \rangle$  is a plane and either  $\langle \nu(B) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(A) \rangle = \emptyset$  (i.e.,  $e(S) = 2$ ) or  $\langle \nu(B) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(A) \rangle$  is a point (call it  $q'$ ) (i.e.,  $e(S) = 3$ ) or  $\langle \nu(B) \rangle \supset \langle \nu(A) \rangle$  (i.e.,  $e(S) = 4$ ). In the latter case we have  $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$  (Lemma 2.2). Take any  $A_1 \subset A$  such that  $\#A_1 = 2$  and set  $S_1 := A_1 \cup B$ . We have  $e(S_1) = e(S) - 1$  and  $e(S') < e(S_1)$  for any  $S' \subset S_1$  with  $S' \neq S_1$ . The set  $S_1$  is very particular, because it contains a subset  $A_1$  such that  $\#A_1 = 2$  and  $\#\pi_i(A) = 1$  for  $k - 1$  integers  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , say for all  $i \neq 1$ .

(a) Assume  $e(S) = 3$  and hence  $e(S_1) = 2$ . We may apply Remark 4.2 to this very particular  $S_1$ . Either  $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$  or  $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . The case  $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$  may obviously occur (take 6 points, 3 of them on a line). To get examples with  $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  we need  $S \subset C \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 1)|$ , because  $e(S) = 3$ . The existence of  $A$  gives  $C$  reducible say  $C = L \cup D$  with  $L \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 0)|$  and  $D \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(0, 1)|$  with  $D \supset A$ . Since  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_B(1, 1)) = 0$ , we see that  $\#(B \cap L) = 2$ ,  $\#(B \cap D) = 1$  and  $B \cap D \cap L = \emptyset$ .

(b) Now assume  $e(S) = 2$ . Thus  $e(S_1)$  is a circuit and we may use the list in [1, Theorem 1.1]. Hence  $k \leq 3$ ,  $k = 3$  implies  $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , while  $k = 2$  implies  $n_1 + n_2 \in \{2, 3\}$ . Obviously the case  $k = 1$ ,  $Y = \mathbb{P}^3$  occurs (6 points of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  with the only restriction that 3 of them are collinear).

(b1) Assume  $Y = \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . We are in the set-up of [1, Example 5.7], case  $C = T_1 \cup L_1$  with  $L_1$  a line and  $\#(L_1 \cap S_1) = 2$ . This case obviously occurs (as explained in [1, last 8 lines of Example 5.7]). To get  $S$  just add another point of  $L_1$ .

(b2) Assume  $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Here we may take as  $S_1$  (resp.  $S$ ) the union of 2 (resp. 3) points of any  $D \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(0, 1)|$  and 3 sufficiently general points of  $Y$ .

(b3) Assume  $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . It does not occur here (it occurs when  $e(A) = e(B) = 0$  and  $r_Y(q) = 3$ ), because  $\#(L \cap C) \leq 1$  for every integral curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  with multidegree  $(1, 1, 1)$  and each curve  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $\nu(L)$  is a line and we may apply [1, part (c) of Lemma 5.8].

## 6. $r_Y(q) = 1$

We recall that  $q$  is a general element of  $\langle \nu(A) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(B) \rangle$  and that in Sections 6, 7, and 8 we assume  $e(A) = e(B) = 0$  and  $k > 1$ . In this section we assume  $r_Y(q) = 1$ . Take  $o \in Y$  such that  $\nu(o) = q$  and write  $o = (o_1, \dots, o_k)$ . Set  $A' = A \cup \{o\}$  and  $B' := B \cup \{o\}$ .

(a) Assume  $o \in A$ . Since  $\nu(o)$  is general in  $\langle \nu(A) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(B) \rangle$  and  $A$  has finitely many points, we have  $\langle \nu(A) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(B) \rangle = \{\nu(o)\}$ . The Grassmann's formula gives  $\dim \langle \nu(S) \rangle = 4$ , i.e.,  $e(S) = 1$ . Since  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , we have  $o \notin B$ . Thus  $\nu(B \cup \{o\})$  is linearly dependent. Since  $B \cup \{o\}$  is strictly contained in  $S$ ,  $e(S) = 1$  and  $S$  is assumed to be equally dependent, we get a contradiction. In the same way we prove that  $\#B' = 4$ .

