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#### Abstract

We prove a four-dimensional version of a Bernstein's theorem, with complex polynomials being replaced by quaternionic polynomials. Moreover, using an Almansi-type decomposition of polynomials, we formulate the quaternionic Bernstein's inequality in terms of fourdimensional zonal harmonics and Gegenbauer polynomials.
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## 1. Introduction

The famous Bernstein's inequality for complex polynomials (first established in this form by M. Riesz in 1914) states that:

Theorem. (A) If $p(z)$ is a complex polynomial of degree $d$ and $\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|=$ $M$, then $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq d M$ for $|z|=1$, with equality holding if and only if $p(z)$ is a multiple of the power $z^{d}$.

Recently [15], Bernstein's inequality has been proved for quaternionic polynomials with coefficients on one side. The inequality in the complex case can be deduced from a more general theorem, proved by Bernstein [3] in 1930.

Theorem. (B) Let $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ be two complex polynomials with degree of $p(z)$ not exceeding that of $q(z)$. If $q(z)$ has all its zeros in $\{|z| \leq 1\}$ and $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|$ for $|z|=1$, then $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$.

It is then natural to pose the following question: Is it possible to extend Theorem (B) to quaternionic polynomials?

This short note gives an answer to this question. We show that a quaternionic version of Theorem (B) holds true only after imposing an assumption on the second polynomial (Theorem 2.1). We must require that the quaternionic polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{H}[X]$ on the right-hand side of the inequality has every coefficients belonging to a fixed commutative subalgebra of $\mathbb{H}$, i.e., to a
isomorphic copy of $\mathbb{C}$. We also show in Proposition 2.7 that the assumption made on $Q$ in Theorem 2.1 is necessary. This restricted version of the Bernstein Theorem is, however, sufficient to deduce, as in the complex case, the quaternionic Bernstein's inequality: if $P \in \mathbb{H}[X]$ is a quaternionic polynomial of degree $d$, then the sup-norms satisfy $\left\|P^{\prime}\right\| \leq d\|P\|$ (Corollary 2.4).

In Sect. 3, we restate the inequality in terms of four-dimensional zonal harmonics and Gegenbauer polynomials. To obtain this form, we use results from [12] to obtain an Almansi-type decomposition of a quaternionic polynomial.

We refer the reader to $[5,6,9]$ for definitions and properties concerning the algebra $\mathbb{H}$ of quaternions and many aspects of the theory of quaternionic slice-regular functions, a class of functions which includes polynomials and convergent power series, and more generally for slice functions. The ring $\mathbb{H}[X]$ of quaternionic polynomials is defined by fixing the position of the coefficients with respect to the indeterminate $X$ (e.g., on the right) and by imposing commutativity of $X$ with the coefficients when two polynomials are multiplied together (see, e.g., [11, Sect. 16]). Given two polynomials $P, Q \in \mathbb{H}[X]$, let $P \cdot Q$ denote the product obtained in this way. A direct computation (see [11, Sect. 16.3]) shows that if $P(x) \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(P \cdot Q)(x)=P(x) Q\left(P(x)^{-1} x P(x)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $(P \cdot Q)(x)=0$ if $P(x)=0$. In particular, if $P$ has real coefficients, then $(P \cdot Q)(x)=P(x) Q(x)$. In this setting, a (left) root or zero of a polynomial $P(X)=\sum_{h=0}^{d} X^{h} a_{h}$ is an element $x \in \mathbb{H}$, such that $P(x)=\sum_{h=0}^{d} x^{h} a_{h}=0$.

A subset $A$ of $\mathbb{H}$ is called circular, or axially symmetric, if, for each $x \in A, A$ contains the whole set (a 2 -sphere if $x \notin \mathbb{R}$, a point if $x \in \mathbb{R}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{S}_{x}=\left\{p x p^{-1} \in \mathbb{H} \mid p \in \mathbb{H}^{*}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{H}^{*}:=\mathbb{H} \backslash\{0\}$. In particular, for any imaginary unit $I \in \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{S}_{I}=\mathbb{S}$ is the 2 -sphere of all imaginary units in $\mathbb{H}$. It is well known (see, e.g., [5, Sect. 3.3]) that if $P \not \equiv 0$, the zero set $V(P)$ consists of isolated points or isolated 2 -spheres of the form (2).

