



On cubic-like bent Boolean functions

Claude Carlet^{1,2} · Irene Villa^{1,3,4} 

Received: 29 February 2024 / Accepted: 14 April 2025 / Published online: 19 June 2025
© The Author(s) 2025

Abstract

Cubic bent Boolean functions (i.e. bent functions of algebraic degree at most 3) have the property that, for every nonzero element a of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, the derivative $D_a f(x) = f(x) + f(x + a)$ of f admits at least one derivative $D_b D_a f(x) = f(x) + f(x + a) + f(x + b) + f(x + a + b)$ that is equal to constant function 1. We study the general class of those Boolean functions having this property, which we call cubic-like bent, since this property is enough to imply bentness. We study the properties of such functions and the structure of their constant second-order derivatives. We characterize them by means of their Walsh transform (that is, by their duals), by the Walsh transform of their derivatives and by other means. We study them within the Maiorana–McFarland class of bent functions, providing characterizations and constructions and showing the existence of cubic-like bent functions of any algebraic degree between 2 and $\frac{n}{2}$.

Keywords Boolean functions · Bent functions · Cubic functions · EA-equivalence

Mathematics Subject Classification 06E30 · 94A60 · 11T71

1 Introduction

Bent functions are fascinating mathematical objects playing important roles in combinatorics, finite fields, error-correcting codes, cryptography and sequences for telecommunications. They have been first studied by Rothaus [28] and Dillon, in his thesis [19] and in a few other papers. The idea was to focus on the Boolean functions $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ furthest from affine functions, from the viewpoint of the Hamming distance. It was visionary of Rothaus and his team to have had the intuition, already

✉ Irene Villa
irene1villa@gmail.com

Claude Carlet
claude.carlet@gmail.com

¹ University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

² University of Paris 8 (LAGA), Paris, France

³ University of Trento, Trento, Italy

⁴ University of Genova, Genova, Italy

in the seventies, that the Hamming distance could play such an important concrete role in cryptanalysis (unless the linear attack had already been guessed at that time at the NSA, as was the case with the differential attack); it is only several years later (at least in the academic world) that fast algebraic attacks on stream ciphers and linear attacks on block ciphers (both attacks based on the Hamming distance between Boolean functions and affine Boolean functions) were discovered. Actually, the notion of bent function was not really a new notion in combinatorics and design theory, since the supports of bent functions are equivalently difference sets. But the case of elementary 2-groups had been neglected by mathematicians, and this made the notion of bent function unexplored.

Given the relevance of these functions, their construction and classification are important goals for researchers. The classical constructions of bent functions correspond to the Maiorana–McFarland $\mathcal{M}$ class and the partial spreads $\mathcal{PS}$ class. The first one was introduced in [25] based on an idea of Maiorana and McFarland, while the $\mathcal{PS}$ class was introduced by Dillon in his thesis. Two new classes were then derived from the $\mathcal{M}$ class [6], usually denoted as $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$. Dobbertin also gave a construction of bent functions, which includes some elements of both classical constructions, based on the so-called *triple construction* [20]. And other classes evoked by Dillon without being much developed and secondary constructions (building new bent functions from known ones) have been investigated (for example, the class $\mathcal{H}$, see [13, 21], and the indirect sum construction, see [8]). The decomposition of bent functions over affine subspaces has also been studied by Canteaut and Charpin in [3]. If the restrictions $f_1, \dots, f_4$ of a bent n -variable function $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ to affine spaces of codimension 2 making a partition of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ are bent, we say that f admits a *bent 4-decomposition*. Not all bent functions admit such a decomposition¹. Other results on bent functions have been found that would be too numerous for being all recalled here. However, the classification of bent functions seems out of reach (only quadratic bent functions are all known and classified under affine equivalence). All bent functions are known for n (necessarily even) smaller than or equal to 8 only. For $k = 3, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$, the structure of general bent n -variable functions of algebraic degree k is unknown (the algebraic degree of bent functions cannot be larger than $\frac{n}{2}$). The study of bent functions consists then in investigating their properties (much work has been done since the seventies on them, but many questions remain open), constructing classes of bent functions (and each time a “new” class is found, there remains to show that the functions it provides do not all belong to already known classes, and this is more and more difficult to do while the number of classes increases), studying superclasses such as those of partially bent and plateaued functions, and subclasses (such as hyperbent functions) with the hope that eventually, the classification of such subclasses could be achieved (which is not the case of hyperbent functions, but properties have been found about them). Surveys can be found in [14, 26] and [10, Chapter 6] (which is more recent and focuses on binary bent functions). Bent functions can be defined as those Boolean functions whose derivatives $D_a f(x) = f(x) + f(x + a)$, $a \neq 0_n = (0, \dots, 0)$, are balanced (that is, take the values 0 and 1 equally often). They are also those Boolean functions

¹ Some bent functions related to the notion studied in the present paper will happen to always have a 4-decomposition; this will give one more motivation for studying the notion introduced.

in even numbers of variables that lie at maximum Hamming distance $2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ from affine Boolean functions.

After algebraic degree 2, which has allowed a simple classification of bent functions, algebraic degree 3 seems to be the most appropriate for a possible classification (in a possibly distant future). It therefore seems useful to inventory the particularities of cubic bent functions (whose algebraic normal form has degree at most 3) and to study in general the classes of functions corresponding to each of these specificities (of course, these latter classes have no reason to be strictly limited to cubic functions). The derivatives $D_a f$ of cubic bent functions f , which have then an algebraic degree of at most 2, are balanced if and only if they admit at least one derivative $D_b D_a f(x) = D_a D_b f(x)$ that is equal to the constant function 1 (see, e.g., [10, Proposition 55 and foll.]). We will later explain this in more detail.

In this work, we study the general class of those Boolean functions, that we call *cubic-like bent*, whose derivatives $D_a f$, $a \neq 0_n$, all admit at least one derivative equal to constant function 1. A nice fact is that this property of being “cubic-like bent” suffices to ensure that the function is bent. This makes this property particularly interesting to study, because cubic-like bent functions thus appear as distinguished bent functions, but in a different way from known classes of bent functions, whose bentness is proved thanks to the choice of a particular structure (related to some decomposition of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, into cosets of a vector space as in the case of $\mathcal{M}$ functions, or into a spread, as in the case of $\mathcal{PS}$ functions and functions in class $\mathcal{H}$). In the case of cubic-like bent functions, the reason why the function is bent is independent of the choice of a specific structure. We shall study the properties of cubic-like bent functions, study them within the classical Maiorana–McFarland class of bent functions, provide construction methods for cubic-like bent functions, and show that, regardless of the restrictive condition in this newly introduced property, there are such functions of any (admissible) degree.

This work is organized as follows: After preliminaries in Sect. 2, we define cubic-like bent functions in Sect. 3, providing some basic characterizations and studying the properties of the notion, such as its EA-invariance. In Sect. 4, we study the number of constant second-order derivatives of cubic-like functions. Section 5 investigates the dual of a cubic-like bent map and presents different characterizations of the studied property by means of the Walsh transform of the function (and hence, by means of the dual of the function), and of the Walsh transform of its derivatives. Section 6 studies the cubic-like bent property for functions belonging to the Maiorana–McFarland class (where we find cubic-like bent functions of any degree between 2 and $\frac{n}{2}$). To conclude, Appendix A presents some computational results.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{F}_2$ be the finite field with two elements and, for n a positive integer, let $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ be the vector space of dimension n over $\mathbb{F}_2$. With 0_n , we refer to the all-zero vector in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, while with e_i , for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we refer to the i -th vector in the canonical basis of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, that is, the vector in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ that has the i -th entrance equal to 1 and all the others equal to zero.

A function $F : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^m$, where m is a positive integer too, is called an (n, m) -function, and if we do not want to specify the values of n and m , we call it a *vectorial Boolean function* or more simply a *vectorial function*. When $m = 1$, a function $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is called an n -variable *Boolean function*. Its Hamming weight equals the size of its support: $w_H(f) = |\text{supp}(f)|$, where $\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n; f(x) = 1\}$ (and the Hamming distance between two functions equals the Hamming weight of their sum). The *linear kernel* of a Boolean function (or of a vectorial function) f equals the set of all $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that the derivative $D_a f(x) = f(x) + f(x+a)$ is constant. The *0-linear kernel* equals the set of all $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that the derivative $D_a f(x) = f(x) + f(x+a)$ equals the 0 function. Its elements are called *linear structures*. Both are vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}_2$ since, for every a and b , we have $D_a f(x) + D_b f(x) = D_{a+b} f(x+a)$. The 0-linear kernel of a Boolean function either is a linear hyperplane of the linear kernel or equals the whole linear kernel. We shall call the difference between these two vector spaces the *1-linear kernel* of f , that is, the set of all $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that $D_a f(x) = f(x) + f(x+a)$ equals constant function 1.

A Boolean function f admits a unique representation as a multivariate polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_2$, called its algebraic normal form (ANF):

$$f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigoplus_{I \subseteq [n]} a_I \prod_{i \in I} x_i, \quad a_I \in \mathbb{F}_2,$$

where $[n]$ is the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. The monomial $\prod_{i \in I} x_i$ is a term of f whenever $a_I \neq 0$, that is, $a_I = 1$. The algebraic degree of f , denoted by $\deg(f)$, is the maximal value in the set $\{|I| : I \subseteq [n] \text{ s.t. } a_I \neq 0\}$. A function f has algebraic degree n if and only if it has an odd Hamming weight and it is affine if it has algebraic degree at most 1 (and linear if in addition it satisfies $f(0_n) = 0$). We call quadratic (resp. cubic) the Boolean functions of algebraic degree at most 2 (resp. at most 3). A Boolean function is called *balanced* if its output is equally distributed over 0's and 1's. A quadratic function f is balanced if and only if at least one of its derivatives $D_a f(x)$ equals constant function 1, see [10, Proposition 55 and foll.]. For a non-quadratic function f , this latter condition is sufficient (but no more necessary) for f to be balanced. Indeed, if f admits a derivative equal to constant function 1, then there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ and a set $V \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$, $|V| = 2^{n-1}$ such that $V \cup (V+a) = \mathbb{F}_2^n$ and $(f(v), f(v+a)) = (1, 0)$ for every $v \in V$. Two n -variable Boolean functions f and g are called *extended affine equivalent* (shortly *EA-equivalent*) if there exist a linear automorphism $L(x)$ of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, an affine n -variable Boolean function $\ell(x)$ and an element d of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that:

$$g(x) = f(L(x) + d) + \ell(x). \quad (1)$$

In this case, we write $f \stackrel{\text{EA}}{\sim} g$. If in (1), we have $\ell(x) = 0$, then f and g are called *affine equivalent* ($f \stackrel{\text{aff}}{\sim} g$) and if additionally $d = 0_n$, they are called *linearly equivalent*.

The Walsh transform of f is defined as $W_f(u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x) + x \cdot u}$ with $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, where " $\cdot$ " is some inner product in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$. It equals the Fourier transform of the so-called *sign function* $(-1)^{f(x)}$ where the Fourier transform of a function $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ equals $\widehat{\varphi}(u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \varphi(x) (-1)^{x \cdot u}$. We shall use the so-called inverse Walsh transform

formula:

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} W_f(a)(-1)^{a \cdot x} = 2^n (-1)^{f(x)}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{F}(f)$ the value at 0_n of the Walsh transform: $\mathcal{F}(f) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x)}$. A function f is therefore balanced if and only if $\mathcal{F}(f) = 0$.

Note that $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ can be endowed with the structure of the field $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and an inner product is then $x \cdot y = \text{tr}(xy)$, where tr is the trace function from $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_2$: $\text{tr}(x) = x + x^2 + x^{2^2} + \cdots + x^{2^{n-1}}$ (see more in [10]).

