Cu–TiO₂ Hybrid Nanoparticles Exhibiting Tunable Photochromic Behavior
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ABSTRACT: Pure and copper-modified photocatalytic TiO₂ nanopowders were prepared via a green sol–gel route and heated to 450 °C. Copper does not enter the TiO₂ lattice but forms as smaller ~2 nm Cu-based nanocrystals, decorating the surface of ~10 nm TiO₂ nanoparticles. The surface of the larger TiO₂ nanoparticles (NPs) is partially covered by much smaller Cu NPs, attached to the surface of the larger NPs but not completely covering them due to the small quantity present (1−10 mol % Cu). This retards the anatase-to-rutile phase transition and titania domain growth through a grain-boundary pinning mechanism. These hybrid nanoparticles show tunable photochromic behavior under both UVA and visible light. Under UVA, Cu²⁺ nanoparticles reduce to Cu⁺, and then to Cu⁰. Under visible-light, Cu²⁺ reduces to Cu⁺, although to a lesser extent. The induced photochromism can be tuned by varying the light source or exposure time. One mol % Cu causes a reduction of Cu²⁺, and lowers the d−d absorption band, to 50% after only 12 s, and 95% after 10 min, under UVA-light, and has a reduction of 25% in 1 min, 50% in 4 min, and 80% in 1 h under visible-light. This is the first report of inorganic compounds, in this case Cu-TiO₂ hybrid nanoparticles, to exhibit tunable photochromism under both UVA and visible-light exposure. This rapid and sensitive effect can potentially be used to modify, tune, or monitor the progress of photoactivated behavior in a new generation of smart/active multifunctional materials and photoactive devices or sensors.

1. INTRODUCTION

The 21st century can be considered the "Nanotechnology Era" or "Nano-Age". As they possess unique physiochemical, catalytic, surface, and magnetic properties, nanomaterials may provide solutions to problems that cannot be dealt with using conventional technologies. As such, nanotechnologies are becoming an invaluable tool in many contexts. Among nanomaterials, metal oxides, because of their abundance and stability, play an increasingly dominant role. Among the oxides, the utilization of nano titanium dioxide (titania, TiO₂) is always growing, because of its wide range of applications, such as light-to-energy conversion and storage, and photocatalysis.¹−⁴

Although TiO₂ is reported to have 11 bulk and/or nanocrystalline phases,⁵ the three best known polymorphs of titania are rutile, anatase, and brookite, all of which are chemically inert, nontoxic, and also photocatalytically active (with no additives) in mild conditions. Anatase and rutile are employed in H₂ production,⁶ are able to degrade both volatile organic compounds⁷,⁸ and recalcitrant/persistent pollutants,⁹ and are also reported to be active against several bacterial strains and yeasts.¹⁰−¹² TiO₂ has also been studied for its electrochromic behavior;¹³,¹⁴ reversible and persistent changes in the optical properties can be obtained by charge insertion/extraction.¹⁵

Recently, TiO₂ has been shown to possess a degree of photochromic behavior; photochromism can be defined as that phenomenon in which the material can change color in a reversible way by exposure to an electromagnetic radiation (UV, visible, and IR illumination).¹⁶ This phenomenon occurs when the surface of titania nanoparticles (NPs) are decorated with smaller noble metal NPs. When these noble-metal NPs (e.g., Ag, Au, Cu, and Pt) are embedded/decorated in/on a titania matrix and subjected to UV radiation, they can capture the photoexcited electrons from TiO₂ (due to photocatalysis) and become reduced to the metallic state.

This leads to a phenomenon known as multicolour photochromism,¹⁷−¹⁹ because noble-metals NPs are able to absorb visible-light due to surface plasmon resonance (SPR).²⁰,²¹ This phenomenon occurs when the conducting electrons on the surface of the metallic NPs, exposed to a characteristic electromagnetic radiation, enter into a collective oscillation/excitation mode (a plasmon), induced by the electric field of the incident light.²²,²³ The resonance is associated with a corresponding absorption of light:²⁴ in the case of gold, silver, and copper NPs, this SPR absorption falls into the visible range.²⁵

In this work, copper has been chosen as a companion for titania, because of its ability to enhance both the visible-light absorption...
and the photocatalytic activity (PCA) under UV-light exposure, as well as because of its antimicrobial properties, and its low cost compared to other noble-metals such as Au, Ag, or Pt. Samples were synthesized via a green aqueous sol–gel nanosynthesis method and their phase composition and microstructure were thoroughly characterized, the former via the Rietveld method, the latter by way of a novel and advanced X-ray method, known as whole powder pattern modeling (WPPM). The optical properties (photochromic behavior) were fully addressed by means of diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS). High transmission resolution electron microscopy (HR-TEM) was employed to detect any possible (re)formation of Cu NPs after UVA-light exposure.