## 2. A Bernstein-Type Theorem

Let $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and let $\mathbb{C}_{I} \subset \mathbb{H}$ be the real subalgebra generated by $I$, i.e., the complex plane generated by 1 and $I$. If $\mathbb{C}_{I}$ contains every coefficient of $P \in$ $\mathbb{H}[X]$, then we say that $P$ is a $\mathbb{C}_{I^{\prime}}$-polynomial. Every $\mathbb{C}_{I}$-polynomial $P$ is one-slice-preserving, i.e., $P\left(\mathbb{C}_{I}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{I}$. If this property holds for two imaginary units $I, J$, with $I \neq \pm J$, then it holds for every unit and $P$ is called slicepreserving. This happens exactly when all the coefficients of $P$ are real.

Let $P(X)=\sum_{k=0}^{d} X^{k} a_{k}$ be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 1$ with quaternionic coefficients. Let $P^{\prime}(X)=\sum_{k=1}^{d} X^{k-1} k a_{k}$ be the derivative of $P$. For every $I \in \mathbb{S}$, let $\pi_{I}: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\mathbb{C}_{I}$ and $\pi_{I}^{\perp}=i d-\pi_{I}$. Let $P^{I}(X):=\sum_{k=1}^{d} X^{k} a_{k, I}$ be the $\mathbb{C}_{I}$-polynomial with coefficients $a_{k, I}:=\pi_{I}\left(a_{k}\right)$.

We denote by $\mathbb{B}=\{x \in \mathbb{H}| | x \mid<1\}$ the unit ball in $\mathbb{H}$ and by $\mathbb{S}^{3}=\{x \in$ $\mathbb{H}||x|=1\}$ the unit sphere.

We recall that a quaternionic polynomial, as any slice-regular function, satisfies the maximum modulus principle [5, Theorem 7.1]. Let

$$
\|P\|=\max _{|x|=1}|P(x)|=\max _{|x| \leq 1}|P(x)|
$$

denote the sup-norm of the polynomial $P \in \mathbb{H}[X]$ on $\mathbb{B}$. Given $y \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$, let us denote

$$
M_{y}(P):=\max _{z \in \mathbb{S}_{y}}|P(z)|, \quad m_{y}(P):=\min _{z \in \mathbb{S}_{y}}|P(z)|
$$

Theorem 2.1. (Bernstein-type theorem) Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{H}[X]$ be two quaternionic polynomials with degree of $P$ not exceeding that of $Q$. Assume that there exists $I \in \mathbb{S}$, such that $Q$ is a $\mathbb{C}_{I}$-polynomial. If $V(Q) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{B}}$ and $|P(x)| \leq|Q(x)|$ for $x \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$, then $\left|P^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|$ for $x \in \mathbb{S}^{3} \cap \mathbb{C}_{I}$. For every $x=\alpha+J \beta \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$, if $P^{\prime}$ is not identically zero on $\mathbb{S}_{x}$, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} M_{x}\left(P^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{M_{x}\left(P^{\prime}\right)^{2}+m_{x}\left(P^{\prime}\right)^{2}}} \max \left\{\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{I}\right)\right|,\left|Q^{\prime}\left(\bar{x}_{I}\right)\right|\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $x_{I}=\alpha+I \beta$. Moreover, it holds $\left\|P^{\prime}\right\| \leq \sqrt{2}\left\|Q^{\prime}\right\|$.
Before proving the theorem, we state a technical lemma about a norm estimate that holds for quaternionic polynomials and more generally for any continuous slice function.

