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Enabling Privacy by Design in Medical Records Sharing
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16.1 Introduction

Data sharing and interoperability among healthcare applications is fundamental to improve healthcare assistance. Many projects such as the Italian Electronic Health Record (EHR) reference architecture, UK NHS system, or the European epSOS project have been proposed with the aim of interconnecting different applications. However, the development of such systems is challenging, and one reason is that they need to comply with strict privacy and compliance rules defined by Data Protection legislation. While the projects mentioned above have considered the legislation during their development, to the best of our knowledge none of them have considered the privacy related aspects through all stages of project development as proposed by the Privacy by Design approach. As a consequence, in some cases this led to critical privacy breaches and limitations in their functionalities. For example, none of them gave to the data subjects (i.e. patients) the possibility to have full control over their data or transparency about data management aspects. Instead, considering privacy during the entire lifecycle of software development leads to multiple benefits such as providing more efficient security and privacy strategies, patient-centred privacy mechanisms and therefore improved customer satisfaction, trust, and more efficient operations.

With the CHINO project we aim at creating a framework, inspired by Privacy by Design principles, to enable a multidisciplinary collaboration of various stakeholders involved in the design and development of data sharing mechanisms.

---

and to consider privacy, business and organisational requirements during all stages of software development; from analysis to deployment and execution. We aim at creating a data protection environment by moving privacy issues directly into the technology and the marketplace.\textsuperscript{8} We envision that, by exploiting the advantages of visual representations such as Business Process Modelling (BPM) technology,\textsuperscript{9} we can give to the stakeholders the necessary tools to reason and share their understanding about compliance aspects. Such representations should facilitate also the phases of project validations performed before going into production, and inspections by Compliance Officers at runtime.

In this direction, CHINO proposes a methodology that starts with the extraction of compliance requirements from legislations and with the gathering of business requirements from the involved stakeholders, and ends with the definition of executable processes that are able to enforce the collected requirements. At each step, the methodology guides the involved actors by giving them tools and guidelines on how to define processes and rules that are later executed into the CHINO execution environment.

The paper presents the CHINO methodology by considering a healthcare case study and privacy requirements extracted from Italian,\textsuperscript{10} European\textsuperscript{11} and HIPAA\textsuperscript{12} legislations. We show examples of defined processes and report a user study with a group of developers that have tested the system usability by using notions from Human Computer Interaction discipline. We conclude by analysing the methodology with main focus on the steps in which compliance officers are involved in the definition of processes and validation of compliance against data protection laws.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 gives an overview of research effort in related areas. Section 3 presents the use case scenario and a first example set of extracted policies from legislations. The CHINO methodology, technology and its validation including the usability study are presented in Section 4. In section 5 we analyse CHINO from a legal point of view while in Section 6 we discuss the results and conclusions.


\textsuperscript{10} Legislative Decree No. 196/2003.

\textsuperscript{11} Directive 95/46/EC. See also, \textit{European Parliament and Council: Proposal for a regulation on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation)}.

\textsuperscript{12} Office for Civil Rights. \textit{HIPAA, medical privacy national standards to protect the privacy of personal health information}. 2000.
16.2 Related Work

Data sharing in healthcare is fundamental to improve the assistance services and many projects tried to address related challenges. Commercial solutions such as PracticeFusion, national projects such as the Italian EHR reference system, the European project epSOS or the electronic social and health record developed for the Trentino region in Italy are just some examples.

In such context process based technologies such as BPM have been demonstrated to be efficient in modelling and executing the assistance processes and activities that involve multiple users. The work by Richard Lenz and Manfred Reichert analyses the impacts of process-based technologies on healthcare demonstrating their potential benefits on assistance services. The authors identify two kinds of processes: organisational processes and medical processes. In this work we analyse both types to define compliant data management processes to manage single medical records.

The work by Ottensooser et al. shows that once defined and executed, the BPM processes can also facilitate the verification activities by compliance officers. It analyses the understandability of a language for BPM called Business Process Model and Notation (BPMN), versus text notation for representing the design of information systems showing positive results. In another work by Recker and Dreiling it is claimed that people, who know a business process notation, can switch to a new notation quite easily. We focus on enabling developers to create the processes in an easy way and study their level of confidence following the methodologies and best practices in interaction design.