(b) By step (a) we have  $\#A' = \#B' = 4$ . Write  $o = (o_1, \dots, o_k)$ . The sets  $\nu(A')$  and  $\nu(B')$  are linearly dependent. Assume for the moment the existence of  $A''$  strictly contained in  $A'$  such that  $e(A'') = e(A')$ . We have  $\#A'' = 3$ ,  $e(A'') = 1$  and there is  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $\#\pi_h(A'') = 1$  for all  $h \neq i$ . Since  $e(A) = 0$ ,  $o \in A''$ . Set  $\{b\} := A \setminus A \cap A'$ . We see that  $A$  is obtained from  $\{o, b\}$  making an elementary increasing with respect to  $o$  and the  $i$ -th factor. But then  $\nu(o)$  is spanned by  $\nu(A \cap A'')$ , contradicting the generality of  $q \in \langle \nu(A) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(B) \rangle$  and that  $S$  is equally dependent. In the same way we

handle the case in which there is  $B''$  strictly contained in  $A'$  such that  $\nu(A'')$  is dependent.

(c) By steps (a) and (b) we may assume that  $\nu(A')$  and  $\nu(B')$  are circuits. Let  $Y' = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbb{P}_i^{m_i}$  (resp.  $Y'' = \prod_{i=1}^c \mathbb{P}^{t_i}$ ) be the minimal multiprojective subspace of  $Y$  containing  $A'$  (resp.  $B'$ ). By [1, Proposition 5.2] either  $s = 1$  and  $m_1 = 2$  or  $s = 2$  and  $m_1 = m_2 = 2$ , either  $c = 2$  and  $t_1 = 2$  or  $c = 2$  and  $t_1 = t_2 = 1$ .

(c1) Assume  $s = c = 2$ . Up to a permutation of the factors we may assume  $\#\pi_h(A') = 1$  for all  $h > 1$ . Call  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$  the two indices such that  $\#\pi_h(B') = 1$  for all  $h \notin \{i, j\}$ . Note that  $\pi_h(S) = \pi_h(o)$  if  $h \notin \{1, 2, i, j\}$ .

**Claim 1.**  $k = j$ .

*Proof of Claim 1.* Assume  $k > j$ . Since  $k > j \geq 2$ , we have  $\pi_k(A) = \pi_k(o) = \pi_k(B)$ . Thus the pair  $(Y, S)$  is not concise.  $\square$

**Claim 2.**  $k \leq 4$  and  $Y = (\mathbb{P}^1)^4$  if  $k = 4$ .

*Proof of Claim 2.* By Claim 1 we have  $k \leq 4$ . Assume  $k = 4$ , i.e., assume  $i = 3$  and  $j = 4$ . Assume  $Y \neq (\mathbb{P}^1)^4$ , i.e., assume  $n_h \geq 2$  for some  $h$ , say for  $h = 1$ . Fix  $a \in A$ . Since  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(\epsilon_1)) = n_1 + 1 \geq 3$ , there is  $H \in |\mathcal{O}_Y(\epsilon_1)|$  containing  $o$  and at least one point of  $B$ . By concision  $S$  is not contained in  $H$ . Since  $A$  and  $B$  irredundantly span  $q$ , [2, Lemma 5.1] or [4, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5] give  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{S \setminus S \cap H}(0, 1, 1, 1)) > 0$ . Since  $\#\pi_1(B') = 1$ , we have  $B \subset H$ . Thus  $\#(S \setminus S \cap H) \leq 2$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_Y(\epsilon_1)$  is globally generated, we get  $\#(S \setminus S \cap H) = 2$ , i.e.,  $S \setminus S \cap H = A \setminus \{a\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1}(1, 1, 1)$  is very ample, we get  $\#\eta_1(A \setminus \{a\}) = 1$ . Taking another  $a' \in A$  instead of  $a$ , we get  $\#\eta_1(A) = 1$ , i.e.,  $A$  does not depend on the second factor of  $Y$ . Since  $\nu(A)$  irredundantly spans  $\nu(o)$ , we get  $\#\pi_1(A') = 1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

(c2) Assume  $s = 2$  and  $c = 1$  (the case  $s = 2$  and  $c = 1$ ) being similar. We may assume  $\pi_h(A') = 1$  for all  $h > 2$ . Call  $i$  the only index such that  $\#\pi_i(B') > 1$ . As in step (c1) we get  $k \leq \#\{1, 2, 3\} \leq 3$ .

(c3) Assume  $s = c = 1$ . As in step (c1) and (c2) we get  $k \leq 2$ .