Lemma 2.2. Let $P \in \mathbb{H}[X], y \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$ with $P$ not identically zero on $\mathbb{S}_{y}$. Let $I \in \mathbb{S}$ be fixed. Then, it holds

$$
|P(x)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} M_{y}(P)}{\sqrt{M_{y}(P)^{2}+m_{y}(P)^{2}}} \max \left\{\left|P\left(x_{I}\right)\right|,\left|P\left(\bar{x}_{I}\right)\right|\right\}
$$

for every $x=\alpha+K \beta \in \mathbb{S}_{y}$, where $x_{I}=\alpha+I \beta \in \mathbb{S}_{y} \cap \mathbb{C}_{I}$.
Proof. Let $M:=M_{y}(P), m:=m_{y}(P)$. We may assume that $y$ is not real. Since $P$ is a slice function, it can be expressed as $P(x)=P_{s}^{o}(x)+\operatorname{Im}(x) P_{s}^{\prime}(x)$, where $P_{s}^{o}$ and $P_{s}^{\prime}$ are constant functions on $\mathbb{S}_{y}$ (the spherical value and the spherical derivative of $P$, respectively [7, Sect. 3.3]). Let $\langle u, v\rangle$ denote the Euclidean scalar product of $u, v \in \mathbb{H}$. Then, for every $x \in \mathbb{S}_{y}$, it holds

$$
|P(x)|^{2}=C+2\langle v, \operatorname{Im}(x)\rangle
$$

where $C=\left|P_{s}^{\circ}(y)\right|^{2}+|\operatorname{Im}(y)|^{2}\left|P_{s}^{\prime}(y)\right|^{2}$ and $v:=P_{s}^{\circ}(y) \overline{P_{s}^{\prime}(y)}$. If $v \in \mathbb{C}_{J}$, then we get as in [9, Lemma 5.3] that

$$
M=\max _{\mathbb{S}_{y} \cap \mathbb{C}_{J}}|P(x)| \text { and } m=\min _{\mathbb{S}_{y} \cap \mathbb{C}_{J}}|P(x)|
$$

Therefore, it holds $M^{2}=C+2|\operatorname{Im}(v)||\operatorname{Im}(y)|, m^{2}=C-2|\operatorname{Im}(v)||\operatorname{Im}(y)|$, whence $M^{2}+m^{2}=2 C$. Let $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{S}_{y} \cap \mathbb{C}_{I}=\left\{x_{I}, \bar{x}_{I}\right\}$ be such that $\langle v, \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{x})\rangle \geq$ 0 . Then

$$
\max \left\{\left|P\left(x_{I}\right)\right|^{2},\left|P\left(\bar{x}_{I}\right)\right|^{2}\right\}=|P(\tilde{x})|^{2} \geq C=\frac{M^{2}+m^{2}}{2}
$$

whence

$$
M^{2} \leq \frac{2 M^{2}}{M^{2}+m^{2}} \max \left\{\left|P\left(x_{I}\right)\right|^{2},\left|P\left(\bar{x}_{I}\right)\right|^{2}\right\}
$$

which is equivalent to the thesis.
Example 2.3. A simple example illustrating Lemma 2.2 is given by the linear polynomial $P(X)=2 X-j-k$. Let $y=i$. Then, $\mathbb{S}_{i}=\mathbb{S}$ and $M_{i}(P)=$ $|P(-(j+k) / \sqrt{2})|=2+\sqrt{2}, m_{i}(P)=|P((j+k) / \sqrt{2})|=2-\sqrt{2}$. The inequality of the Lemma is then

$$
|P(x)| \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \max \left\{\left|P\left(x_{I}\right)\right|,\left|P\left(\bar{x}_{I}\right)\right|\right\} \quad \text { for every } x \in \mathbb{S}
$$

Let $I$ be orthogonal to $(j+k) / \sqrt{2}$; for example, $I=i$. Then

$$
\max \left\{\left|P\left(x_{i}\right)\right|,\left|P\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right|\right\}=|P(i)|=\sqrt{6}
$$

showing that the constant $\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}$ is the best one in the estimate above.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$ with $|\lambda|>1$ and set $R:=Q-P \lambda^{-1} \in$ $\mathbb{H}[X]$. The polynomials $Q$ and $R^{I}=Q-\left(P \lambda^{-1}\right)^{I}$ are $\mathbb{C}_{I}$-polynomials, and then, they can be identified with elements of $\mathbb{C}_{I}[X]$, with $\operatorname{deg}\left(R^{I}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}(Q)$. For every $x \in \mathbb{C}_{I}$, it holds

$$
\left|R^{I}(x)-Q(x)\right|=\left|\left(P \lambda^{-1}\right)^{I}(x)\right|=\left|\pi_{I}\left(\left(P \lambda^{-1}\right)(x)\right)\right| \leq\left|\left(P \lambda^{-1}\right)(x)\right|=\frac{|P(x)|}{|\lambda|}
$$