This is the first, unprecedented report of inorganic NPs possessing tunable photochromic properties under both UVA and visible-light exposure. They could potentially be used for creating multifunctional smart or active coatings with applications in self-cleaning surfaces, photocatalysis, antimicrobial self-creating multifunctional smart or active coatings with applications in self-cleaning surfaces, photocatalysis, antimicrobial self-creating multifunctional smart or active coatings with applications in self-cleaning surfaces, photocatalysis, antimicrobial self-

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Sample Preparation. Aqueous titanium(IV)hydroxide sols were made via the carefully controlled hydrolysis and peptization of titanium(IV)isopropoxide (Ti-i-pr, Ti(OCH(CH3)2)4) with distilled water diluted in isopropyl alcohol (IPA, propan-2-ol), following a protocol previously reported in detail by the authors. In brief, one part of Ti-i-pr (Aldrich, 97%) was added to four parts of isopropyl alcohol to make a 20 vol % Ti-i-pr solution. This Ti-i-pr solution was hydrolyzed by the dropwise addition of an excess of water (5:1 water:Ti-i-pr) employed as a 20 vol % solution in IPA. The acid necessary to peptize the sol (concentrated HNO3, Aldrich, 65%) was also added to this water–IPA solution, in a molar ratio of Ti4+:acid of 2.5:1. This water–IPA-acid solution was added dropwise to the Ti-i-pr solution at room temperature, while being stirred. The precipitated mixture was evaporated to a white jelly like mass on a rotary evaporator, removing the IPA. Distilled water was added to restore the mixture to the original volume, and this was then dried once more to a dried gel at 60 °C. This gel could be dispersed in under 1 min to form a stable titania sol.

Four Cu-doped sols were prepared as well, with a Cu:TiO2 molar ratio of 1, 2, 5, and 10 mol %. Stoichiometric amounts of copper(II) nitrate trihydrate (Aldrich, ≥ 98.5%) were added to the sol, which had a 1 M concentration. Afterward, dried gels were thermally treated at 450 °C under a static air flow, using a muffle furnace. The heating/cooling rate was 5 °C min⁻¹, with a 2 h dwell time at the selected temperature. Samples were referred to as Ti450 (unmodified TiO2), and Cu–Ti450, 2Cu–Ti450, 5Cu–Ti450, and 10Cu–Ti450 for 1 mol %, 2 mol %, 5 mol %, and 10 mol % of Cu addition, respectively.

2.2. Sample Characterization. A semiquantitative phase analysis (QPA) of the crystalline phases in the various specimens was obtained by X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) using the Rietveld method as implemented in GSAS-EXPGUI. Data were collected on a PANalytical XPert Pro (NL) θ/θ diffractometer equipped with 0.5° divergence slit, 0.5° anticollimating slit, 0.04 rad Soller slits, a 15 mm copper mask in the incident beam pathway and a fast RTMS detector (PIXcel 1D, PANalytical) on the diffracted arm. The 20–80°2θ range was investigated using Cu Kα radiation (45 kV and 40 mA) with a virtual step scan of 0.02°2θ and virtual time per step of 200 s. The starting atomic parameters for anatase, rutile and brookite, described in the space groups I41/amd, P42/mnm and Pbca, respectively, were taken from the literature.

The instrumental contribution, evaluated by means of the NIST SRM 660b standard (LaB6), was taken into account in the refinements. The refined parameters were: scale-factors, zero-point, specimen displacement, six coefficients of the Chebyshev background polynomial and unit cell parameters, two Lorentzian (Lx and Ly) terms, and one angle independent Gaussian term (Gθ) as the profile coefficients.