The mentioned notions can be extended to the case of vectorial Boolean functions. Indeed, an (n, m) -function F can be seen as the collection of m Boolean functions (in n variables) $f_1, \dots, f_m$, called the coordinate functions of F , and having the same input: $F(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x))$. The algebraic degree of F is then the maximal algebraic degree of its coordinate functions, and the Walsh transform is defined as $W_F(u, v) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{v \cdot F(x) + x \cdot u}$ with $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, $v \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$, where we denote the same way inner products in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ and $\mathbb{F}_2^m$.

This work is mainly devoted to the study of bent Boolean functions. In the following, we report the definition and some important properties related to these functions. An n -variable Boolean function f is called bent if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions holds ([28]):

1. for any nonzero $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, the derivative $D_a f$ is balanced;
2. f lies at maximal Hamming distance $2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ from affine Boolean functions;
3. the Walsh transform W_f takes all its values in $\{-2^{\frac{n}{2}}, 2^{\frac{n}{2}}\}$.

Clearly, bent functions exist only for even values of n and they cannot be balanced. Moreover, a bent Boolean function in $n > 2$ variables has algebraic degree at most $\frac{n}{2}$, see [28]. All quadratic bent functions are known: they are the Boolean functions that are EA-equivalent to the function $x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + \cdots + x_{n-1}x_n$, or more precisely, that are affine equivalent to $x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + \cdots + x_{n-1}x_n$ or to $x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + \cdots + x_{n-1}x_n + 1$. Algebraic degree 2 is the only one for which such classification is known. In particular, the structure of cubic bent functions is widely unknown. Hou in [22] partially characterized cubic bent functions $f: \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ by means of the ranks $r_3(f)$ and $r_2(f)$. To be precise, for $t = \deg(f)$, $r_t(f)$ is the minimum integer r such that f is linear equivalent to some function $g(x_1, \dots, x_r) + h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $\deg(g) = t$ and $\deg(h) < t$. Then $r_{t-1}(f)$ is computed as $r_{t-1}(h(0, \dots, 0, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n))$. For $n = 2m$, Theorem 4.2 in [22] shows that if $r_3(f) < m$ then f is affine equivalent to $g(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) + x_{n-1}x_n$, with g a cubic bent function in $n - 2$ variables, whereas if $r_3(f) = m$ and $r_2(f) = 0$ then f is affine equivalent to $h(x_1, \dots, x_m) + x_1x_{m+1} + \cdots + x_mx_{2m}$, for some $h: \mathbb{F}_2^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$. A complete classification via computer search has been only performed in small dimensions: whereas in dimension 6 it is known that there are only 3 equivalence classes, under affine equivalence, of cubic bent functions, for dimension 8 there are 9 classes of such functions, see [24]. We expect that the number of affine classes increases rapidly with the dimension. Recently were presented families of cubic bent functions outside of the completed Maiorana–McFarland class

(the class of those functions EA-equivalent to Maiorana–McFarland functions), see [27].

Given a bent Boolean function f , its dual function, denoted by $\tilde{f}$, is the Boolean function that satisfies, for $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, $2^{\frac{n}{2}}(-1)^{\tilde{f}(u)} = W_f(u)$. The function $\tilde{f}$ is also bent and its dual is f itself [19, 28]. The mapping $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ preserves the Hamming distance between bent functions (see [10]). Using the dual for studying some kinds of bent functions is often very efficient, but not always as we shall see.

Notation: we shall write $f \equiv 0$ (or $f(x) \equiv 0$) or $f \equiv 1$ (or $f(x) \equiv 1$) for a Boolean function f to specify it is constant function 0 or constant function 1.

3 The cubic-like bentness property

For a cubic bent Boolean function (that is, a bent Boolean function of algebraic degree at most 3), every (nonzero) derivative is balanced and quadratic (that is, has algebraic degree at most 2), and we know that any balanced quadratic function has at least one derivative equal to the constant function 1 (see e.g. [10, Proposition 55 and foll.]). Therefore, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 1 A Boolean function $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is *cubic-like bent* if, for every nonzero $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, there exists $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that the second-order derivative:

$$D_b D_a f(x) = D_a D_b f(x) = f(x) + f(x+a) + f(x+b) + f(x+a+b)$$

equals the constant function 1 (which we write $D_a D_b f \equiv 1$).

Proposition 1 *If f is a cubic bent function, then f is cubic-like bent. In the opposite direction, if f is cubic-like bent, then it is bent.*

Proof The first implication is proved above. The second one comes from the fact that, for every nonzero $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, the derivative $D_a f$ is balanced, hence the function is bent. $\square$

Remark 1 The nonzero vector b in Definition 1 belongs to the linear kernel of $D_a f$. More precisely, it belongs to the complement of the 0-linear kernel of $D_a f$ in the linear kernel of $D_a f$; the condition of Definition 1 is equivalent to saying that, for every nonzero a , the linear kernel of $D_a f$ and its 0-linear kernel are different. Of course, Proposition 1 implies that if f is cubic-like bent, then its number of variables is even.

Remark 2 We recall that in [3], Canteaut and Charpin introduced the concept of bent 4-decomposition: given f a Boolean function in n variables, $n \geq 4$, the list of the restrictions f_1 of f to a linear subspace of dimension $n-2$, and f_2, f_3, f_4 to its cosets, is called a bent 4-decomposition if all f_i 's are bent (seen as Boolean functions in $n-2$ variables). Then, the authors proved that, given a bent function f , if (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) is a decomposition with respect to the linear subspace $V = \langle a, b \rangle^\perp$, for a, b distinct nonzero elements, then it is a bent 4-decomposition if and only if $D_a D_b \tilde{f} \equiv 1$.

Therefore, we have that the dual of a cubic-like bent Boolean function in n variables ($n \geq 4$) always admits a bent 4-decomposition. This is a nice connection between the notion of cubic-like bent function and the literature. Following the proof of [3, Corollary 5], we have moreover that the dual of a cubic-like bent Boolean function has more than $\frac{2^n-1}{3}$ bent 4-decompositions.

Proposition 2 *The cubic-like bentness property is EA-invariant.*

Proof Assume f and g are two EA-equivalent n -variable Boolean functions, so $g(x) = f(L(x) + d) + \ell(x)$ as in (1). One can easily compute, for $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, that

$$D_a D_b g(x) = D_{L(a)} D_{L(b)} f(L(x) + d).$$

Since L is an automorphism, the invariance is proved. $\square$

Remark 3 Recall that a Boolean function is bent if and only if, for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, we have $\sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{D_a D_b f(x)} = 2^n$ (see [15]), that is,

$$\sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, a \neq 0_n} (-1)^{D_a D_b f(x)} = 0.$$

Let us see how this property is satisfied by cubic-like bent functions. For every $a \neq 0_n$, let b_a be such that $D_a D_{b_a} f \equiv 1$. Then, for every $b, x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, we have $D_a D_{b+b_a} f(x) = D_a D_b f(x) + D_a D_{b_a} f(x+b) = D_a D_b f(x) + 1$, which makes that $(-1)^{D_a D_b f(x)} + (-1)^{D_a D_{b+b_a} f(x)} = 0$. Hence, in each of the sums $\sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{D_a D_b f(x)}$ where $a \neq 0_n$ and x both belong to $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, the values cancel each others by pairs of elements b having a constant difference. The property $D_a D_{b+b_a} f(x) = D_a D_b f(x) + 1$ implies in particular that if g_a is a Boolean function such that $D_a D_{b_a} g_a$ equals the zero function, then we also have that $\sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{D_a D_b (f+g_a)(x)} = 0$ (since $D_a D_{b_a} (f+g_a) \equiv 1$). For general bent Boolean functions f , we have, denoting $y = x + b$, that the sum $\sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{D_a D_b f(x)}$ equals $\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{D_a f(x) + D_a f(y)} = (-1)^{D_a f(x)} \mathcal{F}(D_a f)$, and equals then zero as well. But this is not in general because the values cancel each others by pairs of elements having a constant difference.

3.1 Secondary constructions within the class of cubic-like bent functions

If for some Boolean function g and some $a \neq 0_n$, there exists $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ belonging to the intersection between the 0-linear kernel of $D_a g$ and the 1-linear kernel of $D_a f$, then we have $D_a D_b (f+g) = D_a f + D_a g \equiv 1$, we deduce:

Proposition 3 *Consider $f, g : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$. If f is cubic-like bent and g is a Boolean function such that, for every $a \neq 0_n$, the intersection between the 0-linear kernel of $D_a g$ and the 1-linear kernel of $D_a f$ is not empty, then $f + g$ is cubic-like bent. This happens, for instance, if the 0-linear kernel of $D_a g$ includes the linear kernel of $D_a f$ as a vector subspace. A particular case is when g is cubic, $D_a g$ is unbalanced and its linear kernel includes the linear kernel of $D_a f$.*

Proof For clarity, we explicitly show that $f + g$ is cubic-like bent, hence bent, when f, g satisfy the hypothesis. Indeed, for any nonzero $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ there exists $b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ belonging to the intersection between the 0-linear kernel of $D_a g$ and the 1-linear kernel of $D_a f$. So we have $D_a D_b(f + g) = D_a D_b f + D_a D_b g \equiv 1$, implying the cubic-like bent property. $\square$

Note that the particular case in Proposition 3, where the 0-linear kernel of $D_a g$ always includes the linear kernel of $D_a f$, is only a sufficient condition (it is not necessary): there exist cubic-like bent functions f and cubic functions g such that function $f + g$ is cubic-like bent and there exists $a \neq 0_n$ and an element u in the linear kernel of $D_a f$ that is not in the 0-linear kernel of $D_a g$.

Example 1 Consider over $\mathbb{F}_2^8$ the Boolean functions $f(x) = x_1x_5 + x_2x_6 + x_3x_7 + x_4x_5x_6x_7 + x_4x_8$ and $g(x) = x_5x_6x_8$. One can verify computationally that both f and $f + g$ are cubic-like bent, but for $a = e_5$ and $b = e_1 + e_8$, we have $D_a D_b f(x) \equiv 1$ and $D_a D_b g(x) = x_6$. We checked that the 1-linear kernel of $D_a f$ has always an intersection with the 0-linear kernel of $D_a g$; so this is an example illustrating the general case of Proposition 3 and the fact that its particular case is strictly less general. Notice that f and $f + g$ belong to the Maiorana–McFarland class of bent functions (cf. [10, Subsection 6.1.15]), later analyzed in Sect. 6.

Note also that there exist examples of a cubic-like bent function f and a Boolean function g such that the hypothesis of Proposition 3 is not satisfied but $f + g$ is still bent.

Example 2 Consider over $\mathbb{F}_2^8$ the Boolean functions $f(x) = x_1x_2x_4 + x_1x_8 + x_2x_7 + x_3x_6 + x_4x_5$ and $g(x) = x_1x_2x_4 + x_2x_5x_7 + x_2x_6 + x_2x_7 + x_3x_6 + x_3x_7 + x_6x_7x_8$.

One can prove computationally that both f and $f + g$ are bent (and so cubic-like bent). However, we can notice that the hypothesis of Proposition 3 is not satisfied. Indeed, for $a = e_2$ we have $D_a f(x) = x_1x_4 + x_7$ and $D_a g(x) = x_1x_4 + x_5x_7 + x_6 + x_7$. So $\{(b_1, \dots, b_8) \in \mathbb{F}_2^8; b_1 = b_4 = 0, b_7 = 1\}$ is the 1-linear kernel of $D_a f$, while $\{(b_1, \dots, b_8) \in \mathbb{F}_2^8; b_1 = b_4 = b_5 = b_7 = b_6 = 0\}$ is the 0-linear kernel of $D_a g$, which have an empty intersection. Notice that both f and $f + g$ belong to the Maiorana–McFarland class.