If $x \in \mathbb{S}^{3} \cap \mathbb{C}_{I}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{C}_{I}| | x \mid=1\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R^{I}(x)-Q(x)\right| \leq \frac{|P(x)|}{|\lambda|} \leq \frac{|Q(x)|}{|\lambda|} \leq|Q(x)| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Rouché's Theorem for polynomials in $\mathbb{C}_{I}[X], R^{I}$ and $Q$ have the same zeros in the disc $\left\{x \in \mathbb{C}_{I}| | x \mid<1\right\}$. Moreover, if $|x|=1$ and $Q(x)=0$, the inequality (4) gives $R^{I}(x)=0$. Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(R^{I}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}(Q)$ and $V(Q) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{B}}$, we get that $V\left(R^{I}\right) \cap \mathbb{C}_{I} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{B}} \cap \mathbb{C}_{I}$. From the complex Gauss-Lucas Theorem, we get $V\left(R^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathbb{C}_{I} \subseteq V\left(\left(R^{I}\right)^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathbb{C}_{I} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{B}} \cap \mathbb{C}_{I}$.

Now, let $x \in \mathbb{C}_{I}$ with $|x|>1$ be fixed and define $\lambda:=Q^{\prime}(x)^{-1} P^{\prime}(x) \in$ $\mathbb{H}$. Observe that $Q^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ again from the complex Gauss-Lucas Theorem applied to the polynomial $Q$ considered as element of $\mathbb{C}_{I}[X]$. If $|\lambda|>1$, the polynomial $R=Q-P \lambda^{-1} \in \mathbb{H}[X]$ defined as above has zero derivative at $x: R^{\prime}(x)=Q^{\prime}(x)-P^{\prime}(x) \lambda^{-1}=0$, contradicting what obtained before. Therefore, it must be $|\lambda| \leq 1$, i.e., $\left|P^{\prime}(x)\right| /\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}_{I}$ with $|x|>1$. By continuity, $\left|P^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}_{I}$ with $|x|=1$.

To prove (3), we apply Lemma 2.2 to $P^{\prime}$ and use the inequalities $\left|P^{\prime}\left(x_{I}\right)\right|$ $\leq\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{I}\right)\right|,\left|P^{\prime}\left(\bar{x}_{I}\right)\right| \leq\left|Q^{\prime}\left(\bar{x}_{I}\right)\right|$. The last statement follows from a general property of slice functions (see again [9, Lemma 5.3]): since $Q^{\prime}$ is a $\mathbb{C}_{I^{-}}$ polynomial, its maximum modulus on the 2 -sphere $\mathbb{S}_{x}$ is attained at one of the points $x_{I}=\alpha+I \beta, \bar{x}_{I}=\alpha-I \beta$ of the intersection $\mathbb{S}_{x} \cap \mathbb{C}_{I}$.

Corollary 2.4. (Bernstein's inequality) If $P \in \mathbb{H}[X]$ is a quaternionic polynomial of degree $d$, then $\left\|P^{\prime}\right\| \leq d\|P\|$.

Proof. Let $M=\|P\|$ and apply the previous theorem to $P(X)$ and $Q(X)=$ $M X^{d}$. Since $Q$ is slice-preserving, the first inequality in the thesis of Theorem 2.1 holds for every $I \in \mathbb{S}$.

Remark 2.5. The proof of Theorem 2.1 makes use of the complex GaussLucas Theorem. One could hope to obtain a better estimate by means of a quaternionic Gauss-Lucas Theorem. Unfortunately, this last result is valid only for a small class of quaternionic polynomials, as it has been showed in [8].