Some works use BPM to tackle challenges related to privacy-aware data sharing. The extracted and formally defined requirements and obligations from legislations can be synthesised as business processes and work such as the one done by Bellamy et al. demonstrates that with visual representations there could be benefits in understanding and improving them. The work by Lu et al. shows an approach for compliance-aware business process design while the work by Milosevic et al. translates constraints and contracts into business processes. We chose to approach compliance-related challenges proactively following the Privacy by Design that has emerged as one of most promising approaches in tackling privacy-related issues. Although it is only a set of high-level principles and it has been criticised by some researchers due to its sometimes vague and high expectations, it has been successfully applied in some projects and case studies. Privacy by Design considers the privacy-related aspects from early stages of systems design and has been introduced in the regulation framework by the Art. 29 Data Protection Working Party in the document The Future of Privacy and in the Proposal for the New European General Data Protection Regulation. Therefore we aim at studying how the healthcare scenario proposed by the CHINO project can support and embed Privacy by Design principles, and if it can provide a reference implementation in this domain.

16.3 Use Case Scenario and Identified Policies

To test CHINO efficacy we started by analysing data-sharing scenarios and extracting privacy and compliance requirements from legislations. During the first CHINO testing, 30 sets of requirements were extracted from Italian and UK legislations and have been applied in a use case scenario called “doctor consultation”. In this work, to further validate the framework, we consider European 31 and HIPAA legislations 32 and apply the extracted requirements to a different use case called “immunisation scenario”. The scenario involves different actors that need to share medical record about a patient:

Mr Brown wants to spend his holidays in Mozambique and to be prepared for that environment, he asks to Dr Kelly, his family doctor, some advices. Dr Kelly alerts him that in Mozambique it is possible to get the typhus disease and she prescribes him a vaccine injection to administer before leaving. Dr Kelly creates an ePrescription using her medical record system, which uploads automatically the created record containing the ePrescription to CHINO. Then Mr Brown goes to the nearest hospital to get administered the vaccine. At the hospital, Dr Smith accesses Brown’s medical data using his own medical record system that gets data from CHINO and administer the vaccine.

Next subsection describes the privacy and compliance policies that have been extracted and that apply to this use case scenario.

16.3.1 Identified Policies

Extracting requirements and policies from legislations embeds some pitfalls starting from collecting the complete set of legislations and guidelines that are relevant to the considered project scenario. Moreover, these legal requirements and organizational policies should be compared and combined in order to identify their exact hierarchy and terms of applicability. 33 For example, the Italian context is characterized by many levels of authorities and rules which protect citizen’s privacy rights: starting from the EU level legislations 34 transposed in Italy with the Data Protection Code, 35 to the Guidelines and recommendations provided by the Italian Data Protection Authority in collaboration with the Ministry of Health on Electronic

---

31 Directive 95/46/EC.
32 Office for Civil Rights, HIPAA, medical privacy national standards to protect the privacy of personal health information.
34 Directive 95/46/EC.
35 Legislative Decree No. 196/2003.
Moreover each region has its own competences on applying healthcare legislation, which is done by many local healthcare providers called “ASL: Azienda Sanitaria Locale” that deliver assistance services to patients. This context shows clearly that in Italy, like in other countries, there exist many bodies having different competences that define privacy legislations on different aspects. 

Here we report a subset of privacy policies we extracted from legislation and that are relevant to the Immunisation scenario described before:

P1 a Data Controller (DC) must provide policies and procedures for the creation, maintenance, and revocation of access for both doctors and users.

P2 a DC must ensure that personal data may be processed only insofar as it is adequate, relevant and not excessive in relation to the purposes for which they are collected and/or further processed.

P3 a DC must implement hardware, software, and/or procedural mechanisms that record and examine activity in information systems that contain or use PHI.

P4 a DC needs to ensure secure data management by implementing mechanisms for data encryption of Personal Health Information (PHI).

P5 a DC has the ability to disclose data for Research, Marketing, Fundraising only if appropriately de-identified by removing Personal Identifiable Information.

The identified requirements apply on the Immunisation scenario at different steps. During the doctors’ access to patients’ data, the P1, P2 and P3 policies need to be satisfied. The doctors need to have the required access rights (P1), access only to the information that is required to fulfil the tasks (P2) and their accesses need to be logged through audit mechanisms (P3). Patients’ data needs also to be kept secure on the systems used by the personal doctors, CHINO and the hospital systems (P4).