Whole powder pattern modeling (WPPM) implemented in the PM2K software was employed for the microstructure analysis. This technique exploits XRPD as well, by describing each observed peak profile as a convolution of instrumental and sample-related physical effects, and refining the corresponding model parameters directly on the observed data. Microstructure information such as crystalline domain shape, size distribution, defect type, and content can be quantitatively obtained. The results provided by the WPPM surpass those frequently obtained using integral breadth methods for line profile analysis (LPA), such as the Scherrer formula, or the Williamson–Hall plot, in terms of quality and quantity. Traditional LPA techniques are in fact based on simplified hypotheses (often not met), and the corresponding results are hard to interpret in terms of physical quantities that could be measured with alternative techniques (e.g., microscopy).

Crystalline domains were assumed to be spherical and their diameter distributed according to a log-normal curve. On the grounds of HR-TEM observations (see below), anatase and rutile in Ti450 were described using two models; the first considering a unimodal and the second a bimodal size distribution. [From a rigorous statistical point of view, we are not dealing with a bimodal distribution, as this definition would imply the presence of two absolute maxima in the probability distribution function. In our case the definition is more relaxed and we consider a distribution characterized by the presence of two maxima, independent of whether they are absolute or just local.] To improve the accuracy of the result, data with a high signal/noise ratio were employed, collected using the same

Table 1. Rietveld Agreement Factors and Crystalline Phase Composition of the Unmodified and Cu-Modified TiO2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>no. of variables</th>
<th>agreement factors</th>
<th>phase composition (wt %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rwp (%)</td>
<td>anatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti450</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>55.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu–Ti450</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>82.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Cu–Ti450</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>81.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5Cu–Ti450</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>84.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10Cu–Ti450</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>83.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: there were 2285 observations for every refinement; the number of anatase, rutile, and brookite reflections was 32, 31, and 153, respectively.
instrument and setup described above, but in the 20−115°2θ range, with a virtual step of 0.1°2θ, and virtual time per step of 500 s. The instrumental contribution was obtained by parametrizing the profile of 14 reflections from the NIST SRM 660b standard (LaB6), according to the Caglioti et al. relationship.43 The following parameters were refined: background (6th-order Chebyshev polynomial), peak intensities, specimen displacement, lattice parameters, mean and variance of the size distributions, and screw and edge dislocation density.

To investigate their photochromic properties, samples (0.1 g) were exposed, for different irradiation times, to either UVA− or visible-light (cf. section 3.2). The lamps used for this purpose were a PL-S 9 W (Philips, NL) germicidal lamp as UVA-light source and a PL-S 2 P 9 W/840 fluorescent lamp (Philips, NL), as visible-light source. The intensity of the radiation reaching the samples, measured with a radiometer (Delta OHM, HD2302.0, IT), was estimated to be ~22 W m−2 in the UVA range (315 nm < λ < 400 nm), and ~50 W m−2 in the visible region (λ > 400 nm, being nil in the UVA). Irradiation times are consecutive and absolute: a specimen irradiated for 0.25 min and then for a further 0.25 min will be identified as 0.50 min. Immediately after irradiation, diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS) was performed using a Shimadzu UV 3100 (JP) spectrometer, equipped with a BaSO4 integrating sphere. Spectra of the samples were acquired in the UV−vis range (250−825 nm) with 0.2 nm resolution with BaSO4 as reference. To obtain a proxy for the absorption spectrum, we analyzed the DRS data with the Kubelka−Munk function:

\[
\alpha \approx F(R_\infty) \equiv \frac{(1 - R_\infty)^2}{2R_\infty} = \frac{K}{S}
\]

where \(K\) and \(S\) are, respectively, the absorption and scattering coefficients and \(R_\infty = R_{\text{sample}}/R_{\text{standard}}\).

The morphology of the samples was investigated with a 2200FS JP (JEOL) HR-TEM equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) attachment (Oxford Instruments, UK), and with a Gatan Ultrascan 4000 SCCD camera. Fast Fourier transform (FFT) patterns were analyzed through the JEMS software package. Samples were prepared by dispersing the NPs in IPA, and evaporating some drops of the suspension on carbon-coated copper TEM grids. In order to detect any (reformed) Cu NPs, a set of TEM specimens was also irradiated for 300 min with the UVA lamp prior to carrying out the analysis.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. X-ray Analysis. As an example, Figure S1 (electronic Supporting Information, ESI) shows the X-ray diffraction pattern of the 5Cu−Ti450 specimen and the corresponding Rietveld refinement: the QPA results are proposed in Table 1. The unmodified TiO2, Ti450, contains 55.6 wt % anatase, 20.1 wt % rutile, and 24.3 wt % brookite. The sizable presence of this latter TiO2 polymorph is to be attributed to the acidic condition of the synthesis,45,46 that does not favor its conversion into rutile. Neither Cu0, nor any Cu oxide (tenorite or cuprite) are detected in the XRD patterns of Cu-modified TiO2, probably because the doping levels are too low, but also because the main reflections of Cu0 and Cu oxides overlap those of anatase, rutile, and brookite.