The inequality of Corollary 2.4 is best possible with equality holding if and only if $P$ is a multiple of the power $X^{d}$. One implication is immediate. If $P(X)=X^{d} a$, with $a \in \mathbb{H}$ and $d \geq 1$, then $\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|=\left\|d X^{d-1} a\right\|=d|a|=d\|P\|$. We show the converse.

Proposition 2.6. If $P \in \mathbb{H}[X]$ is a quaternionic polynomial of degree $d$, and $\left|P^{\prime}(y)\right|=d\|P\|$ at a point $y \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$, then $P(X)=X^{d}$ a, for an $a \in \mathbb{H}$ with $|a|=\|P\|$.

Proof. We can assume that $P(X)$ is not constant. Let $b=P^{\prime}(y)^{-1}$ and set $Q(X):=P(X) b=\sum_{k=1}^{d} X^{k} a_{k}$. Then, $Q^{\prime}(y)=1,\|Q\|=1 / d$ and $\left\|Q^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1$. Let $I \in \mathbb{S}$, such that $\mathbb{C}_{I} \ni y$. Then

$$
1=Q^{\prime}(y)=\sum_{k} k y^{k-1} a_{k}=\pi_{I}\left(Q^{\prime}(y)\right)=\sum_{k} k y^{k-1} \pi_{I}\left(a_{k}\right)=\left(Q^{I}\right)^{\prime}(y)
$$

If $x \in \mathbb{C}_{I} \cap \mathbb{S}^{3}$, it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(Q^{I}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right| & =\left|\sum_{k} k x^{k-1} \pi_{I}\left(a_{k}\right)\right|=\left|\pi_{I}\left(\sum_{k} k x^{k-1} a_{k}\right)\right| \leq\left|\sum_{k} k x^{k-1} a_{k}\right| \\
& =\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that the $\mathbb{C}_{I}$-polynomial $Q^{I}$, considered as an element of $\mathbb{C}_{I}[X]$, satisfies the equality in the classic Bernstein's inequality. The same inequality implies that

$$
1=\max _{x \in \mathbb{C}_{I} \cap \mathbb{S}^{3}}\left|\left(Q_{\mid \mathbb{C}_{I}}^{I}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq d \max _{x \in \mathbb{C}_{I} \cap \mathbb{S}^{3}}\left|Q_{\mid \mathbb{C}_{I}}^{I}(x)\right| \leq d\|Q\|=1
$$

i.e., $\max _{x \in \mathbb{C}_{I} \cap \mathbb{S}^{3}}\left|Q_{\mid \mathbb{C}_{I}}^{I}(x)\right|=1 / d$. Therefore, the restriction of $Q^{I}$ to $\mathbb{C}_{I}$ coincides with the function $x^{d} c$, with $c \in \mathbb{C}_{I},|c|=1 / d$

$$
Q^{I}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{d} x^{k} \pi_{I}\left(a_{k}\right)=x^{d} c \text { for every } x \in \mathbb{C}_{I}
$$

This implies that $\pi_{I}\left(a_{d}\right)=c, \pi_{I}\left(a_{k}\right)=0$ for each $k=1, \ldots, d-1$ and $Q$ can be written as $Q(X)=X^{d} c+\widetilde{Q}(X)$, with the coefficients of $\widetilde{Q}$ belonging to $\mathbb{C}_{I}^{\perp}=\pi_{I}^{\perp}(\mathbb{H})$. When $x \in \mathbb{C}_{I} \cap \mathbb{S}^{3}, \widetilde{Q}(x) \in \mathbb{C}_{I}^{\perp}$, and then

$$
\frac{1}{d^{2}} \geq|Q(x)|^{2}=\left|x^{d} c\right|^{2}+|\widetilde{Q}(x)|^{2}=\frac{1}{d^{2}}+|\widetilde{Q}(x)|^{2}
$$

This inequality forces $\widetilde{Q}$ to be the zero polynomial, and then, $P(X)=$ $Q(X) b^{-1}=X^{d} c b^{-1}$.

We now show that in Theorem 2.1, the assumption on $Q$ to be one-slicepreserving is necessary.