We also obtain the following result, dealing with a classic secondary construction of bent functions called the direct sum. Since the notion of cubic-like bentness is EA-invariant, the result deals with what Dillon [19] called decomposable bent functions.

Proposition 4 Consider $f : \mathbb{F}_2^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ such that

$$f(x, y) \stackrel{\text{EA}}{\sim} g(x) + h(y),$$

for $n, m > 1$, where g and h are Boolean functions in n and m variables, respectively. Then, f is cubic-like bent if and only if g and h are cubic-like bent.

Proof Since the cubic-like bentness property is EA-invariant, we can assume without loss of generality that $f(x, y) = g(x) + h(y)$. To simplify the notation, we write an

element $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n+m}$ as $a = (a_1, a_2)$ with $a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ and $a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$. From the facts that for $a = (a_1, 0_m)$ we have $D_a f = D_{a_1} g$ and for $a = (0_n, a_2)$ we have $D_a f = D_{a_2} h$, we can easily deduce that if f is cubic-like bent, then also g and h are cubic-like bent. For the other implication, assume that g and h are cubic-like bent and take any nonzero $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n+m}$. If $a_1 = 0_n$ ($a_2 \neq 0_m$), consider $b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ such that $D_{a_2} D_{b_2} h \equiv 1$ and set $b = (0_n, b_2)$. Then $D_a D_b f \equiv 1$. If $a_1 \neq 0_n$, consider $b_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that $D_{a_1} D_{b_1} g \equiv 1$ and set $b = (b_1, 0_m)$. Then, we have that $D_a D_b f \equiv 1$. From this, we deduce the cubic-like bentness of f and we conclude the proof. $\square$

3.1.1 An example illustrating Proposition 3: the sum of a quadratic bent function and of the trace of some polynomials

Let $n = 2m$ and $q = 2^m$; take any polynomial $Q(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, expand $Q(x + x^q)$ and express it in the form $P(x) + (P(x))^q$. This is possible because, since $Q(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, we have $(Q(x + x^q))^q = Q((x + x^q)^q) = Q(x + x^q)$. For instance, with $Q(x) = x^7$, we have $P(x) = x^{4+2+1} + x^{2q+4+1} + x^{q+4+2} + x^{4q+2+1}$.

Consider the quadratic function $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \text{tr}_n(u_i x^{2^i+1})$ with $u_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ and $u_m \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Assume that the linear mapping $L(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m (u_i x^{2^i} + u_i^{2^{n-i}} x^{2^{n-i}}) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is a permutation polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Note that for any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, we have $D_a D_b f(x) = \text{tr}_n(bL(a))$. Then, we have that the functions $f(x)$ and $g(x) = \text{tr}_n(P(x)) = \text{tr}_m(Q(x + x^q))$ satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 3.

To prove this, we consider the double derivatives $D_a D_b f(x) = \text{tr}_n(bL(a))$ and $D_a D_b g(x) = D_a D_b \text{tr}_m(Q(x + x^q))$.

If $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, then $D_a \text{tr}_m(Q(x + x^q)) = \text{tr}_m(Q(x + x^q)) + \text{tr}_m(Q(x + x^q)) = 0$, and therefore, $D_a D_b \text{tr}_m(Q(x + x^q)) = 0$ and, from the hypothesis, $L(a) \neq 0$ implies that there exists $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that $D_a D_b (f + g) = \text{tr}_n(bL(a)) = 1$.

If $a \notin \mathbb{F}_q$, then let us show that there exists $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $\text{tr}_n(bL(a)) = 1$ (then since $b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we will have $D_b \text{tr}_m(Q(x + x^q)) = 0$ and $D_a D_b \text{tr}_m(Q(x + x^q)) = 0$, and the hypothesis will be satisfied). Suppose the contrary, then for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we have $\text{tr}_n(cL(a)) = 0$. Recalling that $L \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, we have $0 = \text{tr}_n(cL(a)) = \text{tr}_m(cL(a) + c^q(L(a))^q) = \text{tr}_m(c(L(a) + L(a^q))) = \text{tr}_m(cL(a + a^q))$ and if this is valid for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ it must hold $L(a + a^q) = 0$. This is not possible since $a + a^q \neq 0$. Therefore, we proved that for any nonzero $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ there exists b such that $D_a D_b f(x) = 1$ and $D_a D_b g(x) = 0$.

Proposition 5 For $n = 2m$, with m a positive integer, set $q = 2^m$. Given any polynomial $Q(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, express $Q(x + x^q)$ in the form $P(x) + (P(x))^q$. Consider $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \text{tr}_n(u_i x^{2^i+1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x]$ and set $L(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m (u_i x^{2^i} + u_i^{2^{n-i}} x^{2^{n-i}})$. If $u_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ and $L(x)$ restricted to $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a bijection, then the two functions $f(x)$ and $g(x) = \text{tr}_n(P(x))$ satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 3. In particular, $f + g$ is cubic-like bent.

Notice that function $f + g$ belongs to the family of Maiorana–McFarland class of bent functions. Indeed, for any $a, b \in E$ where E is the m -dimensional vector space $\mathbb{F}_q$, we have $D_a D_b (f + g) = 0$.

3.2 The algebraic structure of cubic-like bent functions

Let us now show that cubic-like bent functions have a particular shape.

Proposition 6 *If f is a cubic-like bent function, then*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \stackrel{\text{EA}}{\sim} x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + h(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

with h such that none of its terms is a multiple of x_1x_2 or x_3x_4 .

Proof Consider $a = e_1$. Up to an EA-transformation, we can assume that for $b = e_2$ we have $D_a D_b f(x) \equiv 1$. Hence, we can write f as

$$f(x) = x_1x_2 + x_1g_1(\bar{x}^{[1,2]}) + x_2g_2(\bar{x}^{[1,2]}) + g_3(\bar{x}^{[1,2]}),$$

where $\bar{x}^I$ denotes x deprived of its coordinates of indices $i \in I$. We can also assume that (the ANF of) g_1 and g_2 do not contain any constant or linear term (if x_1 is a term of f , then by applying the affine permutation $x_2 \rightarrow x_2 + 1$ the term disappears, similarly for the term x_2 ; notice that the other terms of g_1 and g_2 are not modified; and if x_1x_j is a term of f , then by applying the affine permutation $x_2 \rightarrow x_2 + x_j$ the term disappears, similarly for the term x_2x_j ; here again, the other terms of g_1 and g_2 are not modified).

Consider now $a = e_3$. We have $D_{e_3}f(x) = x_1h_1(\bar{x}^{[1,2,3]}) + x_2h_2(\bar{x}^{[1,2,3]}) + h_3(\bar{x}^{[1,2,3]})$, where h_1 and h_2 do not contain the constant term 1. Set $B = \{b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n; D_{e_3}D_b f \equiv 1\}$. We have that $e_1, e_2, e_1 + e_2$ do not belong to B , since $h_1, h_2, h_1 + h_2 \neq 1$, hence there exists $b \notin \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$ such that $D_{e_3}D_b f \equiv 1$. Take an element $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in B$; since $b_i \neq 0$ for some $i > 3$, up to a change of variables, we can assume $b_4 = 1$. Set T be the linear permutation such that $T(x_j) = x_j$, for $j \neq 4$, and $T(x_4) = \sum b_i x_i$. Then, the affine equivalent function $g = f \circ T$ is such that $D_{e_1}D_{e_2}g = D_{e_3}D_{e_4}g \equiv 1$. Hence, we have $g(x) = x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $D_{e_1}D_{e_2}h = D_{e_3}D_{e_4}h \equiv 1$. Since $D_{e_i}D_{e_j}h(x) = h_{ij}(\bar{x}^{[i,j]})$ is such that $h(x) = x_ih_i(\bar{x}^{[i,j]}) + x_jh_j(\bar{x}^{[i,j]}) + x_ix_jh_{ij}(\bar{x}^{[i,j]}) + h'_{ij}(\bar{x}^{[i,j]})$, we have $h_{12} = h_{34} \equiv 0$, so h is as stated. $\square$

4 On the affine spaces $\{b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n; D_a D_b f \equiv 1\}$, and the related expression of some functions f

For an n -variable Boolean function f and $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, consider the set

$$B_a = \{b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n; D_a D_b f \equiv 1\}. \quad (2)$$

B_a being the difference between the linear kernel of $D_a f$ and its 0-linear kernel, then if it is not empty, it is an affine space (and not a vector space) and its direction equals the 0-linear kernel:

$$\vec{B}_a = \{b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n; D_a D_b f \equiv 0\}. \quad (3)$$

Observation Given an n -variable cubic-like bent function f , for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, $a \neq 0_n$, B_a is an affine space whose direction equals $\vec{B}_a$.

The union $B_a \cup \vec{B}_a$ equals the linear kernel of $D_a f$. Clearly, for any $a \neq 0_n$, $\{0_n, a\} \subseteq \vec{B}_a$; and for any $a, b \neq 0_n$, $b \in B_a$ if and only if $a \in B_b$.

Consider now the multiset

$$\mathcal{B} = \{*|B_a| : a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0_n\}*\}. \quad (4)$$

The function f is cubic-like bent if and only if $0 \notin \mathcal{B}$. Moreover, we have that two EA-equivalent Boolean functions have the same multiset $\mathcal{B}$.

Assume that a bent Boolean function f admits an element $a \neq 0_n$ such that $D_a f$ is affine (and non-constant since f is bent). Since any non-constant affine function, being affine equivalent to the function x_1 , has an affine hyperplane of derivatives equal to constant function 1, this implies that $\dim(\vec{B}_a) = n - 1$, and so $|B_a| = |\vec{B}_a| = 2^{n-1}$. Conversely, if $|B_a| = |\vec{B}_a| = 2^{n-1}$ then $D_a f$ is affine, since this means that every derivative of $D_a f$ is constant. Note that the set of elements a such that $D_a f$ is affine forms a vector space. Let k be the dimension of this vector space; we have

$$|\{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n; |B_a| = 2^{n-1}\}| = 2^k - 1.$$

Up to a linear transformation, let $e_1, \dots, e_k$ be in such set. We have therefore that $x_1, \dots, x_k$ appear only in quadratic terms:

$$f(x) \stackrel{\text{aff}}{\sim} q(x_1, \dots, x_n) + g(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n), \quad (5)$$

where q is a quadratic Boolean function in n variables and g is a Boolean function in $n - k$ variables.

In [7], the first author proved that, for f bent, $W_{D_a f}(u) = W_{D_u \tilde{f}}(a)$ (this result is recalled in [10, Relation (6.4)]). Later, Canteaut and Charpin rediscovered this result in [3, Corollary 2] and that $D_a f = \varphi_b + \varepsilon$ if and only if $D_b \tilde{f} = \varphi_a + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and $\varphi_c(x)$ is the linear function $x \cdot c$. Hence, if f is bent, the number of affine derivatives of f is equal to the number of affine derivatives of $\tilde{f}$. This can be simply verified by observing that $D_{a_1} f = \varphi_{b_1} + \varepsilon_1$ and $D_{a_2} f = \varphi_{b_2} + \varepsilon_2$ satisfy $b_1 = b_2$ if and only if $a_1 = a_2$, since $b_1 = b_2$ implies that $D_{a_1+a_2} f = \varphi_{b_1} + \varphi_{b_2} + a_1 \cdot b_2 + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ is constant.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{B}_f$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{f}}$ the multisets defined in (4) for the cubic-like bent function f and its dual $\tilde{f}$. Therefore, we have that the multiplicity of 2^{n-1} in $\mathcal{B}_f$ is the same as the one in $\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{f}}$. So both f and $\tilde{f}$ are as in (5). Notice that we only assume here that f and $\tilde{f}$ are bent.