### 7. $r_Y(q) = 2$

In this section we assume  $r_Y(q) = 2$ . We fix  $E \in \mathcal{S}(Y, q)$ . Set  $M := \langle \nu(A) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(E) \rangle$ . Call  $Y'$  (resp.  $Y''$ ) the minimal multiprojective subspace of  $Y$  containing  $E \cup A$  (resp.  $E \cup B$ ).

**Lemma 7.1.** *If  $w(Y) \geq 4$ , then either  $\nu(A)$  and  $\nu(B)$  irredundantly span  $q$ .*

*Proof.* Assume for instance that  $\nu(A)$  does not span irredundantly  $q$ . Since  $r_Y(q) = 2$ , there is  $A' \subset A$  such that  $\#A' = 2$  and  $A' \in \mathcal{S}(Y, q)$ . Since  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $A' \cap B = \emptyset$ . Since  $w(S) > 2$ , [5, Proposition 2.3] gives that  $B$  irredundantly spans  $q$ . Let  $W \subseteq Y$  be the minimal multiprojective space containing  $A' \cup B$ . Since  $q \in \langle \nu(A') \rangle \cap \langle \nu(B) \rangle$  and  $A' \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $e(A' \cup B) > 0$ . Since  $S$  is equally

dependent,  $e(S) = e(A' \cup B) + 1$  and  $\langle \nu(S) \rangle = \langle \nu(A' \cup B) \rangle$ . Since  $A' \cap B = \emptyset$ , Proposition 4.3 gives  $w(W) \leq 3$ . Set  $\{a\} := A \setminus A'$ . Since  $\langle \nu(S) \rangle = \langle \nu(A' \cup B) \rangle$ ,  $a \in \langle \nu(W) \rangle$ . Concision for rank 1 tensors implies  $\langle \nu(W) \rangle \cap \nu(Y) = \nu(W)$ . Thus  $a \in W$ . Hence  $W = Y$ , contradicting the assumption  $w(Y) \geq 4$ .  $\square$

*Remark 7.2.* By Lemma 7.1 from now on in this section we assume that each set  $\nu(A)$  and  $\nu(B)$  irredundantly spans  $q$ .

**Lemma 7.3.** *Take a circuit  $F \subset Y := \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  concise for  $Y$  and with  $\#F = 5$ . Write  $F = U \cup G$  with  $\#U = 2$  and  $\#G = 3$ . Then  $Y$  is concise for  $U$ .*

*Proof.* By [1, Lemma 5.8]  $F$  is contained in an integral curve  $C \subset Y$  of tridegree  $(1, 1, 1)$ . Each map  $\pi_{i|C} : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is an isomorphism. Thus each  $\pi_{i|U}$  is injective.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.4.**  *$E \cap A \neq \emptyset$  (resp.  $E \cap B \neq \emptyset$ ) if and only if either  $w(S) \leq 3$  or  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ) is obtained from  $E$  making an elementary increasing.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove the lemma for the set  $A$ . The “if” part follows from the definition of elementary increasing, because  $\#E > 1$ .

Assume  $E \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\nu(A)$  irredundantly spans  $q$  (Remark 7.2), we have  $E$  is not contained in  $A$ . Write  $E \cap A = \{a\}$ ,  $E = \{a, b\}$  and  $A = \{a, u, v\}$ . We need to prove that there is  $i$  such that  $\pi_h(a) = \pi_h(u) = \pi_h(v)$  for all  $h \neq i$ , while  $\pi_i(\{a, u, v\})$  spans a line.

(a) First assume that  $E \cup A$  is not equally dependent. Since  $\#(E \cup A) = 4$ , we have  $e(E \cup A) = 1$  and there is  $F \subset E \cup A$  such that  $\#F = 3$  and  $e(F) = 1$ . By Remark 2.1 there is  $i$  such that  $\#\pi_h(F) = 1$  for all  $h \neq i$  and  $\pi_i(F)$  is formed by 3 collinear points. Since  $\nu(E)$  and  $\nu(A)$  irredundantly span  $q$  (Remark 7.2 and the assumption  $E \in \mathcal{S}(Y, q)$ ), it is easy to check that  $(E \cup A) \setminus F = \{a\}$ . Thus  $A$  is obtained from  $E$  applying an elementary increasing with respect to  $b$  and the  $i$ -th factor of the multiprojective space.

(b) Now assume that  $E \cup A$  is equally dependent. Since  $\#(E \cup A) = 4$ , [1, Proposition 5.2] says that  $w(E \cup A) \leq 2$  and that  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is the minimal multiprojective space containing  $E \cup A$ . Since  $E \in \mathcal{S}(Y, q)$  and  $r_Y(q) > 1$ ,  $Y' \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is the minimal multiprojective space containing  $E$ .