## Proposition 2.7. Let

$$
P(X)=(X-i) \cdot(X-j) \cdot(X-k), \quad Q(X)=2 X \cdot(X-i) \cdot(X-j)
$$

Then, $V(Q)=\{0, i\} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{B}}$ and $|P(x)| \leq|Q(x)|$ for every $x \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$, but there exists $y \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$, such that $\left|P^{\prime}(y)\right|>\left|Q^{\prime}(y)\right|$.

Proof. By a direct computation, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X) & =X^{3}-X^{2}(i+j+k)+X(i-j+k)+1 \\
Q(X) & =2 X^{3}-2 X^{2}(i+j)+2 X k \\
P^{\prime}(X) & =3 X^{2}-2 X(i+j+k)+i-j+k \\
Q^{\prime}(X) & =6 X^{2}-4 X(i+j)+2 k
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $P_{1}(X)=X-k, Q_{1}(X)=2 X, P_{2}(X)=(X-j) \cdot P_{1}(X), Q_{2}(X)=$ $(X-j) \cdot Q_{1}(X)$. Then, $P(X)=(X-i) \cdot P_{2}(X)$ and $Q(X)=(X-i) \cdot Q_{2}(X)$. For every $x \in \mathbb{S}^{3} \backslash\{j\}$, using formula (1), we get

$$
\left|P_{2}(x)\right|=|x-j|\left|(x-j)^{-1} x(x-j)-k\right| \leq 2|x-j|=|x-j||2 x|=\left|Q_{2}(x)\right| .
$$

Since $P_{2}(j)=Q_{2}(j)=0$, the inequality holds also at $j$. From this, we obtain, for each $x \in \mathbb{S}^{3} \backslash\{i\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|P(x)| & =|x-i|\left|P_{2}\left((x-i)^{-1} x(x-i)\right)\right| \leq|x-i|\left|Q_{2}\left((x-i)^{-1} x(x-i)\right)\right| \\
& =|Q(x)|
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $P$ and $Q$ vanish at $i,|P(x)| \leq|Q(x)|$ for every $x \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$.
Let $y=\frac{1}{10}(1+9 i+4 j-\sqrt{2} k) \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$. An easy computation gives

$$
\left|P^{\prime}(y)\right|^{2}=\frac{7}{25}(5+\sqrt{2}) \simeq 1.80, \quad\left|Q^{\prime}(y)\right|^{2}=\frac{4}{25}(10-3 \sqrt{2}) \simeq 0.92
$$

## 3. Bernstein Inequality and Zonal Harmonics

Since the restriction of a complex variable power $z^{m}$ to the unit circumference is equal to $\cos (m \theta)+i \sin (m \theta)$, the classic Bernstein inequality for complex polynomials can be restated in terms of trigonometric polynomials. In this section, we show that a similar interpretation is possible in four dimensions, by means of an Almansi-type decomposition of quaternionic polynomials and its relation with zonal harmonics in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.

Quaternionic polynomials, as any slice-regular function, are biharmonic with respect to the standard Laplacian of $\mathbb{R}^{4}[12$, Theorem 6.3]. In view of Almansi's Theorem (see e.g. [1, Proposition 1.3]), the four real components of such polynomials have a decomposition in terms of a pair of harmonic functions. The results of [12] can be applied to obtain a refined decomposition of the polynomial in terms of the quaternionic variable.

Let $\mathcal{Z}_{k}(x, a)$ denote the real four-dimensional (solid) zonal harmonic of degree $k$ with pole $a \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$ (see, e.g., [2, Ch.5]). The symmetry properties of
zonal harmonics imply that $\mathcal{Z}_{k}(x, a)=\mathcal{Z}_{k}(x \bar{a}, 1)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{H}$ and any $a \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$. Moreover, it holds [12, Corollary 6.7(d)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k}=\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{k}(x)-\bar{x} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{k-1}(x) \quad \text { for every } x \in \mathbb{H} \text { and } k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{k}(x)$ is the real-valued zonal harmonic defined by $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{k}(x):=\frac{1}{k+1} \mathcal{Z}_{k}(x, 1)$ for any $k \geq 0$ and by $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{-1}:=0$.