In order for f to be of algebraic degree greater than three, we need $k \leq n - 4$. Hence, the following proposition is satisfied.

Lemma 1 *Given an n -variable cubic-like bent Boolean function f with $\deg(f) > 3$ or with $\deg(\tilde{f}) > 3$, consider the multiset $\mathcal{B}$ defined in (4). Then, the multiplicity of 2^{n-1} in $\mathcal{B}$ is at most $2^{n-4} - 1$.*

If we consider the limit case with $k = n - 4$ for a cubic-like bent function, we have the following result.

Proposition 7 *Consider an n -variable Boolean function f of degree greater than 3. Assume that the multiset $\mathcal{B}$ defined in (4) contains the element 2^{n-1} with multiplicity $2^{n-4} - 1$. Then, f is cubic-like bent if and only if*

$$f(x) \stackrel{\text{EA}}{\sim} x_{n-3}x_{n-2}x_{n-1}x_n + \sum_{i=1}^m x_i x_{n-i+1},$$

where $m = \frac{n}{2} \geq 4$.

The proof of this proposition is quite long and technical. The interested reader can find it in the preprint version of this work, see [16].

Remark 4 The function in Proposition 7 belongs to the Maiorana–McFarland class (see Sect. 6 for more details). Indeed, denoting $y = (x_m, \dots, x_3, x_2, x_n)$ and $z = (x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_1)$ we have $f(x) \stackrel{\text{EA}}{\sim} y \cdot \pi(z)$ with

$$\pi(z) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{m+1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-2} \\ x_{n-1} \\ x_{n-3}x_{n-2}x_{n-1} + x_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

4.1 About the superclass of the cubic-like bent function class, made of those bent functions admitting at least one second-order derivative equal to constant 1

Let f be a bent function such that $D_a D_b f \equiv 1$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$. Since a and b are necessarily linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_2$, then up to affine equivalence, we have $f(x) = x_1x_2 + x_1g_1(\bar{x}^{\{1,2\}}) + x_2g_2(\bar{x}^{\{1,2\}}) + g_3(\bar{x}^{\{1,2\}})$. Then, as already observed for cubic bent functions in [5] and reported in [10, Proposition 75 and Subsection 6.1.11], we have:

$$\begin{aligned} W_f(a) &= \sum_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2, x' \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n-2}} (-1)^{x_1x_2 + x_1g_1(x') + x_2g_2(x') + g_3(x') + a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \bar{a}^{\{1,2\}} \cdot x'} \\ &= \sum_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2, x' \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n-2}} (-1)^{(x_1 + g_2(x') + a_2)(x_2 + g_1(x') + a_1) + (g_1(x') + a_1)(g_2(x') + a_2) + g_3(x') + \bar{a}^{\{1,2\}} \cdot x'} \\ &= \sum_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2, x' \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n-2}} (-1)^{x_1x_2 + (g_1(x') + a_1)(g_2(x') + a_2) + g_3(x') + \bar{a}^{\{1,2\}} \cdot x'} \\ &= 2 \sum_{x' \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n-2}} (-1)^{(g_1(x') + a_1)(g_2(x') + a_2) + g_3(x') + \bar{a}^{\{1,2\}} \cdot x'}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and since f is bent, then for every a_1, a_2 , the $(n - 2)$ -variable function

$$(g_1(x') + a_1)(g_2(x') + a_2) + g_3(x')$$

is bent for every a_1, a_2 (and this is a necessary and sufficient condition for the bentness of f).

Note that, even when f is cubic, these four functions may not be cubic since g_1, g_2 are quadratic (but $g_1 g_2$ always has algebraic degree at most $\frac{n}{2}$).

We checked that, unfortunately, when f is cubic-like bent (even when it is cubic bent), such functions $(g_1(x') + a_1)(g_2(x') + a_2) + g_3(x')$ are in general not cubic-like bent.

Remark 5 A 2-variable function being bent if and only if it has algebraic degree 2, that is, if it has an odd Hamming weight, or still equivalently, if it sums to 1 over $\mathbb{F}_2^2$, the property of being cubic-like bent is equivalent to: for every $a \neq 0_2$ there exists b such that the restriction of f to any affine plane with underlying linear space $\langle a, b \rangle$ is bent. Taking, up to affine equivalence, $a = e_1$ and $b = e_2$, where e_1 and e_2 are the two first vectors in the canonical basis of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, we can apply [10, Theorem 15] (with the value of n in this theorem taken here equal to 2, and the parameter m in the theorem playing here the role of $n - 2$) and this gives Relation (6) since the dual of a 2-variable bent function of the form $h(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2 + g_1 x_1 + g_2 x_2 + g_3$, where $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \mathbb{F}_2$, equals $\tilde{h}(a_1, a_2) = (g_1 + a_1)(g_2 + a_2) + g_3$.

5 Characterization by the Walsh transform

In this section, we provide a characterization of the cubic-like bentness property by means of the Walsh transform of the function (and the related condition on the dual function), and of the Walsh transform of the derivatives.

5.1 Characterization by the Walsh transform of the function

The condition $D_a D_b f(x) \equiv 1$ is equivalent to the condition that we have $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x) + f(x+a) + f(x+b) + f(x+a+b)} = -2^n$, that is, according to the inverse Walsh transform formula,

$$\begin{aligned} -2^{5n} &= \sum_{x, u, v, w, t \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} W_f(u) W_f(v) W_f(w) W_f(t) (-1)^{u \cdot x + v \cdot (x+a) + w \cdot (x+b) + t \cdot (x+a+b)} \\ &= 2^n \sum_{u, v, w \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} W_f(u) W_f(v) W_f(w) W_f(u+v+w) (-1)^{v \cdot a + w \cdot b + (u+v+w) \cdot (a+b)} \\ &= 2^n \sum_{u, v, w \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} W_f(u) W_f(u+v) W_f(u+w) W_f(u+v+w) (-1)^{v \cdot b + w \cdot a}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the definition of the dual of a bent function, we obtain:

$$\sum_{u,v,w \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{D_v D_w \tilde{f}(u) + v \cdot b + w \cdot a} = -2^{2n}. \quad (7)$$

Remark 6 The cubic-like bentness of a function is not equivalent to the cubic-like bentness of its dual. An infinite class of cubic bent functions (that are then cubic-like bent), whose duals are not cubic-like bent (as we can check, since they do not admit a so-called bent 4-decomposition, that is, there are no a, b such that $D_a D_b \tilde{f} \equiv 1$) is described in [3, Corollary 6] (and then we have a second interesting subclass of bent functions, made of the duals of cubic-like bent functions).

Open problem: determine all those cubic-like bent functions (resp. all those cubic bent functions) whose duals are cubic-like bent (resp. are cubic bent).

Note that all quadratic bent functions have quadratic duals and belong then to these two classes.

5.2 Characterization by the Walsh transform of the derivatives

Recall from [10, Subsection 3.1.7, Proposition 29] that, given any n -variable Boolean function h and any vector b in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, we have that $D_b h \equiv 1$ if and only if $W_h(c) = 0$ for every $c \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that $c \cdot b = 0$. Moreover, as already recalled in the previous section, for a bent function f it holds $W_{D_a f}(c) = W_{D_c \tilde{f}}(a)$. Hence, for f bent, $D_a D_b f \equiv 1$ if and only if $W_{D_c \tilde{f}}(a) = 0$ for every $c \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that $c \cdot b = 0$.

Consequently, a bent function is cubic-like bent if and only if, for every $a \neq 0_n$, there exists b such that $W_{D_c \tilde{f}}(a) = 0$ (equivalently $W_{D_a f}(c) = 0$) for every $c \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that $c \cdot b = 0$. The stated condition is equivalent to saying that the function $D_c \tilde{f}(x) + a \cdot x$ is balanced for every c orthogonal to b . The same for the map $D_a f(x) + c \cdot x$.

Since $(-1)^{D_c \tilde{f}(x) + a \cdot x} = 2^{-n} W_f(x) W_f(x + c) (-1)^{a \cdot x}$ (by the definition of $\tilde{f}$), we can obtain also a characterization by the Walsh transform itself. Notice that this last characterization can be obtained also without passing through the dual function, since $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} W_f(x) W_f(x + c) (-1)^{a \cdot x} = 2^n W_{D_a f}(c) (-1)^{a \cdot c}$.

We can summarize what obtained in the following proposition.

Proposition 8 *Given a Boolean function f , the following statements are equivalent:*

1. f is cubic-like bent (for any $a \neq 0_n$ there exists b such that $D_a D_b f \equiv 1$);
2. f is bent and for any $a \neq 0_n$ there exists b such that

$$\sum_{u,v,w \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{D_v D_w \tilde{f}(u) + v \cdot b + w \cdot a} = -2^{2n};$$

3. for any $a \neq 0_n$, there exists b such that $W_{D_a f}(c) = 0$ (that is, $D_a f(x) + c \cdot x$ is balanced) for every c orthogonal to b ; in other words, the Walsh support of $D_a f$ is included in the complement of a linear hyperplane of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$;

4. f is bent and for any $a \neq 0_n$, there exists b such that $W_{D_c \tilde{f}}(a) = 0$ (that is, $D_c \tilde{f}(x) + a \cdot x$ is balanced) for every c orthogonal to b ; in other words, for every such c , the Walsh support of $D_c \tilde{f}$ does not contain a .
5. for any $a \neq 0_n$, there exists b such that for every c orthogonal to b

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} W_f(x) W_f(x+c) (-1)^{a \cdot x} = 0.$$

The support of $W_{D_a f}$ can be further analyzed. Indeed, it is proved in [10] that $W_{D_a f}(u) = 0$, that is, $D_a f(x) + u \cdot x$ is balanced, for every u such that $a \cdot u = 1$. Hence, if f is cubic-like bent, the Walsh support of $D_a f$ is in fact included in the intersection of the complement of a linear hyperplane of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ and the linear hyperplane of equation $a \cdot u = 0$; this intersection is an affine space of codimension 2 since it is the intersection of two affine hyperplanes with distinct directions $\{0_n, b\}^\perp$ and $\{0_n, a\}^\perp$ (indeed, b cannot equal a , since $D_a D_a f \equiv 0$).

Remark 7 According to Proposition 8, a Boolean bent function f and its dual are both cubic-like bent if and only if, for every $a \neq 0_n$, there exist a linear hyperplane H_a and a linear hyperplane H'_a such that $W_{D_a f}(u) = W_{D_{u'} f}(a) = 0$, for every $u \in H_a$ and every $u' \in H'_a$ (and also for every u, u' non-orthogonal to a). Note that since $H_a \cap H'_a$ has dimension at least $n - 2$, there is a vector space E_a of dimension at least $n - 2$ such that $W_{D_a f}(u) = W_{D_{u'} f}(a) = 0$, for every $u \in E_a$.

6 On Maiorana–McFarland cubic-like bent functions

We have studied in Proposition 4 the secondary construction of cubic-like bent functions called direct sum. It does not provide yet new cubic-like bent functions (that is, concretely, some that are non-cubic) since the direct sum of cubic functions is cubic. For providing new cubic-like bent functions, we need to revisit the classical primary constructions of bent functions. As we shall see, it turns out that studying constructions of bent functions with the viewpoint of cubic-like bentness is rather complex and long, even for those constructions that are simple when only considering bentness. In this section, we study the simplest construction, the Maiorana–McFarland construction (introduced in [25] and reported in [19]; see also [10, 14, 26]), which is known to provide a large number of bent functions and needs then to be considered (because of the length of the present paper, we are obliged to leave the study of other known constructions for a future work). We shall see that it provides cubic-like bent functions that are non-cubic.