Furthermore, the addition of Cu ions seems to delay considerably the anatase-to-rutile phase transition (ART), to the extent that all the Cu-modified titania contains >80 wt % anatase, cf Table 1. Moreover, the amount of rutile decreases with the amount of Cu doping in the system. Due to the greatly increased
amount of anatase present, the content of brookite is clearly lower in all the Cu-modified samples (amounts ranging from 8.8 wt% in Cu–Ti450, to 12.3 wt% in 10Cu–Ti450). Nevertheless, it is worth noting that the amount of brookite in Cu-modified samples increases with Cu mol %, at the expense of rutile (Table 1), indicating that Cu addition also delays the brookite → rutile phase transformation. This delay of the ART is in contrast with the common belief that Cu accelerates it. For some authors, this accelerating behavior is due to electron pumping from copper directly into the TiO2 conduction band, but other authors invoke the effect of excess oxygen vacancies to explain the same phenomenon. We claim that Cu doping, as done here, actually causes a grain-boundary pinning, resulting in delaying the ART as a consequence of a nucleation–growth mechanism.

Table 3. Mean Crystalline Domain Size of Anatase (ant), Rutile (rt), and Brookite (brk), Defined as the Mean of the Lognormal Size Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>mean crystalline domain diameter</th>
<th>mode of the size distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( (D_{\text{ant}}) ) (nm)</td>
<td>( (D_{\text{rt}}) ) (nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti450</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>14.4 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu–Ti450</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>12.2 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Cu–Ti450</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10.3 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5Cu–Ti450</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10Cu–Ti450</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>9.2 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. WPPM Agreement Factors, Unit Cell Volume (V) and Average Domain Diameter of the Two Fractions of Anatase and Rutile According to the Proposed Bimodal Size Distribution Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>( R_{\omega} (%) )</th>
<th>( R_{\text{wp}} (%) )</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>V (nm³)</th>
<th>average size (nm)</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>V (nm³)</th>
<th>average size (nm)</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>V (nm³)</th>
<th>average size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ti450</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.137(1)</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.135(1)</td>
<td>32.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.062(1)</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.063(1)</td>
<td>27.2 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu–Ti450</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.136(1)</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.137(1)</td>
<td>16.8 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.063(1)</td>
<td>11.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.063(1)</td>
<td>16.7 ± 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Cu–Ti450</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.137(1)</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.137(1)</td>
<td>15.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.063(1)</td>
<td>9.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.062(1)</td>
<td>18.5 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5Cu–Ti450</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.137(1)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.137(1)</td>
<td>20.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.063(1)</td>
<td>12.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.062(1)</td>
<td>16.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10Cu–Ti450</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.137(1)</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.137(1)</td>
<td>24.9 ± 4.8</td>
<td>0.063(1)</td>
<td>8.4 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.062(1)</td>
<td>16.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Metallic copper NPs presumably nucleate on the grain boundaries, pinning them, thus limiting their further growth, explaining the delay of the ART.

The WPPM result for sample Ti450 based on the monodispersed model (cf. Figure S2a) does not lead to a perfect fit, as some features are still present in the difference curve. Suspecting that the phenomenon might be attributed to a nonideal distribution of the domain sizes, we collected some HR-TEM evidence—the micrograph of Ti450, Figure 2, clearly shows the simultaneous presence of large and small domains. The WPPM analysis was performed a second time considering a bimodal size distribution for anatase and rutile (a monodispersed distribution was sufficient to model the brookite fraction).

