# ON CERTAIN CONDITIONALLY CONVERGENT SERIES

#### LUCA GOLDONI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate the problem of the convergence of a very special kind of non absolutely convergent series which can not be solved by means of traditional tests as Dirichlet test.

#### 1. Introduction

We investigate the behavior of the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^{n(\bmod p)} a_n.$$

where p is an odd prime number and  $a_n$  is not negative for each n. We could call 'almost alternating series' because the sequence of the signs is of the kind

$$\underbrace{+ - \cdots - +}_{p-terms} \underbrace{+ - \cdots - +}_{p-terms} \cdots$$

We observe that the Dirichlet's test is not applicable even in the case of further assumptions on  $a_n$  because the partial sums of the sequence  $b_n = (-1)^{n \pmod{p}}$  are not bounded. Indeed, if we indicate with  $\sigma_n$  the sequence of this partial sums we have that  $\sigma_{pk} = k + 1$ .

### 2. The Theorem

# Lemma 1. Let be

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^{n \pmod{p}} a_n.$$

where

(a): 
$$a_n \ge 0$$
 for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b): 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n = +\infty.$$

Date: April 7, 2013.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 40A05, 30B50.

Key words and phrases. Infinite series, Conditionally convergence tests, Elementary proof.

Dipartimento di Matematica. Università di Trento.

(c): 
$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} a_n = 0.$$

and let be  $(s_n)_n$  the sequence of the partial sums. If there exists the

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} s_{pk} = s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

then

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} s_{pk+1} = \lim_{k \to +\infty} s_{pk+2} \cdots \lim_{k \to +\infty} s_{p(k+1)-1} = s$$

so that the given series converges.

*Proof.* Since (1) holds, it follows that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists \overline{k_1}(\varepsilon) : \forall k > \overline{k_1}(\varepsilon) \Rightarrow s - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < s_{pk} < s + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Let be  $1 \le h \le p-1$  then

$$|s_{pk+h} - s_{pk}| = |a_{pk+1} + \cdots + a_{pk+h}| \le |a_{pk+1}| + \cdots + |a_{pk+h}|$$
.

Since hypothesis (c) holds, it follows that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists \overline{n} (\varepsilon) : \forall n > \overline{n} (\varepsilon) \Rightarrow |a_n| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2h}.$$

Let be k such that  $pk + 1 > \overline{n}(\varepsilon)$  i.e.

$$k > \frac{\overline{n}(\varepsilon) - 1}{p} = \overline{k_2}(\varepsilon).$$

then

$$|a_{pk+1}| + \cdots + |a_{pk+h}| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon (h-1)}{2h} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

thus

$$|s_{pk+h} - s_{pk}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

If  $k > \max \{\overline{k_1}(\varepsilon), \overline{k_2}(\varepsilon)\}$  then

$$\begin{cases} s - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < s_{pk} < s + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ s_{pk} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < s_{pk+h} < s_{pk} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{cases}$$

so that  $s - \varepsilon < s_{pk+h} < s + \varepsilon$ . Hence

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} s_{pk+h} = s.$$

Since it holds for each  $1 \le h \le p$  the thesis follows.

# Lemma 2. If

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^{n \pmod{p}} a_n$$

satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 1 and if

(d): 
$$d_k = a_{pk+p} + \sum_{h=1}^{p-1} (-1)^h a_{pk+h} \ge 0 \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(e): 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} d_k < +\infty.$$

then

$$\exists \lim_{k \to \infty} s_{pk} = s < +\infty.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$s_{pk+p} = s_{pk} + (-a_{pk+1} + a_{pk+2} - a_{pk+3} + \dots - a_{pk+p-2} + a_{pk+p-1} + a_{pk+p})$$

we have that

$$s_{pk} = s_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} d_j.$$

from hypothesis (d) it follows that the sequence  $s_{pk}$  in not decreasing so it has limit. Moreover, since

$$\sum_{h=0}^{k-1} d_h \leqslant \sum_{h=0}^{+\infty} d_h < +\infty$$

the limit belongs to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

So we have that

# Theorem 1. If

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^{n \pmod{p}} a_n.$$

where

(a):  $a_n \geq 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b): 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n = +\infty$$
.

(c): 
$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} a_n = 0$$
.

(d): 
$$d_k = a_{pk+p} + \sum_{h=1}^{p-1} (-1)^h a_{pk+h} \ge 0 \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(e): 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} d_k < +\infty.$$

(f): p is an odd prime number.

then the given series is simply convergent.

In particular we have the following

Corollary 1. If there exist A > 0 and  $\delta > 0$  so that

$$0 \leqslant d_k \leqslant \frac{A}{k^{\delta}}.$$

then the given series converges.

#### References

- [1] T.J. Bromwich "An introduction to the theory of infinite series" Macmillan; 2d ed. rev. 1947.
- [2] G.H. Hardy "A course in Pure Mathematics" Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [3] K. Knopp "Theory and Application of Infinite Series" Dover 1990.
- [4] C.J. Tranter "Techniques of Mathematical analysis" Hodder and Stoughton,1976.

Università di Trento, Dipartimento di Matematica, v. Sommarive 14, 56100 Trento, Italy

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: goldoni@science.unitn.it}$