The class of Maiorana–McFarland is made of the n -variable Boolean functions of the form $f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y) + g(y)$ where $n = 2m$; $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$; π is an (m, m) -permutation and g is an m -variable Boolean function.

Since studying it in the framework of cubic-like bentness is a little technical, we shall then begin with a simpler subcase, which shall play in fact a specific role as we will see later.

6.1 Functions of the form $x \cdot \pi(y)$

We consider first the Maiorana–McFarland bent functions over $\mathbb{F}_2^{2m}$ of the form

$$f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y), \quad (8)$$

with $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ and π a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_2^m$. We are interested in those π of algebraic degree larger than 2, for getting non-cubic functions f .

We know that (as for general Maiorana–McFarland functions that we shall study below) π being a permutation is a necessary and sufficient condition for $x \cdot \pi(y)$ to be bent, and the dual function of $x \cdot \pi(y)$ is $(x, y) \mapsto y \cdot \pi^{-1}(x)$, where π^{-1} is the compositional inverse of π .

Given a generic element $c \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2m}$, we use here and in the following the notation $c = (c_1, c_2)$ for $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$. In this case, we have, for $a = (a_1, a_2)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_a D_b f(x, y) &= (x + b_1 + a_1) \cdot \pi(y + b_2 + a_2) + (x + b_1) \cdot \pi(y + b_2) \\ &\quad + (x + a_1) \cdot \pi(y + a_2) + x \cdot \pi(y) \\ &= x \cdot [\pi(y + b_2 + a_2) + \pi(y + b_2) + \pi(y + a_2) + \pi(y)] \\ &\quad + a_1 \cdot [\pi(y + b_2 + a_2) + \pi(y + a_2)] + b_1 \cdot [\pi(y + b_2 + a_2) + \pi(y + b_2)] \\ &= x \cdot D_{a_2} D_{b_2} \pi(y) + a_1 \cdot D_{b_2} \pi(y + a_2) + b_1 \cdot D_{a_2} \pi(y + b_2). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Therefore, we deduce the following.

Lemma 2 *For m a positive integer, let π be a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_2^m$. A Maiorana–McFarland function of the form $x \cdot \pi(y)$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_2^{2m}$, with $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$, is cubic-like bent if and only if, for any nonzero $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2m}$, there exists an element $b = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2m}$ such that*

$$D_{a_2} D_{b_2} \pi \equiv 0 \text{ and } a_1 \cdot D_{b_2} \pi + b_1 \cdot D_{a_2} \pi \equiv 1. \quad (10)$$

In fact, the condition simplifies:

Proposition 9 *Let π be a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_2^m$. The map $x \cdot \pi(y)$ described in Lemma 2 is cubic-like bent if and only if*

- (i) *for any nonzero $a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$, there exists $b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ such that $a_1 \cdot D_{b_2} \pi(y) \equiv 1$,*
- (ii) *for any nonzero $a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$, there exists $b_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ such that $b_1 \cdot D_{a_2} \pi(y) \equiv 1$.*

This can be summarized in one condition:

- (i*) *for any nonzero $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ there exist $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ such that $\beta \cdot D_\alpha \pi(y) = \alpha \cdot D_\gamma \pi(y) \equiv 1$.*

Proof Assume first that function $x \cdot \pi(y)$ satisfies (i) and (ii), and consider a generic nonzero element $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2m}$.

If $a_2 \neq 0_m$, then set $b = (b_1, 0_m)$ with b_1 such that $b_1 \cdot D_{a_2} \pi(y) \equiv 1$; since $b_2 = 0_m$, Relation (10) is satisfied by b .

If $a_2 = 0_m$, set (for instance) $b = (0_m, b_2)$ with b_2 such that $a_1 \cdot D_{b_2}\pi(y) \equiv 1$ (clearly a_1 is not zero); since $a_2 = 0_m$, Relation (10) is satisfied by b .

So we have that the function is cubic-like bent since it satisfies Lemma 2.

Assume now that the map is cubic-like bent. By considering Lemma 2 for nonzero elements of the form $(a_1, 0_m)$ and $(0_m, a_2)$, the property is verified. $\square$

Clearly, for any $a_1 \neq 0_m$, the set B_a (equal to $\{b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n; D_a D_b f \equiv 1\}$) for $a = (a_1, 0_m)$ contains all the elements of the form (r, b_2) with any r over $\mathbb{F}_2^m$ and b_2 satisfying (i) in Proposition 9. Moreover, we observe the following.

- Remark 8**
1. Condition (i) of Proposition 9 writes “for every $a_1 \neq 0_m$ there exists b_2 such that the function $D_{b_2}\pi$ takes all its values in the hyperplane of equation $a_1 \cdot y = 1$ ”.
 2. If a function π satisfies Condition (ii) of Proposition 9, then it is a permutation since, for any nonzero a_2 , $D_{a_2}\pi(y)$ cannot then vanish at any point.
 3. According to Proposition 9, the first condition in (10) does not play a real role in the cubic-like bentness property of $x \cdot \pi(y)$ (because of the peculiarity of such function). However, as we will see in the next sections, permutations π such that this condition is satisfied for more than two elements (namely 0_m and a_2) are good candidates for constructing cubic-like bent maps.

Examples in dimension $n = 8$ Using the Magma Algebra package [2], we performed some experimental results (see Appendix A). We want to mention here some examples we found.

Computationally for $m = 4$ we found cases of functions $f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y)$ that are cubic-like bent and also of bent functions that are not cubic-like bent. For example, for

$$\pi_1(y) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_1 y_3 + y_4 \\ y_1 y_2 y_3 + y_2 y_4 + y_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

the map f is not cubic-like bent and $\mathcal{B} = \{*0^{32}, 4^{128}, 8^{84}, 32^8, 128^3*\}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is defined in Equation (4).

Instead for

$$\pi_2(y) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_1 y_2 y_3 + y_4 \end{bmatrix}, \pi_3(y) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 y_2 + y_3 \\ y_1 y_2 + y_1 y_3 + y_2 \\ y_1 y_2 + y_2 y_3 + y_1 + y_2 \\ y_1 y_2 y_3 + y_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

both f 's are cubic-like bent. In the first case (π_2), we have $\mathcal{B} = \{*16^{240}, 128^{15}*\}$. In the second instead (π_3) $\mathcal{B} = \{*4^{224}, 16^{16}, 32^{14}, 128^8*\}$.

6.1.1 The case where π is quadratic

Let us check how the characterization of Proposition 9 works when π is a quadratic permutation (in which case we know that $x \cdot \pi(y)$ is cubic-like bent, being cubic). Since π is a quadratic permutation, then for every $a_2 \neq 0_m$, $D_{a_2}\pi$ takes all its values in an affine hyperplane which does not contain 0_m (i.e., in the complement of a linear hyperplane) and Condition (ii) is straightforwardly satisfied. Condition (i) is that the linear hyperplanes outside which the derivatives $D_{b_2}\pi$ take their values can be taken distinct for distinct values of b_2 . We state this property in the corollary below.

A particular case is when, for every $b_2 \neq 0_m$, the image set of $D_{b_2}\pi$ has size 2^{n-1} (that is, covers the whole affine hyperplane); π is then called almost perfect nonlinear (see, e.g., [10]), and being a quadratic permutation, it is then a crooked function in the original meaning of this term given in [1] (note that since the introduction of this notion of crooked function, the existence of non-quadratic crooked functions is an open problem). The property that these linear hyperplanes are distinct for such crooked functions has been observed and is a direct consequence of the fact that the so-called associated function γ_π (see [11]) is a Maiorana–McFarland bent function as proved in [11], π being almost bent. It is related to the notion of ortho-derivative (term introduced in [4]).

Corollary 1 *For every nonzero integer m and every quadratic permutation π over $\mathbb{F}_2^m$, there exists a permutation $\phi : \mathbb{F}_2^m \setminus \{0_m\} \mapsto \mathbb{F}_2^m \setminus \{0_m\}$ such that, for every $b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2^m \setminus \{0_m\}$, the image set of the derivative $D_{b_2}\pi$ is disjoint from the linear hyperplane $\{0_m, \phi(b_2)\}^\perp$.*

In fact, we shall see in the next subsection that this can be proved directly and that it extends to the so-called strongly plateaued permutations.

6.1.2 The case where π is strongly plateaued

Quadratic functions are a particular case of the so-called strongly plateaued functions [9, 10], which are those vectorial functions π whose components are partially bent. In other words, a vectorial function π over $\mathbb{F}_2^m$ is strongly plateaued if, for every β, α in $\mathbb{F}_2^m$, the function $\beta \cdot D_\alpha \pi$ is either constant or balanced.

Strongly plateaued functions share with quadratic ones the fact that the image set of any derivative $D_\alpha \pi$ is an affine space, as shown in [9, 10]. As we saw before, if π is a permutation, then, for $\alpha \neq 0_m$, this affine space does not contain 0_m and Condition (ii) is then satisfied, since for every affine space A not containing 0_m , there exists a linear function which takes value 1 over A . Moreover, for every $\beta \neq 0_m$, we know that $\beta \cdot \pi$ is balanced and then we have $0 = \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^m} (-1)^{\beta \cdot \pi(x)} \right)^2 = 2^m + \sum_{\alpha \neq 0_m} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^m} (-1)^{\beta \cdot D_\alpha \pi(x)} \right)$. Now, π being strongly plateaued, the function $\beta \cdot D_\alpha \pi$ is either zero (that is, $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^m} (-1)^{\beta \cdot D_\alpha \pi(x)} = 2^m$) or equal to 1 (that is, $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^m} (-1)^{\beta \cdot D_\alpha \pi(x)} = -2^m$) or balanced (that is, $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^m} (-1)^{\beta \cdot D_\alpha \pi(x)} = 0$). There is then necessarily α such that $\beta \cdot D_\alpha \pi$ equals constant function 1. Hence, Condition (i) is satisfied and we have the following result:

Proposition 10 *If π is a strongly plateaued permutation, then the Boolean function $x \cdot \pi(y)$ is cubic-like bent.*

Non-quadratic strongly plateaued (n, n) -permutations are known for every $n \geq 7$ (which provide then non-cubic functions in at least 14 variables, that are cubic-like bent), see [12].

6.1.3 The case where π is a power function

If π is a power permutation² $\pi(y) = y^d$; $y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$; $\gcd(d, 2^m - 1) = 1$, then Condition (i) in Proposition 9 is satisfied for every a_1 if and only if it is satisfied for at least one nonzero a_1 (note that this is true thanks to the fact that π is a permutation; we can take, for instance, $a_1 = 1$), and Condition (ii) is satisfied for every a_2 if and only if it is satisfied for at least one nonzero a_2 (this is true independently of the fact that π is a permutation; we can take, for instance, $a_2 = 1$), and the two conditions are equivalent. This happens of course when π is quadratic, that is, up to linear equivalence, when $\pi(y) = y^{2^j+1}$, where $\frac{m}{\gcd(j, m)}$ is odd (this latter condition coming from the fact that $\gcd(2^j + 1, 2^m - 1) = \frac{\gcd(2^{2^j} - 1, 2^m - 1)}{\gcd(2^j - 1, 2^m - 1)} = \frac{2^{\gcd(2^j, m)} - 1}{2^{\gcd(j, m)} - 1}$). From results of Charpin and Kyureghyan, see [17, 23], we have that the only power permutations admitting a component with a non-empty 1-linear kernel are quadratic. Therefore, we can state the following.