Synthetic WPPM results for this bimodal size distribution model are shown in Table 4, and Figure S3a,b. The introduction of a second fraction does not change the unit cell parameter sizes and volume are unaltered. As for the domain size, we see the appearance of a large-size fraction of rutile (16.1–27.2 nm, coexisting with domains of 8.4–12.3 nm), and a large-size fraction of anatase (15.8–32.4 nm coexisting with 6.1–11.2 nm). The extra fraction of material accounts for the presence of a long tail in the actual distribution directed toward lower sizes for rutile and higher sizes for anatase. The fact that the monodisperse model captures just one or the other value is related to the physics of diffraction, sensitive to volume and therefore to the fraction that, in volume, is the dominant one. This offers the possibility to appreciate the sensitivity of modern line profile analysis techniques, as the addition of this small quantity is sufficient to improve the quality of the modeling (cf. Figure S2a,b).

3.2. DRS Analysis: Photochromism. 3.2.1. UVA-Light Exposure. Diffuse reflectance spectra of Ti450, and Cu-TiO2 samples prior to UVA irradiation are shown in Figure 3. Sample Ti450 shows one absorption band, at around 400 nm, due to the \( Ti^{IV}–O^{2−} \) metal–ligand charge transfer (MLCT). This feature is also present in the Cu-modified samples. Furthermore, Cu-modified samples also display absorption features in the visible region, related to electronic transitions involving copper ions. In Cu–Ti450, the absorption at around 450 nm is attributed to the electron transfer from the valence band of TiO2.
to that of Cu$_2$O clusters that are around titania (intervalence charge transfer, IVCT). The IVCT effects increase with the increasing amount of Cu (cf. samples 2Cu−Ti450, 5Cu−Ti450, and 10Cu−Ti450). In the samples with Cu > 1 mol %, the absorption tail extends, up to 575 nm in 10Cu−Ti450: this is commonly ascribed to interband absorptions in Cu$_2$O. The presence of Cu$_2$O clusters around titania is also seen in HR-TEM micrographs, Figure 4a,b. Even prior to UV exposure, some Cu$^0$ nanocrystals are observed, as the copper reduction is very fast, and even exposing the samples to day-light for a few seconds during TEM sample preparation and transportation has an effect, resulting in some metallic Cu nanocrystals. Moreover, also the electron beam of TEM is able to reduce, to a certain degree, copper to its Cu metallic form. Furthermore, Cu$^{2+}$ being a strong absorber, the absorption band seen above 600 nm, and centered at around 800 nm (producing the green hue of the sample), is assigned to its d−d electronic transition. The intensity of that band increases with the amount of Cu$^{2+}$ present in the samples.

DRS was likewise used to study the photochromism of Cu-modified TiO$_2$ samples. In general, when a Cu-modified sample is exposed to UVA light for increasing time, changes occur to the spectrum. As an example, Figure 5 reports the behavior of Cu−Ti450 irradiated with UVA light for up to 1 min. Under irradiation, the valence electrons of TiO$_2$ are pushed into the conduction band, leaving a hole behind. These excited electrons can migrate to the valence band of the Cu$_2$O clusters (thus enhancing the absorption tail centered at ~450 nm), and are also able to reduce the Cu$^{2+}$ ions, to create—or enhance, where already present—the absorption tail in the 500−600 nm range (due to Cu$_2$O). As a consequence of this Cu$^{2+}$ reduction,
the absorption band due to Cu$^{2+}$ d–d electronic transition gradually decreases (Figure 5, and its inset). In order to quantitatively examine the evolution of the specimens, the absorption band due to the Cu$^{2+}$ d–d electronic transition was modeled with a Gaussian function (OriginPro, version 8.5.0), and the full width at half-maximum (fwhm, band splitting), the area of the peak (optical density), and its centroid (energy), were extracted (see Tables 5 and 6). The absorption bands due to the reduced Cu$_2$O (at around 500–600 nm), and that due to Cu$^{2+}$/TiO$_2$ IVCT (at ∼450 nm) were also monitored.

In the latter case, a spectrum taken at a certain irradiation time, depending on the sample, was taken as a baseline, and subtracted from all others (Figure 6). Afterward, the same parameters (i.e., fwhm, peak area and centroid) were extracted (cf. Tables 5 and 6, columns 5–7). It can be seen in Figure 6 that for Cu$−$Ti450, there is a large decrease in the Cu$^{2+}$ d–d transition in a very short time, with a reduction of ∼50% after just 12 s of UVA-light irradiation, and a reduction of >95% after 10 min. This signifies that this effect could be monitored to give detailed and precise information on exposure times, or that small exposure times have a large effect on the tuning of these values.