In the following, we will consider polynomials in the four real variables $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ of the form $A(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{d} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{k}(x) a_{k}$, with quaternionic coefficients $a_{k} \in \mathbb{H}$. They will be called zonal harmonic polynomials with pole 1 . All these polynomials have an axial symmetry with respect to the real axis: for every orthogonal transformation $T$ of $\mathbb{H} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{4}$ fixing 1 , it holds $A \circ T=A$.

Proposition 3.1. (Almansi-type decomposition) Let $P \in \mathbb{H}[X]$ be a quaternionic polynomial of degree $d \geq 1$. There exist two zonal harmonic polynomials $A, B$ with pole 1, of degrees $d$ and $d-1$, respectively, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)=A(x)-\bar{x} B(x) \quad \text { for every } x \in \mathbb{H} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The restrictions of $A$ and $B$ to the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ are spherical harmonics depending only on $x_{0}=\operatorname{Re}(x)$.

Proof. Let $P(X)=\sum_{k=0}^{d} X^{k} c_{k}$. Formula (6) follows immediately from (5) setting

$$
A(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{d} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{k}(x) c_{k} \text { and } B(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{k}(x) c_{k+1}
$$

The restriction of $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{k}(x)$ to the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ is equal to the Gegenbauer (or Chebyshev of the second kind) polynomial $C_{k}^{(1)}\left(x_{0}\right)$, where $x_{0}=\operatorname{Re}(x)$ (see [12, Corollary $6.7(\mathrm{e})]$ ). This property implies immediately the last statement.

Remark 3.2. See $[13,14]$ for an extension of the Almansi decomposition to polynomials or more generally slice-regular functions on quaternions and Clifford algebras.

Thanks to the previous decomposition, the quaternionic Bernstein inequality of Corollary 2.4 can be restated in terms of Gegenbauer polynomials $C_{k}^{(1)}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $(d+1)$-uple $\alpha=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{d+1}$, let $Q_{\alpha}: \mathbb{S}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be defined by

$$
Q_{\alpha}(x):=\sum_{k=0}^{d}\left(C_{k}^{(1)}\left(x_{0}\right)-\bar{x} C_{k-1}^{(1)}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) a_{k}
$$

for any $x=x_{0}+i x_{1}+j x_{2}+k x_{3} \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$ (where we set $C_{-1}^{(1)}:=0$ ). Being the restriction to $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ of the quaternionic polynomial $P(X)=\sum_{k=0}^{d} X^{k} a_{k}$, which has biharmonic real components on $\mathbb{H}, Q_{\alpha}$ is a quaternionic valued spherical biharmonic of degree $d$ (see, e.g., [10]).

Corollary 3.3. Let $\alpha=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{d}\right)$ and $\alpha^{\prime}=\left(a_{1}, 2 a_{2}, \ldots, k a_{k}, \ldots, d a_{d}, 0\right) \in$ $\mathbb{H}^{d+1}$. Then, it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } \quad\left|Q_{\alpha}(x)\right|=\left|\sum_{k=0}^{d}\left(C_{k}^{(1)}\left(x_{0}\right)-\bar{x} C_{k-1}^{(1)}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) a_{k}\right| \leq M \text { for every } x \in \mathbb{S}^{3}, \\
& \text { then } \quad\left|Q_{\alpha^{\prime}}(x)\right|=\left|\sum_{k=0}^{d-1}\left(C_{k}^{(1)}\left(x_{0}\right)-\bar{x} C_{k-1}^{(1)}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)(k+1) a_{k+1}\right| \leq d M
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$.
Proof. Let $P(X)=\sum_{k=0}^{d} X^{k} a_{k}$. From formula (5), it follows that the restriction of $P^{\prime}$ to the unit sphere is the spherical biharmonic $Q_{\alpha^{\prime}}$. Corollary 2.4 permits to conclude.