Proposition 11 *If π , viewed as a map over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, is a power permutation, then the Boolean function $x \cdot \pi(y)$ is cubic-like bent if and only if there exists an element $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ such that $\beta \cdot D_1\pi \equiv 1$, that is, $\text{tr}(\beta D_1\pi(x)) \equiv 1, \forall x$. This can happen if and only if π is quadratic (that is, f is cubic).*

Remark 9 In the case π is APN (that is, the image set of $D_1\pi$ has size 2^{m-1} ; see more in e.g. [10]), the hypothesis in Proposition 11 corresponds to saying that the image set of $D_1\pi$ is the complement of a linear hyperplane, as well, then, as the image set of any derivative of π . This means that π is crooked in a strict sense (see more in e.g. [10] as well). No non-quadratic crooked function is known.

6.1.4 When π has a constant derivative

Notice that, if π has a constant derivative, then the bent function $x \cdot \pi(y)$ has an affine derivative. Moreover, it can easily be verified that this is a necessary and sufficient condition. Hence, we are in the case described in Sect. 4.

We shall see that, for such permutations π , the cubic-like bentness of the function $x \cdot \pi(y)$ is equivalent to the cubic-like bentness of a Maiorana–McFarland function in less variables. Let us first recall how functions π having a constant derivative (i.e. having nonzero linear structures) can be simplified up to affine equivalence.

² Some authors call power functions the functions of the more general form ay^d ; $a \neq 0$; we take here only $\pi(y) = y^d$ and this does not restrict the generality since, π being a permutation, function ay^d is linearly equivalent to π .

Assume that π is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_2^m$ that admits a nonzero element α_0 for which $D_{\alpha_0}\pi(y)$ is constant, say, equals c . Recall that, since π is a permutation, c is nonzero. Up to an affine transformation, we can assume that $\alpha_0 = c = e_1$, so we have:

$$\pi(y) = \pi(y_1, \dots, y_m) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + f(y_2, \dots, y_m) \\ \bar{\pi}_1(y_2, \dots, y_m) \\ \vdots \\ \bar{\pi}_{m-1}(y_2, \dots, y_m) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + f(y_2, \dots, y_m) \\ \bar{\pi}(y_2, \dots, y_m) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

with f any Boolean function in $m - 1$ variables and $\bar{\pi}$ a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_2^{m-1}$. In this case, the inverse equals:

$$\pi^{-1}(y) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + f \circ \bar{\pi}^{-1}(y_2, \dots, y_m) \\ \bar{\pi}^{-1}(y_2, \dots, y_m) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

Proposition 12 Assume that $\bar{\pi} = [\bar{\pi}_1, \dots, \bar{\pi}_{m-1}]$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_2^{m-1}$ and, for f any Boolean function in $m - 1$ variables, consider the permutation over $\mathbb{F}_2^m$ of the form:

$$\pi(y) = \pi(y_1, \dots, y_m) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + f(y_2, \dots, y_m) \\ \bar{\pi}_1(y_2, \dots, y_m) \\ \vdots \\ \bar{\pi}_{m-1}(y_2, \dots, y_m) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, the map $x \cdot \pi(y)$ over $\mathbb{F}_2^m \times \mathbb{F}_2^m$ is cubic-like bent if and only if $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{\pi}(\bar{y})$, map over $\mathbb{F}_2^{m-1} \times \mathbb{F}_2^{m-1}$, is cubic-like bent.

Proof Let us first assume that $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{\pi}(\bar{y})$ is cubic-like bent and prove that $x \cdot \pi(y)$ is cubic-like bent. From Proposition 9, we only need to show that for any nonzero $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$, there are β, β' such that $\alpha \cdot D_\beta \pi(y) = \beta' \cdot D_\alpha \pi(y) \equiv 1$.

Assume first that $\alpha = e_1$, then with $\beta = e_1$ we have $\alpha \cdot D_\beta \pi(y) = \beta \cdot D_\alpha \pi(y) \equiv 1$. Assume now that $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \neq e_1$ and set $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$. Clearly $\bar{\alpha}$ is nonzero. Then, since $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{\pi}(\bar{y})$ is cubic-like bent, there exist $\bar{\beta} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m-1}$ such that $\bar{\alpha} \cdot D_{\bar{\beta}} \bar{\pi}(\bar{y}) \equiv 1$ and $\bar{\beta}' \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m-1}$ such that $\bar{\beta}' \cdot D_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{\pi}(\bar{y}) \equiv 1$. Let $\beta' = (0, \bar{\beta}')$, then $\beta' \cdot D_\alpha \pi(y) = \bar{\beta}' \cdot D_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{\pi}(y_2, \dots, y_m) \equiv 1$. Now for finding β such that $\alpha \cdot D_\beta \pi(y) \equiv 1$, we need to separate into two cases. If α is such that $\alpha_1 = 1$, then with $\beta = e_1$ we have $\alpha \cdot D_\beta \pi(y) \equiv 1$, and if $\alpha_1 = 0$, then with $\beta = (0, \bar{\beta})$ we have $\alpha \cdot D_\beta \pi(y) \equiv 1$.

Conversely, let us assume that $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{\pi}(\bar{y})$ is not cubic-like bent (and prove that $x \cdot \pi(y)$ is not cubic-like bent):

- either there exists $\bar{\alpha} \neq 0_{m-1}$ such that for any $\bar{\beta}$, $\bar{\alpha} \cdot D_{\bar{\beta}} \bar{\pi}(\bar{y}) \neq 1$, then taking $\alpha = (0, \bar{\alpha})$, for any $\beta = (\beta_1, \bar{\beta})$, we have $\alpha \cdot D_\beta \pi(y) = \bar{\alpha} \cdot D_{\bar{\beta}} \bar{\pi}(\bar{y}) \neq 1$;
- or there exists $\bar{\alpha} \neq 0_{m-1}$ such that, for any $\bar{\beta}$, we have $\bar{\beta} \cdot D_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{\pi}(\bar{y}) \neq 1$, then let $\alpha = (0, \bar{\alpha})$ and $\alpha' = (1, \bar{\alpha})$ and suppose that $\beta \cdot D_\alpha \pi(y) \equiv 1$ and $\beta' \cdot D_{\alpha'} \pi(y) \equiv 1$;

this would imply $\beta_1 = \beta'_1 = 1$. So

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \beta \cdot D_{\alpha} \pi(y) = \bar{\beta} \cdot D_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{\pi}(\bar{y}) + D_{\bar{\alpha}} f(\bar{y}) \\ 1 &= \beta' \cdot D_{\alpha'} \pi(y) = \bar{\beta}' \cdot D_{\bar{\alpha}'} \bar{\pi}(\bar{y}) + D_{\bar{\alpha}'} f(\bar{y}) + 1 \\ 1 &= (\bar{\beta} + \bar{\beta}') \cdot D_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{\pi}(\bar{y}) \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

This concludes the proof. $\square$

Remark 10 Proposition 12 provides a secondary construction (that can be applied recursively) of cubic-like bent functions.³ It allows to obtain cubic-like bent functions of any algebraic degree up to $m = \frac{n}{2}$, since the Boolean function f can be taken of any algebraic degree at most $m - 1$.

Moreover, Relation (12) shows that, if both $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{\pi}(\bar{y})$ and $\bar{y} \cdot \bar{\pi}^{-1}(\bar{x})$ are cubic-like bent, then not only $x \cdot \pi(y)$ is cubic-like bent but also $y \cdot \pi^{-1}(x)$, which is the dual of $x \cdot \pi(y)$. We have then also a recursive construction of cubic-like bent functions whose duals are cubic-like bent. Note that initial functions for this recursive construction are easily built: if $m = 3$, then π and π^{-1} being permutations, they are quadratic and $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{\pi}(\bar{y})$ and $\bar{y} \cdot \bar{\pi}^{-1}(\bar{x})$ are then both cubic-like bent (since they are cubic).

Remark 11 What we observed with $m = 3$ in the above remark is not true in higher dimensions, since there are in $\mathbb{F}_2^4$ many permutations π that do not generate cubic-like bent functions and whose duals do not either.

This implies that for any $m \geq 5$ there exists a bent function $x \cdot \pi(y)$, with π as in (11) that it is not cubic-like bent and whose dual is not cubic-like bent.

6.1.5 When π is a Feistel permutation

A classical Feistel permutation is a function of the form $(X_L, X_R) \rightarrow (X_L + F(X_R), X_R)$, with $X_L \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$ and $X_R \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m-k}$ and where F is a mapping from $\mathbb{F}_2^{m-k}$ to $\mathbb{F}_2^k$. Such function is a permutation, being involutive. Many block ciphers (whose round functions must be permutations for allowing decryption and are better involutions to minimize the complexity of the encryption/decryption process) are built on this model, the most famous among these ciphers being of course the DES. Such structure of function can be generalized to:

$$(X_L, X_R) \rightarrow (X_L + F(X_R), \bar{\pi}(X_R)), \quad (13)$$

where $\bar{\pi}$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_2^{m-k}$, and we shall call *Feistel permutations* such more general functions (which are clearly bijective but are no more involutive, in general—involutivity is not a property having as much importance in our case as in the design of block ciphers). The permutation presented in Proposition 12 corresponds to the case $k = 1$.

³ There are many secondary constructions of bent functions, see, e.g. [10], and it is good that some secondary constructions of cubic-like bent functions can be exhibited too.

The fact that a permutation is, up to affine equivalence, a Feistel permutation as in (13), is equivalent to the fact that its linear kernel has dimension at least k . Indeed, up to affine equivalence, we may assume that the linear kernel includes $\mathbb{F}_2^k \times \{0_{n-k}\}$, and denoting $x_L = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $x_R = (x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$, a permutation π has such property if and only if $\pi(x) = \pi_1(x_L) + \pi_2(x_R)$, where π_1 is a linear injective function from $\mathbb{F}_2^k$ to $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ and π_2 is a function from $\mathbb{F}_2^{n-k}$ to $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, and up to affine equivalence, we may assume that π_1 is the identity. This means that the linear kernel of a permutation π has dimension at least k if and only if π is affine equivalent to a function of the form (13) and the bijectivity of $\bar{\pi}$ is clearly then necessary and sufficient. In a more practical way, starting from a permutation having a linear kernel of dimension at least $k > 0$, we saw already that we can consider

$$\pi(y) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + f(y_2, \dots, y_m) \\ \pi_1(y_2, \dots, y_m) \end{bmatrix},$$

up to affine equivalence, and we can continue without loss of generality, assuming that $D_{e_2}\pi(y)$ is constant. Clearly, $D_{e_2}\pi(y) \neq e_1$, otherwise $D_{e_1+e_2}\pi(y) = 0$ and this is not possible since π is a permutation. Therefore, we have also that $\bar{\pi}$ must admit a constant derivative and, up to affine equivalence, we can assume

$$\pi(y) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + f(y_2, y_3, \dots, y_m) \\ \pi_1(y_2, y_3, \dots, y_m) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + f_1(y_3, \dots, y_m) \\ y_2 + f_2(y_3, \dots, y_m) \\ \pi_2(y_3, \dots, y_m) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Iterating this method, if the dimension of the linear kernel of π is at least $k > 0$, we obtain a permutation in the Feistel form

$$\pi(y) = \pi(Y_1, Y_2) = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 + F(Y_2) \\ \bar{\pi}(Y_2) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

with $\bar{\pi}$ a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_2^{m-k}$, for $k \leq m$, F a $(m-k, k)$ -Boolean function, and where we write a generic element y of $\mathbb{F}_2^m$ as $y = (Y_1, Y_2)$ with $Y_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$ and $Y_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m-k}$. Moreover, notice that Proposition 12 can be iteratively applied to permutations as in (15). Indeed, by applying the proposition to (14) we have that $x \cdot \pi(y) = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \cdot \pi(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ is cubic-like bent if and only if $(x_2, \dots, x_m) \cdot \pi_1(y_2, \dots, y_m)$ is cubic-like bent if and only if $(x_3, \dots, x_m) \cdot \pi_2(y_3, \dots, y_m)$ is cubic-like bent. Therefore, we can state the following result.