Next section describes the CHINO framework i.e., the methodology, the modelling framework and how BPM processes and rules are defined and executed based on the requirements and policies extracted insofar.

16.4 CHINO Framework

The main goal of CHINO is to provide a framework to involve different stakeholder (project managers, compliance and data protection officers, analysts and developers) through the lifecycle of development of compliant data sharing processes and

---


privacy policies. The key idea sits in using BPM technology to define data management operations (e.g. storing, sharing) according to the data owners’ requirements and policies extracted from laws and organizational rules. By doing so, CHINO executes the data owners’ business processes and policies while replying to data requests and interacting with external applications and actors. In such way, CHINO enables a cross-organisation and even cross-border\textsuperscript{38} compliance-aware medical record sharing since the processes and policies, for each of the participant organization, can be defined according to their own data protection legislation and set of requirements.

Next subsection shows how the CHINO methodology and how privacy law compliant data sharing can be achieved.

\subsection{CHINO Methodology}

To identify actors and a set of steps to define privacy law compliant processes and policies that are later executed into the CHINO platform, we propose the CHINO methodology (sketched in Fig. 16.1). It identifies main steps, the actors and artefacts that are produced and consumed at each step. It does not refer to any software development methodology (e.g. Waterfall, or Agile) since the steps could be also executed iteratively and it is not tied to any specific privacy law or legislation; therefore it should be applicable to any regulatory context.

\begin{figure}[h]
  \centering
  \includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig16_1.png}
  \caption{The CHINO methodology}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{38}Directive 95/46/EC and in particular Directive 2011/24/EU.
The steps, as shown in Fig. 16.1 are:

1. Chief Information Officer identifies business requirements describing, for example, the flow of interactions, and tasks to be fulfilled by different actors or organisations. Such requirements, like in the Immunisation scenario, are often described in natural language with operational models describing how actors interact among them and with the medical record systems. At this step also domain experts such as doctors and nurses could be involved in defining the assistance processes and the data that need to be managed and shared.39

2. Chief Compliance Officer of the organisation identifies the legislation and extracts the compliance requirements including the security and privacy policies that need to be satisfied. For example, as shown by the use case, it could define at each step which security and privacy policies need to be applied, according to the applicable law (national, European, and international), and identifies exceptional cases in which data can be disclosed without patients’ authorisations (policy P5 in Section 3.1). Due to legislation intrinsic complexity, the Compliance Officer could rely on collaborations and consultations with actors having a legal background to extract all requirements. This step could consist of various interactions also among compliance and information officers to devise the set of information that will be managed, the operations and the set of norms that will apply to such operations.

3. Business Analyst combines business requirements and compliance requirements to devise a high-level representation that describes the steps the involved parties should follow.40 The business analyst can also annotate such representations with the corresponding security and privacy policies identified at Step 2.41 If necessary, the step 2 and 3 can be performed more times iteratively to refine the policies to be enforced.42

4. Business Analyst and System Developer translate high-level representations into executable business processes and rules. Business processes implement the business logic of data management operations such as Push Record and Get

---


Record. The defined security and privacy rules that are incorporated into business process steps are executed through operations on internal CHINO components. Finally, the resulting executable business processes and rules are deployed and executed into the shared execution environment.

In summary, the CHINO methodology identifies the sequence of steps carried out by multiple stakeholders, from high-level business requirement collection to the low-level process execution and policy enforcement. Next subsection shows the technology to support the process modelling.

16.4.2 CHINO Modelling Framework

The process and policy Modelling Framework, as described by the methodology, involves the collaboration of Business Analysts and Developers. Figure 16.2 shows the framework at work.

Developers can model processes in Section A by using a set of Business Process Model and Notation (BPMN) modelling elements that can be dragged and dropped into a process editor. The screenshot in Figure 16.2 illustrates how developers can use this tool to model processes and policies in CHINO.