(b1) Assume  $E \cap B \neq \emptyset$  and  $E \cup B$  is not equally dependent. By step (a) applied to  $B$  we get that  $B$  is obtained from  $E$  making a positive elementary increasing. Thus either  $w(B) = 2$  or  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is the minimal multiprojective space containing  $B$  (last sentence of Example 3.1) and it contains  $A$ , too, since it contains  $E$ . Thus  $w(S) \leq 3$ .

(b2) Assume  $E \cap B \neq \emptyset$  and  $E \cup B$  equally dependent. Thus  $Y'' \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $Y''$  is the minimal multiprojective subspace containing  $E$ . Hence  $Y'' = Y'$  and  $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

(b3) Assume  $E \cap B = \emptyset$ . We get  $w(Y'') \leq 3$  by Proposition 4.3 and (since  $W \supseteq Y'$ ) we get  $Y = W$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.5.** *Assume  $E \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $E \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Then either  $w(S) \leq 2$  or  $S$  is as in one of Examples 3.1 and 3.2.*

*Proof.* Assume  $w(S) > 2$ . By Lemma 7.4  $A$  and  $B$  are obtained from  $E$  making an elementary increasing. Since  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , we have  $\#A \cap E = \#B \cap E = 1$  and  $E \subset S$ . By the definition of elementary increasing it is obvious that  $S$  is as in one of Examples 3.1 and 3.2 (Example 3.2 occurs if and only if we are doing the elementary increasings giving  $A$  and  $B$  from  $E$  with respect to the same factor of the multiprojective space).  $\square$

**Lemma 7.6.** *Assume  $E \cap A = \emptyset$  (resp.  $E \cap B = \emptyset$ ). Then  $E \cup A$  (resp.  $E \cup B$ ) is equally dependent.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove the lemma for  $E \cup A$ . The assumption is equivalent to  $\dim M = e(E \cup A) - 1$ . Fix  $a \in A$ . Since  $q \notin \langle \nu(A \setminus \{a\}) \rangle$ ,  $\langle \nu(A \setminus \{a\}) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(E) \rangle$  is strictly contained in  $M$ . The Grassmann's formula gives  $e((E \cup A) \setminus \{a\}) < e(E \cup A)$ . Take  $b \in E$ . Since  $q \notin \langle \nu(E \setminus \{b\}) \rangle$ , we have  $\langle \nu(E \setminus \{b\}) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(A) \rangle$  is strictly contained in  $M$ . Thus  $E \cup A$  is equally dependent.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.7.** *Assume  $E \cap A = E \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $w(S) \leq 3$  and  $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  if  $w(S) = 3$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.3 and Lemmas 7.3 and 7.6 we have  $w(Y') \leq 3$ ,  $w(Y'') \leq 3$  and if one of them, say  $w(Y')$ , is 3, then  $Y' \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is the minimal multiprojective space containing  $E$ . Hence  $w(Y'') = 3$  and  $Y' = Y''$ , i.e.,  $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Now assume  $w(Y') = w(Y'') = 2$ . In this case both  $Y'$  and  $Y''$  have the same number of factors as the minimal multiprojective space containing  $E$  and exactly the same non-trivial factor, i.e., if  $E = \{u, v\}$  with  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ ,  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$  and  $u_i = v_i$  for all  $i > 2$ , then  $\#\pi_i(Y') = \#\pi_i(Y'') = 1$  for all  $i > 2$ . Since  $\pi_i(Y') = \{u_i\} = \pi_i(Y'')$  for all  $i > 2$ , we get  $w(Y) = 2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.8.** *Either  $S$  is as in Examples 3.1 and 3.2 or  $w(S) \leq 4$  with  $Y = (\mathbb{P}^1)^4$  if  $w(S) = 4$ .*

*Proof.* By the previous lemmas we may assume that exactly one among  $E \cap A$  and  $E \cap B$ , say the first one, is empty. Thus  $B$  is obtained from  $E$  making a positive elementary increasing, while  $w(Y') \leq 3$  and  $Y' \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  if  $w(Y') = 3$ . First assume  $w(Y') = 3$  and  $Y' \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . By Lemma 7.3  $Y'$  is the minimal multiprojective space containing  $E$ . Hence  $w(E \cup B) \leq 4$  and  $Y'' = (\mathbb{P}^1)^4$  with  $Y \supset Y'$  if  $w(Y'') = 4$  (last part of Example 3.1). We get  $w(Y) \leq 4$  and  $Y \cong (\mathbb{P}^1)^4$  if  $S$  is not as in Examples 3.1 and 3.2. Now assume  $w(Y') = 2$ . Thus  $w(E) = 2$ . We get that either  $w(Y'') = 2$  or  $Y'' \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  with  $\#\pi_3(A) = 1$ . Hence  $w(Y) \leq 3$ .  $\square$