Proposition 13 *Consider a Feistel permutation π as in (15). Then, the map $x \cdot \pi(y)$ over $\mathbb{F}_2^m \times \mathbb{F}_2^m$ is cubic-like bent if and only if the map $X_2 \cdot \bar{\pi}(Y_2)$ over $\mathbb{F}_2^{m-k} \times \mathbb{F}_2^{m-k}$, is cubic-like bent.*

6.2 Functions of the general form $x \cdot \pi(y) + g(y)$

Recall that the general construction for Maiorana–McFarland maps is

$$f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y) + g(y), \quad (16)$$

with π a permutation (which is again a necessary and sufficient condition for the bentness of the Maiorana–McFarland function) and $g : \mathbb{F}_2^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$. The dual of f is then the function $(x, y) \mapsto y \cdot \pi^{-1}(x) + g(\pi^{-1}(x))$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_a D_b f(x, y) &= (x + b_1 + a_1) \cdot \pi(y + b_2 + a_2) + g(y + b_2 + a_2) + x \cdot \pi(y) + g(y) \\ &\quad + (x + a_1) \cdot \pi(y + a_2) + g(y) + (x + b_1) \cdot \pi(y + b_2) + g(y + b_2) \\ &= x \cdot [\pi(y + b_2 + a_2) + \pi(y + a_2) + \pi(y + b_2) + \pi(y)] \\ &\quad + a_1 \cdot [\pi(y + b_2 + a_2) + \pi(y + a_2)] \\ &\quad + b_1 \cdot [\pi(y + b_2 + a_2) + \pi(y + b_2)] \\ &\quad + g(y + a_2 + b_2) + g(y + a_2) + g(y + b_2) + g(y) \\ &= x \cdot D_{a_2} D_{b_2} \pi(y) + a_1 \cdot D_{b_2} \pi(y + a_2) + b_1 \cdot D_{a_2} \pi(y + b_2) \\ &\quad + D_{a_2} D_{b_2} g(y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can formulate the cubic-like bentness property, in the next lemma (which includes Lemma 2 as a particular case).

Lemma 3 *Consider a Boolean function f as in (16). Then, f is cubic-like bent if and only if, for any nonzero $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2m}$, there exists $b = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2m}$ such that the following two conditions are satisfied:*

1. $D_{a_2} D_{b_2} \pi(y) \equiv 0$,
2. $a_1 \cdot D_{b_2} \pi(y) + b_1 \cdot D_{a_2} \pi(y) + D_{a_2} D_{b_2} g(y) \equiv 1$.

Recall that we deduced Proposition 9 from Lemma 2 by considering the particular values of a of the forms $(a_1, 0_m)$ and $(0_m, a_2)$; the fact that Relation (10) was satisfied by some b for such nonzero values of a was sufficient for having it satisfied by some b for every nonzero a . In the case of Lemma 3, we still have that if (i) and (ii) of Proposition 9 are satisfied by π , then f in (16) is cubic-like bent: exactly the same first part of proof as in Proposition 9 applies to the new situation. Hence, we can state in the next proposition that if $x \cdot \pi(y)$ satisfies the condition of Lemma 2 (for which it is sufficient that it does for these particular forms of a), then function $f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y) + g(y)$ does too (whatever is g). But the converse may not be true (see, however, Remark 12); indeed, for $a = (a_1, 0_m) \neq 0_n$, nothing changes, but for $a = (0_m, a_2) \neq 0_n$, Relation (10) writes “ $D_{a_2} D_{b_2} \pi(y) \equiv 0$ and $b_1 \cdot D_{a_2} \pi(y) + D_{a_2} D_{b_2} g(y) \equiv 1$ ”; hence, we may not have (ii) anymore, in the case there would not exist b satisfying Relation (10) and such that $b_2 = 0_m$. The converse becomes true if (ii) is assumed. We have then:

Proposition 14 *Consider a function $f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y) + g(y)$ as in (16).*

If the map $h(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y)$ as in (8) is cubic-like bent (resp. if its dual is cubic-like bent), then also f is cubic-like bent (resp. its dual is cubic-like bent).

Conversely, if f is cubic-like bent, then Permutation π satisfies Condition (i), that is, for any $a_1 \neq 0_m$ there exists b_2 such that $a_1 \cdot D_{b_2}\pi(y) \equiv 1$, and if π also satisfies Condition (ii), that is, for every $a_2 \neq 0_m$, there exists among the elements b such that $D_a D_b f(x, y) \equiv 1$, at least one that has the form $(b_1, 0_m)$, then also $x \cdot \pi(y)$ is cubic-like bent.

Remark 12 Theoretically, there may exist cubic-like bent Maiorana–McFarland functions given by (16) whose part $x \cdot \pi(y)$ is not cubic-like bent. It would be interesting to find examples of such functions, and if possible a construction of an infinite class of them. However, all the cubic-like bent functions we found in our computer investigations satisfy Condition (ii) of Proposition 9, which may then be a necessary and sufficient condition. In dimension $n = 8$, we shall see in Appendix A.1, that this is actually the case.

Remark 13 Thanks to Relation (12), we know that, when π is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_2^4$ of the form (11), then for any Boolean function g , the map $x \cdot \pi(y) + g(y)$ is cubic-like bent and its dual is cubic-like bent.

Remark 14 Notice that in Example 1 the cubic-like bent map f is (with a change of variables) of the form $x \cdot \pi_2(y)$, where π_2 is the permutation displayed in Sect. 6.1. Hence, with $g(y) = y_1 y_2 y_4$ the constructed map $f + g$ is cubic-like bent due to Proposition 14. Similarly, in Example 2 the bent map f is of the form $x \cdot \pi_1(y)$, with π_1 from Sect. 6.1. In this case, g is of the form $x \cdot \tau(y)$ with τ linear and such that $\pi + \tau$ is not a permutation. Therefore, $(f + g)(x, y) = x \cdot (\pi(y) + \tau(y))$ is not bent.

Proposition 14 gives us another construction method for cubic-like bent functions of any degree up to m .

Corollary 2 For $m \geq 3$, consider any $(2m)$ -variable cubic bent function $f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y)$. Then, for any m -variable Boolean function g , which has degree up to m , the map $f(x, y) + g(y)$ is cubic-like bent.

Conclusion

In this work, we studied those bent Boolean functions that share with cubic bent maps the property that each derivative in a nonzero direction has itself a derivative equal to constant function 1; we call such functions *cubic-like bent*. The idea was to identify an interesting subclass of bent functions and to understand better the behavior of its elements. We showed the invariance of the notion with respect to EA-equivalence and provided characterizations by means of the Walsh transform.

We studied as a typical example Maiorana–McFarland functions, which allowed us to prove that cubic-like bent functions can have any degree between 2 and $\frac{n}{2}$. Proving that cubic-like bent maps exist that are not cubic was of course necessary for the interest of our study; finding such functions with any admissible degree strengthens it. Maiorana–McFarland cubic-like bent functions are not rare, and this may be different

for other classes than the Maiorana–McFarland class, for instance the $\mathcal{PS}_{ap}$ class. We also found examples of bent functions which are not cubic-like bent and do not have cubic-like bent duals. So, the class of cubic-like bent functions is a proper subclass of bent maps, and it is more general than the class of cubic bent functions. We studied some subclasses of Maiorana–McFarland cubic-like bent functions. We presented computational results which completely classify Maiorana–McFarland cubic-like bent functions in dimension 8 and partially in dimension 10. In dimension 8, most of the obtained maps belong to a specific class, the functions constructed from a permutation with a constant derivative.

We leave for a second paper the investigation of the cubic-like bent property for other well-known constructions of bent maps, which would take a too large number of additional pages and will need more work. An initial analysis can be deduced from Proposition 11, where with $\pi(y) = y^{-1}$ we have a function in the $\mathcal{PS}_{ap}$ class, where $\mathcal{PS}_{ap}$ is a subclass of $\mathcal{PS}$ whose elements can be defined explicitly as $f(x, y) = g(xy^{-1})$ with g an $n/2$ -variable balanced Boolean function. If it is confirmed that no $\mathcal{PS}_{ap}$ function can be cubic-like bent for $n \geq 6$, this will show one more difference between Maiorana–McFarland and $\mathcal{PS}_{ap}$ classes, and an interesting property of the latter.

Future work will be to deduce new constructions of bent functions using the cubic-like bentness property. This seems very challenging.

A Computational results on the Maiorana–McFarland construction

We report in this appendix some computational results obtained with the help of Magma Algebra package [2].

A.1 Investigations in dimension 8

In the investigation of bent functions over $\mathbb{F}_2^8$, a principal role is played by permutations over $\mathbb{F}_2^4$. Such permutations have been entirely classified up to affine equivalence: there are 302 such classes, see [18]. In the following we often refer to this list.

Consider the 8-variable Boolean functions of the form

$$f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y)$$

as in (8).

We obtain from Remark 10 that any permutation π of $\mathbb{F}_2^4$ that has a constant derivative generates a bent function $x \cdot \pi(y)$ that is cubic-like bent and which has a cubic-like bent dual. Indeed, if π has a constant derivative, up to an affine transformation we can display it as

$$\pi(y) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + f(y_2, y_3, y_4) \\ \bar{\pi}(y_2, y_3, y_4) \end{bmatrix},$$

Table 1 Permutations of $\mathbb{F}_2^4$ such that $2 \in \mathcal{V} \neq \{ *2^{15} * \}$

No. in [18]	$\mathcal{D}eg$	$\mathcal{V}$
22, 294	$\{ *1^3, 2^4, 3^8 * \}$	$\{ *2^8, 4^6, 8 * \}$
39, 205, 283, 291	$\{ *1^3, 3^{12} * \}$	$\{ *2^{12}, 4^3 * \}$
96, 165, 206, 274, 289	$\{ *1, 2^6, 3^8 * \}$	$\{ *2^{12}, 4^3 * \}$
112, 193, 202, 230, 266, 268, 277, 284	$\{ *1, 2^2, 3^{12} * \}$	$\{ *2^{12}, 4^3 * \}$
140, 174, 234, 237	$\{ *2^3, 3^{12} * \}$	$\{ *2^{12}, 4^3 * \}$
231, 292	$\{ *1, 2^6, 3^8 * \}$	$\{ *2^8, 4^6, 8 * \}$
242, 281	$\{ *2^7, 3^8 * \}$	$\{ *2^{12}, 4^3 * \}$
290	$\{ *1, 2^6, 3^8 * \}$	$\{ *2^{12}, 4^3 * \}$
298	$\{ *1, 2^{14} * \}$	$\{ *2^8, 8^7 * \}$

where $\bar{\pi}$, being a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_2^3$, has degree at most 2. From Proposition 12, we deduce the statement.

Among the list of 302 permutations over $\mathbb{F}_2^4$, 10 are such that they admit a constant derivative. Referring to the numbering given in [18], they corresponds to no. 1, 258, 278, 293, 295, 297, 299, 300, 301, 302. Moreover, they are the only maps π such that $2 \notin \mathcal{V}_\pi$, where

$$\mathcal{V}_\pi = \mathcal{V} = \{ * | \{ b \in \mathbb{F}_2^m; D_a D_b \pi(y) \equiv 0 \} : a \in \mathbb{F}_2^m \setminus \{ 0_m \} * \}.$$

Therefore, as stated above, all these permutations generate a cubic-like bent function, whose dual is also cubic-like bent.