Fig. 16.2 A screenshot of the CHINO Modelling Framework based on the (Activiti Designer BPM Platform, Available at http://activiti.org/)

---

dropped from Section B. They will need to input some configuration parameters in the Properties tab shown in Section C to make it executable. Once deployed, the processes become automatically executable to manage organisations' data. The Modelling Framework is implemented by extending the Activiti Designer with a set of new constructs called Custom Tasks to provide a comprehensive set of elements and to facilitate the process modelling. Custom tasks are extensions to the standard BPMN 2.0 elements and a subset of them is shown in Fig. 16.3.

Each of the introduced custom tasks has a specific name, icon and behaviour. The set of custom modelling elements has been introduced to simplify the development of specific CHINO processes that implement data management operations. Namely, each of the custom tasks can be used either to reply to the requester with a specific and predefined message or to interact with the platform internal components. They are used to define how patients’ personal information is disclosed to, and managed by CHINO and how it is disclosed to other institutions and users. A subset of custom elements is described below:

- **C1 – Logging Service** is a customisable logging task that logs process status on internal Logging component or an external auditing system. It takes in input a customizable set of information that can be specified by the developers.
- **C2 – Get Record From Repository** restores the requested record from record store. The record store can be also external.
- **C3 – Push Record** saves a record on the internal record store component.

---


46 According to new rules proposed by European Parliament and Council: Proposal for a regulation on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation).
Apply Filtering Rules applies purpose-based filtering rules to records to eliminate the unnecessary data based on the specified purpose of use. This is fundamental to achieve the proportionality principle and satisfy the policy P2.

The following subsection shows how these elements were used within a process example to implement an operation according to identified requirements.

16.4.3 A Process Example

Here we show an example of a process that is executed inside the CHINO platform to implement an operation over data. We analyse in particular the Get Record operation that is invoked when a medical record is requested by an organisation. The process model in Fig. 16.4 (simplified for readability reason) has been implemented according to policies extracted from HIPAA legislation and listed in section 3.1.

It starts by checking the request message content to ensure that the request contains all the mandatory data. According to policies P1, P2 and P3 from Section 3.1, the request needs to be authorised, it needs to access only to the data the requester is entitled to access for that specific task and, all actions need to be logged. If the requester does not have the required access rights, the process will ask for approval to the record owner. Under HIPAA, usually personal doctors approve requests to data on behalf of the patients. Therefore, the process will wait for approval soliciting the doctor periodically. In case of approved request, the process retrieves the requested record from a local record store. The record store could be also remote in case this is mandated by guidelines for EHR creation or laws. Once retrieved the record, the process needs to satisfy the proportionality principle

![Fig. 16.4 The CHINO “Get Record” Process](image-url)

---


48 This is the case of Italian law: Italian Ministry of Innovation and Technology, InFSE: Technical Infrastructure for Electronical Health Record Systems, v1.2. (2012).
that is one of the most important principles identified by Data Protection legislations and that needs to be tackled in combination with the principles of necessity and purpose limitation.\textsuperscript{49} To satisfy those requirements, the process invokes the \textit{Apply Filtering Policies} element that filters the data that is not necessary for that requestor for that specific purpose of access. The filtering policies are defined by record owners or entities responsible for record management (e.g. Data Controllers).\textsuperscript{50} The record is then returned to the requestor replying to \textit{Get Record} request. In case of request denied, a negative response is returned to the requester, while in case of timeout (neither positive nor negative response) a timeout message is returned. Finally in case something went wrong, an error message is returned.

The proposed process based approach is able also to manage easily the exceptional cases in which data subjects are under a certain age threshold or the records are about mental problems and should not be disclosed to the subjects. The defined processes are then deployed and executed in the CHINO Platform.

\subsection*{16.4.4 CHINO Platform}

Following the CHINO methodology, once processes are defined (Step 4), they are deployed and executed inside the shared execution environment (Step 5). CHINO platform provides the execution environment and a set of internal components to manage data and rules. The platform is also responsible for technical aspects such as reliability, scalability, and secure communication with external systems.\textsuperscript{51} The platform prototype has been developed and tested by integrating it with a popular medical record system called OpenMRS (\url{www.openmrs.org}) and by developing the doctor consultation use case according to Italian and UK legislations. We defined data sharing processes in compliance to Italian and UK legislations and executed them inside CHINO to demonstrate that with CHINO, organisations are able to share medical records while being compliant with privacy legislations and while satisfying their internal business requirements.\textsuperscript{52} This scenario demonstrated also how CHINO can enable cross-border and cross-legislation medical data sharing, according to Directive 2011/24/UE.