### 8. $r_Y(q) = 3$

The point  $q \in \mathbb{P}^N$  has tensor rank 3 and hence  $\nu(A)$  and  $\nu(B)$  are tensor decompositions of it with the minimal number of terms. By concision ([9, Proposition 3.1.3.1])  $Y$  is the minimal multiprojective space containing  $A$  and the minimal multiprojective space containing  $B$ . Hence  $1 \leq n_i \leq 2$  for all  $i$ .  $Y$  is as in the cases of [5, Theorem 7.1] coming from the cases  $\#S = 6$ , i.e., we exclude case (6) of that list. In all cases (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) of that list we have  $w(Y) \leq 4$  and  $w(Y) = 4$  if and only if  $Y \cong (\mathbb{P}^1)^4$ . The sets  $\mathcal{S}(Y, q)$  to which  $A$  and  $B$  belong are described in the same paper. The possible concise  $Y$ 's are listed in [5, Theorem 7.1], but we stress that from the point of view of tensor ranks among the sets  $S$  described in one of the examples of [5] there is some structure. If we start with  $S$  with  $e(S) = 1$  and arising in this section and any decomposition  $S = A \cup B$  with  $\#A = \#B = 3$ , the assumption  $e(S) = 1$  and  $e(A) = e(B) = 0$  gives that  $\langle \nu(A) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(B) \rangle$  is a single point by the Grassmann's formula. Call  $q$  this point. If we assume  $r_X(q) = 3$ , then in [5] there is a description of all  $S \in \mathcal{S}(Y, q)$ . Changing the decomposition  $S = A \cup B$  change  $q$  and hence all sets associated to  $S$  using the point  $q$ . Thus if  $e(S) = 1$  and there is a partition  $S = A \cup B$  of  $S$  such that the point  $\langle \nu(A) \rangle \cap \langle \nu(B) \rangle$  has tensor rank 3, then to  $S$  and the partition  $S = A \cup B$  we may associate a family  $\mathcal{S}(Y, q)$  of circuits associated to  $q$ .

*End of the proof of Theorem 1.2.* In the last 4 sections we considered all possible cases coming from a fixed partition of  $A \cup B$ . We summarized the case  $r_Y(q) = 2$  in the statement of Lemma 7.8.  $\square$

### References

- [1] E. Ballico, *Linearly dependent subsets of Segre varieties*, J. Geom. **111** (2020), no. 2, Paper No. 23, 19 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00022-020-00534-7>
- [2] E. Ballico and A. Bernardi, *Stratification of the fourth secant variety of Veronese varieties via the symmetric rank*, Adv. Pure Appl. Math. **4** (2013), no. 2, 215–250. <https://doi.org/10.1515/apam-2013-0015>
- [3] E. Ballico, A. Bernardi, L. Chiantini, and E. Guardo, *Bounds on the tensor rank*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **197** (2018), no. 6, 1771–1785. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10231-018-0748-6>
- [4] E. Ballico, A. Bernardi, M. Christandl, and F. Gesmundo, *On the partially symmetric rank of tensor products of  $W$ -states and other symmetric tensors*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. **30** (2019), no. 1, 93–124. <https://doi.org/10.4171/RLM/837>
- [5] E. Ballico, A. Bernardi, and P. Santarsiero, *Identifiability of rank-3 tensors*, arXiv: 2001.10497.
- [6] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [7] J. W. P. Hirschfeld and J. A. Thas, *General Galois Geometries*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991.
- [8] W. C. Huffman and V. Pless, *Fundamentals of error-correcting codes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511807077>

- [9] J. M. Landsberg, *Tensors: geometry and applications*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 128, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/128>
- [10] B. Lovitz, *Toward a generalization of Kruskal's decomposition on tensor decomposition*, arXiv:1812.00264v2.

EDOARDO BALLICO  
UNIVERSITY OF TRENTO  
VIA SOMMARIVE 14  
38123 TRENTO (TN), ITALY  
*Email address:* `edoardo.ballico@unitn.it`