Remark 15 Notice that the fact that $2 \notin \mathcal{V}$ is not a necessary condition for the function $x \cdot \pi(y)$ to be cubic-like bent. The first part “ $D_{a_2} D_{b_2} \pi(y) \equiv 0$ ” in Condition (10) of Lemma 2 is trivially satisfied by $b_2 = 0_m$ or $b_2 = a_2$ and the second part “ $a_1 \cdot D_{b_2} \pi(y) + b_1 \cdot D_{a_2} \pi(y) \equiv 1$ ” does not exclude these two possibilities. This makes that all the values in $\mathcal{V}$ are at least 2 and it may happen that only these two values $b_2 = 0_m$ and $b_2 = a_2$ satisfy $D_{a_2} D_{b_2} \pi(y) \equiv 0$ and then $2 \in \mathcal{V}$.

Apart from these 10 permutations, there are 263 permutations π in the list such that for every $a \neq 0_8$, the second-order derivative is constantly zero $D_a D_b \pi(x) = 0$ if and only if $b = 0_8$ or $b = a$. Hence, such that $\mathcal{V} = \{ *2^{15} * \}$. The remaining 29 permutations are displayed in Table 1, where we report also the multiset $\mathcal{D}eg$ of the algebraic degrees of the nonzero component functions.

Among them, there are two cases of cubic-like bent functions $x \cdot \pi(y)$ where $2 \in \mathcal{V}$. They correspond to no. 283 and 298. Moreover, also for these maps, their dual is cubic-like bent. Hence, in total, out of 302 permutations (up to affine equivalence), 12 permutations produce cubic-like bent functions with cubic-like bent duals.

Table 2 displays the results for the cases of f a cubic-like bent function in dimension 8, where we report also the multiset $\mathcal{D}eg$ and the multiset $\mathcal{B}$ as in (4). Notice that in dimension 8 no Maiorana–McFarland cubic-like bent map (non-cubic) is such that

Table 2 Permutations π of $\mathbb{F}_2^4$ such that $x \cdot \pi(y)$ is cubic-like bent

No. in [18]	Deg	$\mathcal{B}$	$\mathcal{V}$
1	$\{*1^{15}*\}$	$\{*128^{255}*\}$	$\{*16^{15}*\}$
258	$\{*1^7, 2^8*\}$	$\{*32^{224}, 128^{31}*\}$	$\{*8^{12}, 16^3*\}$
278	$\{*1^3, 2^4, 3^8*\}$	$\{*8^{192}, 16^{48}, 32^8, 128^7*\}$	$\{*4^{14}, 16^*\}$
283	$\{*1^3, 3^{12}*\}$	$\{*4^{192}, 16^{60}, 128^3*\}$	$\{*2^{12}, 4^3*\}$
293, 295	$\{*1, 2^{14}*\}$	$\{*8^{224}, 32^{28}, 128^3*\}$	$\{*4^{14}, 16^*\}$
297	$\{*1^1, 2^6, 3^8*\}$	$\{*4^{128}, 8^{96}, 16^{16}, 32^{12}, 128^3*\}$	$\{*4^{14}, 16^*\}$
298	$\{*1, 2^{14}*\}$	$\{*2^{128}, 32^{126}, 128^*\}$	$\{*2^8, 8^7*\}$
299	$\{*1^7, 3^8*\}$	$\{*16^{240}, 128^{15}*\}$	$\{*4^{14}, 16^*\}$
300	$\{*2^7, 3^8*\}$	$\{*4^{224}, 16^{16}, 32^{14}, 128^*\}$	$\{*4^{14}, 16^*\}$
301, 302	$\{*1^3, 2^{12}*\}$	$\{*8^{128}, 32^{120}, 128^7*\}$	$\{*4^8, 8^6, 16^*\}$

$2 \in \mathcal{B}$. From Proposition 14, we have that these 12 permutations generates also cubic-like bent maps of the form $f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y) + g(y)$, for any possible choice of the Boolean functions g , and the dual of f is also cubic-like bent.

The other permutations left do not satisfy either one or the other of the conditions of Proposition 9. This implies that, using Proposition 14, these permutations cannot generate any cubic-like bent map of the form $f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y) + g(y)$. So, also for the general Maiorana–McFarland construction, if a function f in dimension 8 is cubic-like bent, then also its dual is cubic-like bent. For results on these bent functions (not cubic-like bent) we refer the interested reader to the preprint version [16]. Although, it is interesting to mention that there are some bent functions $f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y)$ for which no nonzero second-order derivative equals the constant function 1. These are the functions generated from the permutation no. 20, 127, 128, 220, 241, 245, 247, 254, 267, 280, 296. These permutations have only cubic components, for example

$$\pi_{20}(y) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 y_2 + y_1 y_3 y_4 + y_1 y_4 + y_1 + y_2 y_3 \\ y_1 y_2 y_4 + y_1 y_3 + y_1 y_4 + y_2 y_3 y_4 + y_2 y_3 + y_2 y_4 + y_2 + y_3 y_4 \\ y_1 y_2 y_3 + y_1 y_2 y_4 + y_1 y_3 + y_1 y_4 + y_2 y_4 + y_3 \\ y_1 y_2 y_3 + y_1 y_2 y_4 + y_1 y_2 + y_1 y_4 + y_2 y_3 y_4 + y_2 y_3 + y_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that, in [3], Cantaut and Charpin describe infinite families of such functions but their constructions do not cover dimension 8.

A.2 When π over $\mathbb{F}_2^5$ has a constant derivative

We just saw that any permutation π in $\mathbb{F}_2^4$ that has a constant derivative generates a cubic-like bent function $x \cdot \pi(y)$.

This same argument is no more valid in dimension 5. Let us consider π a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_2^5$ with a constant derivative, containing therefore $\bar{\pi}$, permutation of $\mathbb{F}_2^4$. If we take $\bar{\pi}$ such that $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{\pi}(\bar{y})$ is not cubic-like bent, and we have plenty of such permutations,

then $x \cdot \pi(y)$ is not cubic-like bent either. However, from computational results, we know that if $\bar{\pi}$ generates a cubic-like bent map, so does $\bar{\pi}^{-1}$. Hence, if $x \cdot \pi(y)$ with a constant derivative is cubic-like bent, the dual $x \cdot \pi^{-1}(y)$ is also cubic-like bent.

When considering f of the general form

$$f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y) + g(y),$$

we already know that in dimension 8, any such function is cubic-like bent if and only if $x \cdot \pi(y)$ is cubic-like bent.

We know that π must satisfy the following property: for any $a_1 \neq 0_5$ there exists b_2 such that $a_1 \cdot D_{b_2}\pi(y) \equiv 1$. Since π is of the form (11), the same property has to be satisfied by $\bar{\pi}$: for any $\bar{a}_1 \neq 0_4$ there exists $\bar{b}_2$ such that $\bar{a}_1 \cdot D_{\bar{b}_2}\pi(y) \equiv 1$.

We know that, for $\bar{\pi}$ permutation of $\mathbb{F}_2^4$, this property is satisfied only by those permutations that generates cubic-like bent functions. Hence, in dimension 10, the bent function $f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y) + g(y)$, with π as in (11), is cubic-like bent if and only if $f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y)$ is cubic-like bent.

Acknowledgements The authors thank Lilya Budaghyan for interesting suggestions. I. Villa is member of the INdAM Research Group GNSAGA.

Funding Open access funding provided by University of Bergen (incl Haukeland University Hospital) I. Villa was partially supported by the Research Council of Norway, grant no. 247742/070, by the Trond Mohn Stiftelse (TMS) Foundation, by the MUR Excellence Department Project awarded to Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Genova, CUP D33C23001110001, and by the European Union within the program NextGenerationEU. The research of C. Carlet was partly supported by the Norwegian Research Council, grant no. 314395.

Data availability Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Declarations

Conflict of interest C. Carlet is an associate editor of the Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Bending, T., Fon-Der-Flaass, D.: Crooked functions, bent functions and distance regular graphs. *Electron. J. Combin.* **34**, 14 (1998)
2. Bosma, W., Cannon, J., Playoust, C.: The Magma algebra system I: the user language. *J. Symbolic. Comput.* **24**, 235–265 (1997)
3. Canteaut, A., Charpin, P.: Decomposing bent functions. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **49**(8), 2004–2019 (2003)

4. Canteaut, A., Couvreur, A., Perrin, L.: Recovering or testing extended-affine equivalence. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **68**(9), 6187–6206 (2022)
5. Carlet, C.: A transformation on Boolean functions, its consequences on some problems related to Reed-Muller codes. *Proceedings of EUROCODE 1990, Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 514, 42–50 (1991)
6. Carlet, C.: Two new classes of bent functions. *Proceedings of EUROCRYPT 1993, Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 765, 77–101 (1994)
7. Carlet, C.: On cryptographic propagation criteria for Boolean functions. *Information and Computation* 151, Academic Press pp. 32–56 (1999)
8. Carlet, C.: On the secondary constructions of resilient and bent functions. *Proceedings of the workshop on coding, cryptography and combinatorics 2003*, published by Birkhäuser Verlag, 3–28 (2004)
9. Carlet, C.: Boolean and vectorial plateaued functions, and APN functions. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **61**(11), 6272–6289 (2015)
10. Carlet, C.: *Boolean Functions for Cryptography and Coding Theory*. Monograph in Cambridge University Press, 562 (2021)
11. Carlet, C., Charpin, P., Zinoviev, V.: Codes, bent functions and permutations suitable for DES-like cryptosystems. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **15**(2), 125–156 (1998)
12. Carlet, C., Davidova, D.: On strongly plateaued functions. Preprint (2022)
13. Carlet, C., Mesnager, S.: On Dillon’s class H of bent functions, Niho bent functions and o-polynomials. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **118**, 2392–2410 (2011)
14. Carlet, C., Mesnager, S.: Four decades of research on bent functions. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **78**(1), 5–50 (2016)
15. Carlet, C., Prouff, E.: On plateaued functions and their constructions. *Proceedings of Fast Software Encryption 2003, Lecture notes in computer science* 2887, pp. 54–73 (2003)
16. Carlet, C., Villa, I.: On cubic-like bent Boolean functions. *Cryptology ePrint Archive*, Paper 2023/879 (2023)
17. Charpin, P., Kyureghyan, G.: Monomial functions with linear structure and permutation polynomials, in: *Finite Fields: Theory and Applications FQ9*, in: *Contemp. Math.*, **518**, AMS, 99–111 (2010)
18. De Canniere, C.: *Analysis and Design of Symmetric Encryption Algorithms*. PhD thesis (2007)
19. Dillon, J.F.: *Elementary Hadamard Difference sets*. Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. of Maryland (1974)
20. Dobbertin, H.: Construction of bent functions and balanced Boolean functions with high nonlinearity. In *International Workshop on Fast Software Encryption*, pp. 61–74 (1994)
21. Dobbertin, H., Leander, G., Canteaut, A., Carlet, C., Felke, P., Gaborit, P.: Construction of Bent Functions via Niho Power Functions. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **113**(5), 779–798 (2006)
22. Hou, X.-D.: Cubic bent functions. *Discrete Math.* **189**(1–3), 149–161 (1998)
23. Kyureghyan, G.M.: Crooked maps in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$. *Finite Fields Appl.* **13**(3), 713–726 (2007)
24. Langevin, P.: Webpage <https://langevin.univ-tln.fr/project/bent/bent.html>
25. McFarland, R.L.: A family of noncyclic difference sets. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **15**, 1–10 (1973)
26. Mesnager, S.: *Bent Functions: Fundamentals and Results*, pp. 1–544. Springer, Berlin (2016)
27. Polujan, A., Pott, A.: Cubic bent functions outside the completed Maiorana-McFarland class. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **88**, 1701–1722 (2020)
28. Rothaus, O.S.: On “bent” functions. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **20**(3), 300–305 (1976)