The spectroscopic data of Cu$−$Ti450 are reported in Table 5. We can obtain quantitative information about the reduction of copper by noting that the optical density is proportional to the population of Cu$^{2+}$ ions in the sample. Plotting the optical density vs the UVA irradiation time (Figure 7) and assuming in this case that, at time zero, copper is only present as the cupric ion (Cu$^{2+}$), we can observe an almost exponential decrease in the Cu$^{2+}$ population. An analogous plot can be made for the other transition (Cu$_2$O and Cu$^{2+}$/TiO$_2$ IVCT), as reported in the inset of Figure 7, which also represents the ongoing reduction of Cu$^+$ → Cu$^0$.

The observation of Figure 7 can be interpreted by assuming that the number of Cu$^{2+}$ ion-reduction events, $dN/dt$ in a given interval of time $dt$ is proportional to the number $y$ of ions still present ($A$ is the proportionality constant). The solution of the resulting differential equation $dy/dt = −Ay$ is an exponential decay law $y = Ae^{−yt}$. A constant can be added to the equation to consider the possible inaccuracy due to the choice of the limiting state in extracting the population information. Modeling with a single exponential decay, however, is not satisfactory. In fact, in all the studied cases (i.e., using both light sources and monitoring the three absorption bands), a better fit is obtained by adopting a shifted double exponential decay function ($y = y_0 + A_1e^{−yt_1} + A_2e^{−yt_2}$). This suggests the simultaneous occurrence of (at least) two distinct processes in the reduction of the Cu$^{2+}$ d–d absorption band, and in that of Cu$_2$O and Cu$^{2+}$/TiO$_2$ IVCT. The fit is good (cf. Figure 7, and its inset): Cu$^{2+}$ is quickly reduced to half of its starting amount in approximately 0.20 min (only 12 s). Thus, very short UVA-light exposures are able to start the reduction of Cu$^{2+}$, and at the same time, can promote a charge transfer from the valence band of TiO$_2$ to that of the Cu$_2$O NPs clustered around titania. We can, in fact clearly see the Cu$^{2+}$/TiO$_2$ IVCT appearing together with a reduction of the Cu$^{2+}$ d–d transition.
band due to the Cu$^{2+}$ d–d electronic transition. By increasing the exposure time, both the optical density and band splitting of this transition decrease, indicating a progressive (and complete) reduction of Cu$^{2+}$ (see Table 5). After 3 consecutive minutes of UVA irradiation, the signature of the Cu$^{2+}$ d–d electronic transition almost disappears, and the specimen reverts from greenish to brownish (Figure S4a-b). Only the interband absorptions of Cu$_2$O and the MLCT of titania survive. Considering the area of the bands belonging to Cu$_2$O and Cu$^{2+}$/TiO$_2$ IVCT (Table 5 and inset of Figure 7), we can still see an exponential trend, and the process is therefore modeled following the same idea. However, this process seems slower, as the time needed to (ideally) reach the 50% decay is equal to 0.59 min (36 s).