Next subsection shows how we analysed legislations in this work and how we tested process modelling with developers.

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{49}Office for Civil Rights. \textit{HIPAA, medical privacy national standards to protect the privacy of personal health information.}}

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{50}Giampaolo Armellin et al., “Privacy preserving event driven integration for interoperating social and health systems,” \textit{Secure Data Management 7th VLDB Workshop} (2010): 6368.}


### 16.4.5 The Usability Validation

According to the CHINO methodology, Business Analysts and Developers should be able to define the processes in compliance to the identified requirements by using the Modelling Framework. To test these assumptions and the Modelling Framework usability, we performed a user study with a group of nine developers that had preliminary knowledge about process modelling with the standard BPMN Activiti Designer. With the user study we tried to understand if the requirements identified at Steps 1, 2 and 3 can be mapped into business processes at Step 4. The users where chosen among master students and employees of the University of Trento. The analysis was based on notions from the Interaction Design (ID) studied in Human Computer Interaction (HCI) discipline and applying the usability testing methodology called Think Aloud. According to it, the standard usability test is performed recording users performance on an assigned task. In our test we showed to users a document explaining the CHINO framework, the Immunisation scenario and a list of identified requirements. We monitored and stimulated them to speak while performing the assigned tasks to analyse their behaviour.

At the end of the test we asked them to fill a questionnaire about overall satisfaction about the assigned tasks which had two types of responses. The first one in a scale from 1 to 7 points where 1 correspond to negative opinion such as Strongly Disagree and 7 to a positive judgement such as Strongly Agree. The second type was in form of open questions. All the numeric questions were mandatory while the open ones were optional. We report some questions while the complete questionnaire including a detailed analysis of results can be found here:

- **Q1** “Overall, I am satisfied with the ease of completing the exercise in this scenario.”
- **Q10** “I was able to complete the exercise quickly using this system.”
- **Q21** “This system has all the functions and capabilities I needed.”
- **Q23** “It was easy to understand the concepts introduced by this framework.”
- **Q25** “How do you rate the overall experience with the CHINO Modelling?”

#### 16.4.5.1 Study Results

To evaluate the responses for each question we calculated the mean ($\mu_n$) and variance ($\sigma_n^2$) where the first coefficient expresses the positive or negative opinion of the users, while the second represent the level of disagreement among users.

---


Test showed a positive impression about the Modeller usage after a few times it has been used. However, when users used it for the first time some differences among opinions emerged. Only two users expressed an overall negative feedback about their performance, however, since they were able to perform their tasks, this does not represent an important limitation, although it suggests us to take into consideration developing a strategy to train new users.

An example of a positive feedback within open questions is:

I am comfortable with the diagrams because it really represents the information which is held on hospitals.

And also some negative ones:

The framework as I said is easy to use but anyway I had some problems of stability during the usage, so for this reason, relatively to the question if I would recommend this tool to others the real answer is yes, but . . .

The stability issues are related to the Activiti Designer and not to our specific extension and it is just a matter of software maturity since Activiti project is being frequently updated with newer versions.

Overall, the study gave us important feedback about custom task usability and suggested some improvements especially regarding the explanation of their usage. Other suggestions include also the need for better explanation of usage of combinations of different tasks to achieve a specific goal. In conclusion, tests showed a satisfactory usability level of the Modelling Framework and demonstrated that users were able to transpose requirements into processes while underlining the need for smaller improvements of the CHINO platform.

Tests validated the technical usability and feasibility of the CHINO approach, while the next section analyses how CHINO achieves privacy law compliance.

### 16.5 Privacy Law Compliance with CHINO

Here we analyse CHINO from the legal point of view and reason about its ability to preserve privacy and data protection rights and to support compliant process definition. We show how CHINO can help in achieving the identified goals by answering in particular to the following two macro-questions:

1. If CHINO provides technological elements (modeller, modelling elements, internal components) to support the development of privacy law compliant healthcare data management processes and policies.
2. If CHINO process based approach could facilitate the tasks (emphasised in Fig. 16.5) of process and policy approvals or verifications. These activities are typically done before going into production phase or in case of legally motivated inspections by Compliance Officers at runtime.