Remark 3.4. Let $P \in \mathbb{H}[X]$ be a polynomial with Almansi-type decomposition $P(x)=A(x)-\bar{x} B(x)$ and let $y=\alpha+J \beta \in \mathbb{S}^{3}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \beta>0$. Let $v=A(y) \overline{B(y)}$. It follows from general properties of slice functions [9, Lemma 5.3] that if $v \in \mathbb{R}$, then $|P|_{\mathbb{S}_{y}}$ is constant, while if $v \notin \mathbb{R}$, then the maximum modulus of $P$ on the 2 -sphere $\mathbb{S}_{y} \subset \mathbb{S}^{3}$ is attained at the point $\alpha+I \beta$, with $I=\operatorname{Im}(v) /|\operatorname{Im}(v)|$, while the minimum modulus is attained at $\alpha-I \beta$. In principle, this reduces the problem of maximizing or minimizing the modulus of $P$ on the unit sphere (or ball) to a one-dimensional problem.

Example 3.5. Consider the polynomial $P(X)=(X-i) \cdot(X-j) \cdot(X-k)$ of Proposition 2.7. Since the first four zonal harmonics are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{0}(x)=1, \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{1}(x)=2 x_{0}, \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{2}(x)=3 x_{0}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}, \\
& \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{3}(x)=4 x_{0}\left(x_{0}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the Almansi-type decomposition of $P$ is $P(x)=A(x)-\bar{x} B(x)$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(x)= & \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{3}(x)+\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{0}(x)+(i+k)\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{1}(x)-\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{2}(x)\right)-j\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{1}(x)+\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{2}(x)\right) \\
= & \left(1+4 x_{0}^{3}-4 x_{0} x_{1}^{2}-4 x_{0} x_{2}^{2}-4 x_{0} x_{3}^{2}\right)+(i+k)\left(2 x_{0}-3 x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right) \\
& -j\left(2 x_{0}+3 x_{0}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}\right), \\
B(x)= & \left(3 x_{0}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}\right)+i\left(1-2 x_{0}\right)-j\left(1+2 x_{0}\right)+k\left(1-2 x_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

harmonic polynomials. Their restrictions to $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ are the spherical harmonics

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\mid \mathbb{S}^{3}}(x)= & \left(1-4 x_{0}+8 x_{0}^{3}\right)+i\left(1+2 x_{0}-4 x_{0}^{2}\right)+j\left(1-2 x_{0}-4 x_{0}^{2}\right) \\
& +k\left(1+2 x_{0}-4 x_{0}^{2}\right), \\
B_{\mid \mathbb{S}^{3}}(x)= & \left(-1+4 x_{0}^{2}\right)+i\left(1-2 x_{0}\right)-j\left(1+2 x_{0}\right)+k\left(1-2 x_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Following the observation made in Remark 3.4, since $\operatorname{Im}(A(y) \overline{B(y)})=4((\alpha-$ 1) $i+\alpha k$ ), where $\alpha=\operatorname{Re}(y), y \in \mathbb{S}^{3}$, one can find the 2 -sphere $\mathbb{S}_{y} \subset \mathbb{S}^{3}$ where the maximum modulus of $P$ is attained. A direct computation gives $\operatorname{Re}(y)=$ $(1-\sqrt{19}) / 6 \sim-0.56$ and the corresponding maximum value $\|P\| \sim 4.70$ attained at the point $\tilde{y}=(1-\sqrt{19}) / 6-i(5+\sqrt{19}) / 12+k(1-\sqrt{19}) / 12$ of $\mathbb{S}^{3}$.

Some of the results presented in this note can be generalized to the general setting of real alternative *-algebras, where polynomials can be defined and share many of the properties valid on the quaternions (see [7]). The polynomials of Proposition 2.7 can be defined every time the algebra contains an Hamiltonian triple $i, j, k$, i.e., when the algebra contains a subalgebra isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}$ (see [4, Sect. 8.1]). This is true, e.g., for the algebra of octonions and for the Clifford algebras with signature $(0, n)$, with $n \geq 2$. In all such algebras, one can repeat the previous proofs and get the analog of Theorem 2.1, as well as of the Bernstein inequality (see also [15] for this last result).
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