With 2 mol % copper, 2Cu$^{2+}$/Ti$_4$O$_5$, spectroscopic data relative to UVA-light exposure are shown in Figure 8 and Table S1. It is clear that with 2 mol % Cu the picture becomes more complicated, as the spectra present features due to interband absorption of Cu$_2$O, which is equivalent to saying that the assumption that copper, at time zero, is only present as Cu$^{2+}$, is no longer valid. A higher copper amount in the sample leads to a slightly slower reduction of Cu$^{2+}$, as the area of the band due to Cu$^{2+}$ d–d transition reduces to the half of its starting amount in Table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cu$^{2+}$ d–d transition</th>
<th>Cu$^{2+}$/Ti$_4$O$_5$/IVCT</th>
<th>Cu$_2$O and Cu$^{2+}$/TiO$_2$ IVCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UVA-light exposure time (min)</td>
<td>centroid (eV)</td>
<td>FWHM (eV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5125(5)</td>
<td>0.5388(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.5403(12)</td>
<td>0.4727(46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.5571(16)</td>
<td>0.4006(63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.5921(14)</td>
<td>0.3852(107)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6040(12)</td>
<td>0.3348(97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.7078(21)</td>
<td>0.2680(185)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.7354(19)</td>
<td>0.2206(61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.7177(58)</td>
<td>0.1792(135)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.7561(34)</td>
<td>0.1095(82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.4361(13)</td>
<td>1.1789(116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.8484(11)</td>
<td>0.9804(33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"The coefficient of determination $R^2$ was ≥0.902 for all the fitting of the d-d transition. For the IVCT, $R^2$ was ≥0.954 for all the data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>visible-light exposure time (min)</th>
<th>Cu$^{2+}$ d–d transition</th>
<th>Cu$_2$O and Cu$^{2+}$/TiO$_2$ IVCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>centroid (eV)</td>
<td>FWHM (eV)</td>
<td>area (arb. units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>centroid (eV)</td>
<td>FWHM (eV)</td>
<td>area (arb. units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5090(8)</td>
<td>0.5206(25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.5153(6)</td>
<td>0.5151(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.5197(6)</td>
<td>0.5006(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.5262(8)</td>
<td>0.4850(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5285(6)</td>
<td>0.4775(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5315(9)</td>
<td>0.4653(25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5428(8)</td>
<td>0.4267(23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.5447(10)</td>
<td>0.4096(31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.5529(9)</td>
<td>0.4100(38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.5541(11)</td>
<td>0.3899(46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.5631(9)</td>
<td>0.3685(51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.5833(12)</td>
<td>0.3252(85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.5917(12)</td>
<td>0.2701(57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.6019(8)</td>
<td>0.2302(41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.6171(12)</td>
<td>0.2174(13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"The coefficient of determination $R^2$ was ≥0.961 for all the fitting of the d-d transition, except for the fit at 120 min where $R^2 = 0.873$. For the IVCT, $R^2$ was ≥0.942 for all data.

Figure 6. Spectra of the bands due to the reduced Cu$_2$O, and those due to Cu$^{2+}$/TiO$_2$ IVCT (intervalance charge transfer) under UVA-light, using the spectrum taken at 60 min UVA-light irradiation time as baseline—sample Cu$^{2+}$/Ti$_4$O$_5$. 

![Graph of Energy vs. F(I/R) for UVA-light irradiation time](image-url)
approximately 0.30 min (18 s, see Table 6 and Figure 8). For the 
Cu2O and Cu2+/TiO2 IVCT bands, the time needed to reach 
50% decay was roughly equal to 0.65 min (vs 0.59 min of 
Cu−Ti450), as shown in the inset of Figure 8. Furthermore, 
looking at the HR-TEM micrograph of this sample, taken 
after 300 min exposure under UVA-light (Figure 9), small 
∼2 nm (re)formed Cu0 NPs are clearly visible on the larger titania ones.

Increasing copper loading to 5 mol % (5Cu−Ti450), Table S2, we observe a further different behavior under UVA-light irradiation (Figure 10). The light is no longer able to reduce all the Cu2+ in the sample. It can be seen in Figure 10 that the area of the band due to the d–d electronic transition does not reach a plateau at zero, but levels out at ∼83% reduction. Also, the time needed to reach that plateau is longer, as it is reached after 90 min UVA irradiation time. Furthermore, also in this case, the best fit was obtained adopting a double exponential decay function, and the time needed to reach the 50% decay increased to 2.95 min. On the contrary, monitoring the bands belonging to Cu2O and Cu2+/TiO2 IVCT, the time needed to reach 50% decay is equal to 1.73 min, faster than that required to reduce 50% of the Cu2+ (inset of Figure 10).

With 10 mol % Cu (10Cu−Ti450), Table S3, the time needed to reduce 50% of the Cu2+ d–d electronic transition is greatly extended to 24.48 min, Figure 11, and its inset. This was, as in 5Cu−Ti450, much longer than the time necessary to reduce to 50% the bands belonging to Cu2O and to Cu2+/TiO2 IVCT, which is 2.48 min (cf. inset of Figure 11). The reduction of Cu2+ reaches a plateau at ∼62%. Hence, with Cu > 2 mol %, the reduction of Cu2O and Cu2+/TiO2 IVCT becomes much faster than that of Cu2+, and the reduction of Cu2+ is never complete.
3.2.2. Visible-Light Exposure. Under visible-light exposure (Figure 12, and Table 6), we observe a similar behavior—hence the discussion here will be less detailed. However, this clearly shows that also under visible-light irradiation, there is an electron–hole separation (in other words, the photocatalytic mechanism), due to the presence of rutile in the samples. Rutile is actually reported to be a visible-light activated photocatalyst.30 This also makes our material a potential photocatalyst for indoor applications. However, visible light was unable to reduce Cu\(^{2+}\) as strongly as that observed under UVA-light, especially for copper amounts >2 mol % on the other hand, the light intensity in the visible range was strong enough to—at least—reduce to 50% the transitions due to Cu\(^{2+}\)/TiO\(_2\) and Cu\(^{2+}\)/TiO\(_2\) IVCT.