In order to answer to the first question we summarize here how CHINO technology and, more in general, the process based approach it proposes, can satisfy
the set of requirements extracted from the Italian legislation, directives and set of guidelines for the creation of Electronic Health Record (EHR) systems. We start by analysing the set of recommendations of the Art. 29 Data Protection Working Party in Working Document 01/2012 on epSOS \(^{56}\) and in Working Document on the processing of personal data relating to health in Electronic Health Records (EHR) \(^{57}\). Art. 29 Working Party provides recommendations on several topics emphasising the need for special safeguards in order to guarantee the data protection rights of patients and individuals. Some recommendations include the respect for data subjects’ self-determination and authorisation procedures, security measures, transparency, liability issues and finally, the availability of mechanisms to control the data processing.

As described in the paper, CHINO aims at providing a framework to support the privacy by design approach while providing tools and mechanisms to define data management processes and policies. In such way, CHINO proposes a proactive approach in accordance to the privacy by design principles by providing effective technical and organisational tools for healthcare institutions to consider privacy related aspects during the whole project lifecycle.\(^{58}\)

---


Analysing more deeply CHINO with the focus on data protection requirements, it appears to be an appropriate platform for sharing personal and healthcare data also among organizations that belong to different regulatory contexts. The flexibility provided by business process technology enables users to customize data management processes and data protection strategy according to their requirements.

From the data security point of view, CHINO technology provides the necessary mechanisms to satisfy the security requirements related to healthcare data management in the Italian scenario. In particular, the architectural features and capabilities have been built following the national level guidelines for EHR creation and international standards such as IHE. Therefore CHINO satisfies the requirements according to Articles 31 and 33ff of the Italian Data Protection Code, and the release of a Privacy Impact Assessment. It implements technical and organizational features to avoid loss or unauthorised alteration, processing and access to data. Furthermore it respects data protection general principles from the Directive 95/46/EC, and in particular the principles of purpose limitation, proportionality, data quality, necessity and the data subject’s rights.

CHINO is able to enforce the explicit consent policy that is defined as the data subjects’ explicit consent on the processing of their data and it is an exemption to the general prohibition to personal data processing, according to European legislation (Art. 8, Directive 95/46/EC). CHINO access right policies and the assurance mechanism enable data subjects to freely express explicit, specific and informed consent about data sharing. According to the legislation, in special cases data can be processed without consent (e.g. compliance with legal obligations, protect vital interest of data subject, public interests). This is possible in CHINO by defining special conditions on the Check Access Right modelling element. Processes can be also defined to delegate the disclosure of data to data subjects’ personal doctors. Data subjects could also delete and block data sharing (as required for instance by Art. 7, Italian Data Protection Code). Moreover the involved actors are able to receive notifications about the process status, including the requests of access. The updates of wrong data to assure data quality policy according to Italian, European and HIPAA legislations, are done through the Push Record task.

---

59 Directive 2011/24/EU.
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63 European Parliament and Council: Proposal for a regulation on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation). (2012).
According to European legislation (Art. 6 of Directive 95/46/EC) and to the Italian Data Protection Code (Art. 11), personal data can only be processed for specified explicit and legitimate purposes and may not be processed further in a way incompatible with those purposes. CHINO provides technical tools for enabling data controllers to check step-by-step the lawfulness of the personal data process following the purpose principle\textsuperscript{65}; the legitimate purposes of the process are recorded and all the access requests are filtered according to them. CHINO provides mechanisms to release data only according to the specified, explicit and legitimate purposes through the definition of filtering policies. Namely, the CHINO filtering task provides anonymisation mechanisms to remove sensible information on a purpose-based approach. For example in case the data need to be used for statistical purposes, a filtering policy that eliminate personal identifiable information can be defined.\textsuperscript{66} These purpose-based policies can be defined quite easily in healthcare domain given the availability of the taxonomy of possible purposes for which healthcare data can be requested and used.\textsuperscript{67}