With 1 mol % Cu (Cu–Ti450), Table 6, the time necessary to reduce the Cu\(^{2+}\) population to half is approximately equal to 3.74 min (vs 12 s using UVA-light); on the other hand, to reduce the Cu\(_2\)O and Cu\(^{2+}\)/TiO\(_2\) IVCT transitions to their half, the time needed was 10.84 min (versus 36 s when using UVA-light), cf. Figure S5 and its inset. It can be seen in Figure 13, taken using exposure of Cu–Ti450 to visible light for 180 min as a baseline, that even with visible light there is a large and relatively rapid decrease in the Cu\(^{2+}\) d–d transition, with a reduction of ∼25% after just 1 min of visible light irradiation, a reduction of ∼50% after 4 min and ∼80% after 1 h. This signifies that even under visible light, this effect could be used to sensitively monitor or tune the process.

With double the amount of copper (2Cu–Ti450, Table S4), the time to reduce the Cu\(^{2+}\) population to 50% was raised to 5.11 min, and 8.66 min was needed to halve the Cu\(_2\)O and Cu\(^{2+}\)/TiO\(_2\) IVCT transitions (Figure S6, and its inset). With 5 mol % Cu (5Cu–Ti450), cf. Figure S8, and Table S5, 116.33 min were needed to halve the Cu\(^{2+}\) concentration, while to do the same to the Cu\(_2\)O and Cu\(^{2+}\)/TiO\(_2\) IVCT transitions required 13.85 min. Finally, with 10 mol % Cu (10Cu–Ti450), cf. Figure S9, and Table S6, the light intensity in the visible region was not able to halve the initial Cu\(^{2+}\) amount, even after 300 min irradiation time; on the contrary, the transitions due to Cu\(_2\)O and Cu\(^{2+}\)/TiO\(_2\) IVCT were halved in 20.14 min.

The induced photochromism can be therefore tuned by simply modifying the light source, the exposure time and the Cu concentration, under UVA or visible light, and is a sensitive and rapid process in both cases with 1 mol % Cu added.

Furthermore, as the photochromic behavior is reported to be reversible at room temperature,64 a future prospect will be investigating the possible thermally assisted photochromic recovery behavior of such material when subjected to a mild thermal treatment.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Copper (0, 1, 2, 5, and 10 mol %) modified TiO\(_2\) was made via a green synthesis method, based upon an environmentally friendly aqueous sol–gel technique. The effect of Cu addition on the anatase-to-rutile (ART) phase transition, and on the microstructure of the prepared samples was examined via advanced
X-ray methods. A bimodal size distribution model for anatase and rutile was proposed. It was found that Cu did not enter the TiO₂ lattice, and did not enhance the ART (commonly reported as a nucleation and growth mechanism), because of a grain-boundary pinning mechanism, that also retarded titania crystalline domain growth.

The photochromic behavior was also assessed in detail using UV–vis spectroscopy. It was found that under UVA-exposure, Cu²⁺ reduced to Cu⁺ and then to Cu⁰ NPs. Under visible-light exposure, Cu²⁺ was reduced to Cu⁺, although to a lesser extent. The induced photochromism can thus be tuned by simply modifying both the light source and the exposure time, and the Cu content in titania. This is a very rapid and sensitive process. For Cu–Ti450 under UVA light, there was a 50% reduction of Cu²⁺ in only 12 s, and >95% after 10 min. Under visible light, there is still a large decrease in the Cu²⁺ d–d transition in a relatively short time, with a reduction of ~25% after just 1 min, ~50% after 5 min, and 80% after 1 h. This signifies that this effect, under UVA or visible light, could be monitored to give detailed and precise information on exposure times, or that small exposure times have a large effect on the tuning of these values, which could be exploited in a new generation of photoactive devices.

This is the first report of such NPs possessing a tunable photochromic property, depending on the light and the exposure time applied, that thus may potentially be used for creating multifunctional and smart coatings (self-cleaning, photocatalytic, antibacterial, photoelectrochemical cells, and sensors).
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