By analysing more deeply the data security features, CHINO guarantees confidentiality and integrity of information against unauthorised access, disclosure or alterations. Moreover, it improves personal data traceability, so that each communication and each data transaction can be tracked back to a certain entity that can be easily audited. In order to assure data traceability, CHINO provides features to clearly identify all the actors and entities involved in the process execution. This allows identifying data controllers and data processors (and other involved entities) when executing operations over data and addressing specific and defined liabilities to data controllers and processors at any step of the processing. Logging ensures accountability on operations over data in compliance with the Italian Data Protection Code (Articles 28ff) and with the Guidelines on the EHR development.\textsuperscript{68}

CHINO allows data controllers to keep privacy-sensitive data on their own servers if they have restrictions about data storage administrative locations, as it is the case in Italy.\textsuperscript{69} Regarding the data stored inside CHINO, it is encrypted with standards algorithms (e.g. AES-128 and SHA-258 for hashing). The deployment of CHINO could be done also in Cloud-based environments. Although this aspect


\textsuperscript{68}Italian Data Protection Authority, \textit{Guidelines on the Electronic Health Record.}

\textsuperscript{69}Italian Data Protection Authority, \textit{Guidelines on the Electronic Health Record.}
needs a deeper analysis, the combination of the possibility to decentralise record storage and encryption techniques satisfy the requirements recommended by Art. 29 Working Party in 2007.⁷⁰

Relatively to the second question, we tried to analyse the healthcare software lifecycle that is depicted in Fig. 16.5 with particular focus on the compliance aspects that have been underlined in two specific phases. Namely, Fig. 16.5 shows the situations where the “Chief Compliance Officer”, that is usually a privacy expert or a Data Protection Officer, is involved in the verification of the business processes developed at Step 5 and has the responsibility to approve or reject them. The other situation is related to recent Inspection Plan undertaken by the Italian Data Protection Authority in which medical record systems has been included as one of the potentially analysed systems.⁷¹ This means that the Data Protection Authority will seek for documentation to check if the data lifecycle and data management procedures are compliant with legislation in order to assure protection to data subjects’ rights.

Both situations shown in Fig. 16.5, describe tasks that could have significant impact on projects developed without considering exhaustively privacy related aspects (i.e. fines to responsible organizations or, in extreme cases, systems suspension or disposal).

To answer to this question we focus on the analysis of the CHINO technology and understanding if it could provide more transparency, documentation and details about the data management lifecycle in case of verifications and inspections. We focus mainly on the analysis of the BPM technology, as the core innovative technology, that can facilitate inspection procedures. Due to its visual representations, CHINO data management operations can be easily verified even by people with non-technical background such as Compliance Officers. Similarly to other scenarios and context,⁷² visual representations can simplify the process of revision by lawyer and privacy experts due to its simplification of understanding for people with non IT background. CHINO expresses in a more clear way which privacy requirements are satisfied when compared to standard textual documentation making easier to identify different steps and related rights, duties and liabilities.

---


16.6 Conclusions

Privacy law compliance is a challenging and complex goal to achieve while developing IT solutions that manage and share sensitive data. This paper shows how CHINO framework is able to tackle compliance issues in medical data sharing by exploiting the advantages of visual representations such as BPM technologies.

By performing different tests; starting with extracting policies from Italian, European and HIPAA legislations, modelling and executing corresponding processes and policies and with user studies, we have proved the overall CHINO methodology and technology applicability and its soundness relatively to Privacy by Design principles. From the privacy legislation analysis has emerged that CHINO provides all the necessary features to develop data management processes that are compliant with examined legislations. In addition, the BPM technology simplifies the process development and revision tasks that are done by Compliance Officers. The adoption of the same visual representations from the first stages of analysis up to the execution, simplifies the collaboration and sharing of knowledge among stakeholders with different backgrounds.

A potential evolution of the CHINO platform is the deployment on Cloud-based infrastructures to give to users the possibility to define their own data management strategies for their personal data. It could also enable users and organisations to share processes among them and collaboratively improve them.

Furthermore, the proposed solution, and in particular the positive validation with privacy experts, enabled us to apply the CHINO methodology (and potentially also the technology) into industrial projects. Namely, we are currently adopting the CHINO methodology and BPMN diagrams as the documentation technology to interact with stakeholders (i.e., analysts, assistance providers, governance and compliance experts from a legal consulting firm). The initial feedback about the proposed approach suitability is extremely positive and the reporting of these experiences will be part of the future work on